



Stream-T1: Test-Time Scaling for Streaming Video Generation

Yijing Tu¹ Shaojin Wu^{3†} Mengqi Huang^{1†} Wenchuan Wang¹ Yuxin Wang²
Chunxiao Liu³ Zhendong Mao^{1*}

¹ University of Science and Technology of China ² FrameX.AI ³ Independent Researcher

* Corresponding author † Project Lead

arXiv:2605.04461v1 [cs.CV] 6 May 2026

Abstract

While Test-Time Scaling (TTS) offers a promising direction to enhance video generation without the surging costs of training, current test-time video generation methods based on diffusion models suffer from exorbitant candidate exploration costs and lack temporal guidance. To address these structural bottlenecks, we propose shifting the focus to streaming video generation. We identify that its chunk-level synthesis and few denoising steps are intrinsically suited for TTS, significantly lowering computational overhead while enabling fine-grained temporal control. Driven by this insight, we introduced **Stream-T1**, a pioneering comprehensive TTS framework exclusively tailored for streaming video generation. Specifically, Stream-T1 is composed of three units: (1) **Stream-Scaled Noise Propagation**, which actively refines the initial latent noise of the generating chunk using historically proven, high-quality previous chunk noise, effectively establishes temporal dependency and utilizing the historical Gaussian prior to guide the current generation; (2) **Stream-Scaled Reward Pruning**, which comprehensively evaluates generated candidates to strike an optimal balance between local spatial aesthetics and global temporal coherence by integrating immediate short-term assessments with sliding-window-based long-term evaluations; (3) **Stream-Scaled Memory Sinking**, which dynamically routes the context evicted from KV-cache into distinct updating pathways guided by the reward feedback, ensuring that previously generated visual information effectively anchors and guides the subsequent video stream. Evaluated on both 5s and 30s comprehensive video benchmarks, Stream-T1 demonstrates profound superiority, significantly improving temporal consistency, motion smoothness, and frame-level visual quality.

Date: May 7, 2026

Project Page: <https://stream-t1.github.io/>

Correspondence: Mengqi Huang at huangmq@ustc.edu.cn

1 Introduction

The domain of video synthesis has experienced remarkable advancements in recent years. Among current paradigms, streaming video generation[3, 10, 18, 25, 36, 45, 47] stands out as a highly promising paradigm for synthesizing exceptionally long videos, elegantly integrating the sequential dependency modeling of autoregressive architectures with the high-fidelity visual generation of diffusion models. Typically, the extraordinary capabilities of these streaming models are built upon distilling diffusion models, a process that inherently demands massive datasets and vast computational resources.

Despite these substantial advancements, synthesizing videos that consistently maintain strict semantic alignment, coherent motion, and long-term temporal consistency remains an open challenge. Furthermore, the traditional paradigm of scaling up models during the training phase is hitting a ceiling, heavily constrained by exorbitant costs and resource demands. Recently, inspired by successes in Large Language Models, pioneering works[9, 28, 41, 54] have introduced Test-Time Scaling (TTS)[52] to video generation and have empirically proven that dynamically scaling computational budgets during inference phase offers a highly effective and cost-efficient pathway to boost video generation quality. Despite this promising potential, approach like ImagerySearch[41] rely on video diffusion models to synthesize the entire video simultaneously. This mechanism forces the search process into a global, high-dimensional space. Coupled with the inherent requirement of multi-step denoising, each candidate demands massive computational resources, severely limiting the overall efficiency of the search process. Furthermore, the simultaneous denoising of all frames fundamentally precludes the ability to inject fine-grained guidance along the temporal axis. Consequently, any localized temporal artifact mandates the rejection of the entire video sequence, rendering dynamic temporal correction impossible.

To address the limitations of existing video TTS methods, we shift the focus to Streaming Video Generation. Operating in a chunk-by-chunk autoregressive manner with minimal denoising steps (e.g., 4 steps per chunk), streaming generation is intrinsically aligned with the principles of Test-Time Scaling. In this paper, we introduce **Stream-T1**, a novel Test-Time Scaling framework tailored for streaming video generation. Combined with candidate selection, *Stream-T1* actively optimizes the generation trajectory by dynamically refining both the latent noise and the context memory. First, we design a **Stream-Scaled Noise Propagation** mechanism that actively refines the initial latent noise of the current chunk using historically proven, high-quality trajectories, anchoring the exploration space to ensure smooth temporal transitions. Second, we formulate a **Stream-Scaled Reward Pruning** to evaluate generated candidates, establishing an equilibrium between local spatial aesthetics and global temporal coherence. Finally, guided by these precise reward signals, we introduce a **Stream-Scaled Memory Sinking**. It dynamically routes the context evicted from KV-cache into distinct updating pathways (Discard, EMA-Sink, or Append-Sink) through semantic boundary detection, effectively decoupling short-term continuity from long-term memory preservation, ensuring that previously generated visual information effectively anchors and guides the subsequent video stream, thereby maintaining global consistency and coherence over extremely long horizons.

Extensive experiments on 5s and 30s video generation benchmarks demonstrate that *Stream-T1* establishes new state-of-the-art performance. Compared to strong baselines, our method significantly improves temporal consistency, motion smoothness, and frame-level visual quality.

In summary, our main contributions are threefold:

- **Concept**. We pioneer the exploration of Test-Time Scaling in streaming video generation and propose *Stream-T1*, the first comprehensive framework tailored for this paradigm. By jointly leveraging search algorithms to expand the candidate space and active strategies to refine the generation process, it significantly enhances the overall quality of the generated videos.
- **Technology**. The proposed *Stream-T1* framework consists of three components: (1) *Stream-Scaled Noise Propagation*, which actively refines the initial latent noise of the generating chunk using historically proven, high-quality previous chunk noise; (2) *Stream-Scaled Reward Pruning*, which comprehensively evaluates generated candidates to strike an optimal balance between local spatial aesthetics and global temporal coherence; (3) *Stream-Scaled Memory Sinking*, which dynamically manages the KV-cache updating pathways guided by the reward feedback, effectively preserving long-term semantics and guiding the subsequent video stream.
- **Performance**. Comprehensive quantitative and qualitative evaluations reveal that *Stream-T1* significantly outperforms existing state-of-the-art baselines, showcasing remarkable long-term stability and visual fidelity in extended video generation.

2 Related Work

2.1 Test-time Video generation

Test-Time Scaling[1, 7, 9, 13, 15, 19, 24, 27, 31, 34, 35, 52, 57] boosts the performance of pre-trained models by increasing the computational budget directly during the inference phase. Existing test-time scaling methods primarily operates as search, utilizing feedback mechanisms to select the optimal samples from multiple candidates. For instance, ImagerySearch[41] dynamically adjusts both the inference search space and reward function according to semantic relationships in the prompt. EvoSearch[9] reformulates test-time scaling for diffusion and flow models as an evolutionary search problem, leveraging principles from biological evolution to efficiently explore and refine the denoising trajectory. Video-T1[21] applies TTS to video generation through a frame-by-frame autoregressive paradigm guided by beam search. Nevertheless, high-dimensional video latent search space and the requirement of multi-step denoising dramatically inflate computational costs. Our proposed Stream-T1, tailors TTS for streaming video generation characterized by chunk-level synthesis and few-step denoising. By inherently forming a "shallow search tree with wide branches", our framework maximizes the computational cost-effectiveness.

2.2 Memory Management in Streaming Video Generation

As the video duration extends, streaming generation modeling the full conditional probability requires the continuous accumulation of historical information, inevitably leading to severe context overload and computational bottlenecks. To mitigate this memory explosion, existing approaches[10, 18, 25, 45] heavily rely on heuristic context management strategies, yet they frequently fall victim to a severe spatial-temporal trade-off. For instance, methods employing naive sliding window attention (e.g., Self-forcing[10]) aggressively discard early history, which inherently causes global inconsistency and severe quality drift over time. To preserve early context, subsequent works like LongLive[45] incorporate a static attention sink mechanism, however, relying on fixed initial frames fails to capture intermediate semantic changes, often leading to unnatural subject morphing and frame repetition. Even advanced strategies like Reward Forcing[25] attempt to compress discarded history via exponential moving average updates, but their indiscriminate fusion of historical states inevitably blurs and corrupts distinct semantic features during sudden motion changes or scene transitions. Our proposed Stream-Scaled Memory Sinking dynamically routes the context evicted from KV-cache window into distinct updating pathways (Discard, EMA-Sink, or Append-Sink) through semantic boundary detection, effectively decoupling short-term continuity from long-term memory preservation, ensuring that previously generated visual information effectively anchors and guides the subsequent video stream.

3 Methodology

The overall pipeline of our approach is illustrated in Figure 1. Built upon the LongLive[45], our framework employs beam search algorithms to systematically expand the candidate space. Specifically, for each autoregressive chunk, Stream-T1 operates through three distinct phases. First, prior to synthesis, **Stream-Scaled Noise Propagation** mechanism (Section 3.2) actively refines the initial latent noise of the generating chunk using historically proven, high-quality chunk noise. Second, following the generation, we formulate a **Stream-Scaled Reward Pruning** (Section 3.3) that comprehensively evaluates generated chunk candidates, establishing an optimal equilibrium between local spatial aesthetics and global temporal coherence. Finally, post-pruning, we introduce an **Stream-Scaled Memory Sinking** (Section 3.4), which dynamically routes the context evicted from KV-cache into distinct updating pathways through semantic boundary detection, ensuring that previously generated visual information effectively anchors and guides the subsequent video stream.

Formally, taking the generation of the n -th chunk as a concrete example, we elaborate on our test-time scaling methodology across three sequential stages: pre-synthesis conditional noise initialization, post-synthesis reward-guided pruning, and post-pruning adaptive memory sinking.

3.1 Preliminary

Autoregressive video diffusion models: Current text-to-video generation are primarily dominated by diffusion and autoregressive architectures. Video diffusion models[2, 8, 17, 30, 33, 37, 38, 46, 51, 55] typically leverage bidirectional attention mechanisms to denoise all frames concurrently. While impressive, this global parallel




Figure 1 Overview of the Stream-T1 chunk-level scaling pipeline. For each chunk, our test-time scaling mechanism operates in three sequential stages: (1)Stream-Scaled Noise Propagation, where the initial noise latents for the current chunk are actively sampled, conditioned on the optimal noise trajectories retained from the previous chunk. (2)Stream-Scaled Reward Pruning, where fully generated chunk candidates are holistically evaluated via a Long-Short Combined Reward to balance local spatial aesthetics with global temporal coherence. Based on this feedback, suboptimal branches are pruned to propagate only the optimal generation trajectories.(3)Stream-Scaled Memory Sinking, where the memory sink is adaptively updated based on reward scores to preserve long-term semantics and guide the subsequent video generation. We present the full search trajectory of the illustrated case in the Figure 2.

mechanism inherently restricts the maximum duration of generated videos. Conversely, autoregressive models[4, 6, 14, 16, 32, 44, 48, 49] operate on a sequential generation paradigm, predicting tokens based on historical contexts. Recently, autoregressive Video Diffusion Models[5, 10, 20, 23, 40, 47] have emerged to elegantly integrate the strengths of both paradigms via temporal autoregressive generation and spatial iterative denoising. Given a text prompt c , the chain rule factorizes the joint distribution of the N video frames denoted as $x^{1:N} = (x^1, x^2, \dots, x^N)$ into a product of conditional distributions: $p_\theta(x^{1:N}|c) = \prod_{i=1}^N p_\theta(x^i|x^{<i}, c)$. Within this framework, each AR generation step—representing the conditional distribution $p_\theta(x^i|x^{<i}, c)$ is modeled by a few-step denoising diffusion model G_θ . Given a defined set of denoising timesteps $\{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_T\}$, where t_T denotes pure noise and t_0 denotes the clean data, the model generates the i -th chunk by progressively denoising an initial Gaussian noise $x_{t_T}^i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$ conditioned on the previously generated history $x^{<i}$. Specifically, at a given timestep t_j , the diffusion model G_θ first predicts the intermediate clean sample $\hat{x}_{t_0}^i$. Subsequently, a forward noising process $\Psi(\cdot)$ is applied to this clean estimate to inject controlled Gaussian noise, yielding the state $x_{t_{j-1}}^i$ for the next step. Thus, the iterative generation process can be formulated as :

$$p_\theta(x^i|x^{<i}, c) = f_{\theta, t_1} \circ f_{\theta, t_2} \circ \dots \circ f_{\theta, t_T}(x_{t_T}^i), \quad (1)$$

where $f_{\theta, t_j}(x_{t_j}^i) = \Psi(G_\theta(x_{t_j}^i, t_j, x^{<i}, c), \epsilon_{t_{j-1}}, t_{j-1})$.

However, as the video duration extends, modeling the full conditional probability $p(x^i|x^{<i})$ leads to severe con-




Figure 2 The complete search path of the case in the Figure 1.

text overload and computational bottlenecks. Self-forcing[10] approximates the condition as $p(x^i|x^{i-w+1:i-1})$ with a window size w . However, the aggressive discarding of early history inherently causes global inconsistency over time. LongLive models[45] $p(x^i|x^1, x^{i-w+1:i-1})$ by anchoring the initial chunks as a global reference. It heavily relies on static initial context and fails to capture intermediate semantic changes. More recently, Reward Forcing[25] introduced EMA-Sink, compressing all historical information without distinction, inevitably blurring distinct semantic features, particularly during sudden motion changes or scene transitions.

3.2 Stream-Scaled Noise Propagation

Existing works[56] have shown that the choice of initial noise profoundly impacts the generation quality of models. EvoSearch[9] further demonstrated that neighboring latent states typically share highly similar generation qualities. Building upon this insight within our autoregressive chunk-wise framework, we introduce a Stream-Scaled Noise Propagation mechanism. This approach focuses on bridging the connection between the initialization noise of the current chunk and the historical noise latents of previously generated high quality video. By capitalizing on this structural correlation, we successfully guide the ongoing synthesis process, leading to significant improvements in video quality.

Rather than randomly sampling the initialization noise x_T^n for the n -th chunk from a standard Gaussian distribution, we construct it based on the optimal noise latent from the preceding chunk. This approach effectively establishes temporal dependency, utilizing the historical Gaussian prior to guide the current generation. Specifically, x_T^n is initialized via spherical interpolation:

$$x_T^0 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}), \quad (2)$$

$$x_T^n = \beta x_T^{n-1} + \sqrt{1 - \beta^2} \epsilon, \quad \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}), \quad (3)$$

where $\beta \in (-1, 1)$ is an interpolation hyperparameter governing the degree of temporal correlation between adjacent chunks. Crucially, this interpolation guarantees that the marginal distribution of the noise remains strictly invariant, consistently adhering to the standard isotropic Gaussian $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$.

3.3 Stream-Scaled Reward Pruning

TTS in video generation relies heavily on search algorithms and reward functions, where the latter serves as the crucial compass for navigating the search space. Our method adopts a Beam Search algorithm guided by our well-designed reward function. For each chunk step, we maintain a beam of K viable candidates. Each of these K candidates is then expanded by generating M alternative next chunks, resulting in a newly expanded pool of $K \times M$ candidates. Based on the evaluative feedback from the reward function, we prune the search space by selecting the top- K candidates to carry forward. Tailored to the inherent chunk-by-chunk generation paradigm of streaming video, we propose Stream-Scaled Reward Pruning. This mechanism preserves the high-fidelity aesthetic quality of local short sequences, while simultaneously enforcing the overarching temporal coherence across global long sequences.

Specifically, the evaluation of the generated n -th chunk x_0^n is decoupled into two complementary components. The short score is derived by applying an image reward model to independently assess all frames comprising the chunk. Conversely, the long score is computed over an extended temporal context; by incorporating a sliding window, a video reward model evaluates the sequence within the window, comprehensively factoring in text alignment, visual quality, and motion coherence.

$$S_{\text{short}}^n = \frac{1}{F} \sum_{f=1}^F \text{ImageReward}(x_0^n[:, f]), \quad (4)$$

$$S_{\text{long}}^n = \text{VideoReward}(\text{output}[:, \max(0, n - w + 1) : n]), \quad (5)$$

where F denotes the total number of frames within chunk x_0^n , and w represents the size of the sliding window for long sequence evaluation, $\text{output}[:, \max(0, n - w + 1) : n]$ explicitly denotes the concatenated video sequence comprising the most recently generated chunks within the sliding window.

Through above formulation, S_{short}^n captures the average spatial fidelity across individual frames, while S_{long}^n holistically assesses the temporal coherence over a broader contextual horizon. To achieve the optimal balance between local aesthetics and global coherence, we design a dynamic weighted fusion strategy with threshold constraint. The weight assigned to the short sequence score linearly increases based on the absolute positional index of the current chunk relative to the entire video length, until it reaches a predefined upper bound where it remains constant. This mechanism dynamically negotiates the trade-off between refining frame-level details and aligning inter-chunk motions. Crucially, the introduction of this threshold constraint avoids frame repetition and stagnation. caused by excessively high short score weight, setting a stable boundary for the balance between spatial fidelity and temporal coherence. The final score for the n -th chunk is formulated as:

$$S_{\text{final}}^n = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{N} \cdot S_{\text{short}}^n + (1 - \frac{n}{N}) \cdot S_{\text{long}}^n, & \frac{n}{N} \leq \tau, \\ \tau \cdot S_{\text{short}}^n + (1 - \tau) \cdot S_{\text{long}}^n, & \frac{n}{N} > \tau, \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

where n denotes the index of the current chunk being generated, N represents the total number of chunks in the target video, and τ is the predefined threshold constraint.

3.4 Stream-Scaled Memory Sinking

Fully capitalizing on the inherent strengths of the autoregressive paradigm, we deeply investigate how to effectively harness previously synthesized video context to condition and guide the subsequent generation. This strategic utilization of historical information is pivotal for ensuring rigorous semantic alignment and temporal coherence throughout the entire video stream. Based on this, We introduce Stream-Scaled Memory Sinking, a reward-guided dynamic memory updating mechanism that adaptively alternates among discarding, EMA smoothing and appending, ensuring both short term continuity and long term semantic.

3.4.1 Semantic Boundary Detection

To determine the optimal memory updating strategy for the evicted video chunk, we formulate two critical conditions based on the reward score gained from Stream-Scaled Reward Pruning:

Quality Gate: We first ensure that only high quality chunks are introduced into the global sink. We define the quality condition as:

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{quality}} := S_{\text{short}}^n - \bar{S}_{\text{short}} > \tau_{\text{short}}, \quad (7)$$

where S_{short}^n is the image reward score of the n-th video chunk and \bar{S}_{short} denotes the moving average of historical short scores, and τ_{short} is a predefined threshold. Satisfying this condition guarantees that the KV-cache to be stored possesses sufficient generation quality without visual degradation.

Transition Detector: To identify scene transitions or significant motion changes, we monitor the fluctuation of the long-term video reward. The transition condition is defined as:

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{transition}} := S_{\text{long}}^{n-1} - S_{\text{long}}^n > \tau_{\text{long}}, \quad (8)$$

where S_{long}^n is the temporal coherence score of the n-th video chunk concatenated with historical chunks, and S_{long}^{n-1} is the score from the previous chunk. A significant drop exceeding the threshold τ_{long} indicates a disruption in temporal continuity, implying the emergence of a new scene or drastic action.

3.4.2 Dynamic Memory Mechanism

Our baseline model, LongLive, employs a sliding window mechanism equipped with attention sinks for context management. Formally, assuming the KV cache has a sliding window size of w , when synthesizing the chunk x_{n+w} , the key-value pair (K^n, V^n) corresponding to the oldest chunk x_n is shifted out of the window and naively discarded. Instead of unconditionally discarding the evicted chunk, our method adaptively determines its specific handling operation based on the feedback derived from the Stream-Scaled Reward Pruning.

Specifically, we dynamically route (K^n, V^n) through one of the following three distinct updating pathways:

Discard If the evicted chunk x_n fails to pass the quality gate ($-\mathcal{C}_{\text{quality}}$), to prevent error accumulation and context pollution, we permanently discard (K^n, V^n) , regardless of its temporal coherence.

$$S_K^{n+w} = S_K^{n+w-1}, \quad (9)$$

$$S_V^{n+w} = S_V^{n+w-1}. \quad (10)$$

EMA-Sink When the evicted chunk x_n possesses high spatial quality but triggers no semantic transition ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{quality}} \wedge \neg \mathcal{C}_{\text{transition}}$), it indicates smooth, continuous motion within the same scene, implying that the n-th chunk contains excessive redundant information overlapping with the preceding video content. To preserve this local temporal coherence without incurring additional GPU memory overhead, we integrate (K^n, V^n) into the latest sink:

$$S_K^{n+w} = [S_K^{n+w-1}[: -1]; \alpha \cdot S_K^{n+w-1}[-1 :] + (1 - \alpha) \cdot K^n], \quad (11)$$

$$S_V^{n+w} = [S_V^{n+w-1}[: -1]; \alpha \cdot S_V^{n+w-1}[-1 :] + (1 - \alpha) \cdot V^n], \quad (12)$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ is a fixed decay factor. This Sink-EMA operation acts as a continuous update mechanism for the Short Memory, compressing redundant sequential chunks into a stable, fixed-size representation.

Append-Sink If the high-quality chunk coincides with a significant drop in long term coherence ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{quality}} \wedge \mathcal{C}_{\text{transition}}$), it serves as a strong indicator of a semantic shift, such as a scene shift or a drastically new action. Applying naive EMA in this scenario would indiscriminately blend distinct visual features, causing semantic blurring and feature corruption. Therefore, we directly append (K^n, V^n) to the global sink sequence as a new, discrete anchor:

$$S_K^{n+w} = [S_K^{n+w-1}; K^n], \quad (13)$$

$$S_V^{n+w} = [S_V^{n+w-1}; V^n]. \quad (14)$$

During attention computation, we concat the latest sink states with the local window context:

$$K_{\text{global}}^{n+w} = [S_K^{n+w}; K^{n+1:n+w}], \quad (15)$$

$$V_{\text{global}}^{n+w} = [S_V^{n+w}; V^{n+1:n+w}]. \quad (16)$$

By dynamically alternating among discarding, EMA and appending guided by reward feedback, our mechanism effectively decouples short term continuity from long term memory preservation. It overcomes both the rigid frame copying issue of static sinks and the feature corruption caused by uniform EMA blending, paving the way for consistent, long video generation.

4 Experiments

4.1 Experiments Settings

4.1.1 Implementation Details

We evaluate our scaling method on LongLive[45], which is built on Wan2.1-T2V-1.3B[37]. Following LongLive, the initial KV cache strategy is set an attention window size of 9 alongside a sink size of 3. The feedback mechanism in our pipeline is instantiated as a dual-level evaluation framework. Specifically, for short-sequence evaluation, we adopt established image reward models (e.g., HPSv3[26], ImageReward[42], MHP[53]) to assess frame-level spatial aesthetics and visual fidelity. Conversely, for long-sequence evaluation, we employ state-of-the-art video reward models (e.g., VisionReward[43], VideoAlign[22], VideoLLaMA3[50]). These video-based models are applied over a sliding window of 10 chunks to holistically measure temporal coherence and global dynamics within extended contexts. We use random seed 42 for all experiments, and each generated video at 16 FPS with a resolution of 832×480 .

4.1.2 Evaluation Benchmark

To comprehensively validate the effectiveness of our method, we conduct systematic evaluations on both short (5s) and long (30s) video generation. The 5s videos are synthesized using a comprehensive set of 946 prompts from VBench[11, 12], whereas the 30s videos are generated using the first 128 prompts from MovieGen[29]. We evaluate the 5s and 30s videos using VBench[11] and VBench-long[12] respectively, measuring Subject Consistency, Background Consistency, Motion Smoothness, Aesthetic Quality, and Imaging Quality. Additionally, to capture human visual preferences, we employ VideoAlign[22], a video reward model built upon Qwen2-VL-2B[39] and trained on a dataset of multi-faceted human preferences to align automated video quality evaluation with human perception, to evaluate the videos across three dimensions: visual quality(VQ), motion quality(MQ), and text alignment(TA).

4.2 Comparison with state-of-the-art methods

4.2.1 Quantitative Results

To comprehensively evaluate the effectiveness of our proposed Stream-T1, we compare against three representative open-source models: CausVid[47], Self-forcing[10], LongLive[45] on both 5s and 30s video generation. Overall, extensive experimental analyses demonstrate that Stream-T1 significantly and comprehensively elevates the temporal consistency, motion coherence, and frame-level visual fidelity of the generated videos.

On 5s clips shown in Tab. 1, Stream-T1 records the best results on six quality metrics(Subject Consistency, Background Consistency, Motion Smoothness, Aesthetic Quality, MQ and TA) and ranks the second on Imaging Quality and VQ.

Method	VBench \uparrow					VideoAlign \uparrow		
	Subject Consistency	Background Consistency	Motion Smoothness	Imaging Quality	Aesthetic Quality	VQ	MQ	TA
CausVid[47]	96.33	95.56	98.66	69.69	62.90	0.433	0.550	1.02
Self-forcing[10]	95.26	95.67	98.67	71.61	63.97	0.099	0.088	1.193
LongLive[45]	97.00	96.78	99.12	71.28	65.28	0.285	0.350	1.193
Stream-T1 (on LongLive)	97.25	97.05	99.15	71.42	65.98	0.426	0.629	1.305
	$\Delta 0.26\%$	$\Delta 0.28\%$	$\Delta 0.03\%$	$\Delta 0.2\%$	$\Delta 1.07\%$	$\Delta 49.47\%$	$\Delta 79.71\%$	$\Delta 9.39\%$

Table 1 Quantitative comparison with baseline of 5s video generation. The best results are highlighted in bold

On 30s videos shown in Tab. 2, Stream-T1 outperforms state-of-the-art baselines across almost all metrics. Stream-T1 achieves the highest scores in Subject Consistency, Background Consistency, Motion Smoothness, Imaging Quality, and Aesthetic Quality. This superiority is further corroborated by the human-aligned VideoAlign, where our model obtains the best VQ and TA. And Stream-T1 gets second score in MQ.

Method	VBench Long↑					VideoAlign↑		
	Subject Consistency	Background Consistency	Motion Smoothness	Imaging Quality	Aesthetic Quality	VQ	MQ	TA
CausVid	97.91	96.74	98.15	66.32	59.71	-0.144	0.328	0.501
Self-forcing	97.18	96.37	98.35	68.35	59.19	-0.461	-0.216	0.656
LongLive	97.90	96.82	98.78	68.99	61.56	-0.169	-0.002	1.073
Stream-T1 (on LongLive)	98.43	97.18	99.03	69.10	62.11	-0.073	0.226	1.170
	△0.54%	△0.37%	△0.25%	△0.16%	△0.89%	△56.8%	△11400%	△9%

Table 2 Quantitative comparison with baseline of 30s video generation. The best results are highlighted in bold

4.2.2 Qualitative Results

As shown in Fig. 3, with increasing video length, existing baseline models suffer from severe quality degradation. Specifically, CausVid[47] and Self-Forcing[10] encounter severe frame-level visual distortion in long-sequence generation. Although LongLive[45] mitigates spatial distortion to some extent, it experiences a drastic drop in temporal consistency. In contrast, Stream-T1 demonstrates remarkable long-term stability, consistently maintaining high spatiotemporal coherence and superior visual aesthetics throughout the entire 30s video.

4.3 Comparison with Test-Time Scaling

We contrast our approach with standard test-time scaling algorithms, specifically Best-of-N and Beam Search. While these methods attempt to mitigate generation errors through redundant candidate sampling or iterative exploration, they fundamentally operate under a passive selection paradigm, merely picking the most sub-optimal outcome from a fixed generated pool. In contrast, our framework shifts to an active optimization paradigm. Rather than merely expanding the search space, we actively guide the video generation process by dynamically refining both the latent noise and the context memory. As demonstrated by the quantitative results in Tab. 3, this active intervention strategy exhibits profound superiority, achieving state-of-the-art performance across all evaluative metrics.

Method	VBench Long↑					VideoAlign↑		
	Subject Consistency	Background Consistency	Motion Smoothness	Imaging Quality	Aesthetic Quality	VQ	MQ	TA
LongLive	97.90	96.82	98.78	68.99	61.56	-0.169	-0.002	1.073
+ Best of N	98.13	96.88	98.86	69.34	61.97	-0.083	0.062	1.160
+ BeamSearch	98.28	97.03	98.90	69.05	61.85	-0.077	0.165	1.159
+ Ours	98.43	97.18	99.03	69.10	62.11	-0.073	0.226	1.170

Table 3 Quantitative comparison with test-time scaling methods of 30s video generation. Stream-T1 achieving state-of-the-art performance across all evaluative metrics.

4.4 Ablations

To thoroughly validate the individual contributions of our proposed components, we conduct comprehensive ablation studies on the 30s video generation using the first 128 prompts from MovieGen. Both quantitative metrics and extensive qualitative visual comparisons consistently demonstrate the necessity and efficacy of our designed modules.

A stop motion animation in a charming hand-drawn style. The flower is a vibrant sunflower, with its petals unfurling gracefully. The windowsill is adorned with small potted plants and a few scattered books. The house has a cozy ...



A handheld tracking shot following a red balloon floating above the ground in an abandoned street. The balloon drifts gracefully, its bright red color contrasting sharply against the decaying urban backdrop. The street is littered with ...




Figure 3 Qualitative comparisons of Stream-T1 with Causvid, Self-Forcing, and LongLive. Stream-T1 significantly elevates the temporal consistency and frame-level visual fidelity of the generated videos.

4.4.1 Qualitative experiment on each component

As illustrated by the qualitative results in Figure 4, omitting the Stream-Scaled Memory Sinking degrades background stability. Removing the Stream-Scaled Noise Propagation introduces local structural artifacts (e.g., on the subject’s tail). Finally, eliminating the Stream-Scaled Reward Pruning leads to distinct semantic misalignment and deteriorated aesthetic quality. These findings confirm that each component is essential for high-quality video generation.

4.4.2 Quantitative experiment on each component

The ablation study in Tab. 4 shows that removing the Stream-Scaled Memory Sinking leads to a noticeable gain in Imaging Quality, it severely compromises Subject and Background Consistency. This sharp deterioration

A vibrant cartoon-style illustration depicting a kangaroo performing a lively disco dance. The kangaroo has a joyful expression, with large, expressive eyes and a mischievous grin. It wears a colorful sequined outfit with sparkles, including a glittery top and matching pants ...



Figure 4 Qualitative ablation studies on each component. Omitting the Stream-Scaled Memory Sinking degrades background stability. Removing the Stream-Scaled Noise Propagation introduces local structural artifacts (e.g., on the subject’s tail). Eliminating the Stream-Scaled Reward Pruning leads to distinct semantic misalignment and deteriorated aesthetic quality.

effectively validates the crucial role of our dynamic context management in sustaining robust long-term temporal coherence over extended video sequences. Furthermore, ablating the Stream-Scaled Noise Propagation results in a uniform performance drop across all metrics, validating its necessity for overall stability. Lastly, eliminating the Stream-Scaled Reward Pruning marginally increases Imaging Quality but causes a drastic decline in all other metrics, explicitly emphasizing the necessity of our long-short combined reward in balancing spatial and temporal dynamics.

Method	VBench Long \uparrow					VideoAlign \uparrow		
	Subject Consistency	Background Consistency	Motion Smoothness	Imaging Quality	Aesthetic Quality	VQ	MQ	TA
w/o Stream-Scaled Memory Sinking	98.30	97.04	98.92	69.51	61.90	-0.083	0.188	1.146
w/o Stream-Scaled Noise Propagation	98.35	97.14	98.98	69.07	61.99	-0.094	0.176	1.164
w/o Stream-Scaled Reward Pruning	98.04	96.88	98.87	69.17	61.22	-0.173	0.014	1.035
Ours	98.43	97.18	99.03	69.10	62.11	-0.073	0.226	1.170

Table 4 Quantitative ablation studies on each component of 30s video generation.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we introduced **Stream-T1**, a novel TTS framework tailored for streaming video generation. Stream-T1 operates through three distinct phases. First, Stream-Scaled Noise Propagation actively refines the initial latent noise of the generating chunk using historically proven, high-quality previous chunk noise. Upon generation, Stream-Scaled Reward Pruning module conducts a comprehensive evaluation fusing short-term spatial and long-term temporal metrics. This holistic feedback is also to derive dynamic memory updating signals. These signals govern the KV-cache sink updates, guiding the context management for subsequent video generation. Evaluated on comprehensive benchmarks, Stream-T1 significantly improves temporal consistency, motion smoothness, and frame-level visual quality.

References

- [1] Mohammad Ali Alomrani, Yingxue Zhang, Derek Li, Qianyi Sun, Soumyasundar Pal, Zhanguang Zhang, Yaochen Hu, Rohan Deepak Ajwani, Antonios Valkanas, Raika Karimi, et al. Reasoning on a budget: A survey of adaptive and controllable test-time compute in llms. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2507.02076](#), 2025.
- [2] Andreas Blattmann, Tim Dockhorn, Sumith Kulal, Daniel Mendelevitch, Maciej Kilian, Dominik Lorenz, Yam Levi, Zion English, Vikram Voleti, Adam Letts, et al. Stable video diffusion: Scaling latent video diffusion models to large datasets. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.15127](#), 2023.
- [3] Guibin Chen, Dixuan Lin, Jiangping Yang, Chunze Lin, Junchen Zhu, Mingyuan Fan, Hao Zhang, Sheng Chen, Zheng Chen, Chengcheng Ma, et al. Skyreels-v2: Infinite-length film generative model. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2504.13074](#), 2025.
- [4] Haoge Deng, Ting Pan, Haiwen Diao, Zhengxiong Luo, Yufeng Cui, Huchuan Lu, Shiguang Shan, Yonggang Qi, and Xinlong Wang. Autoregressive video generation without vector quantization. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.14169](#), 2024.
- [5] Kaifeng Gao, Jiaxin Shi, Hanwang Zhang, Chungping Wang, Jun Xiao, and Long Chen. Ca2-vdm: Efficient autoregressive video diffusion model with causal generation and cache sharing. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.16375](#), 2024.
- [6] Yuchao Gu, Weijia Mao, and Mike Zheng Shou. Long-context autoregressive video modeling with next-frame prediction. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.19325](#), 2025.
- [7] Daya Guo, Dejian Yang, Haowei Zhang, Junxiao Song, Peiyi Wang, Qihao Zhu, Runxin Xu, Ruoyu Zhang, Shirong Ma, Xiao Bi, et al. Deepseek-r1 incentivizes reasoning in llms through reinforcement learning. *Nature*, 645(8081): 633–638, 2025.
- [8] Yuwei Guo, Ceyuan Yang, Anyi Rao, Zhengyang Liang, Yaohui Wang, Yu Qiao, Maneesh Agrawala, Dahua Lin, and Bo Dai. Animatediff: Animate your personalized text-to-image diffusion models without specific tuning. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.04725](#), 2023.
- [9] Haoran He, Jiajun Liang, Xintao Wang, Pengfei Wan, Di Zhang, Kun Gai, and Ling Pan. Scaling image and video generation via test-time evolutionary search. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2505.17618](#), 2025.
- [10] Xun Huang, Zhengqi Li, Guande He, Mingyuan Zhou, and Eli Shechtman. Self forcing: Bridging the train-test gap in autoregressive video diffusion. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2506.08009](#), 2025.
- [11] Ziqi Huang, Yanan He, Jiashuo Yu, Fan Zhang, Chenyang Si, Yuming Jiang, Yuanhan Zhang, Tianxing Wu, Qingyang Jin, Nattapol Chanpaisit, et al. Vbench: Comprehensive benchmark suite for video generative models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 21807–21818, 2024.
- [12] Ziqi Huang, Fan Zhang, Xiaojie Xu, Yanan He, Jiashuo Yu, Ziyue Dong, Qianli Ma, Nattapol Chanpaisit, Chenyang Si, Yuming Jiang, et al. Vbench++: Comprehensive and versatile benchmark suite for video generative models. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 2025.
- [13] Aaron Jaech, Adam Kalai, Adam Lerer, Adam Richardson, Ahmed El-Kishky, Aiden Low, Alec Helyar, Aleksander Madry, Alex Beutel, Alex Carney, et al. Openai o1 system card. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.16720](#), 2024.
- [14] Longbin Ji, Xiaoxiong Liu, Junyuan Shang, Shuohuan Wang, Yu Sun, Hua Wu, and Haifeng Wang. Videoar: Autoregressive video generation via next-frame & scale prediction. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2601.05966](#), 2026.
- [15] Minsuk Ji, Sanghyeok Lee, and Namhyuk Ahn. Compositional image synthesis with inference-time scaling. In *ICASSP 2026-2026 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 4441–4445. IEEE, 2026.
- [16] Dan Kondratyuk, Lijun Yu, Xiuye Gu, José Lezama, Jonathan Huang, Grant Schindler, Rachel Hornung, Vighnesh Birodkar, Jimmy Yan, Ming-Chang Chiu, et al. Videopoet: A large language model for zero-shot video generation. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.14125](#), 2023.
- [17] Weijie Kong, Qi Tian, Zijian Zhang, Rox Min, Zuozhuo Dai, Jin Zhou, Jiangfeng Xiong, Xin Li, Bo Wu, Jianwei Zhang, et al. Hunyuanvideo: A systematic framework for large video generative models. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.03603](#), 2024.

- [18] Haodong Li, Shaoteng Liu, Zhe Lin, and Manmohan Chandraker. Rolling sink: Bridging limited-horizon training and open-ended testing in autoregressive video diffusion. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2602.07775](#), 2026.
- [19] Shufan Li, Konstantinos Kallidromitis, Akash Gokul, Arsh Koneru, Yusuke Kato, Kazuki Kozuka, and Aditya Grover. Reflect-dit: Inference-time scaling for text-to-image diffusion transformers via in-context reflection. In [Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision](#), pages 15657–15668, 2025.
- [20] Zongyi Li, Shujie Hu, Shujie Liu, Long Zhou, Jeongsoo Choi, Lingwei Meng, Xun Guo, Jinyu Li, Hefei Ling, and Furu Wei. Arlon: Boosting diffusion transformers with autoregressive models for long video generation. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.20502](#), 2024.
- [21] Fangfu Liu, Hanyang Wang, Yimo Cai, Kaiyan Zhang, Xiaohang Zhan, and Yueqi Duan. Video-t1: Test-time scaling for video generation. In [Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision](#), pages 18671–18681, 2025.
- [22] Jie Liu, Gongye Liu, Jiajun Liang, Ziyang Yuan, Xiaokun Liu, Mingwu Zheng, Xiele Wu, Qiulin Wang, Menghan Xia, Xintao Wang, et al. Improving video generation with human feedback. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.13918](#), 2025.
- [23] Kunhao Liu, Wenbo Hu, Jiale Xu, Ying Shan, and Shijian Lu. Rolling forcing: Autoregressive long video diffusion in real time. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2509.25161](#), 2025.
- [24] Runze Liu, Junqi Gao, Jian Zhao, Kaiyan Zhang, Xiu Li, Biqing Qi, Wanli Ouyang, and Bowen Zhou. Can 1b llm surpass 405b llm? rethinking compute-optimal test-time scaling. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.06703](#), 2025.
- [25] Yunhong Lu, Yanhong Zeng, Haobo Li, Hao Ouyang, Qiuyu Wang, Ka Leong Cheng, Jiapeng Zhu, Hengyuan Cao, Zhipeng Zhang, Xing Zhu, et al. Reward forcing: Efficient streaming video generation with rewarded distribution matching distillation. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2512.04678](#), 2025.
- [26] Yuhang Ma, Xiaoshi Wu, Keqiang Sun, and Hongsheng Li. Hpsv3: Towards wide-spectrum human preference score. In [Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision](#), pages 15086–15095, 2025.
- [27] Niklas Muennighoff, Zitong Yang, Weijia Shi, Xiang Lisa Li, Li Fei-Fei, Hannaneh Hajishirzi, Luke Zettlemoyer, Percy Liang, Emmanuel Candès, and Tatsunori B Hashimoto. s1: Simple test-time scaling. In [Proceedings of the 2025 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing](#), pages 20286–20332, 2025.
- [28] Yuta Oshima, Masahiro Suzuki, Yutaka Matsuo, and Hiroki Furuta. Inference-time text-to-video alignment with diffusion latent beam search. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.19252](#), 2025.
- [29] Adam Polyak, Amit Zohar, Andrew Brown, Andros Tjandra, Animesh Sinha, Ann Lee, Apoorv Vyas, Bowen Shi, Chih-Yao Ma, Ching-Yao Chuang, et al. Movie gen: A cast of media foundation models. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.13720](#), 2024.
- [30] Zhiwu Qing, Shiwei Zhang, Jiayu Wang, Xiang Wang, Yujie Wei, Yingya Zhang, Changxin Gao, and Nong Sang. Hierarchical spatio-temporal decoupling for text-to-video generation. In [Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition](#), pages 6635–6645, 2024.
- [31] Vignav Ramesh and Morteza Mardani. Test-time scaling of diffusion models via noise trajectory search. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2506.03164](#), 2025.
- [32] Shuhuai Ren, Shuming Ma, Xu Sun, and Furu Wei. Next block prediction: Video generation via semi-autoregressive modeling. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.07737](#), 2025.
- [33] Uriel Singer, Adam Polyak, Thomas Hayes, Xi Yin, Jie An, Songyang Zhang, Qiyuan Hu, Harry Yang, Oron Ashual, Oran Gafni, et al. Make-a-video: Text-to-video generation without text-video data. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.14792](#), 2022.
- [34] Raghav Singhal, Zachary Horvitz, Ryan Teehan, Mengye Ren, Zhou Yu, Kathleen McKeown, and Rajesh Ranganath. A general framework for inference-time scaling and steering of diffusion models. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.06848](#), 2025.
- [35] Charlie Victor Snell, Jaehoon Lee, Kelvin Xu, and Aviral Kumar. Scaling llm test-time compute optimally can be more effective than scaling parameters for reasoning. In [The Thirteenth International Conference on Learning Representations](#), 2025.

- [36] Hansi Teng, Hongyu Jia, Lei Sun, Lingzhi Li, Maolin Li, Mingqiu Tang, Shuai Han, Tianning Zhang, WQ Zhang, Weifeng Luo, et al. Magi-1: Autoregressive video generation at scale. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2505.13211](#), 2025.
- [37] Ang Wang, Baole Ai, Bin Wen, Chaojie Mao, Chen-Wei Xie, Di Chen, Feiwu Yu, Haiming Zhao, Jianxiao Yang, Jianyuan Zeng, et al. Wan: Open and advanced large-scale video generative models. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.20314](#), 3(4):6, 2025.
- [38] Jiuniu Wang, Hangjie Yuan, Dayou Chen, Yingya Zhang, Xiang Wang, and Shiwei Zhang. Modelscope text-to-video technical report. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.06571](#), 2023.
- [39] Peng Wang, Shuai Bai, Sinan Tan, Shijie Wang, Zhihao Fan, Jinze Bai, Keqin Chen, Xuejing Liu, Jialin Wang, Wenbin Ge, et al. Qwen2-vl: Enhancing vision-language model’s perception of the world at any resolution. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.12191](#), 2024.
- [40] Wenming Weng, Ruoyu Feng, Yanhui Wang, Qi Dai, Chunyu Wang, Dacheng Yin, Zhiyuan Zhao, Kai Qiu, Jianmin Bao, Yuhui Yuan, et al. Art-v: Auto-regressive text-to-video generation with diffusion models. In [Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition](#), pages 7395–7405, 2024.
- [41] Meiqi Wu, Jiashu Zhu, Xiaokun Feng, Chubin Chen, Chen Zhu, Bingze Song, Fangyuan Mao, Jiahong Wu, Xiangxiang Chu, and Kaiqi Huang. Imagerysearch: Adaptive test-time search for video generation beyond semantic dependency constraints. In [Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence](#), volume 40, pages 10700–10708, 2026.
- [42] Jiazheng Xu, Xiao Liu, Yuchen Wu, Yuxuan Tong, Qinkai Li, Ming Ding, Jie Tang, and Yuxiao Dong. Imagereward: Learning and evaluating human preferences for text-to-image generation. [Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems](#), 36:15903–15935, 2023.
- [43] Jiazheng Xu, Yu Huang, Jiale Cheng, Yuanming Yang, Jiajun Xu, Yuan Wang, Wenbo Duan, Shen Yang, Qunlin Jin, Shurun Li, et al. Visionreward: Fine-grained multi-dimensional human preference learning for image and video generation. In [Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence](#), volume 40, pages 11269–11277, 2026.
- [44] Wilson Yan, Yunzhi Zhang, Pieter Abbeel, and Aravind Srinivas. Videogpt: Video generation using vq-vae and transformers. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.10157](#), 2021.
- [45] Shuai Yang, Wei Huang, Ruihang Chu, Yicheng Xiao, Yuyang Zhao, Xianbang Wang, Muyang Li, Enze Xie, Yingcong Chen, Yao Lu, et al. Longlive: Real-time interactive long video generation. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2509.22622](#), 2025.
- [46] Zhuoyi Yang, Jiayan Teng, Wendi Zheng, Ming Ding, Shiyu Huang, Jiazheng Xu, Yuanming Yang, Wenyi Hong, Xiaohan Zhang, Guanyu Feng, et al. Cogvideox: Text-to-video diffusion models with an expert transformer. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.06072](#), 2024.
- [47] Tianwei Yin, Qiang Zhang, Richard Zhang, William T Freeman, Fredo Durand, Eli Shechtman, and Xun Huang. From slow bidirectional to fast autoregressive video diffusion models. In [Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition](#), pages 22963–22974, 2025.
- [48] Hu Yu, Biao Gong, Hangjie Yuan, DanDan Zheng, Weilong Chai, Jingdong Chen, Kecheng Zheng, and Feng Zhao. Videomar: Autoregressive video generatio with continuous tokens. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2506.14168](#), 2025.
- [49] Hangjie Yuan, Weihua Chen, Hu Yu, Jingyun Liang, Shuning Chang, Zhihui Lin, Tao Feng, Pengwei Liu, Jiazheng Xing, Hao Luo, et al. Lumos-1: On autoregressive video generation with discrete diffusion from a unified model perspective. In [The Fourteenth International Conference on Learning Representations](#).
- [50] Boqiang Zhang, Kehan Li, Zesen Cheng, Zhiqiang Hu, Yuqian Yuan, Guanzheng Chen, Sicong Leng, Yuming Jiang, Hang Zhang, Xin Li, et al. Videollama 3: Frontier multimodal foundation models for image and video understanding. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.13106](#), 2025.
- [51] David Junhao Zhang, Jay Zhangjie Wu, Jia-Wei Liu, Rui Zhao, Lingmin Ran, Yuchao Gu, Difei Gao, and Mike Zheng Shou. Show-1: Marrying pixel and latent diffusion models for text-to-video generation. [International Journal of Computer Vision](#), 133(4):1879–1893, 2025.
- [52] Qiyuan Zhang, Fuyuan Lyu, Zexu Sun, Lei Wang, Weixu Zhang, Zhihan Guo, Yufei Wang, Irwin King, Xue Liu, and Chen Ma. What, how, where, and how well? a survey on test-time scaling in large language models. [arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.24235](#), 2025.

- [53] Sixian Zhang, Bohan Wang, Junqiang Wu, Yan Li, Tingting Gao, Di Zhang, and Zhongyuan Wang. Learning multi-dimensional human preference for text-to-image generation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 8018–8027, 2024.
- [54] Zengqun Zhao, Ziquan Liu, Yu Cao, Shaogang Gong, Zhensong Zhang, Jifei Song, Jiankang Deng, and Ioannis Patras. Latsearch: Latent reward-guided search for faster inference-time scaling in video diffusion, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2603.14526>.
- [55] Daquan Zhou, Weimin Wang, Hanshu Yan, Weiwei Lv, Yizhe Zhu, and Jiashi Feng. Magicvideo: Efficient video generation with latent diffusion models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.11018, 2022.
- [56] Zikai Zhou, Shitong Shao, Lichen Bai, Shufei Zhang, Zhiqiang Xu, Bo Han, and Zeke Xie. Golden noise for diffusion models: A learning framework. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, pages 17688–17697, 2025.
- [57] Le Zhuo, Liangbing Zhao, Sayak Paul, Yue Liao, Renrui Zhang, Yi Xin, Peng Gao, Mohamed Elhoseiny, and Hongsheng Li. From reflection to perfection: Scaling inference-time optimization for text-to-image diffusion models via reflection tuning. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, pages 15329–15339, 2025.