

LEAN POOL: AN AI-MAINTAINED ARCHIVE OF FORMALIZED MATHEMATICS

Vasily Ilin

University of Washington

ABSTRACT

Lean Pool is a repository of formalized mathematics. It is grown, maintained and optimized by AI agents.

Disclaimer. The human-written portion of this paper consists of a single page. The author believes that it's enough to convey the main idea. The rest of the paper is produced almost entirely by AI.

1 LEAN POOL

Generative AI accounts for most of the recent AI progress, including the incredible recent advancements in mathematics. As generation becomes commodified, verification becomes the bottleneck. Lean [57] has emerged as the primary language to verify mathematical proof, both human-made and AI-generated. However, Lean's standard math library, Mathlib [207; 19], lacks the definitions and theorems needed to formalize much of research-level mathematics. Concerningly, Mathlib continues to grow at a linear rate due to the strict human review.

We introduce Lean Pool, a repository of formalized mathematics, which is grown, maintained, and optimized by AI agents. The Lean kernel guarantees correctness of proofs, and a combination of strict linters and LLM review aim to uphold the quality of definitions and theorem statements. Additionally, Lean Pool's codebase is regularly optimized for conciseness, compilation speed and RAM usage.

Growth. Lean Pool is grown in two primary ways. First, by AI agents discovering formalization projects under Apache-2.0 or MIT licenses and pooling them. Second, by human contributors pooling their projects. Only serious complete formalizations of named known results are eligible to be pooled. Both human-written and AI-generated projects are eligible. Pooling involves bumping the Lean version, making the Pull Request pass the Continuous Integration linters and the LLM reviewer, and optimizing the hotspots for lower compilation time and RAM.

Maintenance. Lean Pool is maintained in two ways. First, when Mathlib's version changes, an AI agent bumps Lean Pool's version and resolves the errors. Second, AI agents regularly optimize the codebase for conciseness, compilation speed and RAM usage.

Documentation. Lean Pool has three types of documentation: the traditional Index¹, Exposition², and daily project announcements in Zulip³.

Statistics. At the time of writing, Lean Pool contains 211 pooled projects. They comprise 3,228,485 lines of Lean code. There are 18 contributors. The Lean version has been bumped six times.

Vision. As formalization becomes easier and new math results are immediately formalized upon release, Lean Pool can serve as the formal analogue of the arXiv.org website – a place to quickly share new work, with minimal friction.

¹index: <https://vilin97.github.io/lean-pool/>

²Exposition: <https://vilin97.github.io/lean-pool/exposition/>

³Announcements: <https://leanprover.zulipchat.com/#narrow/channel/619231-Lean-Pool>

EXTENDED SUMMARY

As AI systems increasingly contribute to mathematical research, formal proofs make it possible to check arguments before they have been fully examined by mathematicians. Reusing these proofs requires more than preserving their source: formalizations must remain compatible with evolving libraries, and their results must be easy to find and understand. We present Lean Pool, a living archive of mathematical formalizations maintained together as Lean and Mathlib evolve. It combines AI agents, automated checks, and human oversight to maintain independently developed projects while preserving their attribution. We analyze the archive’s contribution and maintenance history, accepted optimizations, mathematical reviews, and evidence of reuse. The operational record shows that agent-assisted maintenance can restore compatibility across dependency upgrades and support library-wide proof shortening and compilation improvements. It also records follow-up repairs after initial automation, the resource demands of large reviews, and tradeoffs between reusable interfaces and compilation cost. Archived mathematics is reused in subsequent research-level formalization. We provide the archive, its maintenance workflows, and exposition site, aiming to provide a maintained formal counterpart to arXiv.

2 MOTIVATION AND SCOPE

AI systems can produce mathematical arguments faster than mathematicians can read and absorb them. Formalization makes the correctness of these arguments mechanically checkable while their ideas are still being understood. OpenAI’s *Ten Advances in Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science* and *Finite Time Blowup for Navier–Stokes* illustrate this role: both released long AI-generated arguments alongside Lean formalizations [175; 171]. The releases describe how formalization accompanied these discoveries [173; 172].

These formalizations can also become foundations for later research. A reader needs to find the relevant theorem, inspect its assumptions, and use it in a new development. That requires more than preserving the original source: as Lean and Mathlib evolve, the formalization must remain compatible with the libraries on which new work depends.

Lean Pool is a living archive for this purpose. It gives completed formalizations a persistent, searchable home while maintaining them together in a common Lean/Mathlib environment. Its contributors include people submitting their own mathematics, people using AI agents, and agents discovering and importing existing projects. The archive preserves attribution and project organization while applying shared checks to contributions and subsequent maintenance.

Admission rules. Completed projects must contain no `sorry` or `admit` and introduce no axioms beyond `Classical.choice`, `propext`, and `Quot.sound`. They must avoid `set_option`, unchecked declarations, and mechanisms that bypass the repository’s resource limits or linters. Every project requires a card identifying its authors, upstream source, proof provenance, and main results with their informal statements. Accepted source must carry an `Apache-2.0` or `MIT` license. The mechanical checks appear in Appendix B. Open challenges are kept separately from completed formalizations.

A formal counterpart to the mathematical literature. Figure 1 illustrates our proposal for connecting mathematical papers to a growing collection of maintained formalizations.

This paper analyzes the archive and the operations already used to maintain it: community contributions, dependency upgrades, accepted optimization changes, deployed LLM reviews, and the tools through which readers find and inspect mathematics. Historical builds show that agent-assisted upgrades restore compatibility. Accepted changes demonstrate library-wide proof shortening and faster compilation. A separate LeanEval audit documents reuse of archived mathematics in research-level formalization [15]. We provide the archive, its maintained workflows, and its exposition site.

3 CONTRIBUTING TO AND MAINTAINING THE ARCHIVE

Community contributions. Work enters Lean Pool through direct contributions and through attributed imports of upstream projects. A contributor can propose a repository or submit a prepared




Figure 1: The proposed relationship between arXiv and Lean Pool. New mathematics has both a paper and a maintained formalization. An author places the paper on arXiv and contributes its formalization to Lean Pool. Subsequent work cites the paper and imports the formalization, so formal dependencies can mirror the dependency graph of the mathematical literature. As formalization becomes easier and cheaper, we anticipate that most new mathematics papers will have accompanying formalizations. Lean Pool provides a home for these developments and maintains their connections as Lean and Mathlib evolve.

project. The PR history includes contributions of new formalizations, improvements to archived proofs, and infrastructure for discovering and checking projects. Appendix C.1 attributes these contributions.

A submitter and a formalization’s authors need not be the same people. Project cards retain upstream authorship even when a maintainer or an agent performs the import. Their provenance labels distinguish human-written, AI-written, and mixed proofs. GitHub accounts identify who submitted a change; they do not measure the division of labor between that person and their agents.

Recurring work. Daily jobs search for recent and older formalizations, inspect open PRs, address maintainer issues, optimize existing projects, and announce accepted contributions. A separate dependency-update workflow detects new Lean/Mathlib releases, builds the archive, assigns failing projects to repair agents, and assembles their patches for review. These job definitions evolve alongside the archive. Appendix C describes their responsibilities and outputs.

Continuous integration. CI combines a full-library build, warning checks, Mathlib’s declaration and source-style linters, and archive-specific quality gates. The latter check project cards, attribution, allowed axioms, proof and file sizes, and attempts to bypass the checks. A compiled-environment audit complements source scanning. Profiling reports the compilation cost of new files and compares modified files with their earlier versions; it is advisory in the observed workflow.

These checks share Mathlib’s build-and-lint foundation. Lean Pool adds admission rules for independently attributed projects and LLM review of their mathematical claims. Tau Ceti also uses Mathlib linters and an axiom audit, and its performance workflow makes resource regression checks a merge condition. Appendix B compares the mechanical checks and profiling methods directly.

Evidence and scope. We analyze dated observations of a continuously changing archive, together with its source history and retained public PR records. Compatibility evidence combines upgrade replays with the production upgrade logs. Optimization results describe accepted changes, and review statistics describe retained service reports. The build-resource comparison uses fresh clean library builds on the same machine. Appendix A specifies the observation periods and measurement scopes.

4 THE ARCHIVE AND EVIDENCE OF REUSE

The collection spans logic, number theory, algebra, analysis, geometry, probability, and computer science, with scale and participation summarized in Table 1.

Table 1: Scale and participation at the source observation specified in Appendix A. Physical source lines include comments and blank lines. Declaration commands are counted in source, excluding examples and generated auxiliaries. Project-card provenance describes proof authorship. Contributor counts use GitHub user accounts and exclude bots; commit contributors and merged-PR authors are counted separately. Community PRs exclude the maintainer account. Open challenges are outside the source census.

Archive property	Count
Completed projects	211
Lean source files	7,043
Physical source lines	3,228,485
Source declaration commands	193,862
Registered main results	837
Human / AI / mixed projects	70 / 102 / 39
Commit contributors	18
Contributors with merged PRs	17
Community PRs merged	63

Its contents range from classical structural theorems to recently proved results. The classification of compact surfaces development builds from triangulations to normal forms for surfaces with boundary. The incompleteness development formalizes Gödel’s theorems for arithmetic, together with arithmetization and provability logic. The polynomial Freiman–Ruzsa development uses entropy to establish bounds in additive combinatorics and reuses the archive’s existing entropy library. The Kurosh subgroup development likewise builds on archived results about fundamental groups of finite graphs. Other developments include the Navier–Stokes and Euler blowup developments, non-sofic groups, and results on quantum parallel repetition [171; 170; 175]. The Komlós development formalizes the vector-balancing bound and its Beck–Fiala discrepancy consequence [116]. The archive also contains a characterization of language generation in the limit, connecting formalized mathematics to learning theory [136]. Appendix I links the imported projects to their publications; the Lean Pool Zulip channel announces newly added results with attribution.

Figure 2 follows the archive’s growth and maintenance over time.




Figure 2: Growth of the living archive. The curves show registered projects and physical Lean source lines, including comments and blank lines. Dotted markers indicate dependency upgrades. The project count continues to grow through periods of proof golfing; the annotated dips in source size mark library-wide compression and compilation optimizations. A separate project removal is labeled explicitly.

Reuse in research-level mathematics. Lean Pool is the most reused external repository in the LeanEval structural audit [15]; Figure 6 in the appendix reports the comparison and its definition of reuse.

Build resources. Appendix A.2 compares clean builds of the current archive, Mathlib, and Tau Ceti on the same Azure host, with dependency caches prepared before timing.

5 MAINTAINING COMPATIBILITY AS DEPENDENCIES EVOLVE

A common environment remains useful only if archived projects can move with Lean and Mathlib. The dependency-update workflow tests unchanged source under new dependencies, assigns failures to agents, and checks the repaired projects together. Table 2 records the projects affected by historical upgrades.

Table 2: Projects encountering compiler failures under dependency upgrades. Earlier rows replay the original pre-upgrade source with the new environment. The final row uses the retained production probe before repairs. It measures the archive at that probe; subsequent imports and a separately accepted curation change altered membership before merge. The stable migration ultimately passed the combined archive build and repository checks. Warning-only cleanup is excluded from the failure count.

From Lean	To Lean	Projects at probe	Compiler failures
4.30.0-rc2	4.31.0-rc1	59	44
4.31.0-rc1	4.32.0-rc1	91	58
4.32.0-rc1	4.33.0-rc1	143	100
4.33.0-rc1	4.33.0-rc2	145	19
4.33.0-rc2	4.34.0-rc1	148	3
4.34.0-rc1	4.34.0	191	97

The original upstream environments, including earlier Lean releases, are listed for every imported project in Appendix H. The migration to stable Lean required follow-up integration after the initial repair jobs, including updates to supporting APIs; Appendix D records the production stages and source changes as a proxy for maintenance effort.

6 PROOF SHORTENING AND COMPILATION SPEED

A shared archive also permits improvements across independently developed projects. Accepted changes include library-wide proof compression, contributor-supplied golfing, replacement of expensive proof searches, and simplification of computation-heavy certificates. Table 3 measures their effect on the source size, complete-library build time, and peak memory at their historical revisions.

Table 4 reports import cleanup, proof simplification, and reusable-API work, using the project-level measurements recorded with those changes.

The archive has also adopted Lean modules and narrower imports alongside shared proof arguments; Appendix E reports the accepted change’s historical fixed-workload benchmark.

Table 3: Clean builds of the complete Lean Pool library before and after accepted PRs. Dependencies and build settings are fixed within each pair on the same Azure VM. Time is elapsed build time; RAM is sampled peak combined memory of the build workers. Parentheses give time saved, computed from unrounded measurements; negative values mean longer builds. Source savings include refactoring and declaration removal. Proof shortening does not uniformly reduce build time: contributor golfing uses less memory but takes longer in this run. Each row reports one clean before/after pair; Appendix E gives the protocol.

Accepted change	Lines removed	Build min ↓ before → after	RAM GiB ↓ before → after
117 Proof-search simplification	511	5.30 → 5.25 (+1.1%)	15.6 → 15.6
187 Library-wide compression	45,217	16.11 → 15.59 (+3.3%)	26.1 → 26.9
246 Contributor proof golfing	12,515	21.83 → 23.01 (-5.4%)	25.9 → 24.6
338 Certificate simplification	513	30.22 → 29.13 (+3.6%)	21.0 → 20.9
339 Elaboration-cost reduction	54,965	28.46 → 26.82 (+5.8%)	19.8 → 19.6

Table 4: Accepted project-level optimizations and API work. The proof optimizations reduce project compilation time, while the Navier–Stokes refactor adds reusable interfaces at a small compilation cost. Positive source reductions mean fewer lines; the API import has no comparable source-reduction baseline. Timings come from the linked PRs, with dependencies prepared before each measured build. Workloads and machines differ across rows. Import cleanup has unmatched cache preparation, so only its source reduction is shown. Measurement summaries appear in Appendix E.

Accepted change	Net lines removed	Project seconds ↓
Tactic-import cleanup	735	—
Restricted-sum proofs	65	20.01 → 18.45
Navier–Stokes reusable APIs	—	879.67 → 887.78
Infinite Connes rigidity	-31	118.00 → 93.70
Burkholder majorant proofs	1,064	23.84 → 19.35
Quantum parallel repetition	32	141.85 → 86.08
Interior-point LP proofs	249	13.98 → 10.69

7 MATHEMATICAL REVIEW IN OPERATION

A proof checker validates a formal statement, while admission also requires that the statement corresponds to the contribution being advertised. The mathematical review service has examined faithfulness, novelty, significance, sources, and code quality. Maintainers and contributors can revise the submission or resolve questions raised by its report. Figure 3 summarizes the service’s recorded verdicts and subsequent PR outcomes.

Table 5 summarizes recorded price estimates; repeated-review agreement and the service’s subsequent redesign and pause are reported in Appendix F.

Table 5: Recorded review price estimates, separated by billing regime. Historical comments report API-based estimates. The newer Azure service consumes Codex account quota and reports a Standard-API-equivalent price using uncached-input rates. The equivalent prices are not cash payments. Totals cover retained reports with recorded estimates and exclude missing or overwritten executions.

Price basis	Reports	Total	Median
Historical API estimates	285	\$308.52	\$0.20
Codex API-equivalent estimates	6	\$752.38	\$89.03




Figure 3: Retained mathematical review-service reports. Left: all retained structured reports. Right: PR outcomes at the observation date, grouped by each PR’s latest retained verdict; labels give counts and bar lengths give proportions. Most reports approve their submissions. Requests for changes and discussion verdicts are each followed by both closures and subsequent merges. All reviewed PRs have reached one of these outcomes by the observation date. Findings concern statement mismatches, attribution, incomplete results, duplicate definitions, and unnecessary code. Greptile’s advisory reviews and free-form daily-agent comments are outside this census. The recorded outcomes are merge and closure decisions; review accuracy was not independently labeled.

8 EXPOSITION AND THE STRUCTURE OF THE LIBRARY

The exposition site presents the archive at the level of projects, mathematical results, and supporting declarations. Each project’s card supplies an informal account, attribution, source references, and links to its headline results. Selecting a result connects this account to its Lean statement and the surrounding development. This combines the contributor’s explanation of what was formalized with structure extracted from the checked code. The site builds on the Lean Machine Learning exposition tools [133].

Table 6 summarizes the coverage of this common interface across independently developed projects.

Table 6: Coverage of the deployed Exposition export. Nodes are source-visible declarations and edges are intra-project dependencies, with generated auxiliaries followed internally. The scaffold is excluded. The deployment precedes the latest import batch. Its covered projects and declaration and dependency counts refer to the export revision specified in the observation table.

Exposition coverage	Count
Documented projects	203
Source declarations	173,362
Theorems and lemmas	124,412
Dependency links	1,389,967
Declarations used by multiple others	87,408

The dependency graph exposes the supporting definitions and lemmas behind a result. Readers can follow its prerequisites or inspect the declarations that use it, while highlighted headline results provide entry points into a larger development. The declaration viewer also exposes direct and transitive dependency counts, allowing readers to locate results with substantial supporting developments and intermediate declarations shared by later proofs. These are dependencies within the formalized project; the connections between papers and between imported projects are a separate level of organization.

Table 7 illustrates the range of project structures already available through this interface.

Figure 4 shows the Incompleteness project; a selected theorem’s statement panel appears in Appendix G.

Table 7: Examples of the mathematical structures exposed by Exposition. Counts are recomputed from the retained project graphs. Large developments expose reusable intermediate results as well as their headline theorems; graph size measures formal dependency structure, not mathematical importance.

Project	Declarations	Dependencies
Gödel’s incompleteness theorems	7,522	137,739
Classification of compact surfaces	7,833	79,052
Navier–Stokes and Euler	48,626	530,547
Language generation in the limit	419	1,823




Figure 4: The Incompleteness project in Exposition. The project card identifies its formalization author and upstream source and lists the headline results. The graph places declarations within the supporting development, making its structure visible alongside the informal account. The headline results include Gödel’s incompleteness theorems, while the surrounding graph exposes the formal infrastructure on which they depend. Selecting a declaration links its position in the graph to its statement, source, and API documentation. This makes the project accessible both from its main mathematical claims and from the lemmas used to establish them. The screenshot and coverage tables use the deployment identified in Appendix A.

The graph and statement panels complement the source and API documentation: the graph identifies relevant declarations, and the linked documentation provides their full formal context. In particular, a reader can move from a project’s informal claim to the assumptions and definitions used in its formal statement before building on the result. Section 9 places this inspection step within the archive’s discovery and reuse workflow.

Keeping this view current is part of maintaining the library. The documentation pipeline checks the correspondence between exported results and project cards. Recent changes reuse unchanged project graphs and successful CI build outputs when publishing documentation, avoiding repeated extraction and compilation while keeping verification of the published data. Appendix G describes the conditions under which those outputs can be reused.

9 FINDING AND BUILDING ON ARCHIVED RESULTS

The preferred discovery tools are Octo semantic search, the exposition site, and project cards, connected by the workflow in Table 8.

Table 8: Finding a result and following it into a development. A mathematical query, subject, or known paper provides an entry point. The reader can then inspect the candidate’s assumptions and definitions before importing it alongside other archived work in the shared environment.

Starting point	Preferred tool	What the reader obtains
A mathematical question	Octo semantic search	Candidate declarations across the archive
A subject or project	Exposition	Project descriptions, statements, and dependency graphs
A known paper or result	Project card	Attribution, source references, and formal headline results
A candidate to reuse	Source and API documentation	Full assumptions, supporting definitions, and import paths

Table 9: Contribution and maintenance models in the Lean ecosystem, as documented by the projects [132; 206; 177; 131; 207]. Lean Pool maintains independent developments together. Tau Ceti and Mathlib integrate contributions into a shared mathematical API, with different roles for human and AI contributors. Palomar registers checked versions of separate repositories; updated versions require resubmission, verification, and review.

Project	Contributions	Maintenance	Acceptance criteria	Documentation
Lean Pool	Human, AI, and mixed projects; direct submissions and attributed imports	Agents propose upgrades and optimizations; maintainers oversee merges	Completed proofs; permitted axioms; attributed cards; permissive license; CI and mathematical review	Project cards, informal results, dependency graphs, source-linked API
Tau Ceti	AI-authored mathematics following human roadmaps	AI workers prioritize maintenance and review, update Mathlib pins, and repair the library	CI and adversarial AI review; roadmap fit, reuse, API coherence, and compatibility with Mathlib	Human roadmaps, module documentation, declaration docstrings
Palomar Registry	Human, AI, or mixed formalizations submitted by an author or authorized maintainer	Verified versions persist; authors resubmit updates	Lean and NanoDa proof checks; automated review of statement faithfulness, disclosure, and research interest	Recorded statements, provenance, dependencies, and public verification and review reports
Mathlib	Human contributors; disclosed AI use with contributor understanding and justification	Community maintenance across Lean releases	Human review, generality, integration, maintainability, style, and CI	Module and declaration documentation, API reference, library overviews

10 RELATED WORK

Archives and shared libraries. AFP combines contributed formalizations with review and continuing maintenance [16; 17; 148]. Mathlib develops an integrated mathematical library [207; 19]. Reservoir indexes Lean packages, the Rocq Platform distributes compatible packages, and Software Heritage preserves source histories [130; 190; 3]. Table 9 compares Lean Pool with Tau Ceti, Palomar Registry, and Mathlib along their contribution, maintenance, acceptance, and documentation policies.

Discovery, formalization, and reuse. TheoremSearch retrieves statements from mathematical literature, while TheoremGraph connects statements and their dependencies across informal and formal sources [7; 126]. LeanDojo and LeanAgent study retrieval and learning for proof construc-

tion [220; 121]. Project-level benchmarks evaluate reasoning in existing libraries and software contexts [101; 218; 224; 119]. Semi-autonomous formalization connects informal arguments to checked developments [108]. The LeanEval structural audit studies the resulting code and documents cross-project reuse [15].

Repair and mathematical review. Compatibility studies, proof transport, and APRIL’s compiler-feedback repair address the maintenance of formal proofs [145; 187; 216]. Statement-evaluation methods examine correspondence between informal claims and formal expressions [183; 142; 144; 226; 222]. Expert assessments of generated mathematics identify obligations beyond filling proof gaps, including appropriate definitions, faithful statements, and usable library design [109; 154].

11 CONCLUSION

Lean Pool brings completed formalizations into a common environment maintained through agent-assisted upgrades, optimization, review, and community contribution. Its operational history documents repeated maintenance, while the LeanEval audit shows reuse in subsequent research. As more mathematical papers acquire formal proofs, the archive provides a place to preserve their attribution and keep their dependencies usable for later work.

AI USE STATEMENT

Generative AI assisted the collection and organization of public-source evidence, analysis design and implementation, interpretation of operational records, literature discovery, figure generation, and drafting and revision of this paper. The archive’s agents and review models are themselves objects of the study and are described separately in the paper. Reported numerical results are computed from retained source and execution records; they are not synthetic measurements. Validation checks source hashes, recomputes reported aggregates, and reconstructs the tables and figures. The author takes responsibility for the final manuscript.

REPRODUCIBILITY STATEMENT

Appendix A defines the observation periods and measurement populations. The tables identify source revisions and link the public PR reports underlying the historical analyses. Measurements executed for this paper are distinguished from those reports. Raw records and analysis programs are retained separately from the manuscript submission.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Justin Asher for co-creating Lean Pool and contributing its initial discovery tooling and continuous integration, and Austin Letson for contributing proof golfing. We thank the archive’s contributors and the authors of the imported formalizations for making their work available to the community.

REFERENCES

- [1] Mohammed Abouzaid, Andrew J. Blumberg, Martin Hairer, Joe Kileel, Tamara G. Kolda, Paul D. Nelson, Daniel Spielman, Nikhil Srivastava, Rachel Ward, Shmuel Weinberger, and Lauren Williams. First Proof, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2602.05192>. arXiv:2602.05192.
- [2] Uri Abraham and Menachem Magidor. Cardinal Arithmetic. Handbook of Set Theory, 2009. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-5764-9_15.
- [3] Jean-François Abramatic, Roberto Di Cosmo, and Stefano Zacchiroli. Building the universal archive of source code. *Communications of the ACM*, 61(10):29–31, 2018. doi: 10.1145/3183558. URL <https://doi.org/10.1145/3183558>.
- [4] Tom Adamczewski, Bernhard Böhmler, and Rene Marczinzik. A counterexample to Köthe’s conjecture and a question of Rowen, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2609.07996>. arXiv:2609.07996.
- [5] Ron Aharoni and Vladimir Korman. Greene-Kleitman’s theorem for infinite posets. *Order*, 9(3):245–253, 1992. doi: 10.1007/BF00383948. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00383948>.
- [6] Martin Aigner and Günter M. Ziegler. *Proofs from THE BOOK*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2018. doi: 10.1007/978-3-662-57265-8. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-57265-8>.
- [7] Luke Alexander, Eric Leonen, Sophie Szeto, Artemii Remizov, Ignacio Tejeda, Jarod Alper, Giovanni Inchiostro, and Vasily Ilin. Semantic Search over 9 Million Mathematical Theorems, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2602.05216>. arXiv:2602.05216.
- [8] Boris Alexeev, Kevin Barreto, Yanyang Li, Jared Duker Lichtman, Liam Price, Jibran Iqbal Shah, Quanyu Tang, and Terence Tao. Primitive sets and von Mangoldt chains: Erdős Problem #1196 and beyond, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2605.00301>. arXiv:2605.00301.
- [9] Noga Alon, Melvyn B. Nathanson, and Imre Ruzsa. The Polynomial Method and Restricted Sums of Congruence Classes. *Journal of Number Theory*, 56(2):404–417, 1996. doi: 10.1006/jnth.1996.0029. URL <https://doi.org/10.1006/jnth.1996.0029>.
- [10] Levent Alpöge. A compact complex threefold fibred by tori over the projective line, and the six-sphere, 2026. URL <https://alpo.ge/s6.pdf>. Manuscript.
- [11] Daniel D. Anderson. Quasi-complete Semilocal Rings and Modules. *Commutative Algebra*, 2014. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-0925-4_2.
- [12] David Kurniadi Angdinata, Evan Chen, Chris Cummins, Ben Eltschig, Dejan Grubisic, Leopold Haller, Letong Hong, Andranik Kurghinyan, Kenny Lau, Hugh Leather, Seewoo Lee, Simon Mahns, Aram H. Markosyan, Rithikesh Muddana, Ken Ono, Manooshree Patel, Gaurang Pendharkar, Vedant Rathi, Alex Schneidman, Volker Seeker, Shubho Sengupta, Ishan Sinha, Jimmy Xin, and Jujian Zhang. ABC implies that Ramanujan’s tau function misses almost all primes, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2603.29970>. arXiv:2603.29970.
- [13] David Kurniadi Angdinata, Evan Chen, Ken Ono, Jesse Thorner, Jiaxin Zhang, and Jujian Zhang. On the paucity of lattice triangles, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2603.23928>. arXiv:2603.23928.
- [14] N. C. Ankeny. Sums of three squares. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 8(2):316–319, 1957. doi: 10.1090/S0002-9939-1957-0085275-8. URL <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1957-0085275-8>.
- [15] Anonymous authors. It Compiles. Now What? Assessing Research-Level Autoformalization Beyond Correctness, 2026. Manuscript.

- [16] Archive of Formal Proofs. About the Archive of Formal Proofs, 2026. URL <https://isa-afp.org/about/>.
- [17] Archive of Formal Proofs. Entry submission and updating entries, 2026. URL <https://isa-afp.org/submission/>.
- [18] JEREMY AVIGAD, EDWARD DEAN, and JOHN MUMMA. A FORMAL SYSTEM FOR EUCLID’S ELEMENTS. *The Review of Symbolic Logic*, 2(4):700–768, 2009. doi: 10.1017/S1755020309990098. URL <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1755020309990098>.
- [19] Anne Baanen, Matthew Robert Ballard, Johan Commelin, Bryan Gin-gé Chen, Michael Rothgang, and Damiano Testa. Growing Mathlib: Maintenance of a large scale mathematical library. In *Intelligent Computer Mathematics (CICM 2025)*, volume 16136 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pp. 51–70. Springer, 2026. doi: 10.1007/978-3-032-07021-0_4. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-032-07021-0_4.
- [20] Jineon Baek. On the Erdős–Tuza–Valtr conjecture. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 124: 104085, 2025. doi: 10.1016/j.ejc.2024.104085. URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2024.104085>.
- [21] Jineon Baek and Seewoo Lee. Formalizing Mason-Stothers Theorem and its Corollaries in Lean 4, 2024. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.15180>. arXiv:2408.15180.
- [22] Michel L. Balinski and H. Peyton Young. *Fair Representation: Meeting the Ideal of One Man, One Vote*. Brookings Institution, n.d. URL <https://archive.org/details/fairrepresentati00bali>. Edition not specified by the project source link.
- [23] Barinder S. Banwait. A formal proof of the Ramanujan–Nagell theorem in Lean 4, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2604.09808>. arXiv:2604.09808.
- [24] Stefan Barańczuk. Reducing the number of equations defining a subset of the n -space over a finite field. *Annales de la Faculté des sciences de Toulouse : Mathématiques*, 33(1):177–182, 2024. doi: 10.5802/afst.1766. URL <https://doi.org/10.5802/afst.1766>.
- [25] Ben Barber, Daniela Kühn, Allan Lo, and Deryk Osthus. Edge-decompositions of graphs with high minimum degree, 2014. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1410.5750>. arXiv:1410.5750.
- [26] Henning Basold, Peter Bruin, and Dominique Lawson. The directed Van Kampen theorem in Lean. In *Interactive Theorem Proving (ITP 2024)*, 2024. doi: 10.4230/LIPIcs.ITP.2024.8. URL <https://doi.org/10.4230/LIPIcs.ITP.2024.8>.
- [27] Christian Bernert, Tim Browning, Jared Duker Lichtman, and Joni Teräväinen. Bounds on the exceptional set in the abc conjecture, 2024. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.12234>. arXiv:2410.12234.
- [28] I N Bernstein, I M Gel’fand, and S I Gel’fand. SCHUBERT CELLS AND COHOMOLOGY OF THE SPACES G/P . *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, 28(3):1–26, 1973. doi: 10.1070/RM1973v028n03ABEH001557. URL <https://doi.org/10.1070/RM1973v028n03ABEH001557>.
- [29] Alex Best, Christopher Birkbeck, Riccardo Brasca, Eric Rodriguez Boidi, Ruben van De Velde, and Andrew Yang. A complete formalization of Fermat’s Last Theorem for regular primes in Lean, 2024. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.01466>. arXiv:2410.01466.
- [30] F. Beukers. A Note on the Irrationality of $\zeta(2)$ and $\zeta(3)$. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 11(3):268–272, 1979. doi: 10.1112/blms/11.3.268. URL <https://doi.org/10.1112/blms/11.3.268>.
- [31] Rekha Biswal, Ken Ono, and Jujian Zhang. Chebyshev quotients, Demazure multiplicities, and Dyck-path models, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2604.25246>. arXiv:2604.25246.

- [32] Tom Bohman. A sum packing problem of Erdős and the Conway-Guy sequence. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 124(12):3627–3636, 1996. doi: 10.1090/S0002-9939-96-03653-2. URL <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-96-03653-2>.
- [33] Vico Bonfioli. Sharp five-distance and sup-norm gap theorems for Kronecker sequences (Lean 4 / Mathlib), 2026. URL <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20532459>. Software.
- [34] Manfred Borzeczowski, Malvin Gättinger, Helle Hvid Hansen, Revantha Ramanayake, Francisco Trucco Dalmas, and Yde Venema. Propositional Dynamic Logic has Craig Interpolation: a tableau-based proof, 2025. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.13276>. arXiv:2503.13276.
- [35] Matej Brešar. The Wedderburn-Artin Theorem, 2024. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.04588>. arXiv:2405.04588.
- [36] Will Brian and Paul B. Larson. Choosing between incompatible ideals. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 96:103349, 2021. doi: 10.1016/j.ejc.2021.103349. URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2021.103349>.
- [37] D. L. Burkholder. Boundary Value Problems and Sharp Inequalities for Martingale Transforms. *The Annals of Probability*, 12(3), 1984. doi: 10.1214/aop/1176993220. URL <https://doi.org/10.1214/aop/1176993220>.
- [38] Mario Carneiro. Formalizing computability theory via partial recursive functions, 2018. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.08380>. arXiv:1810.08380.
- [39] Ben Cassie. Kuramoto-lean: Lean 4 Library for Finite-N Kuramoto Synchronisation Dynamics, 2026. URL <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20468618>.
- [40] Fan Chang, Hong Liu, and Miao Liu. A proof of Chvátal’s conjecture via a sharp correlation inequality, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2609.19123v1>. arXiv:2609.19123v1.
- [41] Chapter II. Compact Self-Adjoint Operators. Chapter II. Compact Self-Adjoint Operators. *Advanced Real Analysis*, 2017. URL <https://doi.org/10.3792/euclid/9781429799911-2>.
- [42] Jon Cheah and Antoine de Saint Germain. On Upper Bounds of Frieze Patterns. *The Fibonacci Quarterly*, 63(1):98–106, 2025. doi: 10.1080/00150517.2024.2430958. URL <https://doi.org/10.1080/00150517.2024.2430958>.
- [43] Evan Chen and Ken Ono. Thakur’s hypotheses on power sums of $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2606.16239>. arXiv:2606.16239.
- [44] Evan Chen, Chris Cummins, Ben Eltschig, Dejan Grubisic, Leopold Haller, Letong Hong, Andranik Kurghinyan, Kenny Lau, Hugh Leather, Seewoo Lee, Aram Markosyan, Ken Ono, Manooshree Patel, Gaurang Pendharkar, Vedant Rathi, Alex Schneidman, Volker Seeker, Shubho Sengupta, Ishan Sinha, Jimmy Xin, and Jujian Zhang. Dead ends in square-free digit walks, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2602.05095>. arXiv:2602.05095.
- [45] Evan Chen, Chris Cummins, Ben Eltschig, Dejan Grubisic, Leopold Haller, Letong Hong, Andranik Kurghinyan, Kenny Lau, Hugh Leather, Seewoo Lee, Aram Markosyan, Ken Ono, Manooshree Patel, Gaurang Pendharkar, Vedant Rathi, Alex Schneidman, Volker Seeker, Shubho Sengupta, Ishan Sinha, Jimmy Xin, and Jujian Zhang. Almost all primes are partially regular, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2602.05090>. arXiv:2602.05090.
- [46] Evan Chen, Chris Cummins, GSM, Dejan Grubisic, Leopold Haller, Letong Hong, Andranik Kurghinyan, Kenny Lau, Hugh Leather, Seewoo Lee, Aram Markosyan, Ken Ono, Manooshree Patel, Gaurang Pendharkar, Vedant Rathi, Alex Schneidman, Volker Seeker, Shubho Sengupta, Ishan Sinha, Jimmy Xin, and Jujian Zhang. Fel’s Conjecture on Syzygies of Numerical Semigroups, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2602.03716>. arXiv:2602.03716.

- [47] Ruize Chen, Ben Eltschig, Scott Duke Kominers, Ken Ono, and Jujian Zhang. We Can’t Agree to Disagree, Formally: Aumann’s Theorem and Assumption Accounting in Lean, 2026. URL <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.6837298>.
- [48] Xiaohong Chen and Grigore Rosu. Completeness and incompleteness of basic matching logic, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2608.13306>. arXiv:2608.13306.
- [49] N. N. Chentsov. *Statistical Decision Rules and Optimal Inference*. American Mathematical Society, 1982. URL <https://bookstore.ams.org/mmono-53>. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, American Mathematical Society.
- [50] Ian Connell. Elliptic Curve Handbook, n.d. URL <https://www.math.rug.nl/~top/ian.pdf>. Documentation.
- [51] Jonathan Conrad, Paula Muermann, and Maryna Viazovska. Pentagonal number theorem, 2026. URL <https://viazovska.github.io/PentagonalNumberTheorem/blueprint.pdf>. Formalization blueprint.
- [52] Stephen Cook and Phuong Nguyen. *Logical Foundations of Proof Complexity*. Cambridge University Press, 2010. doi: 10.1017/CBO9780511676277. URL <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511676277>.
- [53] Gabriel Coutinho, Yincheng Liu, Thom  s Jung Spier, Quanyu Tang, and Sheng-tong Zhang. The Bollob  s–Nikiforov inequality for nonnegative edge weights, 2026. URL <https://github.com/ShengtongZhang-alt/BN/blob/edb5259dfd055ea31b4c46ac9ea4d33a758c2b99/docs/sol.tex>.
- [54] Thomas M. Cover and Joy A. Thomas. *Elements of Information Theory*. Wiley, 2005. doi: 10.1002/047174882X. URL <https://doi.org/10.1002/047174882X>.
- [55] H. Cram  r and H. Wold. Some Theorems on Distribution Functions. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, s1-11(4):290–294, 1936. doi: 10.1112/jlms/s1-11.4.290. URL <https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/s1-11.4.290>.
- [56] C. G. T. de A. Moreira. Hausdorff measures and the Morse-Sard theorem. *Publicacions Matem  tiques*, 45:149–162, 2001. doi: 10.5565/PUBLMAT_45101_06. URL https://doi.org/10.5565/PUBLMAT_45101_06.
- [57] Leonardo de Moura and Sebastian Ullrich. The Lean 4 theorem prover and programming language. In *Automated Deduction—CADE 28*, volume 12699 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pp. 625–635. Springer, 2021. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-79876-5_37. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-79876-5_37.
- [58] DomainTheory. Scott’s 3 Successively Less Topological, Simpler, and More Constructive Presentations of Domain Theory and Their Equivalence, n.d. URL https://github.com/catskillsresearch/domain_theory/blob/main/arxiv.md. Repository manuscript; no author or date stated in the inspected document.
- [59] Antoine du Fresne von Hohenesche. Formalisation of the Bannai–Bannai–Stanton Theorem in Lean 4, 2026. URL https://github.com/AntoineduFresne/Bannai-Bannai-Stanton_Theorem/blob/main/Formalisation_Bannai-Bannai-Stanton_Theorem_Report_tex.pdf. Report.
- [60]     s D  cz. A note on geometric colorings of the Moser lattice, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2606.12325>. arXiv:2606.12325.
- [61] Martin Dvorak and Vladimir Kolmogorov. Duality theory in linear optimization and its extensions – formally verified. *Annals of Formalized Mathematics*, Volume 2, 2026. doi: 10.46298/afm.14253. URL <https://doi.org/10.46298/afm.14253>.
- [62] EGRS75. A Machine-Checked Proof of the Erd  s–Graham–Ruzsa–Straus Two-Prime Theorem, 2026. URL <https://github.com/lyfar/egrs75-lean>. Companion draft identified in repository README; no separate manuscript URL or author supplied.

- [63] P. Erdős. On Sets of Distances of n Points. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 53(5): 248–250, 1946. doi: 10.1080/00029890.1946.11991674. URL <https://doi.org/10.1080/00029890.1946.11991674>.
- [64] P. Erdős, R. L. Graham, I. Z. Ruzsa, and E. G. Straus. On the prime factors of $\binom{2n}{n}$. *Mathematics of Computation*, 29(129):83–92, 1975. doi: 10.1090/S0025-5718-1975-0369288-3. URL <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0025-5718-1975-0369288-3>.
- [65] P. Erdős, L. Lovász, and K. Vesztergombi. On the graph of large distances. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 4(6):541–549, 1989. doi: 10.1007/BF02187746. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02187746>.
- [66] Claude-Alain Faure and Alfred Frölicher. *Modern Projective Geometry*. Springer Netherlands, 2000. doi: 10.1007/978-94-015-9590-2. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-015-9590-2>.
- [67] Zixu Feng and Hao Yuan. Optimal local linear convergence of Nesterov’s accelerated gradient method for C^2 functions under the Polyak–Łojasiewicz inequality, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2603.21516>. arXiv:2603.21516.
- [68] Maxime Flin, Alesya Raevskaya, Ronja Stimpert, Jukka Suomela, and Qingxin Yang. 2-Coloring Cycles in One Round, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2603.04235>. arXiv:2603.04235.
- [69] José A. R. Fonollosa. Minimum modulus for the unique multiset-sum problem, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2607.08366>. arXiv:2607.08366.
- [70] Juliane Trianon Fraga and Vinicius de Oliveira Rodrigues. The Wallace problem and countably compact torsion-free Abelian groups in ZFC, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2608.17317>. arXiv:2608.17317.
- [71] Sophie Frisch and Leonid Vaserstein. Parametrization of Pythagorean triples by a single triple of polynomials. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 212(1):271–274, 2008. doi: 10.1016/j.jpaa.2007.05.019. URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2007.05.019>.
- [72] Weibo Fu, Yanjun Han, Guanyang Wang, Jun Yan, Peng Zhang, and Zhengqing Zhou. Sharp small-deviation inequalities for sums of independent nonnegative random variables, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2607.23980>.
- [73] Giles Gardam. A counterexample to the unit conjecture for group rings. *Annals of Mathematics*, 194(3), 2021. doi: 10.4007/annals.2021.194.3.9. URL <https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2021.194.3.9>.
- [74] C. Geiger. Singular Moduli and the Ideal Class Group, 2020. URL <https://github.com/ElodinLaarz/lean-thesis>. MSc thesis, University of Washington; bibliographic description retained in the formalization repository.
- [75] Dan R. Ghica, George Kaye, and David Sprunger. A Complete Theory of Sequential Digital Circuits: Denotational, Operational and Algebraic Semantics, 2022. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.10456>. arXiv:2201.10456.
- [76] Juan Pablo Traverso Gianini. Affine Profile Reduction for Fractional Triangle Packings in Split Graphs, 2026. URL https://github.com/jtraverso/erdos-81-chordal-clique-partitions/blob/main/preprints/PAPER_I/01_manuscript/PAPER_I_preprint_v1.3_en.pdf. Preprint v1.3; Erdős Problem #81 series, Paper I.
- [77] Juan Pablo Traverso Gianini. Complete-Split Extremizers for a Fractional Triangle-Cover Functional on Chordal Graphs, 2026. URL https://github.com/jtraverso/erdos-81-chordal-clique-partitions/blob/main/preprints/PAPER_II/01_manuscript/PAPER_II_preprint_v1.2_en.pdf. Preprint v1.2; Erdős Problem #81 series, Paper II.

- [78] Juan Pablo Traverso Gianini. Linear-Error Clique Partitions of Split Graphs via Structured Triangle Packing, 2026. URL https://github.com/jtraverso/erdos-81-chordal-clique-partitions/blob/main/preprints/PAPER_III/01_manuscript/PAPER_III_preprint_v1.5_en.pdf. Preprint v1.5; Erdős Problem #81 series, Paper III.
- [79] Madeleine Gignoux. Proofs as Coalgebras, 2026. URL <https://eprints.illc.uva.nl/id/eprint/2423/>. Master’s thesis, ILLC MoL-2026-07.
- [80] Philippe Gille and Tamás Szamuely. *Central Simple Algebras and Galois Cohomology*. Cambridge University Press, 2017. doi: 10.1017/9781316661277. URL <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316661277>.
- [81] Kurt Gödel. Über formal unentscheidbare Sätze der Principia Mathematica und verwandter Systeme I. *Monatshefte für Mathematik und Physik*, 38-38(1):173–198, 1931. doi: 10.1007/BF01700692. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01700692>.
- [82] W. T. Gowers, Ben Green, Freddie Manners, and Terence Tao. On a conjecture of Marton, 2023. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.05762>. arXiv:2311.05762.
- [83] W. T. Gowers, Ben Green, Freddie Manners, and Terence Tao. Marton’s Conjecture in abelian groups with bounded torsion, 2024. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.02244>. arXiv:2404.02244.
- [84] GPT-5.4 Pro. A constant-factor lower bound for $H(n)$, 2026. URL <https://github.com/math-inc/FrontierMathOpen-Hypergraphs/blob/main/paper/input.tex>. Manuscript; author attribution reproduced from the title page.
- [85] GPT-6 Pro. Pattern complexity and Nivat’s conjecture, 2026. URL <https://github.com/boonsuan/nivat/blob/84fe839635bdebb7d5e80c209b4f578a0c767fcf/paper/nivat.pdf>.
- [86] Ronald L. Graham, Donald E. Knuth, and Oren Patashnik. *Concrete Mathematics: A Foundation for Computer Science*. Addison-Wesley, 1994. URL <https://cs.stanford.edu/~knuth/gkp.html>. Second edition, Addison-Wesley.
- [87] Dhruv Gupta. *A Textbook of Formal Learning Theory*. Repository textbook, 2026. URL <https://github.com/Zetetic-Dhruv/formal-learning-theory-book>. Repository textbook.
- [88] W. H. Gustafson. What is the Probability that Two Group Elements Commute? *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 80(9):1031–1034, 1973. doi: 10.1080/00029890.1973.11993437. URL <https://doi.org/10.1080/00029890.1973.11993437>.
- [89] Richard K. Guy. Sets of Integers Whose Subsets Have Distinct Sums. North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 1982. URL [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-0208\(08\)73500-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-0208(08)73500-X).
- [90] L.H. Harper. Optimal numberings and isoperimetric problems on graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory*, 1(3):385–393, 1966. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9800(66)80059-5. URL [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9800\(66\)80059-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9800(66)80059-5).
- [91] Scott Harper and Peiran Wu. Classifying the groups of order pq in Lean, 2025. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2501.09769>. arXiv:2501.09769.
- [92] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic Geometry*. Springer New York, 1977. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4757-3849-0. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-3849-0>.
- [93] Allen Hatcher. *Algebraic Topology*. Cambridge University Press, 2002. URL <https://pi.math.cornell.edu/~hatcher/AT/AT.pdf>. Cambridge University Press; imported source concerns graphs and free groups.
- [94] Alan Haynes and Juan J. Ramirez. Higher dimensional gap theorems for the maximum metric, 2020. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.08842>. arXiv:2010.08842.

- [95] D. R. Heath-Brown. Lectures on sieves, 2002. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0209360>. arXiv:math/0209360.
- [96] Chris Heunen, Ohad Kammar, Sam Staton, and Hongseok Yang. A convenient category for higher-order probability theory. 2017 32nd Annual ACM/IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science (LICS), 2017. URL <https://doi.org/10.1109/LICS.2017.8005137>.
- [97] Boon Suan Ho. A 4AP-free permutation of the positive integers, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2609.12780>. arXiv:2609.12780.
- [98] Boon Suan Ho and Tomasz Kania. Halving the original Kalton–Roberts upper bound for nearly additive set functions, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2606.06807>. arXiv:2606.06807.
- [99] Lawrence Hollom. The Aharoni–Korman conjecture is false, 2024. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2411.16844>. arXiv:2411.16844.
- [100] RUPERT HÖLZL, SÖREN KLEINE, and FRANK STEPHAN. IMPROVED LOWER BOUNDS FOR STRONG n -CONJECTURES. *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 119(1):61–81, 2025. doi: 10.1017/S1446788725000084. URL <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1446788725000084>.
- [101] Jiewen Hu, Thomas Zhu, and Sean Welleck. miniCTX: Neural theorem proving with (long-)contexts. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2025. URL https://proceedings.iclr.cc/paper_files/paper/2025/hash/1b5ef7bcc702a0232b4f1aea2523d0d2-Abstract-Conference.html.
- [102] Hao Huang. Induced subgraphs of hypercubes and a proof of the Sensitivity Conjecture. *Annals of Mathematics*, 190(3), 2019. doi: 10.4007/annals.2019.190.3.6. URL <https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2019.190.3.6>.
- [103] S. D. Hughes. Powerful parts of consecutive integers and Davenport–Zannier polynomials, n.d. URL <https://github.com/scott dhughes/erdos367>. Companion paper identified in repository README; no separate publication record supplied.
- [104] James E. Humphreys. *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*. Springer New York, 1972. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4612-6398-2. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-6398-2>.
- [105] Norbert Hungerbühler and Micha Wasem. Non-Integer Valued Winding Numbers and a Generalized Residue Theorem. *Journal of Mathematics*, 2019:1–9, 2019. doi: 10.1155/2019/6130464. URL <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/6130464>.
- [106] Adolf Hurwitz. Über die Composition der quadratischen Formen von beliebig vielen Variablen, n.d. URL <https://eudml.org/doc/58420>. Documentation.
- [107] IEEE Standard for Floating-Point Arithmetic. IEEE Standard for Floating-Point Arithmetic, 2019. URL <https://doi.org/10.1109/IEEESTD.2019.8766229>. Standard.
- [108] Vasily Ilin. Semi-Autonomous Formalization of the Vlasov-Maxwell-Landau Equilibrium, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2603.15929>. arXiv:2603.15929.
- [109] Vasily Ilin and Brian Nugent. Sorries Are Not the Hard Part: An Expert-Review Case Study of a Semi-Autonomous Formalization, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2606.13925>. arXiv:2606.13925.
- [110] Michael Behzat Ali Inal. The Exact Minimum Order for Unique Multiset Sums in Finite Abelian Groups, 2026. URL <https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/C58Q9>.
- [111] Thomas Jech. *Set Theory*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2003. doi: 10.1007/3-540-44761-X. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-44761-X>.

- [112] Mingrui Jing, Lei Zhang, Yusheng Zhao, Hongshun Yao, and Xin Wang. An Agentic Formalization for Certified Quantum Neural Network Design, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2607.12981>. arXiv:2607.12981.
- [113] William B. Johnson and Joram Lindenstrauss. Extensions of Lipschitz mappings into a Hilbert space. *Contemporary Mathematics*, 1984. URL <https://doi.org/10.1090/conm/026/737400>.
- [114] JD Jones. Square-difference-free sets in $F_3[T]$ past the conjectured bound, 2026. URL <https://github.com/JD-Jones-ASES/ns-lean/blob/035e9b0c147630e35631e4401433660f695d1fba/PROOF.md>. Companion proof note.
- [115] Victor G. Kac. *Infinite-Dimensional Lie Algebras*. Cambridge University Press, 1990. doi: 10.1017/CBO9780511626234. URL <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511626234>.
- [116] Sankeerth Rao Karingula and Shachar Lovett. An elementary proof of the Komlós conjecture. Technical Report TR26-188, Electronic Colloquium on Computational Complexity, 2026. URL <https://eccc.weizmann.ac.il/report/2026/188/>.
- [117] Todd Kemp. Math 247A: Introduction to Random Matrix Theory, n.d. URL <https://www.math.ucsd.edu/~tkemp/247A.Notes.pdf>. Lecture notes.
- [118] keston. no-way-labs/lean-critical-portraits: lean-critical-portraits, 2026. URL <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20737896>. Software.
- [119] Tyson Klingner, Drew Bladdek, Escher Crawford, Bohao Chen, Ariel Fu, Kaira Nair, Jarod Alper, Giovanni Inchiostro, and Vasily Ilin. Evaluation of LLMs for Mathematical Formalization in Lean, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2606.05632>. arXiv:2606.05632.
- [120] Theodore Kolokolnikov. Maximizing algebraic connectivity for certain families of graphs. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 471:122–140, 2015. doi: 10.1016/j.laa.2014.12.023. URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2014.12.023>.
- [121] Adarsh Kumarappan, Mo Tiwari, Peiyang Song, Robert Joseph George, Chaowei Xiao, and Anima Anandkumar. LeanAgent: Lifelong learning for formal theorem proving. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2025. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=Uo4EHT4ZZ8>.
- [122] Ernst Eduard Kummer. Über die Ergänzungssätze zu den allgemeinen Reciprocitätsgesetzen. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 1852(44):93–146, 1852. doi: 10.1515/crll.1852.44.93. URL <https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.1852.44.93>.
- [123] Kenneth Kunen. Elementary embeddings and infinitary combinatorics. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 36(3):407–413, 1971. doi: 10.2307/2269948. URL <https://doi.org/10.2307/2269948>.
- [124] Dirk Kunert. Period Length Formulas for Rational Cut-and-Project Strip Projections: Multiset and Set Cases, 2026. URL https://github.com/dkunert/cut-and-project/blob/main/LaTeX/rational_cut_and_project_gap_periods.tex. Manuscript.
- [125] Dirk Kunert. A Lean 4 Formalization of the Three-Gap (Steinhaus) Theorem, Uniform in the Rotation Number, 2026. URL https://github.com/dkunert/three-gap-theorem-lean/blob/main/paper/three_gap_theorem_lean.tex. Manuscript.
- [126] Simon Kurgan, Evan Wang, Eric Leonen, Sophie Szeto, Luke Alexander, Artemii Remizov, Jarod Alper, Giovanni Inchiostro, and Vasily Ilin. TheoremGraph: Bridging Formal and Informal Mathematics, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2606.25363>. arXiv:2606.25363.

- [127] Alexander Kurosch. Die untergruppen der freien produkte von beliebigen gruppen. *Mathematische Annalen*, 109:647–660, 1934. doi: 10.1007/BF01449159. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01449159>.
- [128] T. Y. Lam. *A First Course in Noncommutative Rings*. Springer New York, 2001. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4419-8616-0. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-8616-0>.
- [129] Youness Lamzouri. A new proof that more than $2/3$ of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function are simple and on the critical line, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2609.02882>. arXiv:2609.02882.
- [130] Lean FRO. Reservoir: Package repository for Lean and Lake, 2026. URL <https://github.com/leanprover/reservoir/blob/a5774ea3b51fef4a496f35c791cfa660c914454f/README.md>. Repository revision a5774ea.
- [131] Lean Mathematical Library Community. Contributing to Mathlib, 2026. URL <https://leanprover-community.github.io/contribute/index.html>.
- [132] Lean Pool contributors. Lean Pool continuous integration and quality rules, 2026. URL <https://github.com/Vilin97/lean-pool/tree/bc6f18b24cf19f89bb117189e75cc11efealedf6/.github>.
- [133] LeanMachineLearning contributors. Exposition / referee: Tools to explain the content of a Lean library, 2026. URL <https://github.com/LeanMachineLearning/exposition/tree/4f43fed3fa5a6f55ef6daf848f5a1b9dd348b0cf>. Repository revision 4f43fed.
- [134] Hua-Chieh Li. Arboreal Galois representation for a certain type of quadratic polynomials. *Archiv der Mathematik*, 114(3):265–269, 2019. doi: 10.1007/s00013-019-01390-x. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00013-019-01390-x>.
- [135] Hua-Chieh Li. On Stoll’s criterion for the maximality of quadratic arboreal Galois representations. *Archiv der Mathematik*, 117(2):133–140, 2021. doi: 10.1007/s00013-021-01609-w. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00013-021-01609-w>.
- [136] Xiaoyu Li, Andi Han, Jiaojiao Jiang, and Junbin Gao. Characterizing Language Generation in the Limit: Finite Witnesses and a Separation-Width Hierarchy, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2609.10525>. arXiv:2609.10525.
- [137] Jyun-Jie Liao. Improved Exponent for Marton’s Conjecture in $\mathbb{F}_2^n$, 2024. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.09639>. arXiv:2404.09639.
- [138] Haowei Lin and Shanda Li. Settling the Optimal Exponent Relating Sumsets and Difference Sets, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2607.27199>. arXiv:2607.27199.
- [139] Yongxi Lin. A lower bound 1.6855 for the planar centred maximal constant over squares, 2026. URL <https://github.com/CoolRmal/centered-maximal-constant/blob/c6a8cb29e8ecce9ac4614a8c7e4bf6938366f986/docs/PROOF.md>. Companion proof note.
- [140] Joram Lindenstrauss and Lior Tzafriri. *Classical Banach Spaces I and II*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1996. doi: 10.1007/978-3-662-53294-2. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-53294-2>.
- [141] Junqi Liu, Jujian Zhang, and Lihong Zhi. A Formal Proof of the Irrationality of $\zeta(3)$ in Lean 4, 2025. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.07625>. arXiv:2503.07625.
- [142] Qi Liu, Xinhao Zheng, Xudong Lu, Qinxiang Cao, and Junchi Yan. Rethinking and improving autoformalization: Towards a faithful metric and a dependency retrieval-based approach. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2025. URL https://proceedings.iclr.cc/paper_files/paper/2025/hash/d630537fc4402cfa3ebbc7450a0cac91-Abstract-Conference.html.

- [143] Christopher D. Long. Small counterexamples to the Gaussian Moments Conjecture, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2607.18186>.
- [144] Jianqiao Lu, Yingjia Wan, Yinya Huang, Jing Xiong, Zhengying Liu, and Zhi-jiang Guo. FormalAlign: Automated alignment evaluation for autoformalization. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2025. URL https://proceedings.iclr.cc/paper_files/paper/2025/hash/fceedf8c9c0ff51f41b9fe0294ef0070-Abstract-Conference.html.
- [145] Xiaokun Luan, David Sanan, Zhe Hou, Qiyuan Xu, Chengwei Liu, Yufan Cai, Yang Liu, and Meng Sun. Why the proof fails in different versions of theorem provers: An empirical study of compatibility issues in Isabelle. *Proceedings of the ACM on Software Engineering*, 2(FSE):1499–1521, 2025. doi: 10.1145/3715787. URL <https://doi.org/10.1145/3715787>.
- [146] Judith Ludwig and Christian Merten. Formalising the Bruhat-Tits Tree. *Annals of Formalized Mathematics*, Volume 2, 2026. doi: 10.46298/afm.15738. URL <https://doi.org/10.46298/afm.15738>.
- [147] Didrik Lundberg, Roberto Guanciale, Andreas Lindner, and Mads Dam. Hoare-Style Logic for Unstructured Programs. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 2020. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-58768-0_11.
- [148] Carlin MacKenzie, Jacques Fleuriot, and James Vaughan. An evaluation of the archive of formal proofs, 2021. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.01052>.
- [149] Sven Manthe. A formalization of Borel determinacy in Lean. *Annals of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 2026. doi: 10.46298/afm.15202. URL <https://doi.org/10.46298/afm.15202>.
- [150] David Marker. *Lectures on Infinitary Model Theory*. Cambridge University Press, 2016. doi: 10.1017/CBO97813168555560. URL <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO97813168555560>.
- [151] Mathlib contributors. Mathlib continuous integration and build benchmarks, 2026. URL <https://github.com/leanprover-community/mathlib4/tree/0a6c8e0355da0405d616f80b9f8232c4fab2cc5b/.github/workflows>.
- [152] Arnaud Mayeux. Dilatations of categories, via their Lean formalization, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2608.09305>.
- [153] Arnaud Mayeux and Jujian Zhang. Formalizing multi-graded Brenner–Schröer Proj schemes and dilatations of rings in Lean4, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2606.01438>.
- [154] Theodore Meek, Siyuan Ge, Di Qiu Xiang, Simon Chess, and Vasily Ilin. Formalizing Numerical Analysis: An Agent Pipeline and Quality Audit Beyond Kernel Acceptance, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2606.14000>. arXiv:2606.14000.
- [155] Lorenz Milla. A detailed proof of the Chudnovsky formula with means of basic complex analysis – Ein ausführlicher Beweis der Chudnovsky-Formel mit elementarer Funktionentheorie, 2018. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.00533>. arXiv:1809.00533.
- [156] Joseph K. Miller. A Formalization of the Mean-Field Derivation of the Vlasov Equation, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2607.08986>. arXiv:2607.08986.
- [157] Alexey Milovanov. Robust Harper Stability at the Exponential Scale, 2026. URL <https://github.com/AlexeyMilovanov/BooleanIsoperimetry/blob/main/docs/robust-harper-stability-at-the-exponential-scale.pdf>. Manuscript.
- [158] Paul Monsky. On Dividing A Square Into Triangles. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 77(2):161–164, 1970. doi: 10.2307/2317329. URL <https://doi.org/10.2307/2317329>.

- [159] Carles Marín Muñoz. What Order a Method Knows: certified Runge–Kutta order conditions over rooted trees, machine-checked in Lean 4, 2026. URL <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20787666>.
- [160] Carles Marín Muñoz. How a Tree Remembers Its Cuts: the Connes–Kreimer–Foissy Hopf Algebra of Rooted Trees, Machine-Checked in Lean 4, 2026. URL <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20762280>.
- [161] Carles Marín Muñoz. How a Tree Forgets Its Order: the Eulerian idempotent and the Adams spectrum on the commutative Connes–Kreimer–Butcher Hopf algebra of rooted trees, machine-checked in Lean 4, 2026. URL <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20774821>.
- [162] Gábor P. Nagy and Attila Vajda. On a conjecture on the Kasami APN function: reductions, structure theorems, a proof for $k \bmod n \in \{1, 2, n-2, n-1\}$, and exhaustive verification for $n \leq 13$, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2608.18584>. arXiv:2608.18584.
- [163] John F. Nash. Equilibrium points in n -person games. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 36(1):48–49, 1950. doi: 10.1073/pnas.36.1.48. URL <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.36.1.48>.
- [164] Eric Naslund. Paley graphs and Sárközy’s theorem in function fields. *The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, 74(2):627–637, 2023. doi: 10.1093/qmath/haac035. URL <https://doi.org/10.1093/qmath/haac035>.
- [165] Jürgen Neukirch. *Algebraic Number Theory*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1999. doi: 10.1007/978-3-662-03983-0. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-03983-0>.
- [166] Ivan Niven. The Transcendence of π . *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 46(8):469–471, 1939. doi: 10.1080/00029890.1939.11998903. URL <https://doi.org/10.1080/00029890.1939.11998903>.
- [167] Russell O’Connor. Certified Exact Transcendental Real Number Computation in Coq. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 2008. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-71067-7_21.
- [168] Ryan O’Donnell. *Analysis of Boolean Functions*. Cambridge University Press, 2014. doi: 10.1017/CBO9781139814782. URL <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139814782>.
- [169] Monica Abu Omar. On quantum graph theory: non-commutative graph theory, 2026. URL <https://theses.gla.ac.uk/85721/>. MPhil(R) thesis, University of Glasgow.
- [170] OpenAI. Finite Time Blowup for the Euler Equation, 2026. URL <https://cdn.openai.com/pdf/315b36cd-ec98-4023-8342-93345194ece1/euler.pdf>.
- [171] OpenAI. Finite Time Blowup for Navier–Stokes, 2026. URL <https://cdn.openai.com/pdf/32d9f210-8b73-45e0-91bc-82a30aef8a9a/navier-stokes.pdf>. Research manuscript.
- [172] OpenAI. On the Navier–Stokes millennium prize problem, 2026. URL <https://openai.com/index/navier-stokes-solution/>. September 8, 2026. Companion Lean formalization and verification report.
- [173] OpenAI. Ten advances in mathematics and theoretical computer science: Research release, 2026. URL <https://openai.com/index/ten-advances-in-mathematics/>. August 1, 2026. Companion Lean formalizations and account of their production.
- [174] OpenAI. Improved Long Gaps Between Primes, 2026. URL https://cdn.openai.com/pdf/51126fac-1b68-4128-9666-c908bcc16033/long_gaps.pdf. Manuscript.
- [175] OpenAI. Ten Advances in Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science, 2026. URL <https://cdn.openai.com/pdf/ten-proofs-oai.pdf>. Manuscript.

- [176] Lior Pachter. Optimal pebbling of the hypercube, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2606.01685>. arXiv:2606.01685.
- [177] Palomar Registry. About Palomar: Registration, verification, and versioning policy, 2026. URL <https://palomar-registry.org/about.html>.
- [178] Partial Combinatory Algebras. Partial Combinatory Algebras. Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, 2008. URL [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0049-237X\(08\)80003-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0049-237X(08)80003-1).
- [179] Jaan Parts. The chromatic number of the plane is at least 5 – a human-verifiable proof, 2020. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.12661>. arXiv:2010.12661.
- [180] Yann Pequignot. Towards better: A motivated introduction to better-quasi-orders. *EMS Surveys in Mathematical Sciences*, 4(2):185–218, 2017. doi: 10.4171/EMSS/4-2-2. URL <https://doi.org/10.4171/EMSS/4-2-2>.
- [181] Karl E. Petersen. *Ergodic Theory*. Cambridge University Press, 1983. doi: 10.1017/CBO9780511608728. URL <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511608728>.
- [182] Nathan Pflueger. An extended Demazure product on integer permutations via min-plus matrix multiplication, 2022. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.14227>. arXiv:2206.14227.
- [183] Auguste Poiroux, Gail Weiss, Viktor Kunčák, and Antoine Bosselut. Reliable evaluation and benchmarks for statement autoformalization. In *Proceedings of the 2025 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pp. 17947–17969, 2025. doi: 10.18653/v1/2025.emnlp-main.907. URL <https://aclanthology.org/2025.emnlp-main.907/>.
- [184] Georges Poitou. Sur les petits discriminants, n.d. URL https://www.numdam.org/item/SDPP_1976-1977__18_1_A6_0/. Documentation.
- [185] Fernando Portela. Variational Optimisation of Spectral Sieve Quotients for Primes in Bounded Symmetric Intervals, 2026. URL <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19763833>.
- [186] Emily Riehl. *Category Theory in Context*. Dover Publications, 2016. URL <https://emilyriehl.github.io/files/context.pdf>. Dover Publications.
- [187] Talia Ringer, RanDair Porter, Nathaniel Yazdani, John Leo, and Dan Grossman. Proof repair across type equivalences. In *Proceedings of the 42nd ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation*, pp. 112–127. ACM, 2021. doi: 10.1145/3453483.3454033. URL <https://dependenttyp.es/pdf/repair.pdf>.
- [188] Aluna Rizzoli and Adam R. Thomas. Common neighbour conjectures for Saxl graphs fail at every base size, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2609.01367>. arXiv:2609.01367.
- [189] Aluna Rizzoli and Adam R. Thomas. Computational source code and Lean formalization for Common neighbour conjectures for Saxl graphs fail at every base size, 2026. URL <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22231393>. Software.
- [190] Rocq Platform contributors. The Rocq Platform: Guiding principles, 2026. URL <https://rocq-prover.org/platform/platform-principles>.
- [191] S M Nazmuz Sakib. Carry-Run Theorem and Sakib Index for the Exact Distribution of $\nu_p\left(\frac{2^n}{n}\right)$ over $n \bmod p^k$, 2026. URL <https://doi.org/10.33774/coe-2026-1w9zm>. Cambridge Open Engage working paper.
- [192] Óscar Álvarez Sánchez. Demazure operators and Lean, 2024. URL https://bolito2.github.io/DemazureOperatorsLean/master_thesis.pdf. Master’s thesis, University of Bonn.

- [193] I. J. Schoenberg. Remarks to Maurice Frechet’s Article “Sur La Definition Axiomatique D’Une Classe D’Espace Distances Vectoriellement Applicable Sur L’Espace De Hilbert. *The Annals of Mathematics*, 36(3):724, 1935. doi: 10.2307/1968654. URL <https://doi.org/10.2307/1968654>.
- [194] Dana S. Scott. Domains for denotational semantics. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 1982. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0012801>.
- [195] Jean-Pierre Serre. Une formule de masse pour les extensions totalement ramifiées de degré donné d’un corps local. *Comptes Rendus de l’Académie des Sciences, Série A*, 286:1031–1036, 1978. URL <https://gallica.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/bpt6k6234149b/f323.item>.
- [196] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Trees*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1980. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-61856-7. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-61856-7>.
- [197] Daniyar S. Shamkanov. Interpolation properties for provability logics GL and GLP. *Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics*, 274(1):303–316, 2011. doi: 10.1134/S0081543811060198. URL <https://doi.org/10.1134/S0081543811060198>.
- [198] C. E. Shannon. A Mathematical Theory of Communication. *Bell System Technical Journal*, 27(3):379–423, 1948. doi: 10.1002/j.1538-7305.1948.tb01338.x. URL <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1538-7305.1948.tb01338.x>.
- [199] Zhaiming Shen and Lasse Rempe-Gillen. The exponential map is chaotic: An invitation to transcendental dynamics, 2014. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1408.1129>. arXiv:1408.1129.
- [200] Leon Simon. *Theorems on Regularity and Singularity of Energy Minimizing Maps*. Birkhäuser Basel, 1996. doi: 10.1007/978-3-0348-9193-6. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0348-9193-6>.
- [201] Libor Šnobl and Pavel Winternitz. *Classification and Identification of Lie Algebras*. American Mathematical Society, 2014. doi: 10.1090/crmm/033. URL <https://doi.org/10.1090/crmm/033>.
- [202] Michael Stoll. Galois groups over $\mathbb{Q}$ of some iterated polynomials. *Archiv der Mathematik*, 59(3):239–244, 1992. doi: 10.1007/BF01197321. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01197321>.
- [203] Jenő Szigeti and Leon vanWyk. A Constructive Elementary Proof of the Skolem-Noether Theorem for Matrix Algebras. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 124(10):966, 2017. doi: 10.4169/amer.math.monthly.124.10.966. URL <https://doi.org/10.4169/amer.math.monthly.124.10.966>.
- [204] Ferenc Szöllősi and Patric R.J. Östergård. Constructions of Maximum Few-Distance Sets in Euclidean Spaces. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 27(1), 2020. doi: 10.37236/8565. URL <https://doi.org/10.37236/8565>.
- [205] Tau Ceti contributors. Tau Ceti build and performance workflows, 2026. URL <https://github.com/TauCetiProject/TauCeti/tree/8aa2ad025fa7c4c6159b8da515b75cfl1bebcbcd6/.github/workflows>.
- [206] Tau Ceti Project. Tau Ceti: A Lean library downstream of Mathlib, 2026. URL <https://github.com/TauCetiProject/TauCeti/blob/8aa2ad025fa7c4c6159b8da515b75cfl1bebcbcd6/README.md>. Repository revision 8aa2ad02.
- [207] The mathlib Community. The Lean mathematical library. In *Proceedings of the 9th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs*, pp. 367–381. ACM, 2020. doi: 10.1145/3372885.3373824. URL <https://leanprover-community.github.io/papers/mathlib-paper.pdf>.

- [208] William P. Thurston, Hyungryul Baik, Yan Gao, John H. Hubbard, Tan Lei, Kathryn A. Lindsey, and Dylan P. Thurston. Degree-d-invariant laminations, 2019. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.05324>. arXiv:1906.05324.
- [209] Phuc Tran and Van Vu. A Short Proof of Kahn-Kalai Conjecture. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 31(3), 2024. doi: 10.37236/12266. URL <https://doi.org/10.37236/12266>.
- [210] Nikolay Ulyanov. Graph Puzzles III.1: A proof of Sabidussi’s compatibility conjecture, n.d. URL https://github.com/gexahedron/sabidussi-lean/blob/032e640df0cc41d4d3d217f7c68628ab19cb3767/sabidussi_proof.pdf. Manuscript.
- [211] Matthijs Vákár, Ohad Kammar, and Sam Staton. A Domain Theory for Statistical Probabilistic Programming, 2018. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.04196>. arXiv:1811.04196.
- [212] Wouter Cames van Batenburg and Samuel Korsky. Asymptotically attaining the Moore bound, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2608.03965>. arXiv:2608.03965.
- [213] Tony van Ravenstein. The Three Gap Theorem (Steinhaus Conjecture). *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society. Series A. Pure Mathematics and Statistics*, 45(3):360–370, 1988. doi: 10.1017/S1446788700031062. URL <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1446788700031062>.
- [214] Moshe Y. Vardi. An automata-theoretic approach to linear temporal logic. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 1996. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-60915-6_6.
- [215] Roman Vershynin. *High-Dimensional Probability*. Cambridge University Press, 2026. doi: 10.1017/9781009490672. URL <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009490672>.
- [216] Evan Wang, Simon Chess, Daniel Lee, Siyuan Ge, Ajit Mallavarapu, Jarod Alper, and Vasily Ilin. Learning to repair Lean proofs from compiler feedback, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2602.02990>. arXiv preprint.
- [217] Glynn Winskel. Event structures. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 1987. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-17906-2_31.
- [218] Yutong Xin, Qiaochu Chen, Greg Durrett, and Işıl Dillig. VeriSoftBench: Repository-scale formal verification benchmarks for Lean, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2602.18307>.
- [219] Kazuyuki Yagasaki. A new proof of Poincaré’s result on the restricted three-body problem. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 66(5), 2025. doi: 10.1063/5.0266087. URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0266087>.
- [220] Kaiyu Yang, Aidan Swope, Alex Gu, Rahul Chalamala, Peiyang Song, Shixing Yu, Saad Godil, Ryan J. Prenger, and Animashree Anandkumar. Lean-Dojo: Theorem proving with retrieval-augmented language models. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 36, 2023. URL https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2023/hash/4441469427094f8873d0fecb0c4e1cee-Abstract-Datasets_and_Benchmarks.html.
- [221] Li yao Xia, Yannick Zakowski, Paul He, Chung-Kil Hur, Gregory Malecha, Benjamin C. Pierce, and Steve Zdancewic. Interaction trees: representing recursive and impure programs in Coq. *Proceedings of the ACM on Programming Languages*, 4(POPL):1–32, 2019. doi: 10.1145/3371119. URL <https://doi.org/10.1145/3371119>.
- [222] Jiaying Ye, Samarth Rao, Leo Carlin, Kedar Chintalapati, Saharsh Bhargava, Rachit Jaiswal, Michael Zhou, Jared Darlington, Jiahe Lu, Jarod Alper, Vasily Ilin, and Henry Kvinge. Does My Embedding Reflect That $A = B$? Evaluating Mathematical Equivalence in Embedding Models, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2606.23959>. arXiv:2606.23959.

- [223] Yinyu Ye, Michael J. Todd, and Shinji Mizuno. An $O(\sqrt{nL})$ -Iteration Homogeneous and Self-Dual Linear Programming Algorithm. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 19(1):53–67, 1994. doi: 10.1287/moor.19.1.53. URL <https://doi.org/10.1287/moor.19.1.53>.
- [224] Zhe Ye, Hantao Lou, Yuechun Sun, Peiyang Song, Zhengxu Yan, Timothe Kasriel, Qingyang Zhang, Kaiyu Yang, Soonho Kong, Jingxuan He, and Dawn Song. Vero: Can AI agents build formally verified software repositories?, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2608.13522>.
- [225] Amir Zandieh, Majid Daliri, and Insu Han. QJL: 1-Bit Quantized JL Transform for KV Cache Quantization with Zero Overhead, 2024. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.03482>. arXiv:2406.03482.
- [226] Ke Zhang, Patricio Gallardo Candela, Sudhir Murthy, Yi Xie, Zhi Wang, and Maziar Raissi. Beyond compilation: Evaluating faithful natural-language-to-Lean statement formalization, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2606.31002v2>. arXiv preprint, version 2, September 3, 2026.
- [227] Lei Zhang, Yusheng Zhao, Hongshun Yao, and Xin Wang. Building Shor’s Algorithm in Lean: An Agentic Formalization of Quantum Attacks on RSA-2048 and P-256, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2607.14082>. arXiv:2607.14082.
- [228] Shangdong Zhang. Towards Formalizing Reinforcement Learning Theory: A Robbins-Siegmund Approach, 2025. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2511.03618>. arXiv:2511.03618.
- [229] Zhen Zhang and R.W. Yeung. On characterization of entropy function via information inequalities. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 44(4):1440–1452, 1998. doi: 10.1109/18.681320. URL <https://doi.org/10.1109/18.681320>.
- [230] Shuoxing Zhou. ICC property (T) groups without W^* -superrigidity, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2608.02327>. arXiv:2608.02327.
- [231] Zeru Zhu, Jinzheng Li, Yuanjie Ren, and Ji Liu. Maximizing algebraic connectivity with $2(n - 2)$ edges: The large vertex number case, 2026. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2608.07360v2>.

A OBSERVATION SCOPE AND MEASUREMENT METHODS

The archive and its automation repository continue to change. Table 10 identifies the observations analyzed in this paper. Tables identify source revisions and link the original PR reports. Raw measurements and analysis inputs are retained separately. The full project/publication concordance follows in Appendix I.

Table 10: Observation periods and populations. The archive, its automation repository, and the exposition site continue to change; these dates specify the source observations and measurements used here.

Evidence	Observation
Archive source census	September 21, 2026, 06:07 UTC
Public PR records	Retrieved September 21, 2026
Structured review-service reports	Last retained report: September 13, 2026
Exposition export	September 20, 2026, 14:24 UTC
LeanEval reuse analysis	Analysis retrieved September 12, 2026
Automation job definitions	Unchanged revision rechecked September 21, 2026
Build resources	Clean builds measured September 21, 2026 (UTC)
Optimization comparison	Historical revisions rebuilt September 13–14, 2026 (UTC)
Exposition screenshots	Live interface captured September 21, 2026 (UTC)

The source census counts physical lines in the completed library, including comments, blank lines, and its internal scaffold, and excludes dependency source and the generated top-level import index. Commit contributors and merged-PR authors are counted separately using GitHub accounts classified as users, excluding bots. First imports are identified from merge commits against their first parents, avoiding duplicate counts from stacked PRs.

The declaration-command census counts source syntax, including explicitly public declarations, and excludes examples and compiler-generated auxiliaries. The exposition census counts declarations with source locations and follows auxiliaries when resolving dependencies; it uses a coherent deployed export that precedes the latest import batch. These populations are reported separately. The stable-version migration passed the native combined-library CI build and repository checks.

Research records. The analysis uses retained source records, build logs and memory samples, review reports, and project and publication records. Validation checks file hashes and regenerates the reported displays without compiler or model calls.

The research uses model assistance for collection, analysis code, mathematical inspection, and writing. Review-service outputs are identified as model-generated reports. Public-source attribution and the full project bibliography are retained independently of those assessments.

A.1 COMPOSITION OF THE COLLECTION

Table 11 characterizes the largest developments in the archive.

The archive retired the forward-Euler and special-numbers projects through a separate curation PR. Its final record cites the archive’s project-size threshold and the textbook scope of the material, respectively. This change is separate from the compiler repairs and is labeled as project retirement in the growth figure.

A.2 BUILD-RESOURCE COMPARISON

Tables 12, 13, and 14 report clean builds of the current archive and the comparison libraries, together with their configuration and exact source revisions.

Table 11: Largest archived projects by physical source size. Counts include comments, blank lines, and integration changes within each project. Provenance comes from the attributed project card. The examples span analysis, geometry, logic, number theory, and computer science; source size describes the formal development rather than the mathematical significance of its headline result. The full catalogue links every project to its upstream source and publications.

Project	Provenance	Lean lines
Finite-time breakdown for Navier–Stokes and Euler	AI	641,073
A complex structure on the six-sphere	AI	260,646
Classification of Compact Surfaces	AI	143,423
Polynomial-factor hardness of the closest vector problem	mix	135,818
Improved asymptotic bounds for binary and spherical codes	mix	112,078
The Convex-Octagon Case of Erdős Problem 97	AI	101,724
Jordan–Schönflies theorem	AI	77,482
Quantum parallel repetition	mix	71,975
Modular forms and the generalized residue theorem	mix	67,709
Ehrhart’s sharp volume inequality	mix	56,357
Sharp asymptotic upper bounds for sphere packing	mix	55,713
Gödel’s First and Second Incompleteness Theorems	human	55,430

Table 12: Clean builds of the named libraries on the same Azure VM. Lean Pool has more source lines and a longer elapsed build than the matching Mathlib release in these runs. Lean Pool retains its fetched Mathlib cache; Mathlib rebuilds its own sources with its external dependencies prepared. The matching-release comparison uses the same compiler and Mathlib revision. Current Mathlib and Tau Ceti use their supported compiler versions, listed in Appendix A.2. Source size excludes dependency code. CPU time sums user and system time; RAM is sampled peak combined proportional resident memory, accounting for shared pages. Each row is one complete build on a shared VM.

Library	Source MLOC	Build min ↓	CPU h ↓	RAM GiB ↓
Lean Pool	3.23	60.28	14.29	20.03
Mathlib (matching release)	2.33	37.44	9.38	7.35
Mathlib (current)	2.33	35.83	8.99	7.00
Tau Ceti	1.46	30.89	7.13	10.67

Table 13: Shared measurement configuration. Builds run sequentially with the same CPU affinity and thread settings. The observer verifies that every source module compiles, its output exists, and the source checkout remains unchanged. Memory peaks are sampled between process scans. Cache downloads, documentation, and separate CI audits are outside the timed command. Normal in-build lint options remain enabled. The VM ran background tasks during these measurements; their activity is recorded separately and is outside the reported CPU and process-memory totals.

Setting	Value
Host	Azure Linux VM; AMD EPYC 9V45 processor
Available CPUs / Lean threads	16 / 16
Physical RAM	125.8 GiB
Dependency preparation	Prebuilt; outside timing
Root-library outputs	Absent before each build
Filesystem cache	Compilation inputs preloaded before timing
Artifact restoration	Disabled for the measured root library
Run order	Lean Pool, matching Mathlib, current Mathlib, Tau Ceti
Time instrumentation	GNU time; elapsed and aggregate user + system CPU
Memory instrumentation	Summed proportional resident memory of the build process group

Table 14: Measured source revisions and compiler versions. Links identify the exact source for each completed build. The matching Mathlib release is the dependency pinned by Lean Pool. The current Mathlib observation uses a newer compiler; Tau Ceti uses its own supported release candidate.

Library	Lean	Source revision
Lean Pool	4.34.0	bc6f18b2
Mathlib (matching release)	4.34.0	5ed29652
Mathlib (current)	4.35.0-rc2	0a6c8e03
Tau Ceti	4.34.0-rc2	8aa2ad02

Table 15: Mechanical checks and performance tooling in the observed repositories, supported by the retained workflow definitions and benchmark documentation [132; 151; 205]. All use library compilation and Mathlib linters. Lean Pool adds project-level admission checks, while Tau Ceti requires a performance comparison for merging; Pool profiling is advisory. Mathlib maintains whole-build benchmark and telemetry infrastructure.

Responsibility	Lean Pool	Mathlib	Tau Ceti
Compilation	Combined archive build; warning rejection	Library build, tests, warning checks	Complete source-tree build; warning and output checks
Declaration lint	Mathlib environment linters	Mathlib lint driver	Mathlib environment linters with a recorded baseline
Source style	Mathlib style checks; no waivers	Source and bibliography style checks	Style and header checks; bounded files
Logical assumptions	Allowed-axiom check and compiled-environment audit	Standard trusted Lean foundations; library review and linting	Allowed-axiom audit of compiled sources
Project governance	Attributed cards, completed results, permissive license, separated content PRs	Review of shared mathematical API	AI-contributed mathematics under trusted governance checks
Resource policy	No content option overrides; source and proof size limits	Library-configured options and reviewed exceptions	No content option overrides; per-process watchdog
Performance	Advisory per-file profiling	Build benchmark and telemetry tooling	Required comparison using hardware instructions or CPU time; advisory heartbeats

B CI AND PROFILING

Lean Pool builds the combined library, rejects unexpected warnings, checks generated project indexes, runs Mathlib’s environment and text-style linters, and applies its repository-specific quality checker. Cold CI builds can be split across project shards; the assembled outputs are followed by a combined-library build and common checks. Python tooling has formatting, linting, and unit-test checks, while workflow checks validate the CI definitions.

The environment linters check simplifier normal forms, unused arguments, declaration types, and structures whose fields are all propositions. Source linters check naming, headers, whitespace, and layout conventions. Tau Ceti records existing exceptions in a baseline and rejects new violations; Pool rejects linter waivers in project content. Tau Ceti also checks duplicate declaration ownership, including orphan modules, before imported environments can hide collisions.

Archive-specific rules. Cards must identify authors, a primary source, proof provenance, subject tags, and formal main results paired with informal statements. The source license must be Apache-2.0 or MIT. Registered results must resolve in Lean, and generated cards must agree with the registry. Completed projects cannot contain unfinished proofs, new axioms, unchecked

declarations, linter waivers, diagnostic commands, or broad imports of all Mathlib. The environment audit also checks generated declarations for forbidden assumptions and programmatic attempts to change restricted options.

The separate challenge board permits explicit open statements. Their eventual solutions are checked against the registered statements with an independent kernel comparison. This exception does not apply to completed projects.

Profiling. For new files, the Pool profiler reports absolute source size, declaration counts, heartbeats, and elapsed elaboration measurements. For modified files it reports a before/after comparison and a statement-change summary. Heartbeats measure Lean’s internal allocation-based computation counter. They complement elapsed time but do not replace a whole-build measurement. The displayed comment can summarize the largest file changes; raw measurements are retained as workflow artifacts.

Mathlib’s build benchmark records whole-build instructions, CPU and elapsed time, peak memory, per-module measurements, and critical build paths. Tau Ceti’s performance workflow compares immutable base and head revisions using retired instructions when available and CPU time otherwise. Its trusted measurement harness keeps candidate code separate from the recorded counters. Pool profiling is advisory in the observed configuration, whereas Tau Ceti publishes a required performance status. Table 15 compares the responsibilities of these workflows.

C DAILY JOBS AND CONTRIBUTOR PARTICIPATION

The separate Lean Pool automation repository contains maintained prompts and a host runner. The runner refreshes its checkout before execution and prevents duplicate instances of the same job. A configured fallback backend can continue a job after an execution failure. Job definitions specify responsibilities; they do not establish which model performed each historical contribution.

Table 16: Daily responsibilities in the maintained automation repository. Discovery jobs prepare attributed imports; review and issue jobs act on existing submissions; optimization jobs improve archived code; announcements connect accepted results to the community. These are job responsibilities, not a census of completed executions. Dependency bumping runs in a separate scheduled repository workflow.

Job	Work performed
Recent discovery	Find recently completed formalizations; check attribution, license, fit, dependencies, and cost; prepare an import PR.
Older discovery	Search the preceding literature and repositories for completed projects missed by recent discovery; apply the same admission checks.
Open-PR review	Inspect current submissions, mathematical references, CI, reviews, and profiling; repair eligible branches or record unresolved issues.
Project optimization	Profile an existing project, shorten proofs or reduce compilation cost, validate the change, and open a PR.
Maintainer issues	Inspect submissions awaiting a maintainer decision; resolve eligible source or metadata problems and retain unresolved decisions.
Announcements	Identify newly accepted projects, check their advertised results, and announce them with attribution while suppressing duplicate posts.

Dependency bumping is a separate scheduled repository workflow. It detects a release, prepares the updated environment, probes projects, assigns failures to repair agents, and assembles their patches. It then builds the combined archive and creates a draft PR reporting the outcome. Optimization and repair proposals remain subject to the repository checks and maintainer decisions.

C.1 WHAT OTHER CONTRIBUTORS HAVE ADDED

Table 17 attributes further contributions to the archive.

Table 17: Contributions from community submitters through the current observation. Counts cover merged PRs; descriptions summarize the mathematics and tooling in their accepted changes. Project cards separately preserve upstream formalization authorship.

Contributor	Merged PRs	Contributions
lyfar	13	Combinatorics, geometry, and project corrections
jtraverso	7	Convex duality, graph decompositions, matching, flows, and concentration
Lemmy00	2	Pythagorean parametrization; Cramer–Wold
ldct	2	Commuting probability; Chudnovsky formula
AlexeyMilovanov	1	Harper’s isoperimetric theorem
ElNando888	1	Krafft sieve
Hydrodynamical	1	Vlasov mean-field limit
JonCYeh	1	Pentagonal number theorem and analytic Jacobi triple product
dillon-11	1	Lehmer polynomial and Coxeter element
gersh	1	Three-body nonintegrability
jarfo	1	Unique multiset sums
mccorvie	1	Classification of surfaces
rkirov	1	Jacobian of a Riemann surface
vaguiarl	1	Odlyzko bound solution

D STABLE-VERSION MIGRATION

Table 18 follows the stable-version migration from the original production probe to the accepted integration.

Table 18: Production record of the stable-version migration. The initial agent jobs produced repair patches; additional agent-assisted integration addressed remaining build errors, warning changes, and API compatibility. Accepted membership reflects intervening imports and the separately approved project retirements. Source churn compares the merge with its first parent and therefore excludes those unrelated changes. Job success is the workflow status, not a guarantee that its patch completes the combined migration.

Recorded stage	Count
Probe projects	191
Compiler failures	97
Warning only projects	32
Repair jobs	97
Repair jobs succeeded	95
Repair jobs failed	2
Accepted projects	198
Changed files	639
Line churn	5,951

The failed initial repair jobs concerned the graph fundamental-group and incompleteness developments. Subsequent integration repaired the combined source, resolved warnings, and adapted supporting APIs to changed Mathlib interfaces. The PR records strengthened auxiliary hypotheses concerning measurability, sigma-finiteness, and maximality, alongside import and namespace repairs. Its successful build establishes compatibility of the accepted statements; it does not establish equivalence of every declaration type before and after the upgrade. The accepted PR links the migration record and successful CI run.

Table 19 reports the changes that landed in each upgrade.

Table 19: Source changes in the accepted dependency upgrades. Churn is added plus removed physical Lean lines. It includes cleanup in projects that already compiled and therefore describes the work that landed rather than the minimum repair. These records provide an observable proxy for maintenance effort; historical monetary charges and human labor were not logged.

Target Lean	Files edited	Lines changed
4.31.0-rc1	825	25,583
4.32.0-rc1	402	5,653
4.33.0-rc1	576	11,690
4.33.0-rc2	585	5,221
4.34.0-rc1	448	4,676
4.34.0	639	5,951

E ACCEPTED OPTIMIZATION CHANGES

The historical optimizations in Table 3 were evaluated against their parent revisions by rebuilding the whole Lean Pool library on the same Azure VM. External dependencies are prepared before timing. The library’s own build outputs are removed before each run, and dependency and toolchain inputs are read into memory outside the timed command. The dependency manifest and build configuration are identical within each comparison. Runs are sequential with the same CPU affinity and Lean thread settings.

Table 20 gives the complete build measurements.

Table 20: Complete-library builds underlying the optimization comparison. Each row reports one clean run, with the parent before the accepted change. The VM uses the same CPU affinity and Lean thread setting as the library comparison. Dependencies are prebuilt and their compilation inputs preloaded outside timing. CPU hours sum user and system time across the build; RAM is combined proportional resident memory sampled throughout the run. Paired measurements use each PR’s own source and toolchain, so comparisons are within PRs rather than between different archive sizes.

PR	Revision	Lean	Wall min ↓	CPU hours ↓	RAM GiB ↓
117	Before	4.30.0-rc2	5.30	0.96	15.60
	After	4.30.0-rc2	5.25	0.94	15.57
187	Before	4.32.0-rc1	16.11	4.04	26.12
	After	4.32.0-rc1	15.59	3.90	26.85
246	Before	4.32.0-rc1	21.83	5.51	25.95
	After	4.32.0-rc1	23.01	5.81	24.58
338	Before	4.33.0-rc2	30.22	7.66	21.02
	After	4.33.0-rc2	29.13	7.38	20.89
339	Before	4.34.0-rc1	28.46	7.20	19.81
	After	4.34.0-rc1	26.82	6.78	19.55

The measurement script checks that every source module was compiled and that its compiled output exists. It samples the proportional resident memory of the build’s process group, counting shared pages proportionally across workers. The same concurrent-memory measure is used for the comparison with Mathlib and Tau Ceti. Source changes include refactoring and deletion of existing declarations as well as shortening proof bodies.

The project-level comparisons in Table 4 retain their original measurement scopes. Restricted-sum and interior-point results use medians of repeated clean project builds with warm dependencies. Infinite Connes rigidity compares a single baseline with the median of repeated optimized builds. The Burkholder and quantum parallel repetition results each use a single clean build per revision on the same host. The fluid-equation refactor uses an interleaved before/after ordering on Azure and adds APIs for downstream reuse. Its measured whole-project compilation time does not decrease, although the retained downstream client comparison uses fewer instructions and less memory. Tactic-import

cleanup has differing cache preparation between runs; its source reduction is reported without a timing comparison. These observations are not pooled with the controlled whole-library measurements.

Library-wide module and proof optimization. Table 21 records the historical benchmark supporting the merged library-wide change; its integrated version is included in the current source census and build-resource comparison.

Table 21: Fixed-workload benchmark accompanying the accepted module and proof optimization. The merged PR compares the same original archive workload with pinned dependencies and cold project caches on a shared WSL host. Its measured candidate precedes later imports and final integration. Retired instructions decrease alongside memory use in this historical comparison. Process RSS is the largest individual process; anonymous memory sums sampled concurrent private allocations and excludes file-backed mappings. These differ from the proportional-memory metric in the fresh Azure comparison. The current source census and Azure build include the merged optimization.

Workload	Instructions T ↓	Process GiB ↓	Anonymous GiB ↓
Original source	124.499	12.605	13.222
Optimized source	103.172	2.891	5.594

F PRODUCTION REVIEWS AND AGREEMENT

Table 22 describes agreement within the retained histories of repeatedly reviewed PRs.

Table 22: Agreement between retained reports of the same review type. Same-PR comparisons can span changed code and review models. Same-revision comparisons require an explicit matching reviewed commit. Report pairs are dependent when a PR has repeated reviews; agreement describes verdict consistency rather than mathematical correctness.

Comparison	Pairs	Same verdict
Same PR	69	37
Same reviewed revision	0	0

Table 23 separates the report types and explicit coverage limitations.

Table 23: Composition of the retained review-service reports. Project, challenge, solution, and refactor reviews follow distinct rubrics. Explicit partial-coverage notices are counted separately and may occur in any category.

Report category	Reports
Project	278
Challenge	7
Solution	2
Refactor	9
Explicitly partial reports	14

Service evolution and state. The original service used API calls with bounded review input. Its Azure configuration uses Codex account quota and partitions large changes into source portions before integrating their findings. The integration stage tracks open questions and requests original source excerpts when needed. A coverage manifest records which source ranges were presented. This describes coverage of the input, not an independent assessment of the model’s mathematical accuracy. The workflow was manually disabled before the current observation. Its retained reports therefore describe past executions; they do not establish ongoing coverage of the most recent imports. Free-form daily-agent review comments remain outside the structured report census.

Price accounting. Historical comments expose API-based price estimates. The Azure comments expose nominal Standard-API-equivalent estimates while execution consumes account quota. The latter value input at uncached rates and omit VM costs. They are not invoices. The census retains changed versions of a review when available and deduplicates identical report revisions. Overwritten or unrecorded executions are not recoverable from the retained comments, so totals are prices attached to retained reports rather than the complete expenditure of operating the archive.

G EXPOSITION, DISCOVERY, AND REUSE

Figure 5 follows a main result from the project overview into its formal statement and supporting declarations.

LeanPool.Incompleteness.goedelSecond

theorem ★ main result

*Proves Gödel's second incompleteness theorem:
such a theory cannot prove its own consistency.*

LeanPool.Incompleteness:41–45

[source](#) [API docs](#)

uses 5 declarations from Mathlib/core

Dependency cone Minimal Lean file

Metadata alias for Gödel's second incompleteness theorem.

```
theorem goedelSecond (T : L0.FirstOrder.Theory <math>\mathcal{L}_0</math>
  [L0.Entailment.Consistent T] :
  T  $\not\vdash$   $\uparrow$ T.consistent
```

USES (26)

- L0.FirstOrder.Theory.consistent
- L0.FirstOrder.Arith.goedel_second_incompleteness
- L0.FirstOrder.Theory.Delta1Definable
- L0.Arith.instDefinableLanguageORing
- L0.FirstOrder.Semiformula.instCoeTheoryORing
- L0.FirstOrder.Theory.iSigma
- L0.FirstOrder.Derivation.instTaitSyntacticFormulaTheory

Figure 5: The theorem panel for Gödel’s second incompleteness theorem in Exposition. Selecting a headline result opens its informal description, Lean statement preview, and links to source and API documentation. This close-up shows how the site connects a result in the overview graph to its formal account. Capture dates appear in Table 10.

Maintaining the publication pipeline. The documentation pipeline caches project graph exports by their source, transitive import dependencies, toolchain configuration, and extractor version. A changed dependency invalidates the affected export. Documentation can reuse successful native-CI Lean artifacts only after matching the source revision and verified source tree; otherwise it takes the ordinary build path. Data validation still runs when a cached graph is reused.

G.1 RECORDED REUSE

Table 24 lists source-level reuse documented in the retained histories.

Table 24: Recorded reuse within and beyond the archive. The Kurosh development applies the existing finite-graph formalization’s spanning-tree basis in its Schreier index formula. Other entries distinguish attributed source copying, an explicit package dependency, and use within later projects controlled by the maintainer. These source histories complement the LeanEval declaration-matching analysis.

Consumer	Recorded reuse
Kurosh subgroup development	Applies the archived finite-graph spanning-tree basis
Feige development	Copies attributed Pool isoperimetry; applies its theorem
Poincaré development	Pins Pool and reexports its nonintegrability theorem
Maintainer homotopy development	Contains attributed homotopy modules imported through Pool
Maintainer Mazur development	Uses the archived Grothendieck-vanishing result




Figure 6: Reuse of public repositories in the LeanEval audit [15]. Lean Pool has matching declarations in more solutions than any other repository in the comparison. Counts are solutions with matching normalized source declarations, including copied code; ordinary package imports such as Mathlib dependencies are excluded. A solution can match both an archived project and its upstream repository. The audit’s agent traces document how archived mathematics entered subsequent research-level formalizations. Further source-level reuse appears in Appendix G.1.

H ORIGINAL UPSTREAM LEAN VERSIONS

Table 25 records the upstream environment for each imported project.

Table 25: Original upstream Lean environments for every current project, ordered as in the publication concordance. Unmarked entries use an import pin or explicit original import report. A dagger marks the latest upstream default-branch revision before registration, used when the import pin is unavailable. Unpinned means the retained source or original import report records no upstream toolchain pin. Links lead to the source evidence.

No.	Project	Upstream Lean
1	2-Coloring Cycles in One Round	4.28.0 [†]
2	A 4AP-free permutation of the positive integers	4.33.1
3	A complex structure on the six-sphere	4.33.0
4	A Conditional Fourteen-Point Case of Erdős Problem 132	4.32.0-rc1 [†]

No.	Project	Upstream Lean
5	A Conditional Sieve Criterion for Twin Primes via Krafft Geometry	4.32.0-rc1 [†]
6	A Detailed Proof of the Chudnovsky Formula	4.32.0-rc1 [†]
7	A formalization of Borel determinacy in Lean	4.28.0-rc1 [†]
8	A sharp 5/8 bound for Erdős Problem 865	4.28.0
9	ABC implies that Ramanujan’s tau function misses almost all primes	4.26.0 [†]
10	Accelerated Nesterov convergence under local Polyak-Lojasiewicz conditions	4.28.0 [†]
11	Almost all primes are partially regular	4.26.0 [†]
12	Analysis of Boolean functions in Lean	4.16.0-rc2 [†]
13	Apportionmentlib	4.30.0 [†]
14	Archon-FirstProof-Results	4.28.0 [†]
15	Artin-Wedderburn Theorem	4.14.0-rc2 [†]
16	Asymptotically attaining the Moore bound	4.32.2
17	Aumann’s Agreement Theorem	4.28.0 [†]
18	Axiomatic projective geometry (Faure–Frölicher)	Unpinned
19	Bennett–Bernstein, Freedman, and Hoeffding concentration inequalities	4.28.0
20	BKLO simultaneous perfect matchings and spread matchings	4.28.0
21	Boolean Isoperimetry and Conway–Guy Coherent Gaps	4.28.0 [†]
22	Bounds for the centered Hardy–Littlewood maximal constant	4.32.0
23	Brauer Group Core	4.26.0-rc2 [†]
24	Burkholder Martingale Transform Inequality	4.30.0-rc2
25	Carlet’s Kasami cyclic-additive conjecture	4.33.0
26	Certified Runge-Kutta Order Conditions	4.30.0-rc2
27	Chaos and the Julia set of the complex exponential	4.34.0
28	Chebyshev Quotients and Demazure Multiplicities	4.28.0
29	Chordal graphs: minimal separators and simplicial vertices	4.34.0-rc1 [†]
30	Chvátal’s conjecture and sharp Boolean correlation	4.33.1
31	Circuit Complexity in Lean 4	4.29.0-rc4 [†]
32	circuitlib: a circuit verification library for Lean 4	4.30.0-rc1
33	Classification of Compact Surfaces	4.32.2 [†]
34	Classification of groups of order $p * q$	4.15.0 [†]
35	Classification of low-dimensional solvable Lie algebras	4.19.0 [†]
36	Clawristotle: Vlasov-Maxwell-Landau steady-state classification	4.24.0
37	Coinductive Interaction Trees using QPFs	4.29.0 [†]
38	Common-neighbour counterexamples for Saxl graphs	4.34.0-rc2
39	Conditional formalization of Zhou’s Connes-rigidity counterexample	4.34.0-rc2
40	Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra of rooted trees	4.30.0-rc2 [†]
41	Convex Three-Distance Degree-Six Theorem and Exceptional-Word Closures	4.34.0-rc1 [†]
42	Counterexamples to graph compactness and two-degenerate extremal bounds	4.32.0

No.	Project	Upstream Lean
43	Counting Critical Portraits	4.31.0 [†]
44	Craig interpolation and Beth definability for propositional dynamic logic	4.33.1
45	Craig Interpolation for Gödel-Löb logic via coalgebraic proofs	4.28.0 [†]
46	Dead Ends in Square-Free Digit Walks	4.26.0
47	Demazure Operators and Lean	4.14.0-rc3 [†]
48	Density-One GKP Divisibility and Its Carry-Language Characterization	4.32.0-rc1 [†]
49	Dilatations of categories and commutative rings	4.24.0
50	Directed Topology in Lean 4	4.6.0-rc1
51	Disproof of the Aharoni-Korman Conjecture	4.16.0-rc2 [†]
52	Disproof of the Köthe conjecture (Krempa's matrix form)	4.28.0
53	DomainTheory	4.30.0
54	Duality theory in linear optimization and its extensions	4.18.0 [†]
55	Ehrhart's sharp volume inequality	4.32.0
56	Erdős Problem #137: powerful products of consecutive integers	4.28.0
57	Erdős Problem #367	4.28.0
58	Erdős Problem 346: Ratio Limit Forces the Golden Ratio	4.31.0 [†]
59	Euclidean Distance Geometry	4.32.0-rc1 [†]
60	Euler's pentagonal number theorem	4.26.0-rc2
61	Euler's pentagonal number theorem, two independent proofs, and the Jacobi triple product	4.34.0-rc1
62	Even graphs are edge-disjoint unions of cycles	4.28.0
63	Event Structures and Causal-Consistent Reversibility	4.28.1 [†]
64	Exact odd-prime distributions of central-binomial valuations	4.32.0-rc1 [†]
65	Exceptional Set in the abc Conjecture	4.21.0-rc3 [†]
66	Existence of a finitely presented non-sofic group	4.32.0
67	Existence of Nash equilibria via Brouwer's fixed-point theorem	4.30.0 [†]
68	Explicit type A_n and BC_n root pairings	4.30.0-rc2 [†]
69	Extended Demazure Product on ASP Permutations	4.31.0-rc2
70	Factorization Systems	4.14.0-rc2 [†]
71	Feige's sharp unit-slack inequality	4.31.0
72	Fel's Conjecture on Syzygies of Numerical Semigroups	4.26.0
73	Fermat's Last Theorem for regular primes	4.34.0-rc2
74	FinEqs - reducing equations defining a subset of n -space over a finite field	4.24.0 [†]
75	Finite max-flow / min-cut	4.28.0
76	Finite witnesses and the width hierarchy for language generation	4.24.0
77	Finite Čencov-Petz Uniqueness	4.29.1
78	Finite-N Kuramoto Synchronization	4.31.0-rc1 [†]
79	Finite-time breakdown for Navier-Stokes and Euler	4.34.0-rc2
80	Finitely generated cones and finite LP duality	4.28.0 [†]

No.	Project	Upstream Lean
81	First Order Language of ZF Set Theory	4.22.0-rc3 [†]
82	Flean: Floating-Point Numbers in Lean	4.27.0-rc1 [†]
83	Formal Learning Theory Kernel	4.29.0-rc6 [†]
84	Formalisation of the Bruhat-Tits Tree	4.19.0 [†]
85	Formalizations of theorems related to model checking	4.30.0 [†]
86	Formalized Complex Analysis in Lean	4.28.0-rc1 [†]
87	Foundational local complex-analytic geometry	4.32.0
88	FrontierMath Ramsey Hypergraphs	4.28.0
89	Fundamental groups of finite connected graphs	4.32.0
90	Galois groups of quadratic polynomial iterates	4.33.1
91	Gaussian Moments Conjecture counterexamples	4.34.0
92	Genus-Zero Pairings and Catalan Numbers	4.29.0-rc6 [†]
93	Geometric Four-Colorings of the Moser Lattice and Ring	4.32.0-rc1 [†]
94	Global completeness of one-sorted definedness-free matching logic	4.33.0
95	Grothendieck's Vanishing Theorem	4.28.0
96	Gödel's First and Second Incompleteness Theorems	4.16.0-rc2
97	Halving the Kalton-Roberts upper bound	4.28.0 [†]
98	Homogeneous self-dual interior-point method for linear programming	4.31.0-rc1 [†]
99	Hurwitz's Classification of Euclidean Composition Algebras	4.33.0
100	Improved asymptotic bounds for binary and spherical codes	4.32.0
101	Improved long gaps between consecutive primes	4.33.0
102	Improved Lower Bounds for Strong n-Conjectures	4.30.0-rc2 [†]
103	Infinitary logic and countable model theory	4.34.0-rc1
104	Infinite counterexamples to Connes's rigidity conjecture	4.32.0
105	Irrationality of $\zeta(3)$	4.18.0 [†]
106	Jordan-Schönflies theorem	4.32.2
107	Kahn-Kalai expectation-threshold theorem	4.32.0
108	Known Bounds for the Hadwiger-Nelson Problem	4.32.0-rc1 [†]
109	Kolokolnikov's ACMAX conjecture	4.32.0-rc1
110	Kurosh and Schreier subgroup theorems via covering graphs	4.32.0
111	Lean-QuantumAlg	4.30.0
112	Lehmer's Polynomial and the E10 Coxeter Element	4.32.0-rc1 [†]
113	Lentil: Temporal Logic of Actions in Lean 4	4.28.0 [†]
114	Leo Moser's finite distinct-subset-sums inequality	4.32.0-rc1 [†]
115	Maxima of Coxeter frieze patterns are Fibonacci numbers	4.10.0-rc2 [†]
116	Mean-field derivation and well-posedness of the Vlasov equation	4.33.0-rc1
117	Minimum modulus for the unique multiset-sum problem	4.32.0 [†]
118	Misere combinatorial games	4.29.0-rc1
119	Modular forms and the generalized residue theorem	4.29.0-rc8
120	Monlib4 Operator-Algebra and Quantum-Set Core	4.21.0-rc3 [†]

No.	Project	Upstream Lean
121	Monotonicity Formula for Stationary Harmonic Maps	4.30.0-rc2
122	Monsky's Theorem	4.16.0-rc2 [†]
123	Moreira's version of Sard's theorem	4.27.0-rc1
124	MRiscX	4.29.0 [†]
125	Nash-Williams fronts and 2-better-quasi-orders	4.28.0
126	Near-perfect triangle packings from sum-zero triples	4.28.0 [†]
127	Neukirch's Algebraic Number Theory: Hilbert ramification theory	4.5.0-rc1 [†]
128	Nivat's conjecture	4.33.1
129	On the paucity of lattice triangles	4.26.0 [†]
130	Optimal Pebbling Number of the Hypercube	4.30.0-rc2 [†]
131	Oracle Computability and Turing Degrees	4.24.0 [†]
132	Osterwalder-Schrader Axioms for the Gaussian Free Field	4.29.0 [†]
133	Partial Combinatory Algebras	4.15.0-rc1 [†]
134	PCF Theory	4.18.0-rc1 [†]
135	Period Lengths of Rational Cut-and-Project Gap Sequences	4.29.1
136	Planar Point Sets Whose Non-Diameter Distances Form a Geometric 3-Chain	4.31.0-rc1 [†]
137	Poincaré's Nonintegrability Theorem for the Restricted Three-Body Problem	4.33.0-rc1 [†]
138	Pointwise Birkhoff Ergodic Theorem	4.20.0-rc5 [†]
139	Poitou's Explicit Odlyzko Bound for Root Discriminants	4.33.0-rc1 [†]
140	Polylean Unit Conjecture Counterexample	4.19.0-rc3 [†]
141	Polynomial ABC (Mason–Stothers) and its corollaries	4.9.0-rc2
142	Polynomial parametrizations of Pythagorean triples	4.30.0-rc2 [†]
143	Polynomial-factor hardness of the closest vector problem	4.32.0
144	Prekopa-Leindler, Brunn-Minkowski, and the isoperimetric inequality	4.26.0-rc2 [†]
145	Primitive Sets Above x (Erdos Problem 1196)	4.30.0-rc1 [†]
146	Pumping Lemma for Context-Free Grammars	4.15.0-rc1 [†]
147	Pólya's enumeration theorem	4.14.0-rc2
148	Quadratic-order prime classification	4.28.0 [†]
149	Quantum parallel repetition	4.32.0
150	Quartic-over-logarithmic lower bound for rational permanent formulas	4.32.0
151	Quasi-Borel Spaces	4.28.0-rc1 [†]
152	Radó's theorem for Riemann surfaces	4.33.0
153	Rellich–Kondrachov compact embedding theorem	4.29.1 [†]
154	Riemann Mapping Theorem	4.26.0
155	Riemann–Roch for algebraic function fields	4.31.0
156	RL Theory in Lean	4.28.0-rc1 [†]
157	Sabidussi's compatibility conjecture for Eulerian multigraphs	4.31.0
158	Selberg Sieve	4.7.0-rc2 [†]
159	Sensitivity Conjecture: $\sqrt{\deg} \leq \text{sensitivity}$	4.28.0 [†]

No.	Project	Upstream Lean
160	Serre’s mass formula for totally ramified local-field extensions	4.32.2
161	Shannon Entropy Characterization	4.28.0 [†]
162	Sharp asymptotic upper bounds for sphere packing	4.32.0
163	Sharp Five-Distance and Sup-Norm Gap Theorems	4.30.0 [†]
164	Simple zeros of the Riemann zeta function	4.34.0-rc2
165	Spectral positivity	4.30.0
166	Spectral theorem for compact self-adjoint operators	4.29.1
167	Square-difference-free polynomials beyond Naslund’s conjectured bound	4.33.0
168	Stable phase retrieval for Hermite-Fock expansions	4.29.0-rc6 [†]
169	Strengthened V0 Bounded Arithmetic Interfaces	4.22.0 [†]
170	Sums of Distinct Factorials That Are Powers of Two (Erdos Problem 403)	4.31.0 [†]
171	Sums of Three Squares	4.29.1 [†]
172	Sundog certificates	4.30.0
173	Superexponential lower bounds for multicolor triangle Ramsey numbers	4.32.0
174	Synthetic Euclidean geometry: Euclid’s Elements Book I	Unpinned [†]
175	Thakur’s hypotheses on power sums of $F_q[t]$	4.28.0 [†]
176	The 5/8 theorem	4.28.0 [†]
177	The Anderson Conjecture: A Weakly Quasi-Complete Ring Need Not Be Quasi-Complete	4.29.0-rc8 [†]
178	The Bannai-Bannai-Stanton Bound on Distance Sets	4.31.0 [†]
179	The Bollobás–Nikiforov conjecture	4.33.0-rc1
180	The Convex-Octagon Case of Erdős Problem 97	4.32.0-rc1 [†]
181	The Cramer-Wold theorem	4.32.0-rc1 [†]
182	The Erdős–Graham–Ruzsa–Straus two-prime theorem	4.29.1 [†]
183	The Erdős–Sós conjecture (Erdős Problem 548)	4.28.0
184	The Erdős–Tuza–Valtr conjecture	4.13.0-rc3
185	The Fundamental Inequality of Valued Fields	4.30.0 [†]
186	The Hanson-Wright inequality for sub-Gaussian quadratic forms	4.33.0
187	The Jacobian of a Compact Riemann Surface	4.33.0-rc2
188	The Johnson–Lindenstrauss Lemma and Quantized JL	4.31.0 [†]
189	The Komlós and Beck–Fiala bounds with constant 36	4.34.0
190	The Kunen inconsistency theorem	4.29.0-rc4 [†]
191	The main theorem of polytopes	4.7.0-rc2
192	The optimal exponent relating sumsets and difference sets	4.32.1
193	The polynomial Freiman–Ruzsa conjecture	4.34.0-rc2
194	The polynomial method and restricted sums of congruence classes	4.27.0-rc1
195	The Ramanujan-Nagell theorem	4.26.0-rc2
196	The Rupert Problem for convex polyhedra	4.28.0
197	The Three-Gap (Steinhaus) Theorem	4.29.1

No.	Project	Upstream Lean
198	The transcendence of π	4.30.0-rc2 [†]
199	The Wallace problem in ZFC	4.30.0
200	The Zhang-Yeung non-Shannon information inequality	4.28.0-rc1
201	Turán's theorem (the "Book" weighting proof)	4.24.0-rc1 [†]
202	Ulm's theorem for countable reduced abelian p-groups	4.30.0-rc1
203	Unconditional Schauder Bases	4.30.0-rc2
204	Uniqueness of Shannon Capacity-Achieving Priors	4.29.1 [†]
205	Verified canonical labelling of finite graphs	4.33.1
206	Verified interval-Cauchy real arithmetic	4.17.0-rc1 [†]
207	Virasoro Project	4.27.0-rc1 [†]
208	Weierstrass models and singular points for Tate's algorithm	nightly 2023-08-19 [†]
209	Whitehead's theorem for CW-complexes	4.21.0-rc3
210	Wigner Semicircle Distribution	4.24.0 [†]
211	ZFLean	4.27.0 [†]

I IMPORTED PROJECTS AND THEIR PUBLICATIONS

Table 26: Complete concordance of the current archive and its publications. Each registered project has a row and a link to its upstream repository. Companion papers and manuscripts describe the formalized results or development; mathematical sources provide underlying results. Source records distinguish papers, books, manuscripts, and repository documentation. Projects removed before the observation are excluded.

No.	Imported project / upstream	Publications and mathematical sources
1	2-Coloring Cycles in One Round	Companion paper: 2-Coloring Cycles in One Round [68].
2	A 4AP-free permutation of the positive integers	Companion paper: A 4AP-free permutation of the positive integers [97].
3	A complex structure on the six-sphere	Mathematical manuscript: A compact complex threefold fibred by tori over the projective line, and the six-sphere [10].
4	A Conditional Fourteen-Point Case of Erdős Problem 132	Mathematical source: Constructions of Maximum Few-Distance Sets in Euclidean Spaces [204].
5	A Conditional Sieve Criterion for Twin Primes via Krafft Geometry	Companion preprint: Variational Optimisation of Spectral Sieve Quotients for Primes in Bounded Symmetric Intervals [185].
6	A Detailed Proof of the Chudnovsky Formula	Mathematical source: A detailed proof of the Chudnovsky formula with means of basic complex analysis – Ein ausführlicher Beweis der Chudnovsky-Formel mit elementarer Funktionentheorie [155].
7	A formalization of Borel determinacy in Lean	Companion paper: A formalization of Borel determinacy in Lean [149].
8	A sharp 5/8 bound for Erdős Problem 865	No publication identified; see upstream documentation.
9	ABC implies that Ramanujan’s tau function misses almost all primes	Companion paper: ABC implies that Ramanujan’s tau function misses almost all primes [12].
10	Accelerated Nesterov convergence under local Polyak-Łojasiewicz conditions	Companion paper: Optimal local linear convergence of Nesterov’s accelerated gradient method for C^2 functions under the Polyak-Łojasiewicz inequality [67].
11	Almost all primes are partially regular	Companion paper: Almost all primes are partially regular [45].
12	Analysis of Boolean functions in Lean	Mathematical source (book): Analysis of Boolean Functions [168].
13	Apportionmentlib	Mathematical source (book): Fair Representation: Meeting the Ideal of One Man, One Vote [22].
14	Archon-FirstProof-Results	Source challenge paper: First Proof [1].
15	Artin-Wedderburn Theorem	Mathematical source: The Wedderburn-Artin Theorem [35]. Mathematical source (book): A First Course in Noncommutative Rings [128].
16	Asymptotically attaining the Moore bound	Companion paper: Asymptotically attaining the Moore bound [212].
17	Aumann’s Agreement Theorem	Companion paper: We Can’t Agree to Disagree, Formally: Aumann’s Theorem and Assumption Accounting in Lean [47].
18	Axiomatic projective geometry (Faure–Frölicher)	Mathematical source (book): Modern Projective Geometry [66].
19	Bennett–Bernstein, Freedman, and Hoeffding concentration inequalities	Companion manuscript for reusable components: Linear-Error Clique Partitions of Split Graphs via Structured Triangle Packing [78].

No.	Imported project / upstream	Publications and mathematical sources
20	BKLO simultaneous perfect matchings and spread matchings	Companion manuscript for reusable components: Linear-Error Clique Partitions of Split Graphs via Structured Triangle Packing [78]. Mathematical source: Edge-decompositions of graphs with high minimum degree [25].
21	Boolean Isoperimetry and Conway–Guy Coherent Gaps	Mathematical source: A sum packing problem of Erdős and the Conway-Guy sequence [32]. Companion manuscript: Robust Harper Stability at the Exponential Scale [157]. Mathematical source: Optimal numberings and isoperimetric problems on graphs [90].
22	Bounds for the centered Hardy-Littlewood maximal constant	Companion proof note: A lower bound 1.6855 for the planar centred maximal constant over squares [139].
23	Brauer Group Core	Mathematical source (book): Central Simple Algebras and Galois Cohomology [80].
24	Burkholder Martingale Transform Inequality	Mathematical source: Boundary Value Problems and Sharp Inequalities for Martingale Transforms [37].
25	Carlet’s Kasami cyclic-additive conjecture	Companion paper: On a conjecture on the Kasami APN function: reductions, structure theorems, a proof for $k \bmod n \in \{1, 2, n-2, n-1\}$, and exhaustive verification for $n \leq 13$ [162].
26	Certified Runge-Kutta Order Conditions	Companion preprint: What Order a Method Knows: certified Runge–Kutta order conditions over rooted trees, machine-checked in Lean 4 [159].
27	Chaos and the Julia set of the complex exponential	Mathematical source: The exponential map is chaotic: An invitation to transcendental dynamics [199].
28	Chebyshev Quotients and Demazure Multiplicities	Companion paper: Chebyshev quotients, Demazure multiplicities, and Dyck-path models [31].
29	Chordal graphs: minimal separators and simplicial vertices	Companion preprint: Complete-Split Extremizers for a Fractional Triangle-Cover Functional on Chordal Graphs [77].
30	Chvátal’s conjecture and sharp Boolean correlation	Companion paper: A proof of Chvátal’s conjecture via a sharp correlation inequality [40].
31	Circuit Complexity in Lean 4	No publication identified; see upstream documentation.
32	circuitlib: a circuit verification library for Lean 4	Mathematical source: A Complete Theory of Sequential Digital Circuits: Denotational, Operational and Algebraic Semantics [75].
33	Classification of Compact Surfaces	No publication identified; see upstream documentation.
34	Classification of groups of order $p * q$	Companion paper: Classifying the groups of order pq in Lean [91].
35	Classification of low-dimensional solvable Lie algebras	Mathematical source (book): Classification and Identification of Lie Algebras [201].
36	Clawristotle: Vlasov-Maxwell-Landau steady-state classification	Companion paper: Semi-Autonomous Formalization of the Vlasov-Maxwell-Landau Equilibrium [108].
37	Coinductive Interaction Trees using QPFs	Prior Coq formalization paper: Interaction trees: representing recursive and impure programs in Coq [221].

No.	Imported project / upstream	Publications and mathematical sources
38	Common-neighbour counterexamples for Saxl graphs	Companion paper: Common neighbour conjectures for Saxl graphs fail at every base size [188]. Software release: Computational source code and Lean formalization for Common neighbour conjectures for Saxl graphs fail at every base size [189]. The registered Zenodo DOI identifies the accompanying software.
39	Conditional formalization of Zhou’s Connes-rigidity counterexample	Companion paper: ICC property (T) groups without W^* -superrigidity [230].
40	Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra of rooted trees	Companion preprint: How a Tree Forgets Its Order: the Eulerian idempotent and the Adams spectrum on the commutative Connes–Kreimer–Butcher Hopf algebra of rooted trees, machine-checked in Lean 4 [161]. Companion preprint: How a Tree Remembers Its Cuts: the Connes–Kreimer–Foissy Hopf Algebra of Rooted Trees, Machine-Checked in Lean 4 [160].
41	Convex Three-Distance Degree-Six Theorem and Exceptional-Word Closures	Mathematical source: On the graph of large distances [65].
42	Counterexamples to graph compactness and two-degenerate extremal bounds	Companion paper: Ten Advances in Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science [175].
43	Counting Critical Portraits	Mathematical source: Degree-d-invariant laminations [208]. Software release: no-way-labs/lean-critical-portraits: lean-critical-portraits [118]. The registered Zenodo DOI identifies software.
44	Craig interpolation and Beth definability for propositional dynamic logic	Companion paper: Propositional Dynamic Logic has Craig Interpolation: a tableau-based proof [34].
45	Craig Interpolation for Gödel-Löb logic via coalgebraic proofs	Companion thesis: Proofs as Coalgebras [79]. Mathematical source: Interpolation properties for provability logics GL and GLP [197].
46	Dead Ends in Square-Free Digit Walks	Companion paper: Dead ends in square-free digit walks [44].
47	Demazure Operators and Lean	Companion thesis: Demazure operators and Lean [192]. Mathematical source: SCHUBERT CELLS AND COHOMOLOGY OF THE SPACESG/P [28].
48	Density-One GKP Divisibility and Its Carry-Language Characterization	Mathematical source (book): Concrete Mathematics: A Foundation for Computer Science [86].
49	Dilatations of categories and commutative rings	Companion paper: Dilatations of categories, via their Lean formalization [152]. Prior formalization: Formalizing multi-graded Brenner–Schröer Proj schemes and dilatations of rings in Lean4 [153].
50	Directed Topology in Lean 4	Companion paper: The Directed Van Kampen Theorem in Lean [26].
51	Disproof of the Aharoni-Korman Conjecture	Companion paper: The Aharoni–Korman conjecture is false [99]. Mathematical source: Greene-Kleitman’s theorem for infinite posets [5].

No.	Imported project / upstream	Publications and mathematical sources
52	Disproof of the Köthe conjecture (Krempa's matrix form)	Companion paper: A counterexample to Köthe's conjecture and a question of Rowen [4].
53	DomainTheory	Companion manuscript: Scott's 3 Successively Less Topological, Simpler, and More Constructive Presentations of Domain Theory and Their Equivalence [58]. Mathematical source: Domains for denotational semantics [194].
54	Duality theory in linear optimization and its extensions	Companion paper: Duality theory in linear optimization and its extensions – formally verified [61].
55	Ehrhart's sharp volume inequality	Companion paper: Ten Advances in Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science [175].
56	Erdős Problem #137: powerful products of consecutive integers	No publication identified; see upstream documentation.
57	Erdős Problem #367	Companion manuscript: Powerful parts of consecutive integers and Davenport–Zannier polynomials [103].
58	Erdős Problem 346: Ratio Limit Forces the Golden Ratio	No publication identified; see upstream documentation.
59	Euclidean Distance Geometry	Mathematical source: Remarks to Maurice Frechet's Article "Sur La Definition Axiomatique D'Une Classe D'Espace Distances Vectoriellement Applicable Sur L'Espace De Hilbert [193].
60	Euler's pentagonal number theorem	No publication identified; see upstream documentation.
61	Euler's pentagonal number theorem, two independent proofs, and the Jacobi triple product	Formalization blueprint: Pentagonal Number Theorem [51].
62	Even graphs are edge-disjoint unions of cycles	Companion manuscript for reusable components: Linear-Error Clique Partitions of Split Graphs via Structured Triangle Packing [78].
63	Event Structures and Causal-Consistent Reversibility	Mathematical source: Event structures [217].
64	Exact odd-prime distributions of central-binomial valuations	Mathematical source (working paper): Carry-Run Theorem and Sakib Index for the Exact Distribution of $\nu_p\binom{2n}{n}$ over $n \bmod p^k$ [191]. Mathematical source: Über die Ergänzungssätze zu den allgemeinen Reciprocitätsgesetzen. [122].
65	Exceptional Set in the abc Conjecture	Companion paper: Bounds on the exceptional set in the <i>abc</i> conjecture [27].
66	Existence of a finitely presented non-sofic group	Companion paper: Ten Advances in Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science [175].
67	Existence of Nash equilibria via Brouwer's fixed-point theorem	Mathematical source: Equilibrium points in n -person games [163].
68	Explicit type A_n and BC_n root pairings	Mathematical source (book): Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory [104].
69	Extended Demazure Product on ASP Permutations	Companion paper: An extended Demazure product on integer permutations via min-plus matrix multiplication [182].
70	Factorization Systems	Mathematical source (book): Category Theory in Context [186].

No.	Imported project / upstream	Publications and mathematical sources
71	Feige’s sharp unit-slack inequality	Companion paper: Sharp small-deviation inequalities for sums of independent nonnegative random variables [72].
72	Fel’s Conjecture on Syzygies of Numerical Semigroups	Companion paper: Fel’s Conjecture on Syzygies of Numerical Semigroups [46].
73	Fermat’s Last Theorem for regular primes	Companion paper: A complete formalization of Fermat’s Last Theorem for regular primes in Lean [29].
74	FinEqs - reducing equations defining a subset of n -space over a finite field	Companion paper: Reducing the number of equations defining a subset of the n -space over a finite field [24].
75	Finite max-flow / min-cut	Companion manuscript for reusable components: Linear-Error Clique Partitions of Split Graphs via Structured Triangle Packing [78].
76	Finite witnesses and the width hierarchy for language generation	Companion paper: Characterizing Language Generation in the Limit: Finite Witnesses and a Separation-Width Hierarchy [136].
77	Finite Āencov-Petz Uniqueness	Mathematical source (book): Statistical Decision Rules and Optimal Inference [49].
78	Finite-N Kuramoto Synchronization	Companion preprint: Kuramoto-lean: Lean 4 Library for Finite-N Kuramoto Synchronisation Dynamics [39].
79	Finite-time breakdown for Navier–Stokes and Euler	Companion paper: Finite Time Blowup for Navier–Stokes [171]. Companion paper: Finite Time Blowup for the Euler Equation [170].
80	Finitely generated cones and finite LP duality	Companion preprint: Affine Profile Reduction for Fractional Triangle Packings in Split Graphs [76].
81	First Order Language of ZF Set Theory	Mathematical source (book): Set Theory [111].
82	Flean: Floating-Point Numbers in Lean	Technical standard: IEEE Standard for Floating-Point Arithmetic [107].
83	Formal Learning Theory Kernel	Companion textbook: A Textbook of Formal Learning Theory [87].
84	Formalisation of the Bruhat-Tits Tree	Companion paper: Formalising the Bruhat-Tits Tree [146]. Mathematical source (book): Trees [196].
85	Formalizations of theorems related to model checking	Mathematical source: An automata-theoretic approach to linear temporal logic [214].
86	Formalized Complex Analysis in Lean	No publication identified; see upstream documentation.
87	Foundational local complex-analytic geometry	No publication identified; see upstream documentation.
88	FrontierMath Ramsey Hypergraphs	Companion manuscript: A constant-factor lower bound for $H(n)$ [84]. Mathematical source: Choosing between incompatible ideals [36].
89	Fundamental groups of finite connected graphs	Mathematical source (book): Algebraic Topology [93].
90	Galois groups of quadratic polynomial iterates	Mathematical source: On Stoll’s criterion for the maximality of quadratic arboreal Galois representations [135]. Mathematical source: Arboreal Galois representation for a certain type of quadratic polynomials [134]. Mathematical source: Galois groups over $\mathbb{Q}$ of some iterated polynomials [202].
91	Gaussian Moments Conjecture counterexamples	Companion paper: Small Counterexamples to the Gaussian Moments Conjecture [143].

No.	Imported project / upstream	Publications and mathematical sources
92	Genus-Zero Pairings and Catalan Numbers	No publication identified; see upstream documentation.
93	Geometric Four-Colorings of the Moser Lattice and Ring	Companion paper: A note on geometric colorings of the Moser lattice [60].
94	Global completeness of one-sorted definedness-free matching logic	Companion paper: Completeness and incompleteness of basic matching logic [48].
95	Grothendieck's Vanishing Theorem	Companion expert-review paper: Sorries Are Not the Hard Part: An Expert-Review Case Study of a Semi-Autonomous Formalization [109]. Mathematical source (book): Algebraic Geometry [92].
96	Gödel's First and Second Incompleteness Theorems	Mathematical source: Über formal unentscheidbare Sätze der Principia Mathematica und verwandter Systeme I [81].
97	Halving the Kalton-Roberts upper bound	Companion paper: Halving the original Kalton-Roberts upper bound for nearly additive set functions [98].
98	Homogeneous self-dual interior-point method for linear programming	Mathematical source: An $O(\sqrt{nL})$ -Iteration Homogeneous and Self-Dual Linear Programming Algorithm [223].
99	Hurwitz's Classification of Euclidean Composition Algebras	Mathematical source (document): Über die Composition der quadratischen Formen von beliebig vielen Variablen [106].
100	Improved asymptotic bounds for binary and spherical codes	Companion paper: Ten Advances in Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science [175].
101	Improved long gaps between consecutive primes	Companion paper: Improved Long Gaps Between Primes [174].
102	Improved Lower Bounds for Strong n -Conjectures	Companion paper: IMPROVED LOWER BOUNDS FOR STRONG n -CONJECTURES [100].
103	Infinitary logic and countable model theory	Mathematical source (book): Lectures on Infinitary Model Theory [150].
104	Infinite counterexamples to Connes's rigidity conjecture	Companion paper: Ten Advances in Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science [175].
105	Irrationality of $\zeta(3)$	Companion paper: A Formal Proof of the Irrationality of $\zeta(3)$ in Lean 4 [141]. Mathematical source: A Note on the Irrationality of $\zeta(2)$ and $\zeta(3)$ [30].
106	Jordan-Schönflies theorem	No companion paper is listed in the retained upstream documentation; the repository documents the theorem and its proof structure.
107	Kahn-Kalai expectation-threshold theorem	Mathematical source: A Short Proof of Kahn-Kalai Conjecture [209].
108	Known Bounds for the Hadwiger-Nelson Problem	Mathematical source: The chromatic number of the plane is at least 5 – a human-verifiable proof [179].
109	Kolokolnikov's ACMA X conjecture	Companion paper: Maximizing Algebraic Connectivity with $2(n-2)$ Edges: The Large Vertex Number Case [231]. Source conjecture: Maximizing algebraic connectivity for certain families of graphs [120].
110	Kurosh and Schreier subgroup theorems via covering graphs	Mathematical source: Die Untergruppen der freien Produkte von beliebigen Gruppen [127].

No.	Imported project / upstream	Publications and mathematical sources
111	Lean-QuantumAlg	Companion paper: Building Shor’s Algorithm in Lean: An Agentic Formalization of Quantum Attacks on RSA-2048 and P-256 [227]. Companion paper: An Agentic Formalization for Certified Quantum Neural Network Design [112].
112	Lehmer’s Polynomial and the E10 Coxeter Element	No publication identified; see upstream documentation.
113	Lentil: Temporal Logic of Actions in Lean 4	No publication identified; see upstream documentation.
114	Leo Moser’s finite distinct-subset-sums inequality	Mathematical source: Sets of Integers Whose Subsets Have Distinct Sums [89].
115	Maxima of Coxeter frieze patterns are Fibonacci numbers	Companion paper: On Upper Bounds of Frieze Patterns [42].
116	Mean-field derivation and well-posedness of the Vlasov equation	Companion paper: A Formalization of the Mean-Field Derivation of the Vlasov Equation [156].
117	Minimum modulus for the unique multiset-sum problem	Mathematical source (preprint): The Exact Minimum Order for Unique Multiset Sums in Finite Abelian Groups [110]. Companion paper: Minimum modulus for the unique multiset-sum problem [69].
118	Misere combinatorial games	No publication identified; see upstream documentation.
119	Modular forms and the generalized residue theorem	Mathematical source: Non-Integer Valued Winding Numbers and a Generalized Residue Theorem [105].
120	Monlib4 Operator-Algebra and Quantum-Set Core	Companion thesis: On quantum graph theory: non-commutative graph theory [169]. Mathematical source: A Constructive Elementary Proof of the Skolem-Noether Theorem for Matrix Algebras [203].
121	Monotonicity Formula for Stationary Harmonic Maps	Mathematical source (book): Theorems on Regularity and Singularity of Energy Minimizing Maps [200].
122	Monsky’s Theorem	Mathematical source: On Dividing A Square Into Triangles [158].
123	Moreira’s version of Sard’s theorem	Mathematical source: Hausdorff measures and the Morse-Sard theorem [56].
124	MRiscX	Mathematical source: Hoare-Style Logic for Unstructured Programs [147].
125	Nash-Williams fronts and 2-better-quasi-orders	Mathematical source: Towards better: A motivated introduction to better-quasi-orders [180].
126	Near-perfect triangle packings from sum-zero triples	Companion preprint: Linear-Error Clique Partitions of Split Graphs via Structured Triangle Packing [78].
127	Neukirch’s Algebraic Number Theory: Hilbert ramification theory	Mathematical source (book): Algebraic Number Theory [165].
128	Nivat’s conjecture	Companion AI-generated manuscript: Pattern complexity and Nivat’s conjecture [85].
129	On the paucity of lattice triangles	Companion paper: On the paucity of lattice triangles [13].
130	Optimal Pebbling Number of the Hypercube	Companion paper: Optimal pebbling of the hypercube [176].

No.	Imported project / upstream	Publications and mathematical sources
131	Oracle Computability and Turing Degrees	Prior formalization paper: Formalizing computability theory via partial recursive functions [38].
132	Osterwalder-Schrader Axioms for the Gaussian Free Field	No publication identified; see upstream documentation.
133	Partial Combinatory Algebras	Mathematical source: Partial Combinatory Algebras [178].
134	PCF Theory	Mathematical source: Cardinal Arithmetic [2].
135	Period Lengths of Rational Cut-and-Project Gap Sequences	Companion manuscript: Period Length Formulas for Rational Cut-and-Project Strip Projections: Multiset and Set Cases [124].
136	Planar Point Sets Whose Non-Diameter Distances Form a Geometric 3-Chain	No publication identified; see upstream documentation.
137	Poincaré’s Nonintegrability Theorem for the Restricted Three-Body Problem	Mathematical source: A new proof of Poincaré’s result on the restricted three-body problem [219].
138	Pointwise Birkhoff Ergodic Theorem	Mathematical source (book): Ergodic Theory [181].
139	Poitou’s Explicit Odlyzko Bound for Root Discriminants	Mathematical source (document): Sur les petits discriminants [184].
140	Polylean Unit Conjecture Counterexample	Mathematical source: A counterexample to the unit conjecture for group rings [73].
141	Polynomial ABC (Mason–Stothers) and its corollaries	Companion paper: Formalizing Mason-Stothers Theorem and its Corollaries in Lean 4 [21].
142	Polynomial parametrizations of Pythagorean triples	Mathematical source: Parametrization of Pythagorean triples by a single triple of polynomials [71].
143	Polynomial-factor hardness of the closest vector problem	Companion paper: Ten Advances in Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science [175].
144	Prekopa-Leindler, Brunn-Minkowski, and the isoperimetric inequality	No publication identified; see upstream documentation.
145	Primitive Sets Above x (Erdős Problem 1196)	Companion paper: Primitive sets and von Mangoldt chains: Erdős Problem #1196 and beyond [8].
146	Pumping Lemma for Context-Free Grammars	No publication identified; see upstream documentation.
147	Pólya’s enumeration theorem	No publication identified; see upstream documentation.
148	Quadratic-order prime classification	Mathematical source (thesis): Singular Moduli and the Ideal Class Group [74].
149	Quantum parallel repetition	Companion paper: Ten Advances in Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science [175].
150	Quartic-over-logarithmic lower bound for rational permanent formulas	Companion paper: Ten Advances in Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science [175].

No.	Imported project / upstream	Publications and mathematical sources
151	Quasi-Borel Spaces	Mathematical source: A Domain Theory for Statistical Probabilistic Programming [211]. Mathematical source: A convenient category for higher-order probability theory [96].
152	Radó’s theorem for Riemann surfaces	No publication identified; see upstream documentation.
153	Rellich–Kondrachov compact embedding theorem	No publication identified; see upstream documentation.
154	Riemann Mapping Theorem	No publication identified; see upstream documentation.
155	Riemann–Roch for algebraic function fields	No publication identified; see upstream documentation.
156	RL Theory in Lean	Companion paper: Towards Formalizing Reinforcement Learning Theory: A Robbins-Siegmund Approach [228].
157	Sabidussi’s compatibility conjecture for Eulerian multigraphs	Companion manuscript: Graph Puzzles III.1: A proof of Sabidussi’s compatibility conjecture [210].
158	Selberg Sieve	Mathematical source: Lectures on sieves [95].
159	Sensitivity Conjecture: $\sqrt{\deg} \leq \text{sensitivity}$	Mathematical source: Induced subgraphs of hypercubes and a proof of the Sensitivity Conjecture [102].
160	Serre’s mass formula for totally ramified local-field extensions	Mathematical source: Une formule de masse pour les extensions totalement ramifiées de degré donné d’un corps local [195].
161	Shannon Entropy Characterization	Mathematical source: A Mathematical Theory of Communication [198].
162	Sharp asymptotic upper bounds for sphere packing	Companion paper: Ten Advances in Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science [175].
163	Sharp Five-Distance and Sup-Norm Gap Theorems	Software release: Sharp five-distance and sup-norm gap theorems for Kronecker sequences (Lean 4 / Mathlib) [33]. Mathematical source: Higher dimensional gap theorems for the maximum metric [94].
164	Simple zeros of the Riemann zeta function	Companion paper: A new proof that more than $2/3$ of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function are simple and on the critical line [129].
165	Spectral positivity	No publication identified; see upstream documentation.
166	Spectral theorem for compact self-adjoint operators	Mathematical source: Chapter II. Compact Self-Adjoint Operators [41].
167	Square-difference-free polynomials beyond Naslund’s conjectured bound	Companion proof note: Square-difference-free sets in $F_3[T]$ past the conjectured bound [114]. Source conjecture: Paley Graphs and Sárközy’s Theorem in Function Fields [164].
168	Stable phase retrieval for Hermite-Fock expansions	No publication identified; see upstream documentation.
169	Strengthened V0 Bounded Arithmetic Interfaces	Mathematical source (book): Logical Foundations of Proof Complexity [52].
170	Sums of Distinct Factorials That Are Powers of Two (Erdos Problem 403)	No publication identified; see upstream documentation.
171	Sums of Three Squares	Mathematical source: Sums of three squares [14].
172	Sundog certificates	No publication identified; see upstream documentation.

No.	Imported project / upstream	Publications and mathematical sources
173	Superexponential lower bounds for multicolor triangle Ramsey numbers	Companion paper: Ten Advances in Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science [175].
174	Synthetic Euclidean geometry: Euclid's Elements Book I	Mathematical source: A FORMAL SYSTEM FOR EUCLID'S ELEMENTS [18].
175	Thakur's hypotheses on power sums of $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$	Companion paper: Thakur's hypotheses on power sums of $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ [43].
176	The 5/8 theorem	Mathematical source: What is the Probability that Two Group Elements Commute? [88]. The registry DOI resolves to an unrelated article; this row cites the Gustafson paper named by the registry.
177	The Anderson Conjecture: A Weakly Quasi-Complete Ring Need Not Be Quasi-Complete	Mathematical source: Quasi-complete Semilocal Rings and Modules [11].
178	The Bannai-Bannai-Stanton Bound on Distance Sets	Companion report: Formalisation of the Bannai-Bannai-Stanton Theorem in Lean 4 [59].
179	The Bollobás-Nikiforov conjecture	Companion manuscript: The Bollobás-Nikiforov inequality for nonnegative edge weights [53].
180	The Convex-Octagon Case of Erdős Problem 97	Mathematical source: On Sets of Distances of n Points [63].
181	The Cramer-Wold theorem	Mathematical source: Some Theorems on Distribution Functions [55].
182	The Erdős-Graham-Ruzsa-Straus two-prime theorem	Companion draft: A Machine-Checked Proof of the Erdős-Graham-Ruzsa-Straus Two-Prime Theorem [62]. Mathematical source: On the prime factors of $\binom{2n}{n}$ [64].
183	The Erdős-Sós conjecture (Erdős Problem 548)	The retained upstream documentation states that a paper is in preparation; it links the Erdős Problems statement and its Lean formalization.
184	The Erdős-Tuza-Valtr conjecture	Companion paper: On the Erdős-Tuza-Valtr conjecture [20].
185	The Fundamental Inequality of Valued Fields	No publication identified; see upstream documentation.
186	The Hanson-Wright inequality for sub-Gaussian quadratic forms	Mathematical source (book): High-Dimensional Probability [215].
187	The Jacobian of a Compact Riemann Surface	No publication identified; see upstream documentation.
188	The Johnson-Lindenstrauss Lemma and Quantized JL	Mathematical source (QJL): QJL: 1-Bit Quantized JL Transform for KV Cache Quantization with Zero Overhead [225]. Mathematical source: Extensions of Lipschitz mappings into a Hilbert space [113].
189	The Komlós and Beck-Fiala bounds with constant 36	Companion paper: An elementary proof of the Komlós conjecture [116].
190	The Kunen inconsistency theorem	Mathematical source: Elementary embeddings and infinitary combinatorics [123].
191	The main theorem of polytopes	No publication identified; see upstream documentation.
192	The optimal exponent relating sumsets and difference sets	Companion paper: Settling the Optimal Exponent Relating Sumsets and Difference Sets [138].

No.	Imported project / upstream	Publications and mathematical sources
193	The polynomial Freiman–Ruzsa conjecture	Mathematical source: Marton’s Conjecture in abelian groups with bounded torsion [83]. Mathematical source: Improved Exponent for Marton’s Conjecture in $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ [137]. Mathematical source: On a conjecture of Marton [82].
194	The polynomial method and restricted sums of congruence classes	Mathematical source: The Polynomial Method and Restricted Sums of Congruence Classes [9].
195	The Ramanujan–Nagell theorem	Companion paper: A formal proof of the Ramanujan–Nagell theorem in Lean 4 [23].
196	The Rupert Problem for convex polyhedra	No publication identified; see upstream documentation.
197	The Three-Gap (Steinhaus) Theorem	Companion manuscript: A Lean 4 Formalization of the Three-Gap (Steinhaus) Theorem, Uniform in the Rotation Number [125]. Mathematical source: The Three Gap Theorem (Steinhaus Conjecture) [213].
198	The transcendence of π	Mathematical source: The Transcendence of π [166].
199	The Wallace problem in ZFC	Companion paper: The Wallace problem and countably compact torsion-free Abelian groups in ZFC [70].
200	The Zhang–Yeung non-Shannon information inequality	Mathematical source: On characterization of entropy function via information inequalities [229].
201	Turán’s theorem (the “Book” weighting proof)	Mathematical source (book): Proofs from THE BOOK [6].
202	Ulm’s theorem for countable reduced abelian p-groups	No publication identified; see upstream documentation.
203	Unconditional Schauder Bases	Mathematical source (book): Classical Banach Spaces I and II [140].
204	Uniqueness of Shannon Capacity-Achieving Priors	Mathematical source (book): Elements of Information Theory [54].
205	Verified canonical labelling of finite graphs	No publication identified; see upstream documentation.
206	Verified interval-Cauchy real arithmetic	Prior Coq formalization paper: Certified Exact Transcendental Real Number Computation in Coq [167].
207	Virasoro Project	Mathematical source (book): Infinite-Dimensional Lie Algebras [115].
208	Weierstrass models and singular points for Tate’s algorithm	Mathematical source (document): Elliptic Curve Handbook [50].
209	Whitehead’s theorem for CW-complexes	No publication identified; see upstream documentation.
210	Wigner Semicircle Distribution	Mathematical source (lecture notes): Math 247A: Introduction to Random Matrix Theory [117].
211	ZFLean	Mathematical source (book): Set Theory [111].