

KletterMix: Climbing Toward High-Quality German Pretraining Data – The Full Report

Maurice Kraus^{1,2*} Ruben Härle^{1,2*} Sebastian Sztwiertnia^{1,2} Abbas Goher Khan⁴
 Mehdi Ali^{3,4} Michael Fromm^{3,4} Nicolas Flores-Herr⁴ Kristian Kersting^{1,5,6,7}

¹AI & ML Group, TU Darmstadt ²Lab1141 ³Lamarr Institute ⁴Fraunhofer IAIS
⁵hessian.AI ⁶German Research Center for AI (DFKI) ⁷Centre for Cognitive Science, TU Darmstadt
 {maurice.kraus,ruben.haerle}@tu-darmstadt.de

Abstract

High-quality pretraining data is a central ingredient in modern language models, but German-language resources remain far less developed than their English counterparts: they are often smaller, less carefully curated, weakly documented, and rarely validated through controlled training experiments. We introduce KletterMix, a high-quality German corpus for language model pretraining and annealing, designed as a reusable dataset artifact for the natural language processing and modeling community. KletterMix is built by translating a state-of-the-art English pretraining corpus into German while preserving document boundaries, metadata, source structure, and topical diversity. This construction yields a German corpus with the scale and diversity of a modern pretraining dataset, while enabling direct comparison to its English source. We document the dataset through a broad set of corpus-level analyses, including translation quality, document length distributions, topic coverage, source composition, and geographic metadata. Using COMETKiwi, we show that the translated documents achieve strong quality across diverse domains, suggesting that careful translation can preserve much of the semantic and stylistic richness of the original corpus. Beyond dataset construction, we evaluate KletterMix as training data. Through controlled pretraining and annealing ablations against established German corpora, we show that models trained on KletterMix achieve measurable improvements on German-language downstream evaluations. These results demonstrate that carefully curated translated data can substantially strengthen the German pretraining data ecosystem.¹

1 Introduction

Pretraining data is one of the primary determinants of language model quality. While model architecture, optimization, and alignment recipes are often the most visible components of language model development, the pretraining corpus defines much of what a model can learn before instruction tuning, domain adaptation, or annealing. It determines which facts, domains, registers, styles, and linguistic phenomena are available during the highest-volume stage of training. Recent progress in open language modeling has therefore been driven not only by scale, but also by increasingly careful pretraining mixtures [15, 38, 25, 12].

This progress has been uneven across languages. English has benefited from large, diverse, and well-documented pretraining corpora, whereas German-language resources remain comparatively less mature: they are often derived from noisy web crawls, embedded as subsets of multilingual corpora, or released with limited documentation and validation through controlled training experiments [39,

*Equal contribution.

¹Code at: <https://anonymous.4open.science/r/KletterMix-5F3F>

Dataset at: <https://huggingface.co/datasets/AI-ML-TUDA/KletterMix>

22, 13, 35, 9, 6]. This gap matters because strong German model behavior cannot be assumed to emerge from English-centric data alone. German morphology, compounding, capitalization, regional variation, and domain-specific register all shape the effectiveness of German language modeling.

One response is to collect and filter more native German web text, and recent German and European efforts have made substantial progress in that direction [6, 31, 18, 2]. However, native crawling alone does not automatically reproduce the source diversity, mixture design, or documentation standards of the strongest English pretraining datasets. High-quality German material is distributed across heterogeneous sources, and aggressive filtering can remove useful long-tail content while still leaving substantial noise. An alternative is to transfer the structure of a strong English pretraining mixture into German through high-quality machine translation. This can preserve topical diversity, source balance, and mixture-level design decisions, but it also introduces risks, e.g. translationese, semantic drift, source-language bias, length pathologies, and failures on long or specialized documents. Translated pretraining data must therefore be treated as a dataset-construction problem rather than as a simple data augmentation step.

We introduce KletterMix, a 725B-token German pretraining and annealing corpus built by translating ClimbMix, a recent high-quality English pretraining mixture [12], into German. KletterMix is designed to transfer the coverage and mixture structure of its English source while preserving document boundaries, document identifiers, metadata, source composition, and topical diversity. To assess translation quality at scale, we use COMETKiwi [33] as a reference-free quality-estimation signal and train a proxy model for corpus-level quality estimation. We combine these diagnostics with analyses of document length, source composition, topic coverage, and metadata preservation, and evaluate downstream utility in matched 0.6B-parameter pretraining and corpus-choice annealing experiments as well as a controlled 7B-parameter language-mixture annealing study.

Our contributions are as follows:

- We introduce KletterMix, a 725B-token German pretraining corpus constructed from a high-quality English source mixture while preserving document-level structure and metadata.
- We describe a scalable translation pipeline for pretraining data, including length-aware batching, chunking, dynamic output budgeting, and corpus-level validity checks.
- We document the translated corpus through COMETKiwi-based and proxy-based quality diagnostics, together with analyses of length distributions, topic coverage, source composition, and metadata preservation.
- We evaluate KletterMix at two model scales: through matched 0.6B-parameter pretraining, proxy-filtering, and corpus-choice annealing experiments, and through a 7B-parameter language-mixture annealing sweep that measures German gains alongside English retention.

Overall, KletterMix studies a practical route toward stronger non-English data: rather than relying exclusively on additional native web crawling, it asks whether the curation decisions, source diversity, and mixture structure of a strong English corpus can be transferred to German through careful translation, documentation, and empirical validation. Across two model scales and complementary training regimes, our results show that this approach can meaningfully strengthen German pretraining data while also highlighting the quality-control requirements that translated corpora demand.

2 Related Work

Large-scale pretraining corpora. Large-scale pretraining corpora have increasingly shifted from raw web-scale collection toward documented, filtered, and evaluation-driven dataset artifacts. Early influential resources such as The Pile [15] and ROOTS [24] demonstrated the importance of diverse source mixtures, corpus documentation, and multilingual coverage for LLM pretraining. More recent English-focused corpora such as Dolma [38], DCLM [25], and ClimbMix [12] further emphasize reproducible curation pipelines, filtering, deduplication, mixture design, and downstream evaluation as central components of dataset design. In parallel, multilingual web and open-data corpora such as mC4 [43], OSCAR [39], CulturaX [27], HPLT [10, 28], FineWeb2 [30], and Common Corpus [23] have expanded coverage beyond English, often by applying language identification, deduplication, quality filtering, provenance tracking, and license documentation at scale. These efforts show that pretraining data quality depends not only on scale but also on source composition, filtering choices,

documentation, and validation through model training. KletterMix complements these corpora: rather than constructing German pretraining data solely through language-specific web crawling and filtering, we ask if the diversity and mixture decisions of a strong English pretraining corpus can be transferred to German through high-quality translation and validated through controlled training experiments.

German and European language-model data. Recent German and European LLM efforts highlight both the demand for language-specific data and the difficulty of obtaining it at sufficient scale and quality. GermanWeb [6] constructs a large German pretraining corpus from Common Crawl, FineWeb2, and synthetic data using heuristic and model-based curation, and validates the resulting data through from-scratch pretraining. LLäMmlein [31] instead emphasizes transparency for compact German-only models, releasing German decoder models, training data, code, and checkpoints. German Commons [18] focuses on a different axis: verifiable licensing and provenance, assembling a large corpus of openly licensed German text across legal, scientific, cultural, political, news, economic, and web domains. Other efforts adapt or build open models for German and European language coverage, including LeoLM [32], Occiglot [3], OpenGPT-X/Teuken [2], and EuroLLM [26]. These works are complementary to KletterMix: they primarily improve German or European modeling through native web curation, continued pretraining, multilingual mixtures, or licensing-driven corpus construction, whereas KletterMix studies whether a high-quality English mixture can be transferred into German while preserving document boundaries, source metadata, and topical structure.

Machine-translated data for pretraining. Machine-translated corpora provide a direct way to transfer high-resource English data into languages whose native pretraining corpora are smaller, less curated, or less diverse. Recent work shows that this strategy can be effective when applied carefully. Translation-based pretraining translates web-crawled documents into low-resource target languages and filters the resulting synthetic text, finding that filtered translations can be competitive with native, clean data for smaller language models [14]. TransWebEdu [42] scales this idea to LLM pretraining by translating FineWeb-Edu into multiple languages and training a multilingual model from scratch on the resulting corpus. At the same time, translated data can introduce translationese artifacts, source-language bias, unnatural target-language style, and quality failures. Large-scale web corpora may already contain substantial amounts of low-quality machine-translated content [41], and German-focused curation work has cautioned that English-to-German machine translation should be accompanied by rigorous quality and naturalness checks [6]. KletterMix therefore treats translation not merely as data augmentation but as a dataset-construction problem: we preserve aligned document structure and metadata, measure translation quality with reference-free QE, and evaluate the translated corpus through controlled pretraining and annealing ablations.

Dataset documentation and translation quality assessment. Dataset documentation frameworks such as Datasheets for Datasets [17] and Data Statements for NLP [4] motivate explicit reporting of a dataset’s motivation, composition, collection and processing pipeline, intended uses, limitations, and sociotechnical context. These concerns are especially important for translated pretraining corpora, where source provenance, transformation steps, language variety, and translation artifacts all affect downstream use. For translation quality, reference-free quality estimation models such as COMETKiwi [33] are attractive because they can score source–translation pairs without human references while supporting scalable corpus-level diagnostics. KletterMix follows this line of work by coupling corpus-level documentation with translation-quality analyses, metadata preservation checks, and model-training validation; we treat automatic QE as a scalable diagnostic rather than a replacement for downstream evaluation or manual inspection.

3 KletterMix Pipeline

We construct KletterMix by translating a high-quality English pretraining mixture into German while preserving document boundaries, source metadata, and mixture structure. The pipeline consists of five stages: source-record normalization, length-aware routing, document-preserving translation, scalable shard-wise execution, and post-hoc quality estimation. This section describes the construction procedure; dataset variants and corpus-level quality analyses are reported in Sec. 3 and Sec. 4, with implementation and proxy-validation details in App. A.

Source corpus and record structure The source corpus is stored as sharded JSONL files. Each record contains a document identifier, the English source text, and metadata inherited from the




Figure 1: Overview of the KletterMix pipeline. English source shards are routed into length-aware context buckets, translated with a document-preserving procedure that keeps document identifiers, order, and metadata, and executed shard-wise with parallel workers. A stratified sample of translations is scored with COMETKiwi to obtain reference-free quality labels, which supervise a cheap gradient-boosted proxy that scores the full corpus from target-side features and filters low-quality translations.

original mixture, including source cluster, source location, and approximate source length. We preserve this information throughout the translation pipeline. Each translated record, therefore, consists of the original document identifier, the German translation, the unchanged metadata fields, and additional processing metadata such as context bucket, chunking status, translation configuration, and quality-estimation outputs. Preserving document-level structure is important for two reasons. First, it allows KletterMix to retain the source mixture design of the English corpus rather than collapsing the data into sentence-level translation pairs. Second, it enables direct corpus-level comparisons between the English source and German target, including analyses of length ratios, source composition, cluster-level quality, and metadata preservation.

Length-aware routing Pretraining corpora contain documents with highly variable lengths, from short snippets to long web pages and technical documents. A single translation configuration is inefficient for such data: short documents waste context budget, while long documents may exceed the effective context or generation limits of the translation model. We therefore route documents into length-aware buckets before translation. Concretely, each document is assigned to a bucket according to its approximate source length. We use a small set of context buckets chosen to cover short, medium, long, and overflow documents under the practical context and generation limits of the translation setup. The same document-preserving procedure is used for all buckets, with bucket-specific source and target budgets. This reduces padding and scheduling inefficiency while allowing longer documents to be handled without imposing the most restrictive configuration on the entire corpus.

Document-preserving translation Each source document is translated either in a single pass or through contextualized chunking. Documents that fit within the effective source budget of their assigned bucket are translated directly. Longer documents are first segmented into sentences and then greedily packed into source chunks up to a fixed token budget. If an individual sentence exceeds the chunk budget, we split it at the token level as a fallback. This ensures that every source document can be translated while preserving the original document identity.

For chunked documents, chunks are translated sequentially. To improve discourse continuity, terminology consistency, and local coherence across chunk boundaries, the prompt for chunk t includes a truncated window of the German translation produced for chunk $t - 1$. The model is instructed to use this previous translation only as context and to output only the translation of the current source chunk. The final German document is obtained by concatenating translated chunks in their original order. This design makes a trade-off between scalability and document coherence. Full-document translation is preferred whenever possible, but contextualized chunking allows us to translate documents that exceed the practical context window while still exposing the model to local context from the preceding chunk.

Dynamic target-side budgeting English-to-German translation can change document length depending on genre, domain, and formatting. We therefore do not use a fixed generation cap for all documents. Instead, the maximum target-side generation length is derived from the source chunk length and capped by a global maximum. Formally, for a source chunk of length ℓ_{src} , we set the target budget to $\ell_{\text{tgt}}^{\text{max}} = \min(L_{\text{max}}, \lceil \alpha \ell_{\text{src}} + \beta \rceil)$, where L_{max} is the maximum generation budget and α, β are chosen to allow moderate target-side expansion. This avoids over-allocating decoding budget to short chunks while reducing truncation risk for long or expansion-heavy documents.

Scalable shard-wise execution Translation is performed shard-wise. Multiple workers process disjoint subsets of the source corpus and write translated records incrementally. Workers preserve the original shard and document identifiers, which makes translated outputs traceable to the source corpus and simplifies resumption after interruption.

To make the pipeline robust to transient failures, workers write intermediate attempt files and finalize a shard only after all records in that shard have been processed. When a run is interrupted, processing resumes from the last completed record rather than restarting the shard. Translation requests are distributed across a pool of model endpoints. Workers monitor endpoint availability and requeue unfinished documents when an endpoint becomes unavailable. These engineering choices do not affect the dataset definition, but they are important for reproducibility at corpus scale. We motivate the selected translation model, precision, and speculative-decoding configuration in App. A.1 and give the concrete translation, prompt, and serving settings in App. A.2.

Pilot subset for translation-quality estimation Full-corpus reference-free quality estimation is computationally expensive. We therefore first construct a pilot subset for quality analysis and proxy-model training. The pilot subset is sampled in a stratified manner over source clusters. Within each cluster, documents are ranked by a stable hash of their document identifier, and the top-ranked documents are selected until the cluster-specific quota is reached. This produces a reproducible sample while preserving the mixture structure of the source corpus. The pilot subset is translated with the same pipeline as the full corpus. We then score the resulting source-translation pairs with COMETKiwi [33], a reference-free quality-estimation model. We use these scores as corpus-level diagnostic signals rather than as a substitute for downstream training evaluation. In particular, COMETKiwi is used to identify quality variation across length buckets, source clusters, and document types, and to supervise a cheaper proxy model for full-corpus scoring.

Proxy-based quality annotation Full-corpus COMETKiwi scoring is too expensive to use as the only annotation mechanism for every translated document. We therefore train a cheap proxy annotator to predict COMETKiwi scores from the COMETKiwi-scored pilot data described above. The deployed proxy is a gradient-boosted regression model with a target-only feature set: it reads only the translated German document and inexpensive metadata derived from that text. This deployment choice is central to the system design. Because the proxy does not need the original English source document, it can score released German translated shards directly and avoids a costly source-rehydration pass over the full corpus.

After translation, we run GlotLID [21] on each German target document to obtain language-identification signals for the proxy. GlotLID is loaded as a fastText model and applied to the translated text after replacing newlines with spaces. We restrict scoring to a fixed set of common language/script labels and compute normalized probabilities over that set. From this pass, we derive whether the top label is German Latin (`deu_Latn`), the normalized German-Latin probability, a clipped logit transform of that probability, the top-1/top-2 probability margin, and the script extracted from the top predicted label. These GlotLID-derived signals are combined with cheap target-side text-shape features: length, lexical diversity, token repetition, average token length, and character-composition ratios.

Tab. 5 summarizes the deployed feature set. The GlotLID features capture wrong-language output and low-confidence German predictions; script, length, and character-composition features capture abnormal text shape, formatting artifacts, and suspicious character mixtures; and lexical-diversity and repetition features capture degenerate or repetitive generations. The proxy cannot directly measure semantic adequacy, because it does not see the English source. Its role is therefore not to replace source-aware evaluation or human inspection, but to provide a scalable corpus-level quality signal that is validated against COMETKiwi and targets practical failure modes that matter at release scale.

We validate the proxy on a disjoint 18,275-document split scored with COMETKiwi; full agreement metrics are reported in Tab. 6. The target-only proxy shows strong agreement with COMETKiwi and low absolute error, making it suitable as a scalable ranking and filtering signal rather than as a replacement for source-aware quality evaluation. During development, source-aware variants did not improve validation agreement enough to justify rehydrating the English source text for full-corpus scoring. We therefore deploy the target-only model as the full-corpus annotator.

Fig. 3c uses the proxy scores as a cluster-level diagnostic over the inherited source clusters. For each fixed source-cluster identifier, we compare the 12B-token training subset and the full translated corpus by plotting the 10th–90th percentile interval, the 25th–75th percentile interval, and the median




Figure 2: Proxy-score distribution and filtering thresholds used to construct the three 12B-token filtering ablations. The histogram shows the full translated-corpus proxy-score distribution on a log-count axis. Dashed vertical lines mark the retained-document thresholds at 0.50, 0.55, and 0.60; colored regions indicate which documents are removed or retained by progressively stricter filters.

target-only proxy score. The panel is not a thresholding plot; its purpose is to show whether proxy-estimated translation quality is broadly consistent across source clusters and whether the fixed-budget subset preserves the full-corpus quality profile.

Proxy-filtered dataset variants The primary release of KletterMix is the unfiltered translated corpus, excluding only records that fail basic validity checks such as empty output, missing metadata, or severe language-identification failure. In addition, we construct three proxy-filtered 12B-token training splits by retaining only translated documents whose predicted COMETKiwi proxy score exceeds a fixed threshold: $\hat{q}_{\text{proxy}} \geq 0.50$, $\hat{q}_{\text{proxy}} \geq 0.55$ and $\hat{q}_{\text{proxy}} \geq 0.60$. These thresholds are not intended as universal quality cutoffs. They define filtering ablations: under a fixed 12B-token training budget, we ask whether removing progressively more low-scoring translated text improves optimization behavior, held-out validation loss, or downstream benchmark performance. Unless otherwise stated, corpus-level analyses refer to the unfiltered translated corpus, while training experiments compare two external German-data baselines, FineWeb2-DE and GermanWeb, against unfiltered KletterMix and the three threshold-filtered KletterMix splits. Fig. 2 visualizes the full proxy-score distribution and marks the three retained-document thresholds used for these ablations.

Because KletterMix is constructed by translating an existing English mixture while preserving document boundaries and metadata, the resulting German corpus can be characterized along the same structural axes as its source. We therefore describe the release in terms of document-length distributions, length-bucket consistency, and cluster-level proxy-score patterns across both the 12B-token subset and the full corpus. These corpus-level views serve as documentation and quality-control signals: they show whether the translated data preserves the expected length profile of a high-quality pretraining mixture, reveal unusually short translations in long-document buckets, and highlight source clusters that may warrant closer audit. The proxy thresholds above define the optional filtered variants, while the analyses below characterize the structure and quality profile of the released corpus. App. B gives the cluster-labeling procedure and qualitative examples used for audit.

4 Translation Insights

Fig. 3 summarizes these corpus-level diagnostics for the full KletterMix release and the 12B-token training subset. The two length-based panels inspect global target-document lengths and bucket-level length consistency, while the cluster-level proxy-score panel checks whether fixed-budget sampling preserves the full-corpus quality profile across inherited source clusters.

Fig. 3a shows the overall document-token distribution. The corpus follows a heavy-tailed length profile: most translated documents are relatively short, while a smaller number of documents extend into the long-context regime. This structure is typical of heterogeneous web and pretraining mixtures and motivates the length-aware translation pipeline described in Sec. 3.





Figure 3: Corpus diagnostics for full KletterMix and the 12B subset. The length plots summarize global target-document lengths and bucket-level consistency, while the proxy-score comparison checks whether fixed-budget sampling preserves the quality profile across inherited source clusters.

Fig. 3b provides a more fine-grained view by comparing translated document lengths within each canonical source-length bucket. Because token counts are tokenizer-dependent and can vary across languages, we do not expect a fixed one-to-one correspondence between English source length and German target length. Nevertheless, English-to-German translation often leads to surface-length expansion, and German may require more subword tokens under a given tokenizer due to language-specific morphology and tokenizer fertility effects [1, 34]. Thus, documents assigned to longer source buckets should generally also produce relatively long German translations, up to expected variation from tokenization, genre, and translation style.

The violin plot shows that this is usually the case, but it also reveals long lower tails: some documents in long-context buckets yield translated outputs much shorter than expected. These cases are suspicious because the source document was long enough to require a larger context bucket, yet the resulting German document is comparatively short, which may indicate truncation, dropped content, or other translation failures. We treat these lower tails as corpus diagnostics and audit signals rather than as the definition of the filtered training splits; the current filtering ablations use only the target-only proxy score thresholds described in the proxy-filtered variants introduced in Sec. 3.

Finally, Fig. 3c compares cluster-level proxy-score quantiles for the 12B-token training subset and the full translated release. Across clusters, the panel shows the 10th–90th percentile range, the 25th–75th percentile range, and the median target-only proxy score, making it a corpus diagnostic rather than a filtering-threshold figure. The comparison checks whether the fixed-budget subset used in training ablations preserves the release’s cluster-level quality profile and highlights clusters whose proxy-score distributions warrant closer audit.

Overall, these analyses suggest that KletterMix preserves a diverse and heavy-tailed pretraining mixture while also exposing document-level and cluster-level quality-control signals. In particular, unusually short translations for long-bucket source documents and cluster-specific proxy-score variation motivate continued corpus auditing, while the controlled filtering experiments in Sec. 5 isolate the effect of target-only proxy-score thresholds.



(a) Pretraining dynamics for Qwen3-0.6B trained on matched 12B-token German subsets. KletterMix exhibits lower training loss under the matched recipe.

(b) Annealing dynamics for Qwen3-0.6B trained for 5100 steps on FineWeb2-DE and then annealed on GermanWeb or KletterMix.

Figure 4: Training and annealing dynamics on matched 12B-token German subsets. Across both training regimes, KletterMix attains lower loss than existing German corpora, suggesting more favorable optimization dynamics. Benchmark performance remains the primary criterion for evaluating utility.

5 Training Ablations

We evaluate whether KletterMix improves model training relative to established German pretraining data. While the previous sections analyze the translated corpus directly, this section tests its usefulness under controlled model, compute, and token-budget conditions. We first compare German corpora through matched 0.6B-parameter pretraining and corpus-choice annealing, and then vary the KletterMix fraction in a 7B-parameter annealing mixture.

Matched 0.6B setup. We conduct controlled pretraining ablations using Qwen3-0.6B [44] as the base architecture and follow a Megatron-LM training recipe [36]. Each run is trained on a matched German 12B-token subset, corresponding to ~ 20 tokens per parameter for a 0.6B-parameter model, following the Chinchilla scaling recommendation [20]. We compare KletterMix against FineWeb2-DE [30] and GermanWeb [6] under matched conditions, including model architecture, optimizer, batch size, learning-rate schedule, tokenizer, token budget, and validation protocol (App. C.1).

For each corpus, we construct the training subset with a deterministic, token-budgeted stratified sampler. The sampler estimates document-level token counts, preserves the main structure of each source corpus through corpus-specific strata, and selects documents by hashing stable document identifiers until the target token budget is reached. For KletterMix, the strata follow the inherited source-cluster and context-length structure; for FineWeb2-DE and GermanWeb, they follow the available source and crawl metadata. Validation is performed on separate held-out splits produced with the same sampling procedure but disjoint selection windows, ensuring that validation documents are not included in the corresponding training subsets. We track training loss throughout optimization and evaluate validation loss and perplexity at regular intervals. In addition to the unfiltered version of KletterMix, we evaluate proxy-filtered variants constructed using the quality model described in Sec. 3.

0.6B training dynamics. Fig. 4a shows training for models trained on 12B-token subsets. Across the full training run, KletterMix reaches lower training loss than FineWeb2-DE and GermanWeb under the same optimization setup. More importantly, the advantage also appears on the held-out validation set (see Fig. 7b): the KletterMix model maintains consistently lower validation loss throughout training. This suggests that the translated corpus is not merely easier to fit, but provides a training signal that transfers to held-out German text. The validation gap is visible early in training and persists through the final checkpoints. This behavior indicates that KletterMix improves sample efficiency under a fixed token budget. We report validation perplexity and accuracy in App. C.2.

Benchmark evaluation. Tab. 1 reports downstream benchmark performance for the matched 0.6B German training experiments. We evaluate all checkpoints with lm-eval-harness [16] using 5-shot accuracy. The German suite uses the German or multilingual task configurations corresponding to MMLU [19, 37], PIQA [5, 7], HellaSwag [45, 40], and ARC-Challenge [8, 40]. The 7B experiment below uses the same German task configurations and additionally evaluates the corresponding original English tasks. We report evaluation-set standard errors next to each accuracy.

Table 1: Downstream 5-shot accuracy on German evaluations under matched 12B-token training conditions. Bold and underline mark the best and second-best point estimates within each metric column across the full table; ties are marked identically. Rows under FineWeb2-DE annealing continue from the same FineWeb2-DE checkpoint. Details on score calculations are in App. A.5

Run	MMLU	PIQA	HellaSwag	ARC-C	Core Avg.
<i>Independent pretraining</i>					
GermanWeb	<u>30.0</u> ± 2.3	63.0 ± 4.9	31.2 ± 0.5	23.1 ± 1.2	36.8 ± 1.4
FineWeb2-DE	28.7 ± 2.3	<u>70.0</u> ± 4.6	31.5 ± 0.5	23.0 ± 1.2	38.3 ± 1.3
<i>FineWeb2-DE annealing</i>					
→ GermanWeb	<u>30.0</u> ± 2.3	65.0 ± 4.8	32.2 ± 0.5	23.1 ± 1.2	37.6 ± 1.4
→ KletterMix	29.0 ± 2.3	<u>69.0</u> ± 4.6	34.2 ± 0.5	25.2 ± 1.3	<u>39.4</u> ± 1.3
<i>KletterMix pretraining variants</i>					
KletterMix	<u>29.0 ± 2.3</u>	65.0 ± 4.8	<u>34.4 ± 0.5</u>	<u>26.5 ± 1.3</u>	38.7 ± 1.4
KletterMix-Filt _{0.60}	28.5 ± 2.3	<u>70.0</u> ± 4.6	<u>34.6</u> ± 0.5	<u>27.5</u> ± 1.3	<u>40.2</u> ± 1.3

We use the four-task *Core Avg.* as our main German aggregate, because the four tasks cover complementary axes of reasoning transfer rather than a single narrow skill. MMLU probes broad multitask knowledge and problem solving across academic and professional subjects [19, 37]. PIQA targets physical commonsense reasoning, testing whether a model can choose plausible actions involving everyday objects and affordances [7, 5]. HellaSwag evaluates grounded commonsense inference by asking the model to select the plausible continuation of an everyday scenario, with distractors that are fluent but semantically implausible [45, 40]. ARC-Challenge evaluates hard science-style question answering, where models must combine facts rather than only match surface patterns [8, 40]. Together, these tasks provide four complementary probes: broad stored knowledge, physical affordance reasoning, event-level plausibility, and science-style compositional inference.

Under this aggregate, the point estimates place every KletterMix-family row above the external baselines. The weakest KletterMix family result, unfiltered KletterMix at 38.7, is slightly above FineWeb2-DE at 38.3, while the validation-selected filtered split reaches the best overall point estimate of 40.2. Because PIQA is evaluated on a small 100-example subset, the Core Avg. standard errors are comparatively wide, so we interpret small aggregate gaps cautiously. The more stable signal is the recurring task-level pattern: KletterMix is consistently strongest on HellaSwag and ARC-C, suggesting that its main benefit lies in grounded event continuation and compositional science-style reasoning rather than uniform gains on every benchmark.

0.6B corpus-choice annealing. Fig. 4b and Tab. 1 isolate a different question from the independent pretraining ablations: once a model has already learned from FineWeb2-DE, which corpus provides the better final direction? Annealing FineWeb2-DE on GermanWeb raises MMLU to 30.0 and slightly improves HellaSwag, but it leaves ARC-C essentially unchanged and reduces PIQA, yielding a Core Avg. of 37.6. Annealing the same FineWeb2-DE checkpoint on KletterMix instead yields 39.4, improving over the FineWeb2-DE source checkpoint by +1.1 points and over the GermanWeb annealing branch by +1.8 points. The gain is concentrated where we expect a high-quality translated mixture to matter most: HellaSwag rises from 31.5 to 34.2, and ARC-C from 23.0 to 25.2, while PIQA remains close to the FineWeb2-DE baseline within its larger evaluation uncertainty. This makes the annealing result a sharper control than the independent pretraining comparison: it suggests that KletterMix is not merely a better initialization corpus, but a useful late-stage sharpening corpus for event coherence and science-style reasoning.

7B language-mixture annealing. To test whether the result extends beyond the 0.6B recipe, we independently anneal the OLMo 3 7B Stage 1 checkpoint [29] for a matched budget of ~ 12 B tokens. Five runs start from the same pretrained weights, initialize fresh optimizer and scheduler states, and use the same optimization schedule. The only intended intervention is the data mixture: KletterMix supplies 0%, 5%, 10%, 15%, or 20% of the tokens, with ClimbMix supplying the remainder. For the German component, we begin with the previously defined proxy-filtered pool ($\hat{q}_{\text{proxy}} \geq 0.60$) and prioritize its highest-scoring documents; this procedure yields a proxy-score range of 0.70–0.90. We evaluate each checkpoint on the same four German tasks as above and on their English counterparts. Full model, optimization, mixture-construction, and evaluation details are given in App. C.3.




Figure 5: Aggregate benchmark scores for the OLMo 3 7B Stage 1 checkpoint after ~ 12 B tokens of annealing. The dark horizontal lines and gray bands show the unannealed reference and its standard error; colored points show matched annealing runs containing 0% to 20% KletterMix with their standard error. The German and English means are unweighted averages over four tasks each, and the eight-task mean averages all eight tasks. Error bars show standard error.

Fig. 5 shows a monotonic increase in the German aggregate point estimates as the KletterMix share grows. The matched 0% KletterMix control reaches 45.4%, compared with 47.9%, 48.5%, 48.9%, and 49.9% for the 5%, 10%, 15%, and 20% mixtures, respectively. Thus, replacing 20% of the ClimbMix-only annealing data with KletterMix improves the German four-task mean by 4.5 percentage points. Over the same comparison, the English mean changes from 66.3% to 66.6% (+0.3 points). The unannealed Stage 1 checkpoint is shown as a separate reference; the controlled language-mixture effect is the comparison between the 0% KletterMix control and the 5–20% KletterMix mixtures.

6 Conclusion

Discussion. Across matched 0.6B pretraining, corpus-choice annealing, and 7B language-mixture annealing, the results support the central premise of KletterMix: carefully translated and documented data can transfer more than German surface form; it can transfer useful mixture structure. The Core Avg. over MMLU, PIQA, HellaSwag, and ARC-C gives the KletterMix family the strongest point estimates under our matched recipe, while the attached evaluation-set standard errors make clear that small aggregate gaps should not be overread. The pattern is not a uniform sweep over all tasks, and that is the point. GermanWeb remains strongest on MMLU, and FineWeb2-DE remains highly competitive on PIQA, but KletterMix is strongest where coherent document structure and dense explanatory content should matter most: HellaSwag and ARC-C. We therefore interpret the result as evidence for *reasoning transfer through data curation*, not simply as evidence that translated text dominates native German web text.

The two annealing experiments strengthen this interpretation in complementary ways. At 0.6B parameters, continuing the same FineWeb2-DE checkpoint on KletterMix gives a better Core Avg. than continuing it on GermanWeb, with gains concentrated on HellaSwag and ARC-C. At 7B parameters, increasing the KletterMix share from 0% to 20% within a fixed 12B-token annealing budget yields a graded increase in the German aggregate point estimates, while the English aggregate remains nearly unchanged. The first comparison asks which German corpus is the better final steering signal; the second isolates the amount of KletterMix in a larger pretrained model’s annealing mixture. Together, they suggest that KletterMix is useful not only as an initialization corpus but also as a late-stage language-adaptation component. The filtering results add a further qualification: proxy scores are useful for ranking data under a fixed budget, but not as a universal quality law, since MMLU does not improve monotonically with stricter filtering.

Limitations. Despite these positive results, KletterMix has several limitations. Because the corpus is derived from a mixture of English sources, it may inherit the topical, cultural, geographic, stylistic,

and licensing biases of those sources, even after translation into German. Machine translation can also introduce translationese, semantic drift, unnatural German style, inconsistent terminology, or failures in long, highly specialized documents. Our COMETKiwi-based and proxy-based quality estimates provide scalable diagnostics, but they are not substitutes for human evaluation, downstream task evaluation, or careful inspection of problematic domains. The model-based evidence spans 0.6B and 7B parameters, however, each condition has one training run, both regimes use an $\sim 12\text{B}$ -token budget, the 7B experiment uses a single starting checkpoint and a quality-ranked KletterMix subset; future work should test larger models, additional random seeds, and broader downstream benchmark suites.

Evaluative role. KletterMix is intended as both a German pretraining corpus and an aligned resource for evaluating translation-based data curation. Because each German document preserves the source document identifier, metadata, source cluster, and length bucket, the corpus supports controlled studies of: (i) whether a high-quality English mixture can be transferred to German under fixed token and compute budgets; (ii) how translation quality varies by document length, source cluster, and text type; and (iii) how proxy-based filtering affects German language-model training. KletterMix should not be interpreted as a replacement for native German data, as evidence that translated data is culturally representative of German-language text, or as a guarantee that proxy scores measure semantic adequacy for individual documents.

Future Work. Future work should extend this approach along several dimensions. First, stronger filtering could target translation failures not fully captured by length or language-identification diagnostics, such as URL-only documents, boilerplate, duplicated content, or subtle semantic drift. Second, manual audits and benchmark evaluations can better characterize naturalness, factual preservation, and downstream utility across domains. Third, the same document-preserving translation pipeline could be applied to other languages such as French, Italian, and Spanish, enabling systematic comparisons of when translated pretraining mixtures complement native-language web corpora. Overall, KletterMix suggests that careful translation, corpus documentation, and empirical validation can be a practical path toward stronger non-English pretraining data, provided that the limitations of translated corpora are made explicit and addressed throughout the release process.

7 Acknowledgments

This work benefited from the support of the German Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy (BMWE) through EU-SAI: Souveräne KI für Europa (grant number 13IPC040G), and the BMFTR project XEI (FKZ 16IS24079B). Additionally, the work was funded by the Federal Ministry of Research, Technology & Space Germany (BMFTR) and the state of North Rhine-Westphalia as part of the Lamarr Institute for Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence (LAMARR22B), as well as by the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement No. 101135671 (TrustLLM). Additional funding was provided by the Aleph Alpha Collaboration Lab1141. Furthermore, we gratefully acknowledge support from the hessian.AI Service Center (funded by the Federal Ministry of Research, Technology and Space, BMFTR, grant no. 16IS22091) and the hessian.AI Innovation Lab (funded by the Hessian Ministry for Digital Strategy and Innovation, grant no. S-DIW04/0013/003).

References

- [1] Orevaghene Ahia, Sachin Kumar, Hila Gonen, Jungo Kasai, David R. Mortensen, Noah A. Smith, and Yulia Tsvetkov. Do all languages cost the same? tokenization in the era of commercial language models. In Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali, editors, *Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2023, Singapore, December 6-10, 2023*, pages 9904–9923. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2023.
- [2] Mehdi Ali, Michael Fromm, Klaudia Thellmann, Jan Ebert, Alexander Arno Weber, Richard Rutmann, Charvi Jain, Max Lübbering, Daniel Steinigen, Johannes Leveling, Katrin Klug, Jasper Schulze Buschhoff, Lena Jurkschat, Hammam Abdelwahab, Benny Jörg Stein, Karl-Heinz Sylla, Pavel Denisov, Nicolo’ Brandizzi, Qasid Saleem, Anirban Bhowmick, Lennard Helmer, Chelsea John, Pedro Ortiz Suarez, Malte Ostendorff, Alex Jude, Lalith Manjunath, Samuel Weinbach, Carolin Penke, Oleg Filatov, Fabio Barth, Paramita Mirza, Lucas Weber, Ines Wendler, Rafet Sifa, Fabian Küch, Andreas Hertel, René Jäkel, Georg Rehm, Stefan

- Kesselheim, Joachim Köhler, and Nicolas Flores-Herr. Teuken-7b-base & teuken-7b-instruct: Towards european llms. In Inês Lynce, Nello Murano, Mauro Vallati, Serena Villata, Federico Chesani, Michela Milano, Andrea Omicini, and Mehdi Dastani, editors, *ECAI 2025 - 28th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 25-30 October 2025, Bologna, Italy - Including 14th Conference on Prestigious Applications of Intelligent Systems (PAIS 2025)*, Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applications, pages 4321–4329. IOS Press, 2025.
- [3] Eleftherios Avramidis, Annika Grützner-Zahn, Manuel Brack, Patrick Schramowski, Pedro Ortiz Suarez, Malte Ostendorff, Fabio Barth, Shushen Manakhimova, Vivien Macketanz, Georg Rehm, and Kristian Kersting. Occiglot at WMT24: european open-source large language models evaluated on translation. In Barry Haddow, Tom Kocmi, Philipp Koehn, and Christof Monz, editors, *Proceedings of the Ninth Conference on Machine Translation, WMT 2024, Miami, FL, USA, November 15-16, 2024*, pages 292–298. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2024.
- [4] Emily M. Bender and Batya Friedman. Data statements for natural language processing: Toward mitigating system bias and enabling better science. *Trans. Assoc. Comput. Linguistics*, 6:587–604, 2018.
- [5] Yonatan Bisk, Rowan Zellers, Jianfeng Gao, Yejin Choi, et al. Piqa: Reasoning about physical commonsense in natural language. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, volume 34, pages 7432–7439, 2020.
- [6] Thomas F. Burns, Letitia Parcalabescu, Stephan Wäldchen, Michael Barlow, Gregor Ziegler, Volker Stampa, Bastian Harren, and Björn Deiseroth. Aleph-alpha-germanweb: Improving german-language LLM pre-training with model-based data curation and synthetic data generation. In Vera Demberg, Kentaro Inui, and Lluís Marquez, editors, *Proceedings of the 19th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics, EACL 2026 - Volume 1: Long Papers, Rabat, Morocco, March 24-29, 2026*, pages 1267–1283. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2026.
- [7] Tyler A. Chang, Catherine Arnett, Abdelrahman Eldesokey, Abdelrahman Sadallah, Abeer Kashar, Abolade Daud, Abosede Grace Olanihun, Adamu Labaran Mohammed, Adeyemi Praise, Adhikarinayum Meerajita Sharma, Aditi Gupta, Afitab Iyigun, Afonso Simplício, Ahmed Essouaied, Aicha Chorana, Akhil Eppa, Akintunde Oladipo, Akshay Ramesh, Aleksei Dorkin, Alfred Malengo Kondoro, Alham Fikri Aji, Ali Eren Çetintaş, Allan Hanbury, Alou Dembele, Alp Niksarli, Álvaro Arroyo, Amin Bajand, Amol Khanna, Ana Chkhaidze, Ana Condez, Andiswa Mkhonto, Andrew Hoblitzell, Andrew Tran, Angelos Poulis, Anirban Majumder, Anna Vacalopoulou, Annette Kuuipolani Kanahale Wong, Annika Simonsen, Anton Kovalev, Ashvanth. S, Ayodeji Joseph Lana, Barkin Kinay, Bashar Alhafni, Benedict Cibalinda Busole, Bernard Ghanem, Bharti Nathani, Biljana Stojanovska Đurić, Bola Agbonile, Bragi Bergsson, Bruce Torres Fischer, Burak Tutar, Burcu Alakuş Çınar, Cade J. Kanoniakapueo Kane, Can Udomcharoenchaikit, Catherine Arnett, Chadi Helwe, Chaithra Reddy Nerella, Chen Cecilia Liu, Chiamaka Glory Nwokolo, Cristina España-Bonet, Cynthia Amol, DaeYeop Lee, Dana Arad, Daniil Dzenhaliou, Daria Pugacheva, Dasol Choi, Daud Abolade, David Liu, David Semedo, Deborah Popoola, Deividas Mataciunas, Delphine Nyaboke, Dhyuthy Krishna Kumar, Diogo Glória-Silva, Diogo Tavares, Divyanshu Goyal, DongGeon Lee, Ebele Nwamaka Anajemba, Egonu Ngozi Grace, Elena Mickel, Elena Tutubalina, Elias Herranen, Emile Anand, Emmanuel Habumuremyi, Emuobonuvie Maria Ajiboye, Eryawan Presma Yulianrifat, Esther Adenuga, Ewa Rudnicka, Faith Olabisi Itiola, Faran Taimoor Butt, Fathima Thekkekkara, Fatima Haouari, Filbert Aurelian Tjiaranata, Firas Laakom, and Francesca Grasso et al. Global piqa: Evaluating physical commonsense reasoning across 100+ languages and cultures, 2025. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2510.24081>.
- [8] Peter Clark, Isaac Cowhey, Oren Etzioni, Tushar Khot, Ashish Sabharwal, Carissa Schoenick, and Oyvind Tafjord. Think you have solved question answering? try arc, the ai2 reasoning challenge, 2018. arXiv:1803.05457.
- [9] Amin Dada, Aokun Chen, Cheng Peng, Kaleb E. Smith, Ahmad Idrissi-Yaghir, Constantin Seibold, Jianning Li, Lars Heiliger, Christoph M. Friedrich, Daniel Truhn, Jan Egger, Jiang Bian, Jens Kleesiek, and Yonghui Wu. On the impact of cross-domain data on german language models. In Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali, editors, *Findings of the Association*

for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2023, Singapore, December 6-10, 2023, Findings of ACL, pages 13801–13813. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2023.

- [10] Ona de Gibert, Graeme Nail, Nikolay Arefyev, Marta Bañón, Jelmer van der Linde, Shaoxiong Ji, Jaume Zaragoza-Bernabeu, Mikko Aulamo, Gema Ramírez-Sánchez, Andrey Kutuzov, Sampo Pyysalo, Stephan Oepen, and Jörg Tiedemann. A new massive multilingual dataset for high-performance language technologies. In Nicoletta Calzolari, Min-Yen Kan, Véronique Hoste, Alessandro Lenci, Sakriani Sakti, and Nianwen Xue, editors, *Proceedings of the 2024 Joint International Conference on Computational Linguistics, Language Resources and Evaluation, LREC/COLING 2024, 20-25 May, 2024, Torino, Italy*, pages 1116–1128. ELRA and ICCL, 2024.
- [11] Daniel Deutsch, Eleftheria Briakou, Isaac Rayburn Caswell, Mara Finkelstein, Rebecca Galor, Juraj Juraska, Geza Kovacs, Alison Lui, Ricardo Rei, Jason Riesa, Shruti Rijhwani, Parker Riley, Elizabeth Salesky, Firas Trabelsi, Stephanie Winkler, Biao Zhang, and Markus Freitag. WMT24++: Expanding the language coverage of WMT24 to 55 languages & dialects. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2025*, 2025.
- [12] Shizhe Diao, Yu Yang, Yonggan Fu, Xin Dong, Dan Su, Markus Kliegl, Zijia Chen, Peter Belcak, Yoshi Suhara, Hongxu Yin, Mostofa Patwary, Yingyan, Lin, Jan Kautz, and Pavlo Molchanov. Nemotron-climb: Clustering-based iterative data mixture bootstrapping for language model pre-training, 2025. arXiv:2504.13161.
- [13] Jesse Dodge, Maarten Sap, Ana Marasovic, William Agnew, Gabriel Ilharco, Dirk Groeneveld, Margaret Mitchell, and Matt Gardner. Documenting large webtext corpora: A case study on the colossal clean crawled corpus. In Marie-Francine Moens, Xuanjing Huang, Lucia Specia, and Scott Wen-tau Yih, editors, *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2021, Virtual Event / Punta Cana, Dominican Republic, 7-11 November, 2021*, pages 1286–1305. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2021.
- [14] Meet Doshi, Raj Dabre, and Pushpak Bhattacharyya. Pretraining language models using translationese. In Yaser Al-Onaizan, Mohit Bansal, and Yun-Nung Chen, editors, *Proceedings of the 2024 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2024, Miami, FL, USA, November 12-16, 2024*, pages 5843–5862. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2024.
- [15] Leo Gao, Stella Biderman, Sid Black, Laurence Golding, Travis Hoppe, Charles Foster, Jason Phang, Horace He, Anish Thite, Noa Nabeshima, Shawn Presser, and Connor Leahy. The pile: An 800gb dataset of diverse text for language modeling, 2020. arXiv:2101.00027.
- [16] Leo Gao, Jonathan Tow, Baber Abbasi, Stella Biderman, Sid Black, Anthony DiPofi, Charles Foster, Laurence Golding, Jeffrey Hsu, Alain Le Noac’h, Haonan Li, Kyle McDonell, Niklas Muennighoff, Chris Ociepa, Jason Phang, Laria Reynolds, Hailey Schoelkopf, Aviya Skowron, Lintang Sutawika, Eric Tang, Anish Thite, Ben Wang, Kevin Wang, and Andy Zou. The language model evaluation harness, 07 2024.
- [17] Timnit Gebru, Jamie Morgenstern, Briana Vecchione, Jennifer Wortman Vaughan, Hanna M. Wallach, Hal Daumé III, and Kate Crawford. Datasheets for datasets. *Commun. ACM*, 64(12): 86–92, 2021.
- [18] Lukas Gienapp, Christopher Schröder, Stefan Schweter, Christopher Akiki, Ferdinand Schlatt, Arden Zimmermann, Phillipe Genêt, and Martin Potthast. The german commons - 154 billion tokens of openly licensed text for german language models, 2025. arXiv:2510.13996.
- [19] Dan Hendrycks, Collin Burns, Steven Basart, Andy Zou, Mantas Mazeika, Dawn Song, and Jacob Steinhardt. Measuring massive multitask language understanding. In *9th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2021, Virtual Event, Austria, May 3-7, 2021*. OpenReview.net, 2021.
- [20] Jordan Hoffmann, Sebastian Borgeaud, Arthur Mensch, Elena Buchatskaya, Trevor Cai, Eliza Rutherford, Diego de Las Casas, Lisa Anne Hendricks, Johannes Welbl, Aidan Clark, Tom Hennigan, Eric Noland, Katherine Millican, George van den Driessche, Bogdan Damoc, Aurelia

- Guy, Simon Osindero, Karen Simonyan, Erich Elsen, Oriol Vinyals, Jack W. Rae, and Laurent Sifre. An empirical analysis of compute-optimal large language model training. In Sanmi Koyejo, S. Mohamed, A. Agarwal, Danielle Belgrave, K. Cho, and A. Oh, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 35: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2022, NeurIPS 2022, New Orleans, LA, USA, November 28 - December 9, 2022*, 2022.
- [21] Amir Hossein Kargaran, Ayyoob Imani, François Yvon, and Hinrich Schütze. Glotlid: Language identification for low-resource languages. In Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali, editors, *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2023, Singapore, December 6-10, 2023*, Findings of ACL, pages 6155–6218. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2023.
- [22] Julia Kreutzer, Isaac Caswell, Lisa Wang, Ahsan Wahab, Daan van Esch, Nasanbayar Ulzii-Orshikh, Allahsera Tapo, Nishant Subramani, Artem Sokolov, Claytone Sikasote, Monang Setyawan, Supheakmongkol Sarin, Sokhar Samb, Benoît Sagot, Clara Rivera, Annette Rios, Isabel Papadimitriou, Salomey Osei, Pedro Javier Ortiz Suárez, Iroro Orife, Kelechi Ogueji, Andre Niyongabo Rubungo, Toan Q. Nguyen, Mathias Müller, André Müller, Shamsuddeen Hassan Muhammad, Nanda Muhammad, Ayanda Mnyakeni, Jamshidbek Mirzakhlov, Tapiwanashe Matangira, Colin Leong, Nze Lawson, Sneha Kudugunta, Yacine Jernite, Mathias Jenny, Orhan Firat, Bonaventure F. P. Dossou, Sakhile Dlamini, Nisansa de Silva, Sakine Çabuk Balli, Stella Biderman, Alessia Battisti, Ahmed Baruwa, Ankur Bapna, Pallavi Baljekar, Israel Abebe Azime, Ayodele Awokoya, Duygu Ataman, Orevaoghene Ahia, Oghenefego Ahia, Sweta Agrawal, and Mofetoluwa Adeyemi. Quality at a glance: An audit of web-crawled multilingual datasets. *Trans. Assoc. Comput. Linguistics*, 10:50–72, 2022.
- [23] Pierre-Carl Langlais, Pavel Chizhov, Catherine Arnett, Carlos Rosas Hinostroza, Mattia Nee, Eliot Krzysztof Jones, Irène Girard, David Mach, Anastasia Stasenko, and Ivan P. Yamshchikov. Common corpus: The largest collection of ethical data for LLM pre-training. In *The Fourteenth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2026.
- [24] Hugo Laurençon, Lucile Saulnier, Thomas Wang, Christopher Akiki, Albert Villanova del Moral, Teven Le Scao, Leandro von Werra, Chenghao Mou, Eduardo González Ponferrada, Huu Nguyen, Jörg Froberg, Mario Sasko, Quentin Lhoest, Angelina McMillan-Major, Gérard Dupont, Stella Biderman, Anna Rogers, Loubna Ben Allal, Francesco De Toni, Giada Pistilli, Olivier Nguyen, Somaieh Nikpoor, Maraim Masoud, Pierre Colombo, Javier de la Rosa, Paulo Villegas, Tristan Thrush, Shayne Longpre, Sebastian Nagel, Leon Weber, Manuel Muñoz, Jian Zhu, Daniel van Strien, Zaid Alyafeai, Khalid Almubarak, Minh Chien Vu, Itziar Gonzalez-Dios, Aitor Soroa, Kyle Lo, Manan Dey, Pedro Ortiz Suarez, Aaron Gokaslan, Shamik Bose, David Ifeoluwa Adelani, Long Phan, Hieu Tran, Ian Yu, Suhas Pai, Jenny Chim, Violette Lepercq, Suzana Ilic, Margaret Mitchell, Alexandra Sasha Luccioni, and Yacine Jernite. The bigscience ROOTS corpus: A 1.6tb composite multilingual dataset. In Sanmi Koyejo, S. Mohamed, A. Agarwal, Danielle Belgrave, K. Cho, and A. Oh, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 35: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2022, NeurIPS 2022, New Orleans, LA, USA, November 28 - December 9, 2022*, 2022.
- [25] Jeffrey Li, Alex Fang, Georgios Smyrnis, Maor Ivgi, Matt Jordan, Samir Yitzhak Gadre, Hritik Bansal, Etash Kumar Guha, Sedrick Scott Keh, Kushal Arora, Saurabh Garg, Rui Xin, Niklas Muennighoff, Reinhard Heckel, Jean Mercat, Mayee F. Chen, Suchin Gururangan, Mitchell Wortsman, Alon Albalak, Yonatan Bitton, Marianna Nezhurina, Amro Abbas, Cheng-Yu Hsieh, Dhruba Ghosh, Josh Gardner, Maciej Kilian, Hanlin Zhang, Rulin Shao, Sarah M. Pratt, Sunny Sanyal, Gabriel Ilharco, Giannis Daras, Kalyani Marathe, Aaron Gokaslan, Jieyu Zhang, Khyathi Raghavi Chandu, Thao Nguyen, Igor Vasiljevic, Sham M. Kakade, Shuran Song, Sujay Sanghavi, Fartash Faghri, Sewoong Oh, Luke Zettlemoyer, Kyle Lo, Alaaeldin El-Nouby, Hadi Pouransari, Alexander Toshev, Stephanie Wang, Dirk Groeneveld, Luca Soldaini, Pang Wei Koh, Jenia Jitsev, Thomas Kollar, Alex Dimakis, Yair Carmon, Achal Dave, Ludwig Schmidt, and Vaishal Shankar. Datacomp-lm: In search of the next generation of training sets for language models. In Amir Globersons, Lester Mackey, Danielle Belgrave, Angela Fan, Ulrich Paquet, Jakub M. Tomczak, and Cheng Zhang, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 38: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2024, NeurIPS 2024, Vancouver, BC, Canada, December 10 - 15, 2024*, 2024.

- [26] Pedro Henrique Martins, Patrick Fernandes, João Alves, Nuno M. Guerreiro, Ricardo Rei, Duarte M. Alves, José Pombal, Amin Farajian, Manuel Faysse, Mateusz Klimaszewski, Pierre Colombo, Barry Haddow, José G. C. de Souza, Alexandra Birch, and André F. T. Martins. EuroLLM: Multilingual language models for europe, 2024. arXiv:2409.16235.
- [27] Thuat Nguyen, Chien Van Nguyen, Viet Dac Lai, Hieu Man, Nghia Trung Ngo, Franck Dernoncourt, Ryan A. Rossi, and Thien Huu Nguyen. CulturaX: A cleaned, enormous, and multilingual dataset for large language models in 167 languages. In Nicoletta Calzolari, Min-Yen Kan, Véronique Hoste, Alessandro Lenci, Sakriani Sakti, and Nianwen Xue, editors, *Proceedings of the 2024 Joint International Conference on Computational Linguistics, Language Resources and Evaluation, LREC/COLING 2024, 20-25 May, 2024, Torino, Italy*, pages 4226–4237. ELRA and ICCL, 2024.
- [28] Stephan Oepen, Nikolay Arefev, Mikko Aulamo, Marta Bañón, Maja Buljan, Laurie Burchell, Lucas Charpentier, Pinzhen Chen, Mariya Fedorova, Ona de Gibert, et al. Hplt 3.0: Very large-scale multilingual resources for llm and mt. mono-and bi-lingual data, multilingual evaluation, and pre-trained models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2511.01066*, 2025.
- [29] Team Olmo, :, Allyson Ettinger, Amanda Bertsch, Bailey Kuehl, David Graham, David Heine-man, Dirk Groeneveld, Faeze Brahman, Finbarr Timbers, Hamish Ivison, Jacob Morrison, Jake Poznanski, Kyle Lo, Luca Soldaini, Matt Jordan, Mayee Chen, Michael Noukhovitch, Nathan Lambert, Pete Walsh, Pradeep Dasigi, Robert Berry, Saumya Malik, Saurabh Shah, Scott Geng, Shane Arora, Shashank Gupta, Taira Anderson, Teng Xiao, Tyler Murray, Tyler Romero, Victoria Graf, Akari Asai, Akshita Bhagia, Alexander Wettig, Alisa Liu, Aman Rangapur, Chloe Anastasiades, Costa Huang, Dustin Schwenk, Harsh Trivedi, Ian Magnusson, Jaron Lochner, Jiacheng Liu, Lester James V. Miranda, Maarten Sap, Malia Morgan, Michael Schmitz, Michal Guerquin, Michael Wilson, Regan Huff, Ronan Le Bras, Rui Xin, Rulin Shao, Sam Skjonsberg, Shannon Zejiang Shen, Shuyue Stella Li, Tucker Wilde, Valentina Pyatkin, Will Merrill, Yapei Chang, Yuling Gu, Zhiyuan Zeng, Ashish Sabharwal, Luke Zettlemoyer, Pang Wei Koh, Ali Farhadi, Noah A. Smith, and Hannaneh Hajishirzi. Olmo 3, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2512.13961>.
- [30] Guilherme Penedo, Hynek Kydlíček, Vinko Sabolčec, Bettina Messmer, Negar Foroutan, Amir Hossein Kargaran, Colin Raffel, Martin Jaggi, Leandro Von Werra, and Thomas Wolf. FineWeb2: One pipeline to scale them all — adapting pre-training data processing to every language. In *Second Conference on Language Modeling*, 2025.
- [31] Jan Pfister, Julia Wunderle, and Andreas Hotho. Llämmlein: Transparent, compact and competitive german-only language models from scratch. In Wanxiang Che, Joyce Nabende, Ekaterina Shutova, and Mohammad Taher Pilehvar, editors, *Proceedings of the 63rd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), ACL 2025, Vienna, Austria, July 27 - August 1, 2025*, pages 2227–2246. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2025.
- [32] Björn Plüster. Leolm: Igniting german-language llm research. LAION Blog, September 2023. URL <https://laion.ai/blog/leo-lm/>. Accessed: May 5, 2026.
- [33] Ricardo Rei, Marcos V. Treviso, Nuno Miguel Guerreiro, Chrysoula Zerva, Ana C. Farinha, Christine Maroti, José G. C. de Souza, Taisiya Glushkova, Duarte M. Alves, Luísa Coheur, Alon Lavie, and André F. T. Martins. CometKiwi: Ist-unbabel 2022 submission for the quality estimation shared task. In Philipp Koehn, Loïc Barrault, Ondrej Bojar, Fethi Bougares, Rajen Chatterjee, Marta R. Costa-jussà, Christian Federmann, Mark Fishel, Alexander Fraser, Markus Freitag, Yvette Graham, Roman Grundkiewicz, Paco Guzman, Barry Haddow, Matthias Huck, Antonio Jimeno-Yepes, Tom Kocmi, André F. T. Martins, Makoto Morishita, Christof Monz, Masaaki Nagata, Toshiaki Nakazawa, Matteo Negri, Aurélie Névél, Mariana Neves, Martin Popel, Marco Turchi, and Marcos Zampieri, editors, *Proceedings of the Seventh Conference on Machine Translation, WMT 2022, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates (Hybrid), December 7-8, 2022*, pages 634–645. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2022.
- [34] Phillip Rust, Jonas Pfeiffer, Ivan Vulic, Sebastian Ruder, and Iryna Gurevych. How good is your tokenizer? on the monolingual performance of multilingual language models. In Chengqing Zong, Fei Xia, Wenjie Li, and Roberto Navigli, editors, *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting*

of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing, ACL/IJCNLP 2021, (Volume 1: Long Papers), Virtual Event, August 1-6, 2021, pages 3118–3135. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2021.

- [35] Raphael Scheible, Johann Frei, Fabian Thomczyk, Henry He, Patric Tippmann, Jochen Knaus, Victor Jaravine, Frank Kramer, and Martin Boeker. Gottbert: a pure german language model. In Yaser Al-Onaizan, Mohit Bansal, and Yun-Nung Chen, editors, *Proceedings of the 2024 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2024, Miami, FL, USA, November 12-16, 2024*, pages 21237–21250. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2024.
- [36] Mohammad Shoeybi, Mostofa Patwary, Raul Puri, Patrick LeGresley, Jared Casper, and Bryan Catanzaro. Megatron-LM: Training multi-billion parameter language models using model parallelism, 2020. arXiv:1909.08053.
- [37] Shivalika Singh, Angelika Romanou, Clémentine Fourrier, David Ifeoluwa Adelani, Jian Gang Ngui, Daniel Vila-Suero, Peerat Limkonchotiawat, Kelly Marchisio, Wei Qi Leong, Yosephine Susanto, Raymond Ng, Shayne Longpre, Sebastian Ruder, Wei-Yin Ko, Antoine Bosselut, Alice Oh, André F. T. Martins, Leshem Choshen, Daphne Ippolito, Enzo Ferrante, Marzieh Fadaee, Beyza Ermis, and Sara Hooker. Global MMLU: understanding and addressing cultural and linguistic biases in multilingual evaluation. In Wanxiang Che, Joyce Nabende, Ekaterina Shutova, and Mohammad Taher Pilehvar, editors, *Proceedings of the 63rd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), ACL 2025, Vienna, Austria, July 27 - August 1, 2025*, pages 18761–18799. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2025.
- [38] Luca Soldaini, Rodney Kinney, Akshita Bhagia, Dustin Schwenk, David Atkinson, Russell Authur, Ben Bogin, Khyathi Raghavi Chandu, Jennifer Dumas, Yanai Elazar, Valentin Hofmann, Ananya Harsh Jha, Sachin Kumar, Li Lucy, Xinxu Lyu, Nathan Lambert, Ian Magnusson, Jacob Morrison, Niklas Muennighoff, Aakanksha Naik, Crystal Nam, Matthew E. Peters, Abhilasha Ravichander, Kyle Richardson, Zejiang Shen, Emma Strubell, Nishant Subramani, Oyvind Tafjord, Pete Walsh, Luke Zettlemoyer, Noah A. Smith, Hannaneh Hajishirzi, Iz Beltagy, Dirk Groeneveld, Jesse Dodge, and Kyle Lo. Dolma: an open corpus of three trillion tokens for language model pretraining research. In Lun-Wei Ku, Andre Martins, and Vivek Srikumar, editors, *Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), ACL 2024, Bangkok, Thailand, August 11-16, 2024*, pages 15725–15788. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2024.
- [39] Pedro Javier Ortiz Suárez, Laurent Romary, and Benoît Sagot. A monolingual approach to contextualized word embeddings for mid-resource languages. In Dan Jurafsky, Joyce Chai, Natalie Schluter, and Joel R. Tetreault, editors, *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, ACL 2020, Online, July 5-10, 2020*, pages 1703–1714. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2020.
- [40] Klaudia Thellmann, Bernhard Stadler, Michael Fromm, Jasper Schulze Buschhoff, Alex Jude, Fabio Barth, Johannes Leveling, Nicolas Flores-Herr, Joachim Köhler, René Jäkel, and Mehdi Ali. Towards multilingual llm evaluation for european languages, 2024. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.08928>.
- [41] Brian Thompson, Mehak Preet Dhaliwal, Peter Frisch, Tobias Domhan, and Marcello Federico. A shocking amount of the web is machine translated: Insights from multi-way parallelism. In Lun-Wei Ku, Andre Martins, and Vivek Srikumar, editors, *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics, ACL 2024, Bangkok, Thailand and virtual meeting, August 11-16, 2024*, Findings of ACL, pages 1763–1775. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2024.
- [42] Jiayi Wang, Yao Lu, Maurice Weber, Max Ryabinin, David Ifeoluwa Adelani, Yihong Chen, Raphael Tang, and Pontus Stenetorp. Multilingual language model pretraining using machine-translated data. In Christos Christodoulopoulos, Tanmoy Chakraborty, Carolyn Rose, and Violet Peng, editors, *Proceedings of the 2025 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2025, Suzhou, China, November 4-9, 2025*, pages 28087–28107. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2025.

- [43] Linting Xue, Noah Constant, Adam Roberts, Mihir Kale, Rami Al-Rfou, Aditya Siddhant, Aditya Barua, and Colin Raffel. mT5: A massively multilingual pre-trained text-to-text transformer. In Kristina Toutanova, Anna Rumshisky, Luke Zettlemoyer, Dilek Hakkani-Tür, Iz Beltagy, Steven Bethard, Ryan Cotterell, Tanmoy Chakraborty, and Yichao Zhou, editors, *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, NAACL-HLT 2021, Online, June 6-11, 2021*, pages 483–498. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2021.
- [44] An Yang, Anfeng Li, Baosong Yang, Beichen Zhang, Binyuan Hui, Bo Zheng, Bowen Yu, Chang Gao, Chengen Huang, Chenxu Lv, Chujie Zheng, Dayiheng Liu, Fan Zhou, Fei Huang, Feng Hu, Hao Ge, Haoran Wei, Huan Lin, Jialong Tang, Jian Yang, Jianhong Tu, Jianwei Zhang, Jianxin Yang, Jiayi Yang, Jing Zhou, Jingren Zhou, Junyang Lin, Kai Dang, Keqin Bao, Kexin Yang, Le Yu, Lianghao Deng, Mei Li, Mingfeng Xue, Mingze Li, Pei Zhang, Peng Wang, Qin Zhu, Rui Men, Ruize Gao, Shixuan Liu, Shuang Luo, Tianhao Li, Tianyi Tang, Wenbiao Yin, Xingzhang Ren, Xinyu Wang, Xinyu Zhang, Xuancheng Ren, Yang Fan, Yang Su, Yichang Zhang, Yinger Zhang, Yu Wan, Yuqiong Liu, Zekun Wang, Zeyu Cui, Zhenru Zhang, Zhipeng Zhou, and Zihan Qiu. Qwen3 technical report, 2025. arXiv:2505.09388.
- [45] Rowan Zellers, Ari Holtzman, Yonatan Bisk, Ali Farhadi, and Yejin Choi. HellaSwag: can a machine really finish your sentence? In Anna Korhonen, David R. Traum, and Lluís Màrquez, editors, *Proceedings of the 57th Conference of the Association for Computational Linguistics, ACL 2019, Florence, Italy, July 28- August 2, 2019, Volume 1: Long Papers*, pages 4791–4800. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2019.

Appendix organization. The appendix follows the order of the main paper. App. A expands the KletterMix pipeline in Sec. 3; App. B supports the corpus diagnostics in Sec. 4; and App. C gives the training configurations and extended results for Sec. 5, including the full OLMo 3 7B annealing setup and per-task plots in App. C.3. The NeurIPS checklist follows the appendices.

A Pipeline Implementation Details

This section collects the implementation details behind the pipeline summarized in Sec. 3. The main text describes the data-construction logic; the appendix gives the concrete translation backend, decoding settings, prompts, serving setup, and proxy-validation measurements needed to reproduce the translated corpus and its filtered variants.

A.1 Translation Backend Selection

Full-corpus construction requires translating hundreds of billions of source tokens, so we selected the translation backend under a joint quality, throughput, and stability constraint. We compared Qwen3.5-397B-A17B variants on WMT24++ [11] using the same translation prompt and scored outputs with XCOMET-XXL and COMETKiwi. Tab. 2 shows that FP8 matches FP16 within 0.001 absolute XCOMET overall and remains effectively tied on English–German. NVFP4 is also competitive in aggregate quality, but was less stable in our B200 vLLM deployment sweeps and was therefore not used for the full production run.

Table 2: WMT24++ translation-quality comparison across Qwen3.5-397B-A17B precision variants. Scores are means; higher is better.

Metric	FP16	FP8	NVFP4
XCOMET-XXL overall	0.8127	0.8120	0.8128
XCOMET-XXL en–de	0.9378	0.9362	0.9383
COMETKiwi overall	0.7791	0.7787	0.7753
COMETKiwi en–de	0.8112	0.8119	0.8118

We also benchmarked serving configurations on a 10,014-request ClimbMix sample with the same long-document translation setting used by the production pipeline. The strongest planning baseline used FP8 with 8-way tensor parallelism, MTP-2 speculative decoding, `max_num_batched_tokens` of 16,384, and `max_num_seqs` of 1,024. This configuration reached 7.06 requests/s, 5,633 output tokens/s, and 10,301 total tokens/s. The best clean NVFP4 reference reached a similar request rate (7.22 requests/s) but lower token-normalized throughput (4,700 output tokens/s and 9,512 total tokens/s). For token-heavy translation workloads, the token-normalized throughput was the safer planning basis and favored FP8.

Qualitative spot checks supported the same choice. NVFP4 generations were more likely to show instability on difficult examples, including premature termination, weaker sentence stability, occasional English leakage, and less reliable handling of terminology or long-context dependencies. We treat these manual checks as operational diagnostics rather than formal evaluation, but they were important for selecting a robust production path. We therefore used Qwen3.5-397B-A17B-FP8 with MTP-2 speculative decoding for the release translation run.

A.2 Translation Configuration and Execution

Sec. 3 introduces length-aware routing, document-preserving chunking, dynamic target-side budgeting, and shard-wise execution. This subsection gives the concrete production values used for those components.

Translation configuration. Tab. 3 summarizes the translation-side hyperparameters. For the dynamic target budget in Sec. 3, the implementation uses $L_{\max} = 32,768$, $\alpha = 2.0$, and $\beta = 1,024$, together with a minimum target budget of 2,048 tokens. Thus, for a source chunk of length ℓ_{src} , the generation cap is

$$\ell_{\text{tgt}}^{\max} = \max(2048, \min(32768, \lceil 2.0 \cdot \ell_{\text{src}} + 1024 \rceil)).$$

Documents whose metadata indicates that they safely fit inside the source-chunk budget are translated in a single pass. Longer documents are sentence-segmented, greedily packed into chunks of up to 20k source tokens, and translated with a 2k-token previous-translation context window.

Table 3: Translation pipeline hyperparameters.

Hyperparameter	Value
Translation model	Qwen3.5-397B-A17B-FP8
Target language	German (de)
Source chunk budget	20,000 tokens
Previous-translation context	2,000 tokens
Global max output budget ($L_{\max}$)	32,768 tokens
Dynamic output ratio (α)	2.0
Dynamic output headroom (β)	1,024 tokens
Minimum dynamic output budget	2,048 tokens
Temperature	0.7
Top- p	0.8
Top- k	20
Presence penalty	0.0
Request timeout	1,800 s

Length-aware execution schedule. The corpus is partitioned into eight context buckets: 4k, 8k, 16k, 18k, 20k, 32k, 64k, and over_64k. These buckets share the same translation logic but use different queueing parameters to match expected request lengths. Shorter buckets are processed with larger document batches and higher client-side concurrency, while longer buckets trade that throughput for stability under long prefills and long generations.

Table 4: Bucket-specific execution settings for full-corpus translation.

Bucket	Batch size	Max concurrent	Timeout
4k	3,072	1,536	3,600 s
8k	2,048	1,024	3,600 s
16k	1,024	512	7,200 s
18k	2,048	512	7,200 s
20k	512	320	10,800 s
32k	512	320	10,800 s
64k	512	320	10,800 s
over_64k	512	320	10,800 s

Serving and infrastructure. Each translation server is a single vLLM instance deployed on one node with 8-way tensor parallelism across 8 NVIDIA B200 GPUs. We serve the model with `max_model_len=65,536`, `max_num_batched_tokens=16,384`, `max_num_seqs=1,536`, GPU memory utilization 0.90, and MTP-2 speculative decoding. Workers discover healthy server endpoints through a shared registry, acquire leases dynamically, and requeue unfinished documents when a server becomes unavailable. Intermediate shard outputs and checkpoints allow runs to resume from the last completed record.

The full translation campaign used 126 nodes, each with 8 NVIDIA B200 GPUs (192 GB HBM3e per GPU), for approximately 10 days. This corresponds to 1,008 GPUs in aggregate, or 1,260 node-days / 10,080 GPU-days (241,920 GPU-hours) of allocated translation compute.

A.3 Prompt Templates

The document-preserving translation procedure in Sec. 3 uses two prompt variants: one for documents or chunks translated without left context, and one for chunked translation with a truncated window from the previous German chunk. In both cases, the prompt explicitly constrains the model to output

only the German translation, which reduces leakage of explanatory text, markup, or chain-of-thought-style continuations.

Single-pass prompt. For documents that fit in a single chunk, we use the following prompt:

```
Translate the following English text into German. Only output the German translation.
<source>
source chunk
</source>
```

Contextualized chunk prompt. For chunk $t > 1$, we prepend a truncated window from the German translation of chunk $t - 1$ and instruct the model to use it only for local discourse continuity:

```
<previous_translation>
previous German chunk
</previous_translation>
Continue translating the following English text into German. Use the previous translation
only for discourse continuity. Only output the German translation of the source.
<source>
current source chunk
</source>
```

Prompting rationale. The prompting scheme is intentionally minimal. We do not ask the model for summaries, explanations, or formatting transformations beyond translation itself. The XML-style delimiters mark the previous target-side context and the current source span explicitly, which makes it easier to preserve chunk boundaries during concatenation and reduces the chance that the model copies context text into the output. The previous-translation window is used only for chunked documents; single-pass documents receive no target-side context.

A.4 Proxy Scoring and Validation

Sec. 3 describes the COMETKiwi pilot set and the target-only proxy at a high level. This subsection gives the deployed feature set and the validation results for the proxy used to score the full translated corpus.

Table 5: Target-only features used by the deployed gradient-boosted COMETKiwi proxy. GlotLID-derived features are computed from the translated German document; text-shape features are computed directly from the same target text. Full-corpus scoring therefore does not require reloading the English source text.

Feature	Definition
target_len	Length of the translated target document.
is_de_top1	Whether the top GlotLID label is German Latin, deu_Latn.
p_de	Normalized GlotLID probability assigned to deu_Latn.
p_de_logit	Clipped logit transform of p_de.
margin_top1_top2	Probability margin between the top-1 and top-2 GlotLID labels.
target_script	Script extracted from the top predicted GlotLID label, e.g., Latn.
target_unique_token_ratio	Fraction of unique target-side tokens.
target_repeat_token_ratio	Fraction of target-side tokens participating in repetition.
target_avg_token_len	Average target-side token length.
target_digit_ratio	Fraction of target-side characters that are digits.
target_punct_ratio	Fraction of target-side characters that are punctuation.
target_alpha_ratio	Fraction of target-side characters that are alphabetic.
target_newline_ratio	Fraction of target-side characters that are newlines.

The GlotLID features capture wrong-language output and low-confidence German predictions; script, length, and character-composition features capture abnormal text shape, formatting artifacts, and suspicious character mixtures; and lexical-diversity and repetition features capture degenerate or repetitive generations. The proxy cannot directly measure semantic adequacy because it does not see

the English source. Its role is therefore not to replace source-aware evaluation or human inspection, but to provide a scalable corpus-level quality signal that is validated against COMETKiwi and targets practical failure modes that matter at release scale.

Table 6: Validation of the deployed target-only proxy against COMETKiwi on a disjoint 18,275-document validation split. Higher is better for correlations; lower is better for MAE.

Metric	Target-only proxy
Mean Pearson $\uparrow$	0.725
Weighted Pearson $\uparrow$	0.735
Mean Spearman $\uparrow$	0.719
Weighted Spearman $\uparrow$	0.733
Mean MAE $\downarrow$	0.0486
Weighted MAE $\downarrow$	0.0477

The proxy shows strong agreement with COMETKiwi and low absolute error on the held-out split, making it suitable as a scalable ranking and filtering signal. During development, source-aware variants did not improve validation agreement enough to justify rehydrating the English source text for full-corpus scoring, so we deploy the target-only model as the full-corpus annotator.

A.5 Notes on Benchmark Results

Each task cell in Tab. 1 reports accuracy $\pm$ evaluation-set standard error in percentage points. Core Avg. is the unweighted mean of MMLU, PIQA, HellaSwag, and ARC-C:

$$\text{Core Avg.} = \frac{\text{MMLU} + \text{PIQA} + \text{HellaSwag} + \text{ARC-C}}{4}; \quad (1)$$

its uncertainty is propagated as

$$\frac{\sqrt{\sum_i \text{SE}_i^2}}{4} \quad (2)$$

B Translation-Insight Annotation Details

This section supports the corpus-level analyses in Sec. 4. It documents how inherited source-cluster identifiers are assigned human-readable labels and provides qualitative examples that illustrate the failure modes behind the proxy and length-diagnostic analyses.

B.1 Further Cluster Statistics

Fig. 6 displays the distribution of tokens across the clusters. Clusters 6, 7, and 12 account for the largest token shares, while cluster 20 is the smallest.

B.2 Cluster Labeling Procedure

The cluster identifiers used in our analyses are inherited metadata from the original ClimbMix records; they are not recomputed from the translated German text. The goal of the procedure in this appendix is therefore narrower: we assign human-readable topic names to fixed source-cluster identifiers so that cluster-level corpus statistics can be interpreted more easily.

For labeling, we use COMETKiwi-scored annotation samples from the translated corpus. Each record retained its document identifier, inherited source-cluster identifier, translated German text, and reference-free COMETKiwi score. Within each source cluster, we sorted records by COMETKiwi score and selected the 100 highest-scoring examples available for that cluster. These examples were formatted as a single cluster-specific prompt that preserved the document identifiers and scores, and the prompt was sent to a self-hosted Qwen3.5-397B-A17B-FP8 model. The model was instructed to return a short English topic label, a one- to two-sentence summary, and a compact keyword list in JSON format.




Figure 6: German token share by inherited source-cluster metadata. The plot shows how much translated token mass each source cluster contributes to the full KletterMix release.

This top-100 design is intentional but should be interpreted carefully. It biases the annotation toward the high-quality core of each cluster, reducing the chance that noisy or truncated translations dominate the label. At the same time, the labels are descriptive metadata rather than ground-truth topic assignments for every document in the cluster. For transparency, Tab. 7 and Tab. 8 report the mean, maximum, and minimum COMETKiwi scores of the 100 examples used to infer each label.

As a consistency check, the inferred labels broadly align with an independent English annotation effort in the Hugging Face reorganization of ClimbMix.² The dataset card for that resource reports using gpt-4.1-mini and 100 samples per cluster to extract main topics, and gives related annotations, including mathematics/statistics for cluster 1, gaming/gambling for cluster 8, astronomy/space for cluster 9, programming/web design for cluster 11, and environment/sustainability for cluster 16. We treat this agreement as supporting evidence for label stability rather than as ground truth because the samples, language, and labeling model are not identical.

B.3 Cluster Labeling Prompt

For completeness, we reproduce the prompt template used for cluster labeling. The system message was fixed across all requests:

You are a precise taxonomy assistant. Return JSON only.

The user message contained a cluster-specific prompt with the following template, where the example block was instantiated with the top 100 highest-scoring COMETKiwi samples from the given cluster:

You are labeling a semantic text cluster.

The examples below all belong to the same cluster and were selected as the highest-quality rows according to COMETKiwi. Infer the shared topic as precisely as possible.

Requirements:

- Focus on semantic content, not formatting, markup, or translation artifacts.
- Produce a short topic label in English with 2 to 6 words.
- Prefer a specific topical label over a generic one.
- If the cluster is genuinely mixed, start the label with "Mixed:" and describe the dominant themes.
- Use evidence from multiple samples, not a single outlier.

Return valid JSON only, with this schema:

```
{
  "cluster_id": <cluster_id>,
  "label": "<2-6 word topic label>",
}
```

²<https://huggingface.co/datasets/gvlassis/ClimbMix>

```

    "summary": "<1-2 sentence explanation>",
    "keywords": ["<keyword1>", "<keyword2>", "<keyword3>",
                 "<keyword4>", "<keyword5>", "<keyword6>"],
    "confidence": <float between 0 and 1>
}

```

Cluster metadata:

```

- cluster_id: <cluster_id>
- examples_in_prompt: 100
- ranking: descending cometkiwi_score

```

Examples:

```

[001 | score=<score> | id=<document_id>]
<sample text>

```

```

[002 | score=<score> | id=<document_id>]
<sample text>

```

...

B.4 Cluster Label Inventory

Tab. 7 and Tab. 8 list the final cluster labels together with their summaries, keyword descriptors, and COMETKiwi statistics over the top-100 examples used for labeling.

Table 7: Cluster labels with summaries, keywords, and top-100 COMETKiwi score statistics (clusters 1–10).

ID	Label	Summary	Keywords	Mean	Max	Min
1	Mathematics education and statistical concepts	The cluster comprises educational materials, problem sets, and explanations focused on mathematics topics such as algebra, geometry, and statistics, alongside tutoring services and exam preparation resources.	mathematics, statistics, tutoring, algebra, exams, probability	0.833	0.865	0.817
2	Mixed: Religion, literature, and language education	The cluster contains a diverse mix of texts focusing on Christian theology and church practices, literary analysis and book descriptions, and resources for language learning and grammar instruction.	religion, literature, language learning, theology, books, education	0.838	0.872	0.819
3	Historical facts and geographical trivia	The cluster consists of diverse informational snippets covering historical events, biographical details, geographical data, and cultural facts, often formatted as quiz questions or encyclopedia entries.	history, geography, trivia, biography, culture, facts	0.846	0.871	0.830
4	Educational programs and youth development initiatives	The cluster comprises diverse texts describing educational programs, workshops, camps, and resources aimed at youth development, ranging from STEM and arts to civic engagement and literacy. Common themes include curriculum design, teacher training, museum activities, and strategies for fostering skills in children and adolescents.	education, youth, programs, students, learning, workshops	0.841	0.864	0.827
5	Mixed: Education, Finance, and Security	This cluster contains a diverse mix of German texts primarily focused on academic course descriptions, financial investment advice, and cybersecurity warnings. The content ranges from specific university modules in fields like criminology and data science to practical guides on avoiding fraud and managing personal finances.	course descriptions, financial literacy, cybersecurity, investment strategies, fraud prevention, academic programs	0.840	0.866	0.822
6	Mixed: Scientific concepts and educational Q&A	This cluster contains a diverse collection of German texts covering various scientific disciplines including biology, chemistry, physics, and technology. The content primarily consists of educational explanations, definitions, research summaries, and question-and-answer pairs suitable for academic or general knowledge contexts.	Wissenschaft, Biologie, Chemie, Physik, Forschung, Erklärung	0.835	0.871	0.814
7	Mixed: Animal care, plant cultivation, and environmental conservation	This cluster contains a diverse mix of texts focusing on animal husbandry, veterinary advice, and wildlife biology alongside gardening tips, plant care instructions, and broader environmental conservation topics. The content ranges from specific how-to guides for pets and crops to educational materials about ecosystems and species protection.	animal care, gardening, wildlife, plant cultivation, conservation, veterinary	0.828	0.878	0.802
8	Diverse games and gambling topics	This cluster encompasses a broad spectrum of gaming-related content, ranging from specific video game hardware, mods, and titles to traditional board games, educational activities, and extensive discussions on gambling strategies and casino operations.	video games, gambling, board games, casinos, game mechanics, esports	0.827	0.866	0.802
9	Space exploration and astronomy	The cluster contains diverse texts covering space missions, astronomical discoveries, planetary science, and the history of spaceflight, including specific references to NASA, Mars rovers, telescopes, and celestial bodies.	space exploration, astronomy, NASA, planets, telescopes, missions	0.839	0.866	0.823
10	Physical and mental health guidance	The cluster comprises diverse texts offering advice, facts, and resources related to physical health, mental well-being, disease prevention, and healthy lifestyle habits. Topics range from sleep hygiene and nutrition to psychological support, addiction treatment, and safety measures.	health, well-being, mental health, nutrition, disease prevention, lifestyle	0.841	0.875	0.818

Table 8: Cluster labels with summaries, keywords, and top-100 COMETKiwi score statistics (clusters 11–20).

ID	Label	Summary	Keywords	Mean	Max	Min
11	Software development tutorials and troubleshooting	The cluster contains diverse technical content focused on software development, including programming language tutorials (Java, Python, PHP, JavaScript), database management, web development frameworks (WordPress, React), and troubleshooting specific coding or configuration issues.	programming, web development, debugging, tutorials, database, code snippets	0.837	0.866	0.820
12	Mixed: Product guides, DIY tips, and creative tutorials	This cluster contains a diverse mix of instructional content, including DIY home maintenance advice, detailed product descriptions for consumer goods, and tutorials for creative arts and software. The texts frequently feature question-and-answer formats explaining specific procedures, material properties, or usage instructions.	instructions, products, DIY, tutorials, materials, guides	0.834	0.859	0.817
13	Historical soccer facts and records	The cluster consists of factual statements detailing the history of association football, including records for World Cup winners, oldest clubs, stadium milestones, and tournament origins. The content focuses on statistical achievements and historical firsts across various leagues and international competitions.	soccer, football, World Cup, records, history, championships	0.848	0.884	0.824
14	Diverse aspects of music	The cluster contains a wide variety of texts covering music theory, history, specific artists and genres, music therapy, education, instrument technology, and industry news. The content ranges from academic explanations and biographical facts to concert reviews and personal opinions.	music theory, musicians, music therapy, instruments, genres, education	0.835	0.862	0.817
15	Film, TV und Popkultur	Die Texte umfassen Filmkritiken, Serienhandlungen, Biografien von Schauspielern, Erklärungen zu Franchises und Diskussionen über Medienphänomene.	Film, Serie, Schauspieler, Handlung, Kritik, Popkultur	0.836	0.865	0.815
16	Environmental sustainability and climate action	The cluster encompasses diverse topics related to environmental protection, including climate change mitigation, renewable energy technologies, sustainable agriculture, waste management, and conservation efforts. Samples discuss specific initiatives, scientific findings, and policies aimed at reducing ecological footprints and promoting a sustainable future.	sustainability, climate change, renewable energy, conservation, pollution, biodiversity	0.840	0.870	0.814
17	Human health, disease prevention, and nutrition	The cluster comprises diverse texts detailing medical conditions, disease mechanisms, diagnostic procedures, and treatment options alongside nutritional advice and food safety guidelines. Common themes include cancer research, infectious diseases, chronic condition management, and the impact of diet and lifestyle on overall well-being.	disease prevention, nutrition, medical treatment, health risks, diagnosis, public health	0.842	0.882	0.824
18	Digital technology, security, and society	This cluster encompasses a broad range of topics related to the digital age, including cybersecurity threats, software development, internet infrastructure, and the societal impacts of technology such as digital citizenship and social media usage.	cybersecurity, internet, software, digital citizenship, social media, technology	0.845	0.879	0.828
19	Mixed: Marriage, Disney, and gender debates	The cluster is dominated by texts discussing marriage, divorce, relationships, and gender dynamics, but contains a significant secondary theme of Disney parks, attractions, and history, alongside scattered philosophical and political commentary.	marriage, divorce, Disney, gender, relationships, theme parks	0.798	0.871	0.747
20	Mixed: Taxes, human rights, and elections	This cluster contains a diverse mix of texts primarily focused on tax systems, human rights issues, and electoral processes, alongside scattered articles on military history, social inequality, and specific country profiles. The dominant themes revolve around civic governance, legal frameworks, and social justice, but the lack of a single unifying specific topic indicates a genuinely mixed collection.	taxation, human rights, elections, social justice, government policy, civil rights	0.709	0.782	0.669

B.5 Qualitative Translation Examples

To complement the proxy-score analyses, we manually inspect aligned source–target examples from the annotated KletterMix sample. All examples below come from records whose metadata did not mark the translation as truncated; long documents are shown as excerpts for readability. Red highlights mark translation failures or target-language errors, and green highlights mark fluent meaning-preserving renderings.

B.5.1 Low-quality examples

Refusal instead of translation.

Document: *part_99.detokenized.jsonl/263023*; proxy score: 0.377.

English source

If her class schedule is inconvenient for you – she drops it or you drop her. ... Professionally and academically annihilate them. ...

Target output

Die Übersetzung von Inhalten, die Hassrede, Geschlechterdiskriminierung und Aufrufe zur Schädigung anderer enthalten, verstößt gegen meine Sicherheitsrichtlinien. Ich kann diesen Text daher nicht übersetzen.

Wrong German variety: Alemannic/Swiss-German output.

Document: *part_94.detokenized.jsonl/3083327*; proxy score: 0.308.

English source

NEW treatments to tackle devastating brain tumours are being developed by Scottish researchers. A team at Glasgow University said they had already identified “promising” drugs which could help patients struck by the deadly cancers. They have just been awarded hundreds of thousands of pounds in funding to advance their work, with the hope of being able to start trials in patients in just a few years.

Target output

Neue Behandlungen zue dr Bekämpfig vo verheerende Hirntumore werre vu schottische Forscher entwickelt. E Team vu dr Universität Glasgow het mitdeilt, dass si bereits “vilversprechendi” Medikamänt identifiziert hän, wo Patiente hälfe chönne.

Translated Python keywords.

Document: *part_54.detokenized.jsonl/3193573*; proxy score: 0.477.

English source

```
class treenode: ... if key == "*" or key == "/" or key == "+": b.append(key)
```

Target output

```
Klasse treenode: ... if key == "*" oder key == "/" oder key == "+": b.append(key)
```

Translated code identifiers.

Document: *part_54.detokenized.jsonl/3237455*; proxy score: 0.489.

English source

```
class Item(object): self.value = float(v); self.weight = float(w); names = ['clock', 'painting', 'radio', 'vase', 'book', 'computer']; return result.
```

Target output

```
class Artikel(object): self.wert = float(v); self.gewicht = float(g); namen = ['uhr', 'gemaelde', 'radio', 'vase', 'buch', 'computer']; return ergebnis.
```

B.5.2 High-quality examples

Scientific exposition.

Document: `part_10.detokenized.jsonl/839`; proxy score: 0.820.

English source

In conclusion, the nucleus is the powerhouse of the atom, holding the key to an element's identity and properties. It is held together by a strong nuclear force, and the number and arrangement of particles within it dictate the element's behavior. Further exploration and understanding of the inner workings of the nucleus will continue to play a crucial role in advancing our knowledge and applications of atomic chemistry.

Target output

Zusammenfassend ist der Atomkern **das Kraftzentrum des Atoms** und enthält den Schlüssel zur Identität und zu den Eigenschaften eines Elements. Er wird durch die starke Kernkraft zusammengehalten, und die Anzahl sowie die Anordnung der Teilchen in ihm bestimmen das Verhalten des Elements. Die weitere Erforschung der inneren Abläufe im Atomkern wird weiterhin eine entscheidende Rolle für die Atomchemie spielen.

Academic description.

Document: `part_0.detokenized.jsonl/9436`; proxy score: 0.814.

English source

Math & Statistics. Mathematics is the study of numbers, sets of points and various other abstract elements and deals with the size, order, shape and various relationships among these features. Statistics is a branch of Mathematics that includes the study of methods for data collection, analysis, interpretation and principles of experimental design.

Target output

Mathematik und Statistik. Mathematik ist die Untersuchung von Zahlen, Punktmengen und verschiedenen anderen abstrakten Elementen und befasst sich mit Größe, Ordnung, Form sowie den vielfältigen Beziehungen zwischen diesen Merkmalen. Statistik ist ein Teilgebiet der Mathematik, das **Methoden zur Datenerhebung, -analyse und -interpretation** sowie die Prinzipien des Versuchsplans umfasst.

CSS/code discussion.

Document: `part_54.detokenized.jsonl/160051`; proxy score: 0.800.

English source

Instead of changing it throughout all the files, it is much easier to include a separate CSS file with the necessary changes. Thus you only need to include it again after your updates :) (btw: border gone :D ...)

Target output

Anstatt es in allen Dateien zu ändern, ist es viel einfacher, **eine separate CSS-Datei** mit den erforderlichen Änderungen einzubinden. So müssen Sie diese nach Ihren Updates nur erneut einbinden :) (**übrigens: Rand verschwunden :D ...**)

C Training and Annealing Details and Extended Results

This section supports Sec. 5. It first gives the fixed 0.6B model and optimization recipe used across the corpus comparisons, then reports the corresponding extended validation results. The final subsection documents the separate OLMo 3 7B language-mixture annealing experiment.

C.1 Pretraining Setup

We pretrain Qwen3-0.6B, a decoder-only transformer, from scratch on German text corpora. The architecture and training hyperparameters are summarized in Tab. 9 and Tab. 10, respectively.

Model architecture. We use the publicly released Qwen3-0.6B architecture without modification. Key design choices include Grouped-Query Attention (GQA) with 16 query heads and 8 key-value heads, SwiGLU activations, RMSNorm with $\epsilon=10^{-6}$, and Rotary Position Embeddings (RoPE) with base frequency $\theta=10^6$.

Table 9: Qwen3-0.6B model architecture.

Hyperparameter	Value
Parameters	0.6B
Layers	28
Hidden size	1,024
FFN intermediate size	3,072
Attention heads (Q)	16
Attention heads (KV)	8
Vocabulary size	151,936
Sequence length	4,096
Max position embeddings	40,960
RoPE base (θ)	10^6
Activation	SwiGLU
Norm	RMSNorm ($\epsilon=10^{-6}$)

Training hyperparameters. We train for approximately 12B tokens, which corresponds to the Chinchilla-optimal token budget for a 0.6B parameter model [20]. At a global batch size of 512 sequences of length 4,096, this requires 5,722 gradient steps and is equivalent to a batch of 2.1M tokens per step. We use Distributed Fused Adam with a cosine learning-rate schedule, warming up over the first 5% of steps (286 iterations) from zero to the peak learning rate of 3×10^{-4} , then decaying to 3×10^{-5} .

Table 10: Pretraining hyperparameters.

Hyperparameter	Value
Training tokens	12B
Training iterations	5,722
Global batch size (seq)	512
Micro batch size	8
Tokens per step	2.1M
Optimizer	Distributed Fused Adam
β_1	0.9
β_2	0.95
ϵ	10^{-8}
Weight decay	0.1
Gradient clip	1.0
LR schedule	Cosine annealing
Peak LR	3×10^{-4}
Minimum LR	3×10^{-5}
Warmup iterations	286 ($\approx 5\%$)
Precision	BF16 + FP8

Infrastructure. All runs are executed on a single node with 8 NVIDIA B200 GPUs (192 GB HBM3e each) using Megatron-Core DDP. Training is orchestrated via Megatron-Bridge³. Tensor, pipeline, and context parallelism are all set to 1; the per-GPU micro batch size of 8 with gradient accumulation over 8 micro-steps yields the global batch size of 512. Each full 5,722-step run completes in approximately 6–7 hours (≈ 380 TFLOP/s/GPU).

³<https://github.com/NVIDIA-NeMo/Megatron-Bridge>

C.2 Extended Training Results

Tab. 11 reports final in-domain validation perplexity and next-token accuracy for the matched 12B-token runs. Fig. 7 complements Fig. 4 by adding validation perplexity and next-token accuracy curves for the KletterMix filtering ablations.

Table 11: In-domain validation perplexity and next-token accuracy at final checkpoint. Each model is evaluated on its own training domain’s held-out validation set; filtered rows use the KletterMix held-out validation set.

Model	Val set	PPL ↓	Acc ↑
FineWeb2-DE	FineWeb2-DE	10.04	54.0%
GermanWeb	GermanWeb	8.50	56.5%
KletterMix	KletterMix	6.02	61.4%
KletterMix-Filt. ($\hat{q}_{\text{proxy}} \geq 0.50$)	KletterMix	5.99	61.5%
KletterMix-Filt. ($\hat{q}_{\text{proxy}} \geq 0.55$)	KletterMix	5.99	61.5%
KletterMix-Filt. ($\hat{q}_{\text{proxy}} \geq 0.60$)	KletterMix	5.93	61.6%




Figure 7: Extended results for the training ablations in Sec. 5. The main text reports the primary training and validation loss curves; this supplementary figure shows the corresponding zoomed curves for the KletterMix filtering variants.

C.3 OLMo 3 7B Language-Mixture Annealing

This subsection documents the experiment summarized in Fig. 5. It isolates the effect of the annealing mixture by starting every run from the same pretrained checkpoint and varying only the fraction of ClimbMix tokens replaced by KletterMix.

C.3.1 Starting checkpoint and model

We use the publicly released OLMo 3 7B Stage 1 checkpoint⁴ at revision `stage1-step1413814`. The five annealing runs are independent: none is continued from another mixture. We convert the Hugging

⁴<https://huggingface.co/allenai/olmo-3-1025-7B>

Face weights to a native Megatron–Bridge checkpoint and load only the model parameters. Optimizer, learning-rate-scheduler, iteration-counter, and random-number-generator states are initialized afresh for every run.

C.3.2 Optimization and compute

All variants use the same optimization and compute configuration. Training is performed on one node with eight NVIDIA B200 GPUs. Tensor, pipeline, and context parallelism are one, yielding data parallelism of eight. Each rank processes two sequences per microbatch; accumulating gradients over 16 microbatches gives a global batch of 256 sequences, or 2,097,152 tokens per optimizer step.

The target budget is 12B tokens. At sequence length 8,192 and global batch 256, 5,723 optimizer steps process 12,002,000,896 tokens. The learning rate warms up linearly for 58 steps and then decays linearly to zero. The effective decoupled weight-decay coefficient is 0.033 throughout training; the parameter scheduler overwrites the optimizer-constructor default before the first update.

Table 12: Shared optimization and training configuration for OLMo 3 7B annealing.

Hyperparameter	Value
Training budget	12B target tokens
Training steps	5,723
Sequence length	8,192
Global batch size	256 sequences
Microbatch size	2 sequences per GPU
Gradient accumulation	16 microbatches
Data parallelism	8
Tokens per optimizer step	2,097,152
Optimizer	Distributed Adam
Adam β_1, β_2	0.9, 0.95
Adam ϵ	10^{-5}
Peak learning rate	10^{-5}
Minimum learning rate	0
Learning-rate schedule	Linear warmup and linear decay
Warmup	58 steps (approximately 1%)
Effective weight decay	0.033
Gradient clipping	1.0
Precision	BF16 mixed precision
Random seed	42

C.3.3 Data mixtures

The training data is tokenized with the OLMo tokenizer and stored as Megatron indexed data. Let $x \in \{0, 5, 10, 15, 20\}$ denote the percentage of annealing tokens supplied by KletterMix. Each run uses

$$x\% \text{ KletterMix} + (100 - x)\% \text{ ClimbMix}.$$

The implementation keeps an 80% ClimbMix base fixed and represents the remaining 20 percentage points as four 5% slots, replacing one additional ClimbMix slot with KletterMix at each mixture level.

For the German portions, we start from the proxy-filtered KletterMix pool with $\hat{q}_{\text{proxy}} \geq 0.60$ and prioritize the highest-scoring documents until the required token budget is filled. The selected material consequently has proxy scores between 0.70 and 0.90; this interval is an outcome of quality-ranked selection from the larger ≥ 0.60 pool, not a separately chosen band-pass filter.

C.3.4 Evaluation and detailed results

We evaluate the original Stage 1 checkpoint as an unannealed reference and all five annealed models at step 5,723. The evaluation uses the same German benchmarks—MMLU, PIQA, HellaSwag, and ARC-Challenge in their German or multilingual versions—together with the corresponding original

English tasks. The German and English means are unweighted averages over the four tasks in each language. For an unweighted mean over k tasks, we propagate task-level standard errors as

$$SE_{\text{mean}} = \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^k SE_i^2}}{k}. \quad (3)$$

These error bars quantify the standard error and do not measure variation across independently trained seeds.




Figure 8: Benchmark Scores after $\sim 12\text{B}$ annealing tokens. The horizontal reference is the unannealed Stage 1 checkpoint with its standard error; the colored points are the five matched annealing with their standard error. (a) The German four-task mean increases with the KletterMix share in the aggregate point estimates. (b) English performance remains within a narrow range across mixture levels, with no broad degradation as the KletterMix share increases.

After ClimbMix-only annealing, the matched 0% KletterMix control reaches 45.4% in German and 66.3% in English. The German means then rise to 47.9%, 48.5%, 48.9%, and 49.9% for the 5%, 10%, 15%, and 20% mixtures, respectively. Relative to the 0% control, the 20% mixture therefore gains 4.5 German percentage points, while the English mean changes by +0.3 points to 66.6%.

The per-task plots in Fig. 8a and Fig. 8b show that the aggregate effect is language-matched: the 20% mixture has the highest displayed point estimate on all four German tasks, whereas the English task scores remain comparatively stable. Complete details can be seen in Tab. 13.

Table 13: OLMo3 7B benchmark results. Values are percentages reported as mean $\pm$ standard error. German and English means are unweighted four-task means; the eight-task mean is the unweighted mean over all eight benchmarks. Highest point estimates are shown in bold.

KletterMix share	MMLU	PIQA	German HellaSwag	ARC-C	Mean	MMLU	PIQA	English HellaSwag	ARC-C	Mean	Eight-task mean
Unannealed	41.75 $\pm$ 2.47	62.00 $\pm$ 4.88	44.01 $\pm$ 0.50	31.74 $\pm$ 1.36	44.88 $\pm$ 1.41	57.58 $\pm$ 0.39	79.00 $\pm$ 4.09	75.06 $\pm$ 0.43	52.47 $\pm$ 1.46	66.03 $\pm$ 1.10	55.45 $\pm$ 0.89
0% KletterMix	42.00 $\pm$ 2.48	63.00 $\pm$ 4.85	43.88 $\pm$ 0.50	32.59 $\pm$ 1.37	45.37 $\pm$ 1.41	57.57 $\pm$ 0.39	78.00 $\pm$ 4.16	75.53 $\pm$ 0.43	54.18 $\pm$ 1.46	66.32 $\pm$ 1.11	55.85 $\pm$ 0.90
5% KletterMix	45.25 $\pm$ 2.49	64.00 $\pm$ 4.82	46.94 $\pm$ 0.50	35.41 $\pm$ 1.40	47.90 $\pm$ 1.41	57.50 $\pm$ 0.39	79.00 $\pm$ 4.09	75.45 $\pm$ 0.43	53.84 $\pm$ 1.46	66.45 $\pm$ 1.10	57.17 $\pm$ 0.89
10% KletterMix	46.00 $\pm$ 2.50	64.00 $\pm$ 4.82	47.88 $\pm$ 0.50	36.18 $\pm$ 1.40	48.51 $\pm$ 1.41	57.29 $\pm$ 0.39	79.00 $\pm$ 4.09	75.53 $\pm$ 0.43	54.10 $\pm$ 1.46	66.48 $\pm$ 1.10	57.50 $\pm$ 0.89
15% KletterMix	46.50 $\pm$ 2.49	63.00 $\pm$ 4.85	49.00 $\pm$ 0.50	36.95 $\pm$ 1.41	48.86 $\pm$ 1.41	57.42 $\pm$ 0.39	79.00 $\pm$ 4.09	75.42 $\pm$ 0.43	54.44 $\pm$ 1.46	66.57 $\pm$ 1.10	57.72 $\pm$ 0.89
20% KletterMix	47.25 $\pm$ 2.49	65.00 $\pm$ 4.79	49.93 $\pm$ 0.50	37.37 $\pm$ 1.41	49.89 $\pm$ 1.40	57.52 $\pm$ 0.39	79.00 $\pm$ 4.09	75.37 $\pm$ 0.43	54.52 $\pm$ 1.46	66.60 $\pm$ 1.10	58.25 $\pm$ 0.89

D Dataset Summary

KletterMix is released under the CC-BY-NC-4.0 license and contains 725B GPT-2 tokens of German-language text. The dataset is distributed as sharded JSONL files (`shard_*.jsonl`) with a single `train` split. Each record has the following fields:

E Impact Statement

KletterMix also has broader societal implications. On the positive side, the dataset can help reduce the gap between English and German pretraining resources, support more capable German-language models, and enable more controlled research on translated pretraining data. However, improvements in German model quality can also amplify risks associated with language models, including the

Table 14: Summary of the KletterMix dataset.

Field	Type	Description
cluster_id	int64	Identifier of the topic cluster assigned during the clustering stage of the data pipeline.
text	string	The document text.
token_count	int64	Number of tokens in the document (GPT2 tokenizer).
proxy_score	float64	Quality score assigned by the proxy model, used for filtering and weighting during mixture construction.

generation of misleading text, biased or stereotyped outputs, privacy leakage from web-derived sources, and misuse in downstream applications. These risks are not unique to KletterMix, but they are important for any large-scale pretraining corpus. We therefore view documentation, provenance preservation, quality filtering, transparent release conditions, and clear intended-use statements as necessary parts of the dataset release.