arXiv:2611.13872v1 [math.OC] 10 Nov 2026

LongTails: Automated Research in Optimization

Alexander Tyurin
AXXX, Moscow, Russia
Applied AI Institute, Moscow, Russia

QuocViet Pham
Applied AI Institute, Moscow, Russia

Valentin Khrulkov
AXXX, Moscow, Russia

Ivan Oseledets
AXXX, Moscow, Russia

GitHub: https://github.com/k3nfalt/longtails
Website: https://k3nfalt.github.io/longtails

Abstract

We introduce LongTails, a framework for discovering and improving oracle-complexity bounds with formal verification in Lean 4. Using LongTails, we have already obtained more than 100 new results across a broad range of optimization problems, including generalized smoothness, heavy-tailed noise, finite-sum and composite optimization, and higher-order and zeroth-order methods. Our goal is to scale discovery and continually develop new methods with non-trivial and valuable theoretical guarantees using modern agentic systems. The framework is specialized for optimization, where we separate a *Setup*, specifying the problem class, assumptions, available information, and success criterion, from a *Complexity*, specifying a sufficient oracle-call budget. Once a Setup is fixed (e.g., L–smooth convex optimization with the goal of finding x_{out} such that $f(x_{\text{out}}) - f(x^\star) \leq \varepsilon$), agents search for better Complexities (e.g., $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{LR^2/\varepsilon})$, where $R \geq \|x_0 - x^\star\|$) by designing algorithms and proving their convergence. The framework then checks the proofs and guarantees that every accepted Complexity can indeed be attained under the given Setup. This turns the search for new methods into an ongoing effort to improve their theoretical guarantees. To the best of our knowledge, LongTails is the first framework to scale automated research in optimization across such a broad range of problems with formally verified theoretical guarantees.

1 Introduction

The current agentic systems [184, 11, 80] are becoming incredibly successful in different areas, including software engineering [235] and automated scientific experimentation [164]. Mathematics is one of the fields affected by these advances. For example, OpenAI reported a proof of finite-time blowup for the three-dimensional Navier–Stokes equations [186] and released over 700 mathematical manuscripts [187] that solve challenging mathematical problems.

Mathematical optimization is one of the subfields of mathematics [176, 121], where our goal is to design effective algorithms to solve optimization problems under different setups. Given a setup, we not only want to design a new method but, more importantly, prove that its theoretical convergence rate is sound and ideally better than those of previous methods.

In this work, we consider the problem of designing an agentic discovery framework specialized for mathematical optimization, online learning, and other numerical methods.

> Our goal is to design a universal framework, which would allow us to **scale discovery and continually develop new methods** with non-trivial and valuable theoretical guarantees.

Contributions. In this paper, we present a new framework, LongTails, for automated research in numerical mathematics and optimization. The main novelty is a new formalization in Lean 4 [50] tailored to optimization. By formalizing Setups and Complexities, which arise naturally in numerical methods, we design the framework to support the discovery and development of new theoretical results at scale.

In particular, a Setup fixes the problem class, the information available to a method, the oracles it can use, and the required accuracy criterion. Agents can then search for new algorithms and improve their Complexities, while the Setup remains fixed. Thus, LongTails verifies that there exists an algorithm that uses only the allowed information and achieves the required accuracy within the claimed oracle budget (Complexity). This allows us to use the same formal Lean 4 interface and verification procedure across different optimization problems and repeatedly improve the guarantees within each Setup. Therefore, we reduce the problem of searching for new methods and complexities into the problem of improving Complexities under a fixed Setup.

We have already obtained more than 100 new results across several active areas of optimization, including optimization under generalized smoothness, stochastic optimization with heavy-tailed noise, finite-sum and composite optimization, parameter-free methods, optimization with inexact gradients, second- and higher-order methods, zeroth-order optimization, and monotone inclusions. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first framework capable of producing optimization results at this scale using modern agentic systems. See Sections 4, B, and C, and our website.

Structure. Section 2 introduces LongTails, Setups, and complexities through an example. Section 3 explains how LongTails certifies that each accepted Complexity is attainable under a given Setup. Section 4 highlights selected new theoretical results, with detailed comparisons in Sections B and C. Finally, Section 5 describes our prompting strategy.

2 A User's Guide to LongTails

We now present our new framework developed in Lean 4. The main idea is to consider the structure of optimization and many numerical methods: we have a *Setup*, and our goal is to get the best possible *Complexity*. Let us illustrate this with an example.

Example with standard convex and L–smooth functions.
Consider the classical problem

$$\min_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} f(x),$$

where f is differentiable and convex, and its gradient satisfies $\|\nabla f(x)-\nabla f(y)\|\le L\|x-y\|$ for all $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d$. Assume that $L>0$ and that a minimizer $x^\star$ exists with $\|x_0-x^\star\|\le R$, where $R\ge 0$. The method has access to an exact gradient oracle and must produce x_{out} such that $f(x_{\mathrm{out}})-f(x^\star)\le\varepsilon$, for a prescribed $\varepsilon>0$. These assumptions, the oracle, and the accuracy criterion form the Setup. The accelerated gradient method [179] achieves this criterion within

$$N=\left\lceil\sqrt{\frac{2LR^2}{\varepsilon}}\right\rceil \tag{1}$$

gradient queries. This oracle budget is its Complexity.

Motivated by this and many other optimization problems, we develop a framework in which a researcher defines a Setup that describes the problem of interest. Then, potentially with the help of AI, the researcher proves a new Complexity. The framework handles the rest: once a Setup is fixed, our framework ensures that the proved Complexity is indeed correct and verified with Lean 4.

Here is a simplified presentation of the Setup for the previously considered example with convex and L–smooth functions. For readability, we omit the declarations mak-

ing E a real Hilbert space, their instance registration, and the oracle's random seeds:

```
structure Public where
  E : Type u
  ...
  L : ℝ
  x0 : E

structure Params extends Public where
  R : ℝ
  ε : ℝ

abbrev spec : Setup where
  Params := Params
  Public := Public
  Instance p := p.E → ℝ
  Query p := p.E
  Reply p := p.E
  Output p := p.E
  publicInput := Params.toPublic
  valid q := 0 < q.L ∧ 0 ≤ q.R ∧ 0 < q.ε
  admissible q f oracle :=
    ConvexOn ℝ Set.univ f ∧
    (∀ k x, HasGradientAt f (oracle.answer k (0, x)) x) ∧
    (∀ k x y,
      ‖oracle.answer k (0, x) - oracle.answer k (0, y)‖ ≤ q.L * ‖x - y‖) ∧
    (∃ xstar, IsMinOn f Set.univ xstar ∧ ‖q.x0 - xstar‖ ≤ q.R)
  successful q f output P :=
    ∃ xstar, IsMinOn f Set.univ xstar ∧
      ∀ᵐ ω ∂(P : MeasureTheory.Measure _), f (output ω) - f xstar ≤ q.ε
```

Its fields for this example have the following interpretation:

1. `Params` stores all parameters of the problem, including the Hilbert space E, the smoothness constant L, the starting point x_0, the initial distance bound R, and the target accuracy ε. In contrast, `Public` contains only the parameters available to the algorithm as input: E, L, and x_0. The algorithm does not have access to the target accuracy ε or the initial distance bound R. For instance, making `Public` almost empty allows us to build parameter-free methods.

2. `Instance` is the type of objectives $f : E \to \mathbb{R}$ that we minimize; `Query`, `Reply`, and `Output` are all E: a query is a point in E that an algorithm sends to the oracle; the oracle's reply is a gradient in E; and the output is a candidate minimizer that the algorithm returns at the end.

3. `valid` specifies that the Setup assumes $L > 0$, $R \geq 0$, and $\varepsilon > 0$.

4. `admissible` is the field specifying the assumptions: it requires convexity of f, exact gradient replies from the oracle, the L–Lipschitz gradient bound, and the existence of a minimizer within distance R of x_0.

5. `successful` defines the goal: it requires the algorithm to return x_{out} such that $f(x_{\text{out}}) - f(x^\star) \leq \varepsilon$ almost surely.

This code formalizes in Lean 4 the assumptions and accuracy criterion typically stated in prose in mathematical papers [179, 176]. Once a Setup is fixed, the goal is to establish a Complexity: an explicit bound on the number of oracle calls sufficient for a proposed algorithm to meet the specified accuracy criterion. In our framework, omitting type annotations, one should prove a theorem similar to this:

```
noncomputable def budget := fun q _ => max 1 ⌈Real.sqrt (2 * q.L * q.R ^ 2 / q.ε)⌉₊

theorem result : Convergence spec budget := by ...
```

The theorem states that there exists an algorithm that, for every valid choice of parameters and every objective and oracle satisfying the Setup's assumptions, returns a point x_{out} such that $f(x_{\text{out}}) -$

$f(x^\star) \leq \varepsilon$ almost surely, using at most $\max\left\{1, \left\lceil \sqrt{\frac{2LR^2}{\varepsilon}} \right\rceil\right\}$ gradient oracle calls. This matches the bound in (1).

General case. The same approach extends to a broad range of problems, including nonconvex optimization, stochastic optimization with heavy-tailed noise, finite-sum optimization, and optimization using second-order information, as well as many other settings. The corresponding Setups and Theorems are available in our repository.

3 How Are We Sure That the Complexities Are Correct?

The main contribution of the framework is to ensure that a proved Complexity is indeed correct and that there exists an algorithm that achieves it under the given Setup. Using Comparator [211], we check a proof of the theorem `result : Convergence spec budget` from the preceding example. This statement can be interpreted as "prove that there exists an algorithm that achieves the required accuracy within the given oracle budget under the specified Setup."

The central part is how we define "convergence." In `Template.lean`, `Convergence` takes a Setup and a Complexity (`budget`) as input. Omitting type annotations and technical details, we can write it schematically as follows:

```
def Convergence Setup budget : Prop :=
  ∃ makeAlgorithm,
    ∀ p, Setup.valid p →
      ∀ I oracle, Setup.admissible p I oracle →
        let A := makeAlgorithm (Setup.publicInput p)
        let result := execute oracle A (budget p)
        Setup.successful p I result
```

This proposition can be read step by step. First, there must exist an algorithm constructor (∃ `makeAlgorithm`). For every choice of parameters `p` satisfying `Setup.valid p`, and every instance `I` and oracle `oracle` satisfying `Setup.admissible p I oracle`, this constructor produces an algorithm `A` using only the public parameters `Setup.publicInput p`. Next, `execute` runs `A` with `oracle` within the oracle-call budget `budget p`. Finally, `Setup.successful p I result` requires the output `result` to satisfy the specified accuracy criterion.

The order of the quantifiers matters. The algorithm constructor is chosen before the hidden instance and oracle, and receives neither of them as input. It cannot choose a different method by inspecting an unknown objective or its minimizer.

Comparator [211] checks the theorem `result : Convergence spec budget` against the fixed Setup and audits the budget definition, rejecting unfinished proofs and unpermitted axioms. Thus, we reduce the research problem to agentic optimization of Complexities: agents search for better budgets and corresponding algorithms and proofs, while every accepted result must respect the fixed Setup's assumptions, oracle model, and success criterion.

Schematically, for a fixed Setup, the research objective in optimization is

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\texttt{budget}}{\text{minimize}} & \texttt{budget} \\ \text{subject to} & \texttt{Convergence Setup budget} \quad \text{proved in Lean 4.} \end{array}$$

Here, budget represents the Complexity: a bound on the number of calls to each oracle. The constraint requires an algorithm that achieves this budget under the fixed Setup. The goal of an agent is to minimize budget.

4 Selected New Theoretical Results

Using LongTails, we search for new methods that improve existing Complexities or provide the first guarantees in unexplored Setups. We use Codex [185] and Claude Code [11] to consider Setups

and produce Complexities. We have proved more than 100 new results on our website. We use an additional agentic review layer to produce reviews of the results. We then manually check the Setups, reviews, and Complexities, including whether the Setups match those in prior work or capture the intended mathematical problems. We count only the results for which we agree with the review that the result is new. The proofs and theorem statements are checked automatically, and the resulting Complexities are converted using a deterministic parser.

In Sections B and C, we present the considered Setups and our results, all formally proved in Lean 4. Below, we highlight several new theoretical convergence guarantees.

Generalized Smooth Convex Optimization. Let us discuss one example in more detail. Consider convex optimization under the generalized smoothness assumption $\|\nabla^2 f(x)\| \le L_0 + L_1\|\nabla f(x)\|$, where $L_0 > 0$ and $L_1 \ge 0$ [248]. Given bounds $R \ge \|x_0 - x^\star\|$ and $\Delta \ge f(x_0) - f(x^\star)$, our goal is to find x_{out} with $f(x_{\text{out}}) - f(x^\star) \le \varepsilon$. For simplicity, we hide logarithmic factors using $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$ notation. The previous bounds in [214, Thm. 4.3] and [218, Thm. 6.2], respectively, are

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{L_0 R^2}{\varepsilon}} + L_1 R\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\left((1+\nu)\left[1 + \sqrt{\frac{L_0 R^2}{\varepsilon}} + (L_1 R)^{2/3}\right]\right).$$

The first bound counts gradient queries. The second also counts function and gradient queries used by exact line searches, where ν is the oracle cost of an exact one-dimensional minimization. Using LongTails, we obtain

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{L_0 R^2}{\varepsilon}} + (L_1 R)^{2/3}\right)$$

using only gradient queries. Thus, ignoring logarithmic factors, we improve the $L_1 R$ term in the first bound to $(L_1 R)^{2/3}$ and remove the exact line-search cost from the second. This answers the question of achieving this accelerated rate using only gradient queries [218]. The precise bounds and comparisons are presented in Table 12. The method is presented in Algorithm 1 in Appendix A.

The agent came up with a very interesting and non-trivial idea, presented in Algorithm 1: combine acceleration with a local curvature estimate obtained from the gradient norm. At iteration k, the method uses the curvature estimate G_k to choose the acceleration weight a_k and form the extrapolated point y^k. It then computes $g^k = \nabla f(y^k)$, sets $M_k = 4(L_0 + L_1\|g^k\|)$, and takes the gradient step $v^k = y^k - g^k/M_k$. If $M_k > G_k$, the method damps the accelerated update by $\gamma_k = G_k/M_k$ and sets $G_{k+1} = 16G_k$. Otherwise, it takes the full update and sets $G_{k+1} = G_k/16$. The second gradient, $h^k = \nabla f(v^k)$, is used to update z^{k+1}. The analysis, outlined in Section A.1, separates iterations with low and high local curvature: the former give the usual accelerated term, while the latter are controlled by the decrease of a potential function, yielding the $(L_1 R)^{2/3}$ term up to logarithmic factors.

There are many other results. In Table 13, we establish an accelerated, gradient-only guarantee whose updates require neither the smoothness parameters nor bounds on the initial distance and objective gap. In Table 6, we establish an accelerated complexity bound for constrained composite problems $f + \psi$, where f is convex and (L_0, L_1)-smooth and ψ is convex and admits exact proximal evaluations. In Table 5, we further obtain an accelerated composite guarantee whose updates use only gradients and proximal evaluations, with an arbitrary initial trial step and no smoothness parameters or initial distance and gap bounds. Compared with [128], we retain the leading accelerated complexity while removing these parameters, including the gradient bound at a minimizer, from the updates; the stopping budget still depends on them.

Generalized Smooth Composite Optimization. In Table 19, we extend gradient sliding [120] to convex objectives $f + h$ with (L_0, L_1)-smooth f and bounded subgradients of h. Using exact projections, we obtain $\varepsilon^{-1/2}$ dependence in gradient calls with polynomial dependence on $L_1 R$, improving the exponential dependence from applying classical gradient sliding with a localized smoothness bound. The total complexity still includes $\mathcal{O}(M^2 R^2/\varepsilon^2)$ subgradient and projection calls, where M bounds the subgradients of h; the gradient savings improve the total bound when this term does not dominate.

Generalized Smooth Convex Stochastic Optimization. For convex (L_0, L_1)-smooth objectives, we also obtain stochastic guarantees. In Table 30, we obtain accelerated high-probability bounds under unbiased, light-tailed gradient noise, improving the dependence on $L_1 R$ in the regimes specified in

the table. In Table 9, we obtain accelerated high-probability guarantees with unbiased stochastic gradients under only a bounded-variance assumption. Up to logarithmic factors, the complexity is uniformly no worse than the RCSAG bound [153] on the common setup, improving the dependence on $L_1 R$ from linear to $(L_1 R)^{2/3}$. Our adaptive construction also reduces the confidence-related logarithmic overhead on the optimization term in the confidence regimes specified in the table.

Smooth Convex Relative-Error Optimization. In Table 18, we consider smooth convex optimization with relative gradient errors $\|g(x) - \nabla f(x)\| \le \alpha \|\nabla f(x)\|$. For $0 \le \alpha \le 1/3$, we improve the regularized accelerated bound of [220] from $\mathcal{O}((\sqrt{T} + \alpha T)(1 + \log T))$ to $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{T} + \alpha^2 T)$, where $T = LR^2/\varepsilon > 1$. Our last-iterate guarantee also covers every $\alpha < 1$.

Strongly Convex Inexact-Gradient Optimization. In Table 15, we consider smooth strongly convex optimization with inexact gradients satisfying $\|g(x) - \nabla f(x)\| \le \alpha \|\nabla f(x)\| + \delta$. We improve the dependence on the relative error level α and reduce the guaranteed accuracy threshold caused by the absolute error δ, compared with the bounds and regimes specified in the table. The guarantee does not require unbiased or independent errors.

BFGS for Linear-Predictor Objectives. In Table 17, we establish an accelerated-order guarantee for standard BFGS with Armijo–Wolfe line search on objectives $f(x) = h(Ax)$, where h is convex and smooth and satisfies the specified quadratic-growth condition. For fixed remaining parameters, the number of iterations needed to achieve $f(x_k) - f^\star \le \varepsilon$ improves from $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-1})$ in [54] to $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-1/2})$ on this subclass. This is a BFGS-specific guarantee.

Similar Finite-Sum Quasar Optimization. In Table 8, we consider smooth strongly quasar-convex finite sums under a similarity assumption on the component gradients. We obtain improved component-gradient complexities in high-similarity regimes, with deterministic oracle budgets and expected last-iterate objective-gap guarantees.

Adam under Heavy-Tailed Gradient Noise. In Table 14, we establish a convergence guarantee for coordinate Adam on smooth nonconvex objectives under a bounded coordinatewise α-moment noise assumption, $1 < \alpha \le 2$. A uniformly sampled iterate satisfies $\mathbb{E}\|\nabla f(\widehat{x})\| \le \varepsilon$ with $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-2-\alpha/(\alpha-1)})$ stochastic-gradient calls for fixed remaining parameters. This matches the known accuracy exponent of normalized stochastic gradient descent with momentum [97]. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first convergence guarantee for coordinate Adam under heavy-tailed gradient noise that achieves the optimal accuracy exponent.

Nonconvex Optimization with a Similar Auxiliary Function. In Table 3, we consider a target f with a ρ-Lipschitz Hessian and a cheap auxiliary function h with an L-Lipschitz gradient, where $\nabla(f - h)$ is δ-Lipschitz. Neither function is required to be convex. With initial objective gap at most Δ, we find $\widehat{x}$ satisfying $\|\nabla f(\widehat{x})\| \le \varepsilon$ using $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\big((1 + \Delta\sqrt{\rho}\,\varepsilon^{-3/2})\sqrt{1 + \delta/\sqrt{\rho\varepsilon}}\big)$ expensive value–gradient calls to f. In the small-accuracy regime, the leading term replaces the $\sqrt{L + \delta}$ dependence of restarted AGD [131] with $\sqrt{\delta}$, up to logarithmic factors. This improves the expensive-oracle bound when L is sufficiently larger than δ to offset those factors. The method also requires $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}(1 + L/\delta)$ cheap value–gradient calls to h per expensive call; the improvement therefore concerns expensive-oracle complexity, with both costs accounted for separately.

Nonconvex Optimization with Comparison Oracles. In Table 4, we establish a deterministic guarantee for visiting an ε-stationary point using only function-value comparisons. For functions with an L-Lipschitz gradient and a ρ-Lipschitz Hessian, the leading complexity is $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}(n\Delta\sqrt{L}\,\rho^{1/4}\varepsilon^{-7/4})$, where n is the dimension and Δ bounds the initial objective gap. Compared with [227], the dimension dependence improves from quadratic to linear, while the accuracy dependence worsens from $\varepsilon^{-3/2}$ to $\varepsilon^{-7/4}$. The total bound improves when $n \gg (L^2/(\rho\varepsilon))^{1/4}$, beyond logarithmic factors.

Nonconvex Optimization with Lipschitz Third Derivatives. In Table 24, we obtain a dimension-free gradient–Hessian tradeoff for finding $\widehat{x}$ with $\|\nabla f(\widehat{x})\| \le \varepsilon$, using only function values, gradients, and Hessians. For fixed remaining parameters, the Hessian count improves from $\mathcal{O}(d + d^{1/3}\varepsilon^{-4/3})$ in [57] to $\mathcal{O}(1 + \varepsilon^{-1})$, while the value–gradient count is $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}(1 + \varepsilon^{-5/3})$. Here d is the dimension. If a Hessian costs B value–gradient calls, the total cost improves when $Bd^{1/3} \gg \varepsilon^{-1/3}$, up to logarithmic factors, in the small-accuracy regime. The result trades more value–gradient calls for fewer Hessian calls.

Gap-Dependent Convex Stochastic Optimization. In Table 10, we establish a high-probability accelerated guarantee for convex objectives under (H_0, H_1) gap-dependent smoothness and a second-moment strong-growth condition. Compared with RCSAG [154], the stochastic accuracy term improves from ε^{-1} to $\varepsilon^{-1/2}$, up to logarithmic factors, yielding a better total complexity when that term dominates.

Generalized Lipschitz Monotone Root Finding. In Table 11, we improve the residual complexity for monotone operators under (L_0, L_1) generalized Lipschitz continuity. For fixed remaining parameters, the leading accuracy dependence improves from ε^{-2} in the extragradient bounds [41, 240] to ε^{-1}, for the criterion $\|F(x_{\mathrm{out}})\| \le \varepsilon$. In Table 20, we establish a high-probability residual guarantee under the same generalized Lipschitz condition with unbiased, finite-variance stochastic oracles, without a quasi-sharpness assumption. Up to logarithmic factors, the oracle complexity is $\mathcal{O}(1 + L_0R/\varepsilon + (L_1R)^2 + \sigma^2/\varepsilon^2)$, matching the polynomial accuracy dependence of the globally Lipschitz result [100] while extending to $L_1 > 0$.

Constrained Generalized Monotone Variational Inequalities. In Table 7, we extend (L_0, L_1)-Lipschitz monotone guarantees to constrained variational inequalities with exact projections. The method returns a feasible last iterate x with a normal-cone certificate $\xi \in N_X(x)$ satisfying $\|F(x) + \xi\| \le \varepsilon$. For fixed remaining parameters, the total operator and projection complexity is $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-1})$, improving the derived $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-2})$ backtracking bound of [204]. Its constants are polynomial in L_1R, avoiding the potentially exponential dependence from Lipschitz localization. The updates require known L_0, L_1, and the operator must satisfy monotonicity and generalized Lipschitz continuity on the whole ambient space. On the unconstrained overlap ($X = E$, $G = 0$), the constrained bound has an extra $1 + L_1R$ factor in its leading term compared with our unconstrained result, where R bounds the initial distance to a solution.

Exact-Oracle Monotone Root Finding. In Table 27, we establish a residual guarantee for monotone equations under the mixed continuity condition $\|F(x) - F(y)\| \le L\|x - y\| + H\|x - y\|^{\alpha}$, without requiring L, H, α or the solution-distance bound R in the updates. For fixed remaining parameters, the complexity is $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}(\varepsilon^{-1/\alpha})$, improving the parameter-free pure Hölder bound $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-2/\alpha})$ of PolyakEG-LS [241]. The guarantee concerns a stopped iterate with $\|F(\widehat{x})\| \le \varepsilon$; in the Lipschitz case, it incurs an extra logarithmic factor compared with the known-parameter anchored extragradient bound [243].

Hessian-Lipschitz Stochastic Minimax Optimization. In Table 21, we consider nonconvex–strongly-concave minimax optimization with a Lipschitz Hessian and unbiased, finite-variance stochastic gradients. For $\Phi(x) = \max_y f(x, y)$, we obtain $\mathbb{E}\|\nabla\Phi(\widehat{x})\| \le \varepsilon$ using only stochastic gradient calls. Up to logarithmic factors, the leading accuracy dependence improves from ε^{-4} in the gradient-only bound [233] to $\varepsilon^{-7/2}$ for fixed remaining parameters and sufficiently small ε. This improvement uses Hessian Lipschitzness and comes with worse dependence on the condition number $\kappa = L/\mu$.

Convex Optimization with Growing Oracle Moments. In Table 22, we establish a high-probability last-iterate guarantee for projected convex optimization with unbiased stochastic subgradients satisfying $\mathbb{E}\|g(x, \xi)\|^2 \le B^2\|x - x_0\|^2 + G^2$. The oracle complexity is $\mathcal{O}(1 + (BR^2 + G^2/B)^2(1 + \log(1/\delta))^2/\varepsilon^2)$. Compared with the expected last-iterate bound for projected Halpern [5], converted using Markov's inequality, we replace polynomial dependence on $1/\delta$ by logarithmic dependence and remove the accuracy logarithm at fixed confidence. The guarantee requires neither smoothness nor uniformly bounded subgradients.

Smooth Convex Zeroth-Order Optimization. In Table 28, we establish an accelerated two-point guarantee for smooth convex optimization with independent, unbiased function-value noise of variance at most σ^2. The retuned two-point SGD bound derived from [15] is $\mathcal{O}(dT)$ in the low-noise regime; we obtain $\mathcal{O}(d\sqrt{T} + d^2T\sigma/\varepsilon)$ when $\sigma < \varepsilon/d$, where $T = LR^2/\varepsilon \ge 1$. In particular, when $\sigma \le \varepsilon/(d\sqrt{T})$, the bound is $\mathcal{O}(d\sqrt{T})$. The guarantee is an expected objective gap at the last iterate; for $\sigma \ge \varepsilon/d$, the complexity matches the order of the retuned baseline.

Matrix-Generalized Smooth Zeroth-Order Optimization. In Table 25, we establish an accelerated high-probability guarantee for convex optimization under matrix (L_0, L_1)-smoothness using only exact function values. The method does not require the smoothness matrix H; its complexity uses a supplied trace bound $\operatorname{tr} H \le r$ and has no dependence on $\lambda_{\min}(H)^{-1}$. Up to logarithmic factors, the leading accuracy term is $\sqrt{d(r+1)L_0R^2/\varepsilon}$. We extend the matrix-smooth RS-NAG result [183] to

$L_1 > 0$ and replace directional-derivative access by function values, matching its leading rate when $L_1 = 0$ with additional lower-order terms specified in the table.

Known-Value Local Linear Optimization. In Table 16, we establish an accelerated guarantee for smooth convex optimization over a closed convex set using exact value–gradient queries and point-only linear minimization over the feasible set intersected with a ball. With the exact optimal value known, we obtain a feasible last primal iterate with objective gap at most ε using $\mathcal{O}(1 + \sqrt{LR^2/\varepsilon})$ combined oracle calls, including unsuccessful radius trials. This improves the $\mathcal{O}(1 + LR^2/\varepsilon)$ fixed-radius BroxGD bound of [196, Thm. 3.2(ii)] when $LR^2/\varepsilon \geq 1$, but requires the exact optimal value and L, which that baseline does not need. The result permits unbounded feasible sets and has no additional logarithmic search factor.

Smooth Convex Splitting with Proximal Access. In Table 26, we establish an accelerated last-iterate objective-gap guarantee for fast Douglas–Rachford splitting with a general smooth convex term, extending the analysis of [191] beyond quadratic objectives. Using only the two proximal oracles at a fixed parameter γ, the method achieves $\mathcal{O}(1 + R/\sqrt{\lambda\gamma\varepsilon})$ proximal calls under $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ and $4\gamma L \leq \lambda$. This extends the scope of the accelerated rate for this method, with a more restrictive step size than the quadratic result of [191].

Adaptive Heavy-Tailed Simplex Optimization. In Table 1, we establish an accelerated high-probability guarantee for smooth convex optimization on the simplex under heavy-tailed gradient noise. The updates require neither the noise moment order $p \in (1, 2]$ nor the noise level σ, and the result permits arbitrary constrained minimizers. The stopping budget still depends on p and σ; the improvement is primarily in adaptivity and scope, rather than the accuracy exponent.

These examples represent only a selection of our new results. Many additional results and comparisons with prior work are available in Sections B and C and on our website.

5 Our Prompting Strategy for Agentic Systems

Our research pipeline is relatively simple and could be potentially improved in terms of the strength of the final results and token efficiency. We run two agents: one is a researcher, and the other is a reviewer. We give them a prompt explaining that the researcher has to produce a result, while the reviewer has to verify it and decide whether to accept it. They interact until the reviewer accepts a new research result. See the prompt we use in our research prompt and research run script.

In general, the results come from two types of requests: i) we simply run the research run script without additional instructions, which roughly asks the agents to produce "any new result on a modern optimization topic that is interesting to the community"; ii) some results were obtained with additional requests. For instance, we were aware of a gap in the existing guarantees for (L_0, L_1)–smooth convex optimization and asked the agents to find a new method and a new Complexity with uniformly better guarantees. The agents obtained a better Complexity in a single run lasting approximately 2–7 hours.

6 Related Work

Formalized mathematics and optimization. Lean 4 [50] and the community-developed mathematical library mathlib [212] provide infrastructure for machine-checked mathematics. Within optimization, CvxLean [17] formalizes reductions between optimization problems, including transformations used in disciplined convex programming. Optlib [213] and the work of Li et al. [129] formalize convex analysis and convergence guarantees for first-order methods. These efforts establish that both optimization models and algorithmic guarantees can be verified in Lean. LongTails builds on this direction by organizing the search for new methods and improved oracle-complexity bounds around a fixed Setup that specifies admissible instances, available information, and the success criterion.

Language models for formal theorem proving. LeanDojo [239] provides tools for interacting with Lean and supports retrieval-augmented proof search. DeepSeek-Prover-V2 [195] combines subgoal decomposition with reinforcement learning for formal theorem proving. Closer to constructive tasks in applied mathematics, the Construction–Verification framework and AMBER benchmark [234] require agents to construct explicit solutions before proving their correctness, including tasks in opti-

mization and algorithm design. LongTails focuses on the additional research objective of improving a Complexity while preserving the Setup.

Automated scientific and algorithmic discovery. The AI Scientist [164] automates a research workflow involving idea generation, experiments, and scientific writing. AlphaEvolve has been used to discover mathematical constructions through language-model-guided evolutionary search and automated evaluation; some applications combine this search with formal proof tools [74]. Recent work by Lehre [127] is particularly closely related: a staged agent pipeline designs black-box optimization algorithms and proves expected-runtime bounds in Lean using potential functions and drift analysis. AutoOPT [110] combines numerical design through branch-and-bound performance estimation, symbolic discovery using large language models, and Lean 4 verification to develop first-order optimization methods. LongTails shares the goal of discovering algorithms with machine-checked efficiency guarantees, while targeting oracle-complexity bounds across deterministic and stochastic numerical optimization and monotone operator problems through a common Setup–Complexity interface.

Computer-assisted complexity analysis. PEPit [83] automates worst-case analysis of first-order methods by formulating performance estimation problems as semidefinite programs and solving them numerically. This provides a complementary route to identifying tight guarantees and guiding algorithm design. LongTails expresses the guarantee as a Lean proposition about an algorithm executed under an explicit oracle budget, allowing the assumptions and the resulting bound to be checked together. Detailed comparisons with the optimization literature for each Setup are given in Section B.

Our contribution lies in combining a reusable formal specification, explicit oracle accounting, and an agentic research workflow that scales across a broad collection of numerical problems. This scalability is central to LongTails: the same framework supports the discovery of new guarantees across different Setups and the repeated improvement of Complexities within each Setup. Using this framework, we have already obtained more than 100 new theoretical guarantees in optimization. We continue to expand the collection of Setups, improve existing Complexities, and develop the framework.

7 Conclusions

In this work, we present LongTails, which, to the best of our knowledge, is the first framework to scale automated research in optimization across a broad range of problem classes while formally verifying the resulting guarantees in Lean 4. We also present at least 100 new theoretical results across different areas of optimization, improving oracle Complexities in the stated regimes, broadening the assumptions under which guarantees hold, or establishing new guarantees for existing methods.

One limitation of this work is that we do not present each result in a separate paper. Although many of these results arguably warrant individual publications in the optimization community, preparing and reviewing on the order of 100 separate papers is infeasible. As automated discovery scales, our framework and this paper may motivate discussion of a higher threshold for standalone publications or alternative ways of presenting, evaluating, and disseminating theoretical results.

References

[1] Hadi Abbaszadehpeivasti, Etienne de Klerk, and Moslem Zamani. The exact worst-case convergence rate of the gradient method with fixed step lengths for L-smooth functions. *Optimization Letters*, 16:1649–1661, 2022. Locators refer to arXiv:2104.05468v3, 7 October 2021, https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.05468v3.

[2] Deeksha Adil, Brian Bullins, Aaron Sidford, and Chenyi Zhang. Balancing gradient and Hessian queries in non-convex optimization. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 38, 2025. Corollary 2 in arXiv:2510.20786v1, 23 October 2025. https://arxiv.org/abs/2510.20786v1.

[3] Artem Agafonov, Petr Ostroukhov, Roman Mozhaev, Konstantin Yakovlev, Eduard Gorbunov, Martin Takáč, Alexander Gasnikov, and Dmitry Kamzolov. Exploring Jacobian inexactness in

second-order methods for variational inequalities: Lower bounds, optimal algorithms and quasi-Newton approximations. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 37, 2024. Theorem 3.2. https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2024/hash/d1ca7877cdaf3201ecfa95b1240f7942-Abstract-Conference.html.

[4] Ahmet Alacaoglu, Donghwan Kim, and Stephen J. Wright. Revisiting inexact fixed-point iterations for min-max problems: Stochasticity and structured nonconvexity, 2026. Version 3, 26 September 2026, of the ICML 2024 paper. Cited statements from this revision: https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.05071v3.

[5] Ahmet Alacaoglu, Yura Malitsky, and Stephen J. Wright. Towards weaker variance assumptions for stochastic optimization, 2026. Version 3, 26 September 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2504.09951v3.

[6] Zeyuan Allen-Zhu. Katyusha: The first direct acceleration of stochastic gradient methods. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 18(221):1–51, 2018.

[7] Zeyuan Allen-Zhu, Zheng Qu, Peter Richtárik, and Yang Yuan. Even faster accelerated coordinate descent using non-uniform sampling. In Maria Florina Balcan and Kilian Q. Weinberger, editors, *Proceedings of The 33rd International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 48 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 1110–1119, New York, New York, USA, 2016. PMLR. Full version cited: arXiv:1512.09103v3, 27 May 2016, https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.09103v3.

[8] Zeyuan Allen-Zhu and Yang Yuan. Improved SVRG for non-strongly-convex or sum-of-non-convex objectives. In Maria Florina Balcan and Kilian Q. Weinberger, editors, *Proceedings of The 33rd International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 48 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 1080–1089, New York, New York, USA, 2016. PMLR. Full version cited: arXiv:1506.01972v3, 27 May 2016. https://arxiv.org/abs/1506.01972v3.

[9] Jason M. Altschuler and Pablo A. Parrilo. Acceleration by stepsize hedging I: Multi-step descent and the silver stepsize schedule, 2023. Version 1, Theorem 1.1. Published in Journal of the ACM 72(2), Article 12, pages 1–38 (2025), DOI: 10.1145/3708502. https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.07879v1.

[10] Jason M. Altschuler and Pablo A. Parrilo. Acceleration by stepsize hedging I: Multi-step descent and the silver stepsize schedule, 2023. Version 1, Theorem 1.1, https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.07879v1. Published in Journal of the ACM 72(2), Article 12, pages 1–38 (2025), DOI: 10.1145/3708502.

[11] Anthropic. Claude Code. https://claude.com/product/claude-code. Accessed 21 October 2026.

[12] Yossi Arjevani, Yair Carmon, John C. Duchi, Dylan J. Foster, Ayush Sekhari, and Karthik Sridharan. Second-order information in non-convex stochastic optimization: Power and limitations. In *Proceedings of Thirty Third Conference on Learning Theory*, volume 125 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 242–299. PMLR, 2020. Theorem 4 refers to arXiv version 1, 24 June 2020. https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.13476v1.

[13] Aleksandar Armacki, Dragana Bajović, Dušan Jakovetić, and Soummya Kar. Sharp high-probability rates for nonlinear SGD under heavy-tailed noise via symmetrization, 2026. Version 2, 10 February 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2507.09093v2.

[14] Amit Attia, Matan Schliserman, Uri Sherman, and Tomer Koren. Fast last-iterate convergence of SGD in the smooth interpolation regime. arXiv:2507.11274v2, 2025. Version 2, 28 July 2025. https://arxiv.org/abs/2507.11274v2.

[15] Francis Bach and Vianney Perchet. Highly-smooth zero-th order online optimization. In *29th Annual Conference on Learning Theory*, volume 49 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 257–283. PMLR, 2016. Proposition 7 in arXiv:1605.08165v1, https://arxiv.org/abs/1605.08165v1.

[16] Amir Beck and Marc Teboulle. A fast iterative shrinkage-thresholding algorithm for linear inverse problems. *SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences*, 2(1):183–202, 2009.

[17] Alexander Bentkamp, Ramon Fernández Mir, and Jeremy Avigad. Verified reductions for optimization. In *Tools and Algorithms for the Construction and Analysis of Systems*, pages 74–92. Springer, 2023.

[18] Aditya Bhaskara, Ashok Cutkosky, Ravi Kumar, and Manish Purohit. Descent with misaligned gradients and applications to hidden convexity. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2025.

[19] Ekaterina Borodich and Dmitry Kovalev. Nesterov finds GRAAL: Optimal and adaptive gradient method for convex optimization, 2025. arXiv:2507.09823v2, 29 August 2025. https://arxiv.org/abs/2507.09823v2.

[20] Ekaterina Borodich and Dmitry Kovalev. Nesterov finds GRAAL: Optimal and adaptive gradient method for convex optimization, 2025. Version 2, 29 August 2025. https://arxiv.org/abs/2507.09823v2.

[21] Ekaterina Borodich and Dmitry Kovalev. Nesterov finds GRAAL: Optimal and adaptive gradient method for convex optimization, 2025. Version 2, 29 August 2025. https://arxiv.org/abs/2507.09823v2.

[22] Sébastien Bubeck. Convex optimization: Algorithms and complexity. *Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning*, 8(3–4):231–358, 2015. Version 2, Theorem 6.1. https://arxiv.org/abs/1405.4980v2.

[23] Sébastien Bubeck. Convex optimization: Algorithms and complexity. *Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning*, 8(3–4):231–358, 2015. Theorem 3.18 in arXiv:1405.4980v2, https://arxiv.org/abs/1405.4980v2.

[24] Sébastien Bubeck. Convex optimization: Algorithms and complexity. *Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning*, 8(3–4):231–358, 2015. Theorem 6.3 in arXiv:1405.4980v2, November 16, 2015, https://arxiv.org/abs/1405.4980v2.

[25] Haoyuan Cai, Sulaiman A. Alghunaim, and Ali H. Sayed. Accelerated stochastic min-max optimization based on bias-corrected momentum, 2026. Version 3, 22 June 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.13041v3.

[26] Yang Cai, Argyris Oikonomou, and Weiqiang Zheng. Accelerated algorithms for constrained nonconvex-nonconcave min-max optimization and comonotone inclusion. In *Proceedings of the 41st International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 235 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 5312–5347. PMLR, 2024. Theorem numbering follows arXiv:2206.05248v6. https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.05248v6.

[27] Yair Carmon, John C. Duchi, Oliver Hinder, and Aaron Sidford. "Convex Until Proven Guilty": Dimension-free acceleration of gradient descent on non-convex functions. In *Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 70 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 654–663. PMLR, 2017.

[28] Yair Carmon, John C. Duchi, Oliver Hinder, and Aaron Sidford. Lower bounds for finding stationary points I. *Mathematical Programming*, 184:71–120, 2020. Theorem numbering refers to arXiv:1710.11606v3, 15 August 2019, https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.11606v3.

[29] Antonin Chambolle and Thomas Pock. A first-order primal-dual algorithm for convex problems with applications to imaging. Technical Report 685, Centre de Mathématiques Appliquées, École Polytechnique, May 2010. May 25, 2010 version. https://optimization-online.org/wp-content/uploads/2010/06/2646.pdf.

[30] El Mahdi Chayti and Mohamad Fakhouri. RanSOM: Second-order momentum with randomized scaling for constrained and unconstrained optimization, 2026. Version 3, 9 October 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2602.06824v3.

[31] El Mahdi Chayti and Sai Praneeth Karimireddy. Optimization with access to auxiliary information, 2025. Version 5, 18 December 2025; Section 4.2. Published in Transactions on Machine Learning Research, 2024.

[32] Lesi Chen, Chengchang Liu, Luo Luo, and Jingzhao Zhang. Faster Newton methods for convex and nonconvex optimization in gradient complexity, 2026. arXiv:2501.17488v3, July 13, 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2501.17488v3.

[33] Lesi Chen, Chengchang Liu, Luo Luo, and Jingzhao Zhang. Faster Newton methods for convex and nonconvex optimization in gradient complexity. In *Proceedings of Thirty Ninth Conference on Learning Theory*, volume 336 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 1088–1112. PMLR, 2026. Theorem 4.1 in arXiv:2501.17488v3, 13 July 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2501.17488v3.

[34] Lesi Chen, Chengchang Liu, and Jingzhao Zhang. Second-order min-max optimization with lazy Hessians, 2025. Version 2, 15 April 2025, Theorem 4.1; ICLR 2025. https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.09568v2.

[35] Lesi Chen, Chengchang Liu, and Jingzhao Zhang. Second-order min-max optimization with lazy hessians, 2025. https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.09568v2.

[36] Lesi Chen and Luo Luo. Near-optimal algorithms for making the gradient small in stochastic minimax optimization. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 25(387):1–44, 2024. Theorem numbering refers to arXiv:2208.05925v4, 3 February 2025, https://arxiv.org/abs/2208.05925v4.

[37] Lesi Chen, Xinliang Zhang, Hengyu Wang, Chengchang Liu, Yongchao Chen, and Jingzhao Zhang. Halpern iteration achieves $\tilde{\iota}(\epsilon^{-1/p})$ pth-order oracle complexity for monotone variational inequalities, 2026. Version 1, 9 August 2026, Theorem 4.1. https://arxiv.org/abs/2608.08463v1.

[38] Ziyi Chen, Yi Zhou, Yingbin Liang, and Zhaosong Lu. Generalized-smooth nonconvex optimization is as efficient as smooth nonconvex optimization. In *Proceedings of the 40th International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 202 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 5396–5427. PMLR, 2023. Theorem numbering follows arXiv version 2, June 24, 2023. https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.02854v2.

[39] Ziyi Chen, Yi Zhou, Yingbin Liang, and Zhaosong Lu. Generalized-smooth nonconvex optimization is as efficient as smooth nonconvex optimization. In Andreas Krause, Emma Brunskill, Kyunghyun Cho, Barbara Engelhardt, Sivan Sabato, and Jonathan Scarlett, editors, *Proceedings of the 40th International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 202 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 5396–5427. PMLR, 2023. Version cited: arXiv:2303.02854v2, https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.02854v2.

[40] Savelii Chezhegov, Daniela Angela Parletta, Andrea Paudice, and Eduard Gorbunov. High-probability bounds for the last iterate of clipped SGD. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2026.

[41] Sayantan Choudhury and Nicolas Loizou. Extragradient method for (L_0, L_1)-lipschitz root-finding problems, 2025. NeurIPS 2025; cited arXiv version 1, 25 October 2025. https://arxiv.org/abs/2510.22421v1.

[42] Sayantan Choudhury and Nicolas Loizou. Extragradient method for (L_0, L_1)-lipschitz root-finding problems, 2025. arXiv:2510.22421v1. https://arxiv.org/abs/2510.22421v1.

[43] Sayantan Choudhury and Nicolas Loizou. Extragradient method for (L_0, L_1)-lipschitz root-finding problems, 2025. Version 1. https://arxiv.org/abs/2510.22421v1.

[44] Ashok Cutkosky and Harsh Mehta. Momentum improves normalized SGD. In *Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 119 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 2260–2268. PMLR, 2020.

[45] Joshua Cutler, Dmitriy Drusvyatskiy, and Zaid Harchaoui. Stochastic optimization under distributional drift. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 24(147):1–56, 2023. Theorem numbering follows the PDF of arXiv:2108.07356v4, 27 May 2023, https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.07356v4.

[46] Alexandre d'Aspremont, Cristóbal Guzmán, and Martin Jaggi. Optimal affine invariant smooth minimization algorithms, 2016. Version 3, November 28, 2016. https://arxiv.org/abs/1301.0465v3.

[47] Alexandre d'Aspremont, Damien Scieur, and Adrien Taylor. Acceleration methods. *Foundations and Trends in Optimization*, 5(1–2):1–245, 2021. Corollaries 4.6 and 4.9 in arXiv version 4, 24 September 2024. https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.09545v4.

[48] Damek Davis and Dmitriy Drusvyatskiy. High probability guarantees for stochastic convex optimization. In Jacob Abernethy and Shivani Agarwal, editors, *Proceedings of Thirty Third Conference on Learning Theory*, volume 125 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 1411–1427. PMLR, 2020.

[49] Damek Davis and Benjamin Grimmer. Proximally guided stochastic subgradient method for nonsmooth, nonconvex problems, 2018. Version 5, 18 September 2018. https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.03505v5.

[50] Leonardo de Moura and Sebastian Ullrich. The Lean 4 theorem prover and programming language. In *Automated Deduction – CADE 28*, pages 625–635. Springer, 2021.

[51] Aaron Defazio, Xingyu Alice Yang, Harsh Mehta, Konstantin Mishchenko, Ahmed Khaled, and Ashok Cutkosky. The road less scheduled, 2024. Version 4, 29 October 2024. https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.15682v4.

[52] Qi Deng, Guanghui Lan, and Zhenwei Lin. Uniformly optimal and parameter-free first-order methods for convex and function-constrained optimization, 2026. Version 3, 16 April 2026; accepted to INFORMS Journal on Computing. https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.06319v3.

[53] Jelena Diakonikolas, Constantinos Daskalakis, and Michael I. Jordan. Efficient methods for structured nonconvex-nonconcave min-max optimization. In *Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*, volume 130 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 2746–2754. PMLR, 2021. Theorem numbering follows arXiv:2011.00364v2, 27 February 2021. https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.00364v2.

[54] Lijun Ding, Jinwen Yang, and Baoyu Zhou. On the complexity of BFGS method for smooth convex optimization, 2026. Version 1, 17 August 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2608.16009v1.

[55] Nikita Doikov, El Mahdi Chayti, and Martin Jaggi. Second-order optimization with lazy Hessians. In Andreas Krause, Emma Brunskill, Kyunghyun Cho, Barbara Engelhardt, Sivan Sabato, and Jonathan Scarlett, editors, *Proceedings of the 40th International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 202 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 8138–8161. PMLR, 2023.

[56] Nikita Doikov, El Mahdi Chayti, and Martin Jaggi. Second-order optimization with lazy Hessians. In *Proceedings of the 40th International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 202 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 8138–8161. PMLR, 2023.

[57] Nikita Doikov and Geovani Nunes Grapiglia. On the complexity of lower-order implementations of higher-order methods, 2025. Version 1, 9 October 2025. https://arxiv.org/abs/2510.07992v1.

[58] Yoel Drori and Marc Teboulle. Performance of first-order methods for smooth convex minimization: A novel approach, 2012. Version 1, 14 June 2012. https://arxiv.org/abs/1206.3209v1.

[59] John C. Duchi, Alekh Agarwal, Mikael Johansson, and Michael I. Jordan. Ergodic mirror descent, 2012. arXiv:1105.4681v3. https://arxiv.org/abs/1105.4681v3.

[60] Darina Dvinskikh, Alexander Gasnikov, Aleksandr Lobanov, and Ilgam Latypov. Localize, restart, accelerate: Stochastic optimization under generalized smoothness, 2026. Version 1, 6 September 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2609.06555v1.

[61] Taha El Bakkali El Kadi and Eduard Gorbunov. Acceleration survives heavy tails and generalized smoothness, 2026. Version 2, October 19, 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2610.23301v2.

[62] Cong Fang, Chris Junchi Li, Zhouchen Lin, and Tong Zhang. SPIDER: Near-optimal non-convex optimization via stochastic path-integrated differential estimator. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 31, 2018. Full version cited: arXiv:1807.01695v2, https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.01695v2.

[63] Shaoying Fang, Naoki Marumo, and Akiko Takeda. Parameter-free cubic-regularized Newton method: Sharp complexity and generalized smoothness, 2026. Version 1, July 12, 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2607.10741v1.

[64] Ilyas Fatkhullin, Niao He, and Yifan Hu. Stochastic optimization under hidden convexity, 2024. Version 2, 9 November 2024. https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.00108v2.

[65] Zixu Feng and Hao Yuan. Optimal local linear convergence of Nesterov's accelerated gradient method for C^2 functions under the Polyak–Łojasiewicz inequality, 2026. Version 1, 23 March 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2603.21516v1.

[66] Olivier Fercoq and Zheng Qu. Adaptive restart of accelerated gradient methods under local quadratic growth condition, 2017. Version 1, 7 September 2017. https://arxiv.org/abs/1709.02300v1.

[67] Adrien Fradin, Abdurakhmon Sadiev, Laurent Condat, and Peter Richtárik. Tight lower bounds and optimal algorithms for stochastic nonconvex optimization with heavy-tailed noise, 2026. Version 2, 31 March 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2512.18713v2.

[68] Qiang Fu, Dongchu Xu, and Ashia Camage Wilson. Accelerated stochastic optimization methods under quasar-convexity. In *Proceedings of the 40th International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 202 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 10431–10460. PMLR, 2023.

[69] Ofir Gaash, Kfir Yehuda Levy, and Yair Carmon. Convergence of clipped SGD on convex (L_0, L_1)-smooth functions, 2025. Version 2, 3 June 2025. https://arxiv.org/abs/2502.16492v2.

[70] Sébastien Gadat and Fabien Panloup. Optimal non-asymptotic bound of the Ruppert–Polyak averaging without strong convexity, 2017. Version 1, September 11, 2017. https://arxiv.org/abs/1709.03342v1.

[71] Wenzhi Gao, Ya-Chi Chu, Yinyu Ye, and Madeleine Udell. Gradient methods with online scaling part I. theoretical foundations, 2025. Version 2. https://arxiv.org/abs/2505.23081v2.

[72] Guillaume Garrigos, Daniel Cortild, Lucas Ketels, and Juan Peypouquet. Last-iterate complexity of SGD for convex and smooth stochastic problems. arXiv:2507.14122v1, 2025. Version 1, 18 July 2025. https://arxiv.org/abs/2507.14122v1.

[73] Renaud Gaucher, Aymeric Dieuleveut, and Hadrien Hendrikx. From inexact gradients to byzantine robustness: Acceleration and optimization under similarity. arXiv:2602.03329v1, 2026. Version 1, 3 February 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2602.03329v1.

[74] Bogdan Georgiev, Javier Gómez-Serrano, Terence Tao, and Adam Zsolt Wagner. Mathematical exploration and discovery at scale, 2025.

[75] Saeed Ghadimi and Guanghui Lan. Optimal stochastic approximation algorithms for strongly convex stochastic composite optimization I: A generic algorithmic framework. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 22(4):1469–1492, 2012. Proposition 7 in the June 15, 2012 revision, https://optimization-online.org/wp-content/uploads/2010/07/2669.pdf.

[76] Saeed Ghadimi and Guanghui Lan. Optimal stochastic approximation algorithms for strongly convex stochastic composite optimization I: A generic algorithmic framework. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 22(4):1469–1492, 2012. Proposition 7 and equation numbering refer to the revision of June 15, 2012, https://optimization-online.org/wp-content/uploads/2010/07/2669.pdf.

[77] Saeed Ghadimi and Guanghui Lan. Stochastic first- and zeroth-order methods for nonconvex stochastic programming. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 23(4):2341–2368, 2013. Corollary 2.2 in the author version, arXiv:1309.5549v1, https://arxiv.org/abs/1309.5549v1.

[78] Saeed Ghadimi and Guanghui Lan. Stochastic first- and zeroth-order methods for nonconvex stochastic programming, 2013. Version 1, 22 September 2013, Corollary 2.2. https://arxiv.org/abs/1309.5549v1.

[79] Saeed Ghadimi and Guanghui Lan. Accelerated gradient methods for nonconvex nonlinear and stochastic programming. *Mathematical Programming*, 156:59–99, 2016. Corollary 3(b) and equation (3.22) refer to arXiv:1310.3787v1, October 14, 2013, https://arxiv.org/abs/1310.3787v1.

[80] Google. Gemini. https://gemini.google.com/. Accessed 21 October 2026.

[81] Eduard Gorbunov, Abdurakhmon Sadiev, Marina Danilova, Samuel Horváth, Gauthier Gidel, Pavel Dvurechensky, Alexander Gasnikov, and Peter Richtárik. High-probability convergence for composite and distributed stochastic minimization and variational inequalities with heavy-tailed noise. In *Proceedings of the 41st International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 235 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 15951–16070. PMLR, 2024. Theorem numbering follows arXiv:2310.01860v2, July 24, 2024. https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.01860v2.

[82] Eduard Gorbunov, Nazarii Tupitsa, Sayantan Choudhury, Alen Aliev, Peter Richtárik, Samuel Horváth, and Martin Takáč. Methods for convex (L_0, L_1)-smooth optimization: Clipping, acceleration, and adaptivity, 2024. Version 2, 25 December 2024. https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.14989v2.

[83] Baptiste Goujaud, Céline Moucer, François Glineur, Julien Hendrickx, Adrien Taylor, and Aymeric Dieuleveut. PEPit: Computer-assisted worst-case analyses of first-order optimization methods in Python, 2022.

[84] Ezra Greenberg and Nicolas Loizou. Extragradient and past extragradient methods for (L_0, L_1)-lipschitz variational inequalities, 2026. Version 1. https://arxiv.org/abs/2610.16886v1.

[85] Ezra Greenberg and Nicolas Loizou. Extragradient and past extragradient methods for (L_0, L_1)-lipschitz variational inequalities, 2026. Version 1, 12 October 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2610.16886v1.

[86] Benjamin Grimmer. Convergence rates for deterministic and stochastic subgradient methods without Lipschitz continuity. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 29(2):1350–1365, 2019. Theorem 2 in arXiv version 3, 26 February 2018. https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.04104v3.

[87] Benjamin Grimmer, Kevin Shu, and Alex L. Wang. Accelerated objective gap and gradient norm convergence for gradient descent via long steps, 2024. Version 4, 12 April 2024, Remark 3. https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.14045v4.

[88] Kanan Gupta, Jonathan W. Siegel, and Stephan Wojtowytsch. Nesterov acceleration despite very noisy gradients, 2024. Version 3, 31 October 2024; accepted to NeurIPS 2024. Theorem 3. https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.05515v3.

[89] Kanan Gupta and Stephan Wojtowytsch. Nesterov acceleration in benignly non-convex landscapes, 2025. Version 3, 13 May 2025; ICLR 2025. https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.08395v3.

[90] Kanan Gupta and Stephan Wojtowytsch. Nesterov acceleration in benignly non-convex landscapes, 2025. Version 3, 13 May 2025; ICLR 2025. https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.08395v3.

[91] Chuan He, Bowen Li, and Zhaosong Lu. Accelerated stochastic first-order method for convex optimization under heavy-tailed noise, 2026. arXiv:2510.11676v2. https://arxiv.org/abs/2510.11676v2.

[92] Julien Hermant, Jean-François Aujol, Charles Dossal, Lorick Huang, and Aude Rondepierre. Continuized Nesterov acceleration for non-convex optimization, 2026. Version 2, 6 January 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2512.16533v2.

[93] Julien Hermant, Jean-François Aujol, Charles Dossal, and Aude Rondepierre. Study of the behaviour of Nesterov accelerated gradient in a non convex setting: the strongly quasar convex case, 2026. Version 3, 26 May 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.19809v3.

[94] Oliver Hinder. Cutting plane methods can be extended into nonconvex optimization. In *Proceedings of the 31st Conference On Learning Theory*, volume 75 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 1451–1454. PMLR, 2018. Full version cited: arXiv:1805.08370v2, 5 June 2018, Theorem 1 and the first-order variant after (8). https://arxiv.org/abs/1805.08370v2.

[95] Oliver Hinder, Aaron Sidford, and Nimit Sohoni. Near-optimal methods for minimizing star-convex functions and beyond. In *Proceedings of Thirty Third Conference on Learning Theory*, volume 125 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 1894–1938. PMLR, 2020.

[96] Oliver Hinder, Aaron Sidford, and Nimit Sohoni. Near-optimal methods for minimizing star-convex functions and beyond. In *Proceedings of Thirty Third Conference on Learning Theory*, volume 125 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 1894–1938. PMLR, 2020.

[97] Florian Hübler, Ilyas Fatkhullin, and Niao He. From gradient clipping to normalization for heavy tailed SGD. In Yingzhen Li, Stephan Mandt, Shipra Agrawal, and Emtiyaz Khan, editors, *Proceedings of The 28th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*, volume 258 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 2413–2421. PMLR, 2025. Corollary 19 in arXiv:2410.13849v3, July 9, 2025. https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.13849v3.

[98] Martin Jaggi. Revisiting Frank-Wolfe: Projection-free sparse convex optimization. In Sanjoy Dasgupta and David McAllester, editors, *Proceedings of the 30th International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 28 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 427–435, Atlanta, Georgia, USA, 2013. PMLR.

[99] Prateek Jain, Sham M. Kakade, Rahul Kidambi, Praneeth Netrapalli, and Aaron Sidford. Accelerating stochastic gradient descent for least squares regression. In Sébastien Bubeck, Vianney Perchet, and Philippe Rigollet, editors, *Proceedings of the 31st Conference On Learning Theory*, volume 75 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 545–604. PMLR, 2018.

[100] Yao Ji, Guanghui Lan, and Jason Zhu. Computation of strong solutions to stochastic variational inequalities, 2026. Version 1, September 3, 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2609.04188v1.

[101] Yao Ji, Guanghui Lan, and Jason Zhu. Computation of strong solutions to stochastic variational inequalities, 2026. Version 2, 17 September 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2609.04188v2.

[102] Yao Ji, Guanghui Lan, and Jason Zhu. Computation of strong solutions to stochastic variational inequalities, 2026. Version 2. https://arxiv.org/abs/2609.04188v2.

[103] Ruichen Jiang and TaeHo Yoon. Anchored extra-proximal methods: Optimal higher-order methods for monotone inclusion problems, 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2609.30212v1.

[104] Jikai Jin. On the convergence of first order methods for quasar-convex optimization, 2020. Version 3.

[105] Tomoya Kamijima, Naoki Marumo, and Akiko Takeda. A regression-based prediction-correction method for stochastic time-varying optimization problems, 2025. Version 1, 17 December 2025. https://arxiv.org/abs/2512.15205v1.

[106] Hamed Karimi, Julie Nutini, and Mark Schmidt. Linear convergence of gradient and proximal-gradient methods under the Polyak–Łojasiewicz condition. In *Machine Learning and Knowledge Discovery in Databases (ECML PKDD 2016)*, volume 9851 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 795–811. Springer, 2016. Theorem 1 in version 4, 12 September 2020, https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.04636v4.

[107] Hamed Karimi, Julie Nutini, and Mark Schmidt. Linear convergence of gradient and proximal-gradient methods under the Polyak-Łojasiewicz condition, 2016. Version 1, 16 August 2016. https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.04636v1.

[108] Ahmed Khaled and Peter Richtárik. Better theory for SGD in the nonconvex world, 2020. Version 3, July 24, 2020. https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.03329v3.

[109] Ahmed Khaled and Peter Richtárik. Better theory for SGD in the nonconvex world, 2020. Version 3, https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.03329v3.

[110] Heechang Kim, Ernest K. Ryu, and Shuvomoy Das Gupta. A domain-specific harness for end-to-end automation of optimization research, 2026.

[111] Nikita Kornilov, Eduard Gorbunov, Mohammad Alkousa, Fedor Stonyakin, Pavel Dvurechensky, and Alexander Gasnikov. Intermediate gradient methods with relative inexactness. arXiv:2310.00506v1, 2023. Version 1, 30 September 2023. https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.00506v1.

[112] Nikita Kornilov, Philip Zmushko, Andrei Semenov, Mark Ikonnikov, Alexander Gasnikov, and Alexander Beznosikov. Sign operator for coping with heavy-tailed noise in non-convex optimization: High probability bounds under (L_0, L_1)-smoothness, 2025. Version 2, 27 May 2025. https://arxiv.org/abs/2502.07923v2.

[113] Dmitry Kovalev. Stochastic non-smooth convex optimization with unbounded gradients, 2026. Version 2.

[114] Dmitry Kovalev, Aleksandr Beznosikov, Ekaterina Borodich, Alexander Gasnikov, and Gesualdo Scutari. Optimal gradient sliding and its application to distributed optimization under similarity, 2022. Version 1, 30 May 2022. https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.15136v1.

[115] Evgeniy Kovalev and Fedor Stonyakin. Normalized first-order methods for (quasi)-convex (L_0, L_1)-smooth optimization with inexact gradients, 2026. Version 2, 4 August 2026, Theorem 3.1. https://arxiv.org/abs/2607.26969v2.

[116] Frederik Kunstner, Victor S. Portella, Mark Schmidt, and Nick Harvey. Searching for optimal per-coordinate step-sizes with multidimensional backtracking, 2023. Version 1. https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.02527v1.

[117] Ilya Kuruzov and Fedor Stonyakin. On some versions of subspace optimization methods with inexact gradient information, 2026. Version 3, 12 August 2026, Theorem 3.3. https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.01051v3.

[118] Guanghui Lan. Efficient methods for stochastic composite optimization. Technical report, School of Industrial and Systems Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, June 2008. June 21, 2008 version, Corollary 3. https://optimization-online.org/wp-content/uploads/2008/08/2061.pdf.

[119] Guanghui Lan. An optimal method for stochastic composite optimization. *Mathematical Programming*, 133(1–2):365–397, 2012. Corollary 1 in the author manuscript revised 2 November 2010, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/225604452_An_optimal_method_for_stochastic_composite_optimization.

[120] Guanghui Lan. Gradient sliding for composite optimization. *Mathematical Programming*, 159(1–2):201–235, 2016. Corollary 1(a) in arXiv version 2, 12 June 2014. https://arxiv.org/abs/1406.0919v2.

[121] Guanghui Lan. *First-order and Stochastic Optimization Methods for Machine Learning*. Springer, 2020.

[122] Guanghui Lan, Zhize Li, and Yi Zhou. A unified variance-reduced accelerated gradient method for convex optimization. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 32, 2019.

[123] Guanghui Lan and Yi Zhou. Conditional gradient sliding for convex optimization. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 26(2):1379–1409, 2016. Corollary numbering refers to the 2014 preprint, https://optimization-online.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/4605.pdf.

[124] Tor Lattimore and András György. A second-order method for stochastic bandit convex optimisation. In *Proceedings of Thirty Sixth Conference on Learning Theory*, volume 195 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 2067–2094. PMLR, 2023. Version 1, February 10, 2023, Theorem 1. https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.05371v1.

[125] Sucheol Lee and Donghwan Kim. Fast extra gradient methods for smooth structured nonconvex-nonconcave minimax problems, 2021. NeurIPS 2021; cited arXiv version 3, 19 November 2021. https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.02326v3.

[126] Sucheol Lee and Donghwan Kim. Accelerated algorithms for stochastic monotone inclusions with fixed queries, 2026. Version 1. https://arxiv.org/abs/2609.35631v1.

[127] Per Kristian Lehre. Agentic algorithm design: LLMs that propose, formalise, and prove runtime bounds for evolutionary algorithms in Lean, 2026.

[128] Chenyi Li, Zhijian Lai, Dong An, Jiang Hu, and Zaiwen Wen. A Human-AI collaborative workflow for mathematical discovery: A case study in Grover-Compatible Riemannian optimization, 2026. arXiv:2512.09443v3, 21 September 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2512.09443v3.

[129] Chenyi Li, Ziyu Wang, Wanyi He, Yuxuan Wu, Shengyang Xu, and Zaiwen Wen. Formalization of complexity analysis of the first-order algorithms for convex optimization, 2024.

[130] Huan Li, Yiming Dong, and Zhouchen Lin. On the $O(\frac{\sqrt{d}}{K^{1/4}})$ convergence rate of AdamW measured by ℓ_1 norm, 2026. Version 4, 27 September 2026; expanded revision of the NeurIPS 2025 paper. https://arxiv.org/abs/2505.11840v4.

[131] Huan Li and Zhouchen Lin. Restarted nonconvex accelerated gradient descent: No more polylogarithmic factor in the $o(\epsilon^{-7/4})$ complexity. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 24(157):1–37, 2023.

[132] Huan Li and Zhouchen Lin. Restarted nonconvex accelerated gradient descent: No more polylogarithmic factor in the $o(\epsilon^{-7/4})$ complexity. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 24(157):1–37, 2023.

[133] Tianjiao Li and Guanghui Lan. A simple uniformly optimal method without line search for convex optimization, 2024. arXiv:2310.10082v3, 17 August 2024. https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.10082v3.

[134] Tianjiao Li and Guanghui Lan. A simple uniformly optimal method without line search for convex optimization, 2024. Version 3, 17 August 2024. https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.10082v3.

[135] Xiaoyu Li, Mingrui Liu, and Francesco Orabona. On the last iterate convergence of momentum methods. In Sanjoy Dasgupta and Nika Haghtalab, editors, *Proceedings of The 33rd International Conference on Algorithmic Learning Theory*, volume 167 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 699–717. PMLR, 2022.

[136] Zhize Li. ANITA: An optimal loopless accelerated variance-reduced gradient method, 2022. Version 3, 8 September 2022. https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.11333v3.

[137] Zhize Li, Hongyan Bao, Xiangliang Zhang, and Peter Richtarik. PAGE: A simple and optimal probabilistic gradient estimator for nonconvex optimization. In *Proceedings of the 38th International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 139 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 6286–6295. PMLR, 2021. Full version: arXiv:2008.10898v3, https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.10898v3.

[138] Zhize Li, Hongyan Bao, Xiangliang Zhang, and Peter Richtárik. PAGE: A simple and optimal probabilistic gradient estimator for nonconvex optimization. In Marina Meila and Tong Zhang, editors, *Proceedings of the 38th International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 139 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 6286–6295. PMLR, 2021. Supplement: https://proceedings.mlr.press/v139/li21a/li21a-supp.pdf.

[139] Dachao Lin, Yuze Han, Haishan Ye, and Zhihua Zhang. Stochastic distributed optimization under average second-order similarity: Algorithms and analysis, 2023. Version 2, 30 October 2023. https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.07504v2.

[140] Yuxing Liu, Rui Pan, and Tong Zhang. AdaGrad under anisotropic smoothness. In *The Thirteenth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2025. Published version, Theorem D.3. https://openreview.net/forum?id=4GT9uTsAJE.

[141] Zijian Liu. In-expectation convergence of stochastic gradient methods under heavy-tailed noise, 2026. arXiv:2606.00520v1, May 30, 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2606.00520v1.

[142] Zijian Liu. In-expectation convergence of stochastic gradient methods under heavy-tailed noise, 2026. Version 1, May 30, 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2606.00520v1.

[143] Zijian Liu. Random reshuffling dominates stochastic gradient descent. arXiv:2606.32005v1, 2026. Version 1, 30 June 2026; COLT 2026 paper. https://arxiv.org/abs/2606.32005v1.

[144] Zijian Liu, Srikanth Jagabathula, and Zhengyuan Zhou. Near-optimal non-convex stochastic optimization under generalized smoothness, 2023. Version 2, October 28, 2023. https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.06032v2.

[145] Zijian Liu and Zhengyuan Zhou. Stochastic nonsmooth convex optimization with heavy-tailed noises: High-probability bound, in-expectation rate and initial distance adaptation, 2023. Version 3, 20 May 2023. https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.12277v3.

[146] Zijian Liu and Zhengyuan Zhou. Stochastic nonsmooth convex optimization with heavy-tailed noises: High-probability bound, in-expectation rate and initial distance adaptation, 2023. Version 3, 20 May 2023. https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.12277v3.

[147] Zijian Liu and Zhengyuan Zhou. On the last-iterate convergence of shuffling gradient methods. arXiv:2403.07723v3, 2024. Version 3, 6 June 2024; ICML 2024 paper. https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.07723v3.

[148] Zijian Liu and Zhengyuan Zhou. Revisiting the last-iterate convergence of stochastic gradient methods, 2026. Version 4, 19 March 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.08531v4.

[149] Zijian Liu and Zhengyuan Zhou. Revisiting the last-iterate convergence of stochastic gradient methods, 2026. Version 4, 19 March 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.08531v4.

[150] Zijian Liu and Zhengyuan Zhou. Revisiting the last-iterate convergence of stochastic gradient methods. arXiv:2312.08531v4, 2026. Version 4, 19 March 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.08531v4.

[151] Aleksandr Lobanov. A few accelerated algorithms for convex optimization under (H_0, H_1)-smoothness, 2026. Version 1, 5 August 2026. Theorems 6 and 8 in https://arxiv.org/pdf/2608.04884v1.

[152] Aleksandr Lobanov. A few accelerated algorithms for convex optimization under (H_0, H_1)-smoothness, 2026. Version 1, 5 August 2026, Theorem 5. https://arxiv.org/abs/2608.04884v1.

[153] Aleksandr Lobanov, Darina Dvinskikh, and Alexander Gasnikov. Accelerated stochastic method under (H_0, H_1)-smoothness and heavy-tailed noise, 2026. Version 1, September 27, 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2609.33415v1.

[154] Aleksandr Lobanov, Darina Dvinskikh, and Alexander Gasnikov. Accelerated stochastic method under (H_0, H_1)-smoothness and heavy-tailed noise, 2026. Version 1, 27 September 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2609.33415v1.

[155] Aleksandr Lobanov, Alexander Gasnikov, and Andrei Krasnov. Acceleration exists! optimization problems when oracle can only compare objective function values, 2024. Version 3. https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.09014v3.

[156] Timofei Loginov, Darina Dvinskikh, Nazarii Tupitsa, Osman Osmanov, Yuriy Dorn, and Alexander Gasnikov. Minimax call complexity, rounds and admissible noise in zeroth-order optimization of highly smooth functions, 2026. Version 1, October 4, 2026, Theorem 4(b). https://arxiv.org/abs/2610.05517v1.

[157] AcceleratedInexactStronglyConvex: convergence theorem in LongTails. Lean source in the LongTails repository. https://github.com/k3nfalt/longtails/blob/main/LongTails/Theorems/AcceleratedInexactStronglyConvex.lean.

[158] LongTails: AnchoredGeneralizedMonotone.lean. Lean theorem in the LongTails repository. https://github.com/k3nfalt/longtails/blob/main/LongTails/Theorems/AnchoredGeneralizedMonotone.lean.

[159] LongTails: FiniteVarianceGeneralizedAcceleratedConvex. Repository theorem and public oracle budget. https://github.com/k3nfalt/longtails/blob/main/LongTails/Theorems/FiniteVarianceGeneralizedAcceleratedConvex.lean.

[160] LongTails: GeneralizedAcceleratedConvex. Repository theorem. https://github.com/k3nfalt/longtails/blob/main/LongTails/Theorems/GeneralizedAcceleratedConvex.lean.

[161] LongTails: GeneralizedAcceleratedConvex. Repository theorem and public oracle budget. https://github.com/k3nfalt/longtails/blob/main/LongTails/Theorems/GeneralizedAcceleratedConvex.lean.

[162] LongTails: KappaFreeSgdPLHessian. Repository convergence theorem and oracle budget. https://github.com/k3nfalt/longtails/blob/main/LongTails/Theorems/KappaFreeSgdPLHessian.lean.

[163] LongTails: CompositeGeneralizedAccelerated. Repository theorem and oracle budget, 2026. https://github.com/k3nfalt/longtails/blob/main/LongTails/Theorems/CompositeGeneralizedAccelerated.lean.

[164] Chris Lu, Cong Lu, Robert Tjarko Lange, Jakob Foerster, Jeff Clune, and David Ha. The AI Scientist: Towards fully automated open-ended scientific discovery, 2024.

[165] Luo Luo, Yujun Li, and Cheng Chen. Finding second-order stationary points in nonconvex-strongly-concave minimax optimization, 2022. Version 3, 9 November 2022. https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.04814v3.

[166] Ruichen Luo, Sebastian U. Stich, and Krishnendu Chatterjee. Monotone near-zero-sum games: A generalization of convex-concave minimax, 2025. Version 1, December 2, 2025. https://arxiv.org/abs/2512.02690v1.

[167] Annie Marsden, Liam O'Carroll, Aaron Sidford, and Chenyi Zhang. Isotropic noise in stochastic and quantum convex optimization, 2025. Version 1, 23 October 2025. https://arxiv.org/abs/2510.20745v1.

[168] David Martínez-Rubio, Brian Bullins, Cristóbal Guzmán, and Mathieu Molina. Near-optimal acceleration for smooth ℓ_p/ℓ_q nondual convex first-order oracle optimization, 2026. Version 1. https://arxiv.org/abs/2609.21880v1.

[169] Saeed Masiha, Negar Kiyavash, and Patrick Thiran. Sharp first-order lower bounds under α-Polyak–Lojasiewicz conditions, 2026. Version 3, August 11, 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2606.28278v3.

[170] Renato D. C. Monteiro and B. F. Svaiter. An accelerated hybrid proximal extragradient method for convex optimization and its implications to second-order methods. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 23(2):1092–1125, 2013. Theorem locators refer to the May 24, 2012 revision, https://optimization-online.org/wp-content/uploads/2011/05/3030.pdf.

[171] Eric Moulines, Pierre Priouret, and François Roueff. On recursive estimation for time varying autoregressive processes. *The Annals of Statistics*, 33(6):2610–2654, 2005. Electronic reprint: https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0603047v1.

[172] Tianlong Nan, Garud Iyengar, Christian Kroer, and Shuvomoy Das Gupta. AltGDA achieves global $O(1/T)$ ergodic convergence in matrix games, 2026. arXiv:2609.32995v1, September 26, 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2609.32995v1.

[173] Alyona Nekrasova, Makar Sidorov, Savelii Chezhegov, Alexander Gasnikov, Margarita Preobrazhenskaia, Igor Preobrazhensky, and Eduard Gorbunov. Weak-L^α noise, strong guarantees: Gradient clipping under affine heavy tails, 2026. Version 1, 20 October 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2610.28107v1.

[174] Arkadi Nemirovski. Prox-method with rate of convergence $O(1/t)$ for variational inequalities with lipschitz continuous monotone operators and smooth convex-concave saddle point problems. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 15(1):229–251, 2004. Theorem 3.2 and equation (3.10); author manuscript: https://www2.isye.gatech.edu/~nemirovs/SIOPT_042562-1.pdf.

[175] Arkadi Nemirovski, Anatoli Juditsky, Guanghui Lan, and Alexander Shapiro. Robust stochastic approximation approach to stochastic programming. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 19(4):1574–1609, 2009.

[176] Yurii Nesterov. *Lectures on Convex Optimization*, volume 137 of *Springer Optimization and Its Applications*. Springer, Cham, 2 edition, 2018.

[177] Yurii Nesterov and Boris T. Polyak. Cubic regularization of Newton method and its global performance. *Mathematical Programming*, 108(1):177–205, 2006.

[178] Yurii Nesterov and Vladimir Spokoiny. Random gradient-free minimization of convex functions. *Foundations of Computational Mathematics*, 17(2):527–566, 2017.

[179] Yurii E. Nesterov. A method of solving a convex programming problem with convergence rate $O(1/k^2)$. *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR*, 269(3):543–547, 1983.

[180] Lam M. Nguyen, Marten van Dijk, Dzung T. Phan, Phuong Ha Nguyen, Tsui-Wei Weng, and Jayant R. Kalagnanam. Finite-sum smooth optimization with SARAH, 2019. Version 2, 23 April 2019. https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.07648v2.

[181] Ta Duy Nguyen, Alina Ene, and Huy L. Nguyen. Improved convergence in high probability of clipped gradient methods with heavy tails, 2023. arXiv:2304.01119v2, April 4, 2023. https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.01119v2.

[182] Ta Duy Nguyen, Alina Ene, and Huy L. Nguyen. Improved convergence in high probability of clipped gradient methods with heavy tails, 2023. Version 2, April 4, 2023. https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.01119v2.

[183] Gaku Omiya, Pierre-Louis Poirion, and Akiko Takeda. Randomized subspace Nesterov accelerated gradient, 2026. Version 1, 1 May 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2605.00740v1.

[184] OpenAI. ChatGPT. https://chatgpt.com/overview/. Accessed 21 October 2026.

[185] OpenAI. Codex CLI. https://learn.chatgpt.com/docs/codex/cli. Official documentation. Accessed 4 November 2026.

[186] OpenAI. On the Navier–Stokes millennium prize problem. https://openai.com/index/navier-stokes-solution/, September 2026. 8 September 2026. Accessed 21 October 2026.

[187] OpenAI. OpenAI research catalog. https://github.com/openai/math/blob/main/overview.pdf, October 2026. 6 October 2026. 372 result families in 719 manuscripts. Accessed 21 October 2026.

[188] Weiming Ou and Xiao Wang. Tight heavy-tailed complexity bounds for optimizing Polyak–Łojasiewicz objectives, 2026. Version 2, 26 September 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2609.03990v2.

[189] Yuyuan Ouyang and Yangyang Xu. Lower complexity bounds of first-order methods for convex-concave bilinear saddle-point problems, 2018. Version 1, 8 August 2018, Theorem 9. https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.02901v1.

[190] Yijiang Pang. The convergence behavior of Adam under heavy-tailed noise, 2026. Version 2, August 2, 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2607.27383v2.

[191] Panagiotis Patrinos, Lorenzo Stella, and Alberto Bemporad. Douglas–Rachford splitting: Complexity estimates and accelerated variants. In *53rd IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, pages 4234–4239. IEEE, 2014. Theorem 5 in arXiv:1407.6723v2, 20 September 2014, https://arxiv.org/abs/1407.6723v2.

[192] Thomas Pethick. When to use what Schatten-p norm in deep learning?, 2026. Version 1, June 13, 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2606.15268v1.

[193] Thomas Pethick, Ioannis Mavrothalassitis, and Volkan Cevher. Efficient interpolation between extragradient and proximal methods for weak MVIs. In *The Thirteenth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2025.

[194] Thomas Pethick, Ioannis Mavrothalassitis, and Volkan Cevher. Efficient interpolation between extragradient and proximal methods for weak MVIs. In *The Thirteenth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2025.

[195] Z. Z. Ren, Zhihong Shao, Junxiao Song, Huajian Xin, Haocheng Wang, Wanjia Zhao, Liyue Zhang, Zhe Fu, Qihao Zhu, Dejian Yang, Z. F. Wu, Zhibin Gou, Shirong Ma, Hongxuan Tang, Yuxuan Liu, Wenjun Gao, Daya Guo, and Chong Ruan. DeepSeek-Prover-V2: Advancing formal mathematical reasoning via reinforcement learning for subgoal decomposition, 2025.

[196] Peter Richtárik, Kaja Gruntkowska, and Hanmin Li. Broximal gradient descent: A projection-free sister of projected gradient descent, 2026. Version 2, 28 September 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2605.08850v2.

[197] Peter Richtárik and Ammar Mahran. Local LMO is secretly a projection method!, 2026. Version 1, 27 September 2026. https://arxiv.org/html/2609.33383v1.

[198] Vincent Roulet and Alexandre d'Aspremont. Sharpness, restart and acceleration, 2019. Version 2. Short version appeared in Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 30 (2017). https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.03828v2.

[199] Mikhail Rudomanov, Ivan Fomin, Alexander V. Gasnikov, and Fedor S. Stonyakin. Descending Everest with first-order oracles: Oracle complexity of convex optimization under (L_0, L_1)-smoothness, 2026. Version 1, October 15, 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2610.21461v1.

[200] Mikhail Rudomanov, Ivan Fomin, Alexander V. Gasnikov, and Fedor S. Stonyakin. Descending everest with first-order oracles: Oracle complexity of convex optimization under (L_0, L_1)-smoothness, 2026. Version 1. https://arxiv.org/abs/2610.21461v1.

[201] Abdurakhmon Sadiev, Marina Danilova, Eduard Gorbunov, Samuel Horváth, Gauthier Gidel, Pavel Dvurechensky, Alexander Gasnikov, and Peter Richtárik. High-probability bounds for stochastic optimization and variational inequalities: the case of unbounded variance. In *Proceedings of the 40th International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 202 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 29563–29648. PMLR, 2023.

[202] Abdurakhmon Sadiev, Peter Richtárik, and Ilyas Fatkhullin. Second-order optimization under heavy-tailed noise: Hessian clipping and sample complexity limits, 2025. Version 1, 12 October 2025, Theorem 2. Accepted to NeurIPS 2025. https://arxiv.org/abs/2510.10690v1.

[203] Othmane Sebbouh, Robert M. Gower, and Aaron Defazio. Almost sure convergence rates for stochastic gradient descent and stochastic heavy ball. arXiv:2006.07867v2, 2021. Version 2, 5 February 2021. https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.07867v2.

[204] Lingqing Shen and Fatma Kılınç-Karzan. Parameter-free non-ergodic extragradient algorithms for solving monotone variational inequalities, 2026. arXiv:2604.07662v2. https://arxiv.org/abs/2604.07662v2.

[205] Wei Shen, Minhui Huang, Jiawei Zhang, and Cong Shen. Stochastic smoothed gradient descent ascent for federated minimax optimization, 2024. Version 2, 18 April 2024. https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.00944v2.

[206] Dongkuk Si and Chulhee Yun. Practical sharpness-aware minimization cannot converge all the way to optima, 2023. Version 3, 27 October 2023; NeurIPS 2023 camera-ready version. https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.09850v3.

[207] Vladimir Solodkin, Andrew Veprikov, and Aleksandr Beznosikov. Methods for optimization problems with markovian stochasticity and non-euclidean geometry, 2025. arXiv:2408.01848v2. https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.01848v2.

[208] Chaobing Song, Yong Jiang, and Yi Ma. Variance reduction via accelerated dual averaging for finite-sum optimization. arXiv:2006.10281v4, 2021. Version 4, 7 March 2021; NeurIPS 2020 paper. https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.10281v4.

[209] Chaobing Song, Yong Jiang, and Yi Ma. Variance reduction via accelerated dual averaging for finite-sum optimization, 2021. Version 4, 7 March 2021; NeurIPS 2020 paper. https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.10281v4.

[210] Sebastian U. Stich. Unified optimal analysis of the (stochastic) gradient method, 2019. Version 2, December 23, 2019. https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.04232v2.

[211] The Comparator Contributors. Comparator. https://github.com/leanprover/comparator. GitHub repository. Accessed 4 November 2026.

[212] The mathlib Community. The Lean mathematical library. In *Proceedings of the 9th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs*, 2020.

[213] The Optlib Contributors. Optlib: A Lean 4 library for mathematical optimization. https://github.com/optsuite/optlib. GitHub repository. Accessed 3 November 2026.

[214] Alexander Tyurin. Near-optimal convergence of accelerated gradient methods under generalized and (L_0, L_1)-smoothness, 2026. Version 2, 21 May 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2508.06884v2.

[215] Alexander Tyurin. Near-optimal convergence of accelerated gradient methods under generalized and (L_0, L_1)-smoothness, 2026. Version 2, May 21, 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2508.06884v2.

[216] Antesh Upadhyay, Arda Fazla, and Abolfazl Hashemi. Beyond bounded variance: Variance-reduced normalized methods for nonconvex optimization under Blum–Gladyshev noise, 2026. Version 1, https://arxiv.org/abs/2605.15314v1.

[217] Daniil Vankov, Angelia Nedić, and Lalitha Sankar. Generalized smooth stochastic variational inequalities: Almost sure convergence and convergence rates, 2025. Version 2, October 1, 2025. https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.12334v2.

[218] Daniil Vankov, Anton Rodomanov, Angelia Nedich, Lalitha Sankar, and Sebastian U. Stich. Optimizing (L_0, L_1)-smooth functions by gradient methods, 2025. Version 3, 7 March 2025. https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.10800v3.

[219] Daniil Vankov, Anton Rodomanov, Angelia Nedich, Lalitha Sankar, and Sebastian U. Stich. Optimizing (L_0, L_1)-smooth functions by gradient methods, 2025. Version 3, 7 March 2025. https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.10800v3.

[220] Artem Vasin and Alexander Gasnikov. Lower and upper bounds of the convergence rate of gradient methods with composite noise in gradient. arXiv:2603.12376v1, 2026. Version 1, 12 March 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2603.12376v1.

[221] Artem Vasin and Alexander Gasnikov. Lower and upper bounds of the convergence rate of gradient methods with composite noise in gradient, 2026. Version 1, March 12, 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2603.12376v1.

[222] Artem Vasin, Valery Krivchenko, Dmitry Kovalev, Fedyor Stonyakin, Nazarii Tupitsa, Pavel Dvurechensky, Mohammad Alkousa, Nikita Kornilov, and Alexander Gasnikov. On solving minimization and min-max problems by first-order methods with relative error in gradients. arXiv:2503.06628v3, 2025. Version 3, 9 December 2025. https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.06628v3.

[223] Artem Vasin, Alexander Tyurin, and Alexander Gasnikov. Adaptive first-order methods with composite gradient error, 2026. Version 1, 17 October 2026, Remark 3.1. https://arxiv.org/abs/2610.24863v1.

[224] Sharan Vaswani, Francis Bach, and Mark Schmidt. Fast and faster convergence of SGD for over-parameterized models and an accelerated perceptron. In Kamalika Chaudhuri and Masashi Sugiyama, editors, *Proceedings of the Twenty-Second International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*, volume 89 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 1195–1204. PMLR, 2019.

[225] Abhijeet Vyas and Brian Bullins. Beyond first-order methods for non-convex non-concave min-max optimization, 2023. Version 1, 17 April 2023. https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.08389v1.

[226] Abhijeet Vyas and Brian Bullins. Beyond first-order methods for ℓ_p-structured non-monotone variational inequalities, 2026. Version 1, 13 March 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2603.13491v1.

[227] Helin Wang, Chenyi Zhang, Xiwen Tao, Yexin Zhang, and Tongyang Li. Finding stationary points by comparisons, 2026. Version 1, 25 June 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2606.27082v1.

[228] Jun-Kun Wang and Andre Wibisono. Continuized acceleration for quasar convex functions in non-convex optimization, 2023. Version 1.

[229] Jun-Kun Wang and Andre Wibisono. Continuized acceleration for quasar convex functions in non-convex optimization. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023. Theorem 2 and Corollary 2; arXiv:2302.07851v1, https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.07851v1.

[230] Zhe Wang, Kaiyi Ji, Yi Zhou, Yingbin Liang, and Vahid Tarokh. SpiderBoost and momentum: Faster stochastic variance reduction algorithms, 2020. Version 3, 15 May 2020; conference version appeared at NeurIPS 2019. https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.10690v3.

[231] Xiyuan Wei, Linli Zhou, Bokun Wang, Chih-Jen Lin, and Tianbao Yang. A geometry-aware efficient algorithm for compositional entropic risk minimization, 2026. Version 2, 24 June 2026; accepted to the 43rd International Conference on Machine Learning. https://arxiv.org/abs/2602.02877v2.

[232] Wendao Wu, Haihan Zhang, Chenheng Zhang, Yanyi Li, Chunyuan Zheng, Cong Fang, Haoxuan Li, and Zhouchen Lin. Near-optimal deterministic exact-value complexity for smooth convex optimization, 2026. Version 2. https://arxiv.org/abs/2609.18230v2.

[233] Wendao Wu, Haihan Zhang, Chenheng Zhang, Yanyi Li, Chunyuan Zheng, Cong Fang, Haoxuan Li, and Zhouchen Lin. Sharp fresh-gradient complexity of nonconvex-strongly-concave minimax optimization, 2026. Version 1, 22 September 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2610.00229v1.

[234] Bowen Yang, Yi Yuan, Chenyi Li, Ziyu Wang, Liangqi Li, Bo Zhang, Zhe Li, and Zaiwen Wen. Construction-verification: A benchmark for applied mathematics in Lean 4, 2026.

[235] John Yang, Carlos E. Jimenez, Alexander Wettig, Kilian Lieret, Shunyu Yao, Karthik Narasimhan, and Ofir Press. SWE-agent: Agent-computer interfaces enable automated software engineering, 2024.

[236] Junchi Yang, Negar Kiyavash, and Niao He. Global convergence and variance reduction for a class of nonconvex-nonconcave minimax problems. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 33, pages 1153–1165. Curran Associates, Inc., 2020.

[237] Junchi Yang, Antonio Orvieto, Aurelien Lucchi, and Niao He. Faster single-loop algorithms for minimax optimization without strong concavity. In *Proceedings of The 25th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*, volume 151 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 5485–5517. PMLR, 2022.

[238] Junchi Yang, Antonio Orvieto, Aurelien Lucchi, and Niao He. Faster single-loop algorithms for minimax optimization without strong concavity. In *Proceedings of The 25th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*, volume 151 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 5485–5517. PMLR, 2022.

[239] Kaiyu Yang, Aidan M. Swope, Alex Gu, Rahul Chalamala, Peiyang Song, Shixing Yu, Saad Godil, Ryan Prenger, and Anima Anandkumar. LeanDojo: Theorem proving with retrieval-augmented language models, 2023.

[240] TaeHo Yoon, Sayantan Choudhury, Ezra Greenberg, and Nicolas Loizou. Polyak-type extra-gradient methods for monotone root-finding problems, 2026. Preprint, version 1, 22 September 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2609.26581v1.

[241] TaeHo Yoon, Sayantan Choudhury, Ezra Greenberg, and Nicolas Loizou. Polyak-type extra-gradient methods for monotone root-finding problems, 2026. Version 1, 22 September 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2609.26581v1.

[242] TaeHo Yoon and Nicolas Loizou. Dual-anchor acceleration is near-optimal for stochastic monotone root-finding, 2026. Version 1. https://arxiv.org/abs/2609.36033v1.

[243] TaeHo Yoon and Ernest K. Ryu. Accelerated algorithms for smooth convex-concave minimax problems with $\mathcal{O}(1/k^2)$ rate on squared gradient norm, 2021. ICML 2021; cited arXiv version 2, 10 June 2021. https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.07922v2.

[244] Taeho Yoon and Ernest K. Ryu. Accelerated algorithms for smooth convex-concave minimax problems with $O(1/k^2)$ rate on squared gradient norm. In Marina Meila and Tong Zhang, editors, *Proceedings of the 38th International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 139 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 12098–12109. PMLR, 2021.

[245] Pengyun Yue, Cong Fang, and Zhouchen Lin. On the lower bound of minimizing Polyak–Łojasiewicz functions. In Gergely Neu and Lorenzo Rosasco, editors, *Proceedings of Thirty Sixth Conference on Learning Theory*, volume 195 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 2948–2968. PMLR, 2023. Theorems 2–3 in version 2, 2 August 2023, https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.13551v2.

[246] Chenyi Zhang and Tongyang Li. Comparisons are all you need for optimizing smooth functions, 2024. Version 1. https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.11454v1.

[247] Hui Zhang and Yu-Hong Dai. Mirror frameworks for relatively lipschitz and monotone-like variational inequalities, 2022. Version 2, 30 December 2022. https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.12070v2.

[248] Jingzhao Zhang, Tianxing He, Suvrit Sra, and Ali Jadbabaie. Why gradient clipping accelerates training: A theoretical justification for adaptivity. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2020.

[249] Xinliang Zhang, Lesi Chen, Chengchang Liu, and Jingzhao Zhang. Near-optimal convex optimization with lazy second-order oracles, 2026. arXiv:2610.03222v1, October 2, 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2610.03222v1.

[250] Xinliang Zhang, Lesi Chen, Linxuan Pan, Chengchang Liu, Junchi Yang, and Jingzhao Zhang. Optimal high-order methods for solving monotone variational inequalities, 2026. Version 1, 20 September 2026, Theorem 3.1. https://arxiv.org/abs/2609.23557v1.

[251] Xinliang Zhang, Lesi Chen, Linxuan Pan, Chengchang Liu, Junchi Yang, and Jingzhao Zhang. Optimal high-order methods for solving monotone variational inequalities, 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2609.23557v1.

[252] Yi Zhang. Convergence rates of Tseng's splitting method and its acceleration schemes for monotone inclusion problem with a sum of Hölder continuous operators, 2026. Version 1, 21 June 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2606.22392v1.

[253] Zihan Zhang, Jason D. Lee, Simon S. Du, and Yuxin Chen. Anytime acceleration of gradient descent. In *Proceedings of Thirty Eighth Conference on Learning Theory*, volume 291 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 5991–6013. PMLR, 2025. Theorem 11 refers to arXiv:2411.17668v2, 8 December 2024. https://arxiv.org/abs/2411.17668v2.

[254] Qihao Zhou, Lesi Chen, Luo Luo, and Chengchang Liu. Envelope cubic regularized newton method for nonconvex-strongly-concave minimax optimization, 2026. Version 1, 14 October 2026. https://arxiv.org/abs/2610.20345v1.

[255] Tianxi Zhu, Yi Xu, and Xiangyang Ji. Stochastic weakly convex optimization under heavy-tailed noises, 2025. Version 1, 17 July 2025. https://arxiv.org/abs/2507.13283v1.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 A User's Guide to LongTails **2**

3 How Are We Sure That the Complexities Are Correct? **4**

4 Selected New Theoretical Results **4**

5 Our Prompting Strategy for Agentic Systems **8**

6 Related Work **8**

7 Conclusions **9**

A Accelerated Method for Generalized Smooth Convex Optimization **28**

A.1 Proof Idea 29

B Comparisons for Results Discussed in the Main Text **30**

C Additional Comparisons **60**

A Accelerated Method for Generalized Smooth Convex Optimization

We present the method discussed in Section 4. Let f be convex with a differentiable gradient satisfying $\|\nabla^2 f(x)\| \le L_0 + L_1\|\nabla f(x)\|$ for all x. Assume that $L_0 > 0$, $L_1 \ge 0$, and that a minimizer $x^\star$ exists. The inputs $R, \Delta > 0$ satisfy $\|x^0 - x^\star\| \le R$ and $f(x^0) - f(x^\star) \le \Delta$. The method uses only exact gradients.

Algorithm 1 Damped accelerated gradient method under (L_0, L_1)-smoothness

Input: starting point x^0, parameters L_0, L_1, R, Δ, accuracy $\varepsilon > 0$
if $\Delta \le \varepsilon$ **then**
  return x^0
end if
Set K according to (2)
Init $z^0 = x^0$, $A_0 = R^2/(2\Delta)$, $g^0 = \nabla f(x^0)$, $G_0 = 4(L_0 + L_1\|g^0\|)$
for $k = 0, \dots, K-1$ **do**
  $a_k = \dfrac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4G_k A_k}}{2G_k}, \quad y^k = \dfrac{A_k x^k + a_k z^k}{A_k + a_k}$
  If $k > 0$, compute $g^k = \nabla f(y^k)$; otherwise, reuse g^0 since $y^0 = x^0$
  $M_k = 4(L_0 + L_1\|g^k\|), \quad v^k = y^k - \dfrac{1}{M_k} g^k$
  Compute $h^k = \nabla f(v^k)$
  if $M_k \le G_k$ **then**
    $A_{k+1} = A_k + a_k, \quad x^{k+1} = v^k$
    $z^{k+1} = z^k - a_k h^k, \quad G_{k+1} = G_k/16$
  else
    $\gamma_k = G_k/M_k, \quad b_k = \gamma_k a_k, \quad A_{k+1} = A_k + b_k$
    $x^{k+1} = \dfrac{(1-\gamma_k)A_k}{A_{k+1}} x^k + \dfrac{\gamma_k(A_k + a_k)}{A_{k+1}} v^k$
    $z^{k+1} = z^k - b_k h^k, \quad G_{k+1} = 16G_k$
  end if
end for
return x^K

The parameter $G_k > 0$ is an estimate of the local curvature used to choose the acceleration weight a_k before querying the gradient at y^k. We then compute $M_k = 4(L_0 + L_1\|\nabla f(y^k)\|)$ from this gradient. If $M_k > G_k$, the estimate is too small, so we damp the update by $\gamma_k = G_k/M_k$ and set $G_{k+1} = 16G_k$. Otherwise, we take the full update and set $G_{k+1} = G_k/16$ to try a larger acceleration weight in the next iteration. Thus, each iteration adjusts the curvature estimate without an inner line search.

Convergence guarantee. Let $\ell = \log(4 + 4\Delta/\varepsilon)$ and choose

$$K = \left\lceil 4096 \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{L_0 R^2}{\varepsilon}} + \min\left\{ \left\lceil (L_1 R)^{2/3} \right\rceil \ell,\ \ell + (L_1 R \ell)^{2/3} \right\} \right) \right\rceil . \tag{2}$$

Then Algorithm 1 returns x^K satisfying $f(x^K) - f(x^\star) \le \varepsilon$ using $2K$ gradient queries. The algorithm and this guarantee are formalized in `DampedGeneralizedAccelerated.lean` and `GeneralizedSelfNormalizedConvex.lean`, respectively.

A.1 Proof Idea

We asked an agent to explain the proof idea behind Algorithm 1 using the prompt "Can you create a subsection drafting the proof idea?" The sketch below was refined through follow-up requests.

`AI Generated Proof Idea From Here:` Let us explain the main steps of the proof. We consider $\Delta > \varepsilon$, since otherwise the initial point already satisfies the required accuracy.

Potential decrease. Consider

$$\mathcal{E}_k = A_k\big(f(x^k) - f(x^\star)\big) + \frac{1}{2}\|z^k - x^\star\|^2. \tag{3}$$

The initialization gives $\mathcal{E}_0 \le R^2$. Generalized smoothness ensures that $\|h^k + M_k(v^k - y^k)\| \le (M_k/2)\|v^k - y^k\|$. Writing $\theta_k = \min\{1, G_k/M_k\}$, the acceleration weight satisfies $\theta_k a_k^2 M_k \le G_k a_k^2 = A_k + a_k$. These two inequalities, together with convexity, imply

$$\mathcal{E}_{k+1} + d_k \le \mathcal{E}_k, \qquad d_k = \theta_k(A_k + a_k)\frac{3M_k}{8}\|v^k - y^k\|^2. \tag{4}$$

Thus, damping preserves potential decrease even when $G_k < M_k$. Summing (4) gives $\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} d_k \le R^2$ and $f(x^K) - f(x^\star) \le R^2/A_K$.

Controlling the curvature adjustments. We group consecutive iterations into blocks: the first starts at iteration 0, and each later iteration with $M_k > G_k$ starts a new block. A block therefore contains an increase of the curvature estimate followed by decreases, except for the first block, where $M_0 = G_0$. We classify blocks by the curvature at their starting points and count iterations $1, \dots, K-1$, leaving out the initial iteration. The updates of G_k and the growth of A_k bound the number of iterations in blocks starting with $M_k \le 8L_0$ by $96\sqrt{L_0 A_K}$.

For $L_1 > 0$, let $\mathcal{H}$ contain the starting points of the remaining blocks. At these iterations, $G_k \le M_k$ and $\|v^k - y^k\| \ge 1/(8L_1)$. Hence (4) gives

$$\sum_{k\in\mathcal{H}} A_{k+1}G_k \le \frac{512L_1^2}{3}\sum_{i=0}^{K-1} d_i \le \frac{512}{3}(L_1 R)^2. \tag{5}$$

This limits the cost of blocks with high local curvature. The counting argument combines (5) with logarithmic growth of the acceleration weights through Hölder's inequality: the potential bound enters with power $1/3$, and the logarithmic term with power $2/3$. The resulting bound is

$$K - 1 \le 96\sqrt{L_0 A_K} + 4D_K + 512(L_1 R D_K)^{2/3}, \qquad D_K = \log\frac{2A_K}{A_0}. \tag{6}$$

The detailed counting argument is formalized in `GeneralizedLogCharging.lean` and `GeneralizedSelfNormalizedConvergence.lean`.

Concluding the bound. If $f(x^K) - f(x^\star) > \varepsilon$, then (3)–(4) imply $A_K < R^2/\varepsilon$ and $D_K \le \ell$. Substituting these into (6) contradicts the choice of K in (2). Both branches of the minimum suffice: for $L_1 > 0$ and $\ell > 1$, $4\ell + 512(L_1 R\ell)^{2/3} \le 516\lceil (L_1 R)^{2/3}\rceil \ell$. When $L_1 = 0$, all blocks have low curvature, and $K - 1 \le 96\sqrt{L_0 A_K}$ gives the same conclusion. Finally, each iteration uses two gradient queries.

B Comparisons for Results Discussed in the Main Text

In this section, we compare previous approaches with our newly discovered results discussed in Section 4. All tables have the same structure:

1. At the top of each table, we briefly introduce the setup.
2. At the bottom, we define abbreviations and introduce notation for more compact formulas.
3. In the **Result** column, we list our results and the previous methods relevant to the setup.
4. In the **Oracle complexity** column, we list the oracle complexities of the methods.
5. In the **Novelty** column, we explain how the new results improve upon the method in that row. For instance, "(1): No p, σ in updates; smoothness term $\varepsilon^{-1/2}$ up to logs instead of ε^{-1}; removes G_0" in Table 1 means that our result `AdaptiveEntropicHeavyTailConvex`, labeled (1), does not require the noise moment order p or noise level σ in its updates, improves the accuracy dependence of the smoothness term from ε^{-1} to $\varepsilon^{-1/2}$ up to logarithmic factors, and removes dependence on the initial gradient norm G_0 from the bound compared with result (2).
6. In the **Limitations** column, we similarly explain the limitations of the new results compared with the method in that row. For instance, "simplex rather than general Bregman geometry" in Table 1 means that our result (1) is restricted to optimization on the simplex, whereas result (2) applies to more general domains and geometries.

See also other new results on our website. The following tables are AI-generated using the script `run_results_table_prompt.py`.

Table 1: Heavy-tailed stochastic convex optimization on the simplex: AdaptiveHeavyTailSimplex.

On $\Delta_d = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_i \geq 0,\ \sum_i x_i = 1\}$, $d \geq 2$, f is convex, has an ambient gradient at every feasible point, and satisfies $\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\|_\infty \leq L\|x - y\|_1$ for feasible x, y, with $L > 0$. A constrained minimizer $x_\star$ exists. The jointly measurable oracle uses IID samples of law ν, with integrable replies and noise moments, $\int g(x,\omega)\, d\nu = \nabla f(x)$ and $\int \|g(x,\omega) - \nabla f(x)\|_\infty^p\, d\nu \leq \sigma^p$, $1 < p \leq 2$, $\sigma \geq 0$. Target: a measurable feasible output with $P\{f(\text{output}) - f(x_\star) > \varepsilon\} \leq \delta$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $0 < \delta < 1$. Public inputs are d, L, δ; the stopping budget also uses p, σ, ε.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) AdaptiveEntropicHeavyTail Convex **(Ours)** ($\star\star\star$) (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(\gamma + \sqrt{\frac{LH}{\varepsilon}\left[\gamma + \log\left(2 + \frac{LH}{\varepsilon}\right)\right]} + H\left(\frac{2^{38}\sigma}{\varepsilon}\right)^q\right)$		
(2) Clipped-SMD [181], Thm. 3.1 (known horizon); entropic specialization with global smoothness and pilot	$\mathcal{O}\left(\gamma + \frac{\gamma[LD + \sqrt{D}(G_0 + \sigma)]}{\varepsilon} + \gamma\left(\frac{\sigma\sqrt{D}}{\varepsilon}\right)^q\right)$	**(1)**: No p, σ in updates; smoothness term $\varepsilon^{-1/2}$ up to logs instead of ε^{-1}; removes G_0. For fixed $p < 2, \delta$, stochastic dimension factor $D^{q/2} \to D$.	**(1)**: Stationary IID rather than conditional moments; simplex rather than general Bregman geometry. Large 2^{38q} penalty: dimensional gain requires roughly $D^{q/2-1} \gg 2^{38q}$.
(3) Clipped-ASMD [181], Thm. 4.1; globally smooth special case $\nabla f(x_\star) = 0$	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \gamma\sqrt{\frac{LD}{\varepsilon}} + \gamma\left(\frac{\sigma\sqrt{D}}{\varepsilon}\right)^q\right)$	**(1)**: Scope: arbitrary constrained minimizers. Updates need neither p nor σ. On the overlap, deterministic term improves when $H[\gamma + \log(2 + LH/\varepsilon)] \ll \gamma^2 D$; no improved accuracy exponent.	**(1)**: At fixed d, δ, deterministic term loses $\sqrt{\log(L/\varepsilon)}$ as $L/\varepsilon \to \infty$; larger noise constant. Stationary IID and simplex restriction; stopping still needs p, σ, ε.

Abbr: $q = p/(p-1)$, $D = \log d$, $\gamma = 1 + \log(1/\delta)$, $H = D + \gamma$; $x_0 = (1/d, \ldots, 1/d)$ and $G_0 = \|\nabla f(x_0)\|_\infty$. Costs count individual stochastic-gradient replies, including the pilot in **(2)**; prior entropic bounds use entropy radius at most $\log d$. In **(4)**, L_2 and σ_2 are Euclidean smoothness and noise-moment constants, $R \geq \|x_0 - x_\star\|_2$, $\zeta_\star \geq \|\nabla f(x_\star)\|_2$, and $\ell = 1 + \log\big((2 + \sqrt{L_2 R^2/\varepsilon} + \sqrt{R\zeta_\star/\varepsilon} + (\sigma_2 R/\varepsilon)^q)/\delta\big)$. Prior-work big-$\mathcal{O}$ constants may depend on fixed p; the explicit 2^{38q} in **(1)** is retained because it is nonuniform as $p \downarrow 1$.

Table 2: AdaptiveHeavyTailSimplex (continued).

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(4) Prox-clipped-SSTM-shift [81], Thm. 2.7, $n = 1$; Euclidean regularity on $B_{5\sqrt{2}R}(x_\star)$	$\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{array}{c}\left(1+\sqrt{\frac{L_2R^2}{\varepsilon}}\right)\ell^2 \\ +\sqrt{\frac{R\zeta_\star}{\varepsilon}}\,\ell^{3/2}+\left(\frac{\sigma_2 R}{\varepsilon}\right)^q\ell\end{array}\right)$	**(1)**: Updates need neither p nor σ. Rates not comparable on the full setup. On a common regular extension, worst-case $L_2 = dL$, $\sigma_2 = \sqrt{d}\sigma$, $R \le \sqrt{2}$ give polynomial rather than logarithmic dimension factors.	**(1)**: Simplex only; **(4)** covers composite objectives and unbounded domains. Conversion gains need not hold when $L_2 = L$, $\sigma_2 = \sigma$; **(1)** retains its noise factor H.
(5) ASMD [141], Thm. 3.3, known-p, σ, T tuning; Derived bound (Markov)	$\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{array}{c}1+\delta^{-1/p}\sqrt{\frac{LD}{\varepsilon}} \\ +\delta^{-2/(p-1)}\left(\frac{\sigma\sqrt{D}}{\varepsilon}\right)^q\end{array}\right)$	**(1)**: Logarithmic instead of polynomial confidence cost for the shared probability target; updates need neither p nor σ. **(5)** derives from a bound on $\mathbb{E}[\mathrm{gap}^{p/2}]$.	**(1)**: Requires an ambient gradient and the simplex; **(5)** allows general composite Bregman problems.

Abbr: $q = p/(p-1)$, $D = \log d$, $\gamma = 1 + \log(1/\delta)$, $H = D + \gamma$; $x_0 = (1/d, \dots, 1/d)$ and $G_0 = \|\nabla f(x_0)\|_\infty$. Costs count individual stochastic-gradient replies, including the pilot in **(2)**; prior entropic bounds use entropy radius at most $\log d$. In **(4)**, L_2 and σ_2 are Euclidean smoothness and noise-moment constants, $R \ge \|x_0 - x_\star\|_2$, $\zeta_\star \ge \|\nabla f(x_\star)\|_2$, and $\ell = 1 + \log\big((2 + \sqrt{L_2R^2/\varepsilon} + \sqrt{R\zeta_\star/\varepsilon} + (\sigma_2 R/\varepsilon)^q)/\delta\big)$. Prior-work big-$\mathcal{O}$ constants may depend on fixed p; the explicit 2^{38q} in **(1)** is retained because it is nonuniform as $p \downarrow 1$.

Table 3: Nonconvex optimization with auxiliary similarity: AuxiliarySimilarityNonconvex.

On a finite-dimensional real inner-product space, $f \in C^2$, h is differentiable, $\nabla^2 f$ is ρ-Lipschitz, ∇h is L-Lipschitz, and $\nabla(f-h)$ is δ-Lipschitz. Assume $f(x_0) - f(x) \le \Delta$ for every x, $L, \Delta \ge 0$, and $\delta, \rho, \varepsilon > 0$. Exact oracles return $(f, \nabla f)$ and $(h, \nabla h)$. The target is a returned point $\hat{x}$ with $\|\nabla f(\hat{x})\| \le \varepsilon$ almost surely; **(1)** is deterministic.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) AuxiliarySimilarityAcceleration **(Ours)** ($\star\star\star$) (review)	$N_f = \mathcal{O}((1+A)\sqrt{1+\delta/s}\,\ell_\delta \ell_L)$; $N_h = \mathcal{O}(N_f(1+L/\delta)$ $\cdot[\ell_L + \log(1+A)])$		
(2) AuxMOM [31], Sec. 4.2, noiseless	$N_f = \mathcal{O}(1+\delta\Delta/\varepsilon^2)$; $N_h = \mathcal{O}((1+L/\delta)N_f)$. Random output: $\mathbb{E}\|\nabla f(\hat{x})\|^2 \le \varepsilon^2$.	**(1)**: fewer f calls when $A \ge 1$ and $\delta/s \gg (\ell_\delta \ell_L)^2$; gain $\sqrt{\delta/s}/(\ell_\delta \ell_L)$. For $\rho = \Delta = \delta = 1$, $L = \varepsilon^{-1/2}$: $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-7/4}\log^2(1/\varepsilon))$ versus $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-2})$ f calls.	**(1)** needs Hessian Lipschitzness and ρ, Δ; extra helper logarithms and larger constants. Worse f cost when $\delta \lesssim s$.
(3) Restarted AGD [132], Thm. 1	$N_f = \mathcal{O}(1+\sqrt{L+\delta}\,\rho^{1/4}\Delta\varepsilon^{-7/4})$, $N_h = 0$; $\varepsilon \lesssim (L+\delta)^2/\rho$.	**(1)**: for $A \ge 1$, $\delta \ge s$, replaces $\sqrt{L+\delta}$ by $\sqrt{\delta}\,\ell_\delta \ell_L$; gains when $L \gg \delta(\ell_\delta \ell_L)^2$. The example in **(2)** also improves **(3)**'s $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-2})$ f calls.	**(1)** needs helper calls and Δ; loses logarithmic factors when $L \lesssim \delta$.

Abbr: N_f, N_h: respective total oracle calls (gradient calls for prior work); $s = \sqrt{\rho\varepsilon}$, $A = \Delta\sqrt{\rho}\,\varepsilon^{-3/2}$, $\ell_\delta = \log(2+\delta/s)$, $\ell_L = \log(2+(L+\delta)/s)$. In the N_h formulas, N_f denotes its displayed upper-bound expression. $\lesssim$ hides universal constants; $\gg$ denotes asymptotic separation.

Table 4: Comparison-oracle stationarity under ComparisonHessianLipschitz.

On a finite-dimensional real inner-product space, $f \in C^2$ has L-Lipschitz gradient and ρ-Lipschitz Hessian, with $f(x_0) - f(x) \le \Delta$ for every x; $L, \rho, \varepsilon > 0$, $\Delta \ge 0$. Queries return exact bits $[f(x) \le f(y)]$. The target is a list containing x with $\|\nabla f(x)\| \le \varepsilon$ on every run. The setup restricts list entries to x_0 or queried points, not to a particular method.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) ComparisonTrustAccelerated **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\big((1+\Delta\sqrt{\rho}\,\varepsilon^{-3/2}) \cdot (n+1)(1+q)\ell^3\big)$ comparisons		
(2) [227, Thm. 8, v1]	$\mathcal{O}\big((1+\Delta\sqrt{\rho}\,\varepsilon^{-3/2}) \cdot n^2\,\mathrm{polylog}(n, L, \rho, \varepsilon^{-1})\big)$ comparisons; success $2/3$.	**(1)**: leading cost saves $n/(1+q)$ up to logs when $n \gg (1+q)\ell^3$; deterministic instead of success $2/3$.	**(1)** loses second-order accuracy $\lambda_{\min}(\nabla^2 f) \ge -\sqrt{\rho\varepsilon}$; polynomial $L^{1/2}$ dependence, worse when $n \lesssim q$.
(3) [246, Thm. 4, v1]	$\mathcal{O}\big((1+L\Delta/\varepsilon^2)n\log(2+n)\big)$ comparisons; selected point succeeds with probability $2/3$.	**(1)**: leading cost saves q up to logs for $\varepsilon \ll L^2/\rho$. At $L=\rho=\Delta=1$, $n=\varepsilon^{-1/2}$: $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-9/4}\log^3(1/\varepsilon))$ versus $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-5/2}\log(1/\varepsilon))$.	**(1)** needs Lipschitz Hessian and guarantees a containing list, not a selected stationary point.

Abbr: $n = \dim E$, $q = (L^2/(\rho\varepsilon))^{1/4}$, $\ell = \log(2 + n + L/\sqrt{\rho\varepsilon} + \sqrt{L\Delta}/\varepsilon)$. polylog denotes the logarithmic factors suppressed in the cited bound. Leading-cost comparisons assume $\Delta\sqrt{\rho}\,\varepsilon^{-3/2} \gtrsim 1$; the numerical regime is as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$.

Table 5: Composite optimization with parameter-free updates: CompositeGeneralizedParameterFree.

Minimize $F = f + \psi$ over convex Q in a real Hilbert space. The function f is convex with continuous gradient and satisfies $\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\| \le (L_0 + L_1 M)\|x - y\|$ whenever $\|\nabla f(z)\| \le M$ on $[x, y]$; ψ is finite-valued and convex on Q. Exact gradients and minimizers of $\psi(u) + \langle v, u - z\rangle + \|u - z\|^2/(2a)$ over $u \in Q$ are available for every $a > 0, z, v$. There is a minimizer $x_\star \in Q$ with $x_0 \in Q$, $\|x_0 - x_\star\| \le R$, $F(x_0) - F(x_\star) \le \Delta$, and $\|\nabla f(x_\star)\| \le G$. Here $L_0, R, \Delta, h_0, \varepsilon > 0$ and $L_1, G \ge 0$. Target: deterministic $\widehat{x} \in Q$ with $F(\widehat{x}) - F(x_\star) \le \varepsilon$. The constructed method receives only (x_0, h_0); its stopping budget depends on all setup parameters.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) BacktrackingComposite Generalized **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{array}{l}\sqrt{L_\star R^2/\varepsilon} \\ \quad + (1+s)\log\left(2 + s + \dfrac{1}{L_\star h_0} + \dfrac{L_1^2\Delta}{L_\star}\right) \\ \quad + \log(2 + L_\star h_0 + L_1^2\Delta h_0)\end{array}\right)$ Gradient and prox calls; all rejected trials included.		
(2) Accelerated proximal gradient [128, Thm. B.1] Twice-differentiable f on $\mathbb{R}^d$, $\|\nabla^2 f\| \le L_0 + L_1\|\nabla f\|$; proper closed convex proximable $h = \psi + \iota_Q$.	$\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{array}{l}\sqrt{L_\star R^2/\varepsilon} \\ \quad + \log\left(2 + \dfrac{\Delta}{L_\star R^2}\right) \\ \quad + (1+s)\log(2 + \Delta/\varepsilon)\end{array}\right)$ Gradient and prox calls; $\Gamma_0 = 2\Delta/R^2$.	**(1)**: Updates need no L_0, L_1, G, R, Δ; matched leading rate. Hilbert-space, first-order smoothness scope. With $h_0 \asymp L_\star^{-1}$, logarithmic overhead improves for $L_1 > 0$, $\varepsilon \ll \min\{L_\star/L_1^2, \Delta/(1+s)\}$.	**(1)**: Roughly threefold call overhead per accepted step; h_0-mismatch logs. For $h_0 \asymp L_\star^{-1}$, $\varepsilon \gtrsim L_\star/L_1^2$ can lose a logarithm. Finite-valued ψ rather than general extended-valued h.
(3) Accelerated GRAAL [19, Cor. 3] Special case: $Q = \mathbb{R}^d$, $\psi = 0$, $G = 0$; C^2 Hessian condition as in **(2)**.	$\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{array}{l}1 + \sqrt{L_0 R^2/\varepsilon} + s^3 \\ \quad + (1+s^2)\log\dfrac{1}{\eta_0 L_0}\end{array}\right)$ Gradient and value calls; requires $\eta_0 L_0 e^s \le 1$.	**(1)**: Composite scope; no values (prox is closed form here); arbitrary h_0. On the overlap, $s \gg 1$, $h_0 \asymp L_0^{-1}$, $\eta_0 = e^{-s}/L_0$, $L_1^2\Delta/L_0 \lesssim e^s$: $\mathcal{O}(1 + \sqrt{L_0 R^2/\varepsilon} + s^2)$ versus $\mathcal{O}(1 + \sqrt{L_0 R^2/\varepsilon} + s^3)$; total gain when $\varepsilon \gg L_0 R^2/s^6$.	**(1)**: Constant-factor backtracking overhead.
(4) AC-FGM [133, Cor. 1 and subsequent remark] Initial-search variant; closed convex $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, closed convex ψ; local Lipschitz bound $\bar{L}$ covering search points and trials.	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \sqrt{\bar{L}R^2/\varepsilon} + \log_+\dfrac{\bar{L}}{\ell}\right)$ Value, gradient and prox calls, including initialization; initial curvature estimate $0 < \ell \le \bar{L}$.	**(1)**: No function values; explicit polynomial parameter dependence. Rates are not comparable: no polynomial bound on $\bar{L}$ in L_0, L_1, G, R, Δ is established here.	**(1)**: Repeated backtracking instead of initial search only.

Abbr: $L_\star = L_0 + L_1 G$; $s = L_1 R$; $\log_+ t = \max\{0, \log t\}$. η_0 is the initial step in **(3)**; $\bar{L}$ bounds the local Lipschitz estimates and initial trials in **(4)**, and ℓ is its initial curvature estimate. ι_Q is 0 on Q and $+\infty$ outside. Costs count individual exact oracle calls; $\asymp$ and $\lesssim$ suppress universal factors.

Table 6: Accelerated composite optimization under generalized smoothness: CompositeGeneralizedSmooth.

Minimize $F = f + \psi$ over convex Q in a real Hilbert space. The function f is convex and continuously differentiable on the whole space, with $\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\| \le (L_0 + L_1 M)\|x - y\|$ whenever $\|\nabla f\| \le M$ on $[x, y]$; ψ is convex on Q, and exact gradient and proximal queries are available. Here $L_0, R, \Delta, \varepsilon > 0$, $L_1, G \ge 0$, $x_0 \in Q$, and some minimizer $x_\star \in Q$ satisfies $\|x_0 - x_\star\| \le R$, $F(x_0) - F(x_\star) \le \Delta$, and $\|\nabla f(x_\star)\| \le G$. Target: a feasible output x with $F(x) - F(x_\star) \le \varepsilon$, deterministically.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) CompositeGeneralized Accelerated **(Ours)** ($\star\star\star$) (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{array}{c}\sqrt{\frac{(L_0 + L_1 G)R^2}{\varepsilon}} \\ + (1 + L_1 R)\log\left(2 + (1 + L_1 R)\sqrt{\frac{\Delta}{L_0 R^2}}\right)\end{array}\right)$ gradient/prox calls ($3K$ total).		
(2) [214], Thm. 4.3. Special case: $Q = \mathbb{R}^d$, $\psi = 0$, $G = 0$; twice differentiable f; $R = \|x_0 - x_\star\|$.	$\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{array}{c}1 + \sqrt{\frac{L_0 R^2}{\varepsilon}} \\ + L_1 R \log_+\left(\min\left\{\frac{L_1^2 \Delta}{L_0}, \frac{\Delta}{\varepsilon}\right\}\right) \\ + \log_+ \frac{\Delta}{L_0 R^2}\end{array}\right)$ gradient calls; $\bar{R} = R$, $\Gamma_0 = 2\Delta/R^2$.	**(1)**: Scope extends acceleration to constrained/composite objectives with $\nabla f(x_\star) \ne 0$. Matches the leading rate on the overlap, up to logarithmic terms.	**(1)**: Larger logarithmic term can occur when $L_1 > 0$, $\varepsilon > L_0/L_1^2$; worse leading constant on the overlap.
(3) AC-FGM [134], Cor. 1 and local-smoothness remark. Finite-dimensional, closed Q and closed convex ψ.	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{\bar{L}(R^2 + d_1^2)}{\varepsilon}}\right)$ gradient, value and prox calls in total; arbitrary initial $\eta_1 > 0$, fixed $\beta = 1/10$.	**(1)**: No function values; explicit accelerated composite bound in setup parameters. Rates are not comparable through an unspecified trajectory curvature $\bar{L}$.	**(1)**: Needs L_0, L_1, R, Δ for updates and G for its stopping budget; **(3)** has parameter-free updates.

Abbr: Each gradient, value or prox evaluation counts as one call. A prox query minimizes $\|u - z\|^2/(2a) + \langle v, u - z\rangle + \psi(u)$ over $u \in Q$, $a > 0$. $\log_+ t = \max\{0, \log t\}$ for $t > 0$, with $\log_+ 0 = 0$. In **(3)**, $z_0 = x_0$, $d_1 = \|z_1 - z_0\|$, and $\bar{L} = \sup_{t \ge 1} \max\{[4(1-\beta)\eta_1]^{-1}, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_t\}$; ℓ_t are the curvature estimates in Eqs. (2.12)–(2.13), distinct from L_0, L_1.

Table 7: Constrained generalized monotone variational inequalities: ConstrainedGeneralizedMonotone.

In a real inner-product space E, X is convex with an exact projection oracle. The continuous, monotone operator $F : E \to E$ satisfies $\|F(x) - F(y)\| \le (L_0 + L_1 \sup_{z\in[x,y]} \|F(z)\|)\|x - y\|$ for all $x, y \in E$. Here $L_0, R, \varepsilon > 0$, $L_1, G, G_0 \ge 0$, $x_0 \in X$, and there is a VI solution $x^\star \in X$ with $\|x_0 - x^\star\| \le R$, $\|F(x^\star)\| \le G$, and $\|F(x_0)\| \le G_0$. Target: $x \in X$ and some $\xi \in N_X(x)$ with $\|F(x) + \xi\| \le \varepsilon$, using exact F and projection calls.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) ConstrainedGeneralized Monotone **(Ours)** ($\star\star\star$) (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{array}{c}\frac{(L_0 + L_1 G)(1 + L_1 R)R}{\varepsilon} \\ + (1 + L_1 R)^2 \ell\end{array}\right)$ total calls; two F calls and one projection per iteration.		
(2) PF-NE-EG [204], App. A, Prop. 2, Lemma 10. Locally Lipschitz F on closed convex $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$.	Derived bound: $\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{R^2}{\eta_*^2 \varepsilon^2} + \log \frac{\eta_0}{\eta_*}\right)$ total F/projection calls, including rejected trials; implicit $\eta_* > 0$, fixed backtracking factors.	**(1)**: ε^{-1} instead of ε^{-2} as $\varepsilon \to 0$ with other parameters fixed; explicit polynomial growth constants, with logarithmic G_0 dependence.	**(1)** needs known L_0, L_1 and global generalized smoothness and monotonicity; **(2)** needs only local Lipschitz continuity and monotonicity on X.
(3) Composite-EAG [26], Thm. 3.2. Special case: globally L-Lipschitz F on $\mathbb{R}^d$, $A = N_X$.	$\mathcal{O}(1 + LR/\varepsilon)$ total F/projection calls.	**(1)**: Scope extends to operators without a finite global Lipschitz constant. Matches known rate when $L_1 = 0$ and $L = L_0$.	**(1)** can lose factors $1 + L_1 R$ and logarithmic overhead for nearly Lipschitz operators; does not cover general proximal inclusions or negative comonotonicity covered by **(3)**.
(4) EG [42], Thm. 3.6. Unconstrained special case: $X = E = \mathbb{R}^d$, $G = 0$.	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{L_0^2 R^2}{\varepsilon^2} + L_1^2 R^2\right)$ F calls.	**(1)**: ε^{-1} instead of ε^{-2} for fixed remaining parameters and small ε; last instead of best iterate. Scope extends to constraints and $F(x^\star) \neq 0$.	**(1)** can lose a logarithmic factor when the $L_1^2 R^2$ term dominates **(4)**.
(5) Repository anchored extragradient [158]. Unconstrained special case: $X = E$, $G = 0$.	$\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{array}{c}1 + \frac{L_0 R}{\varepsilon} \\ + (1 + L_1 R)\log\left(1 + \frac{(L_0 + L_1 G_0)R}{\varepsilon}\right)\end{array}\right)$ F calls.	**(1)**: Scope extends to constrained VIs with $F(x^\star) \neq 0$.	**(1)** loses a factor $1 + L_1 R$ in the leading term on $X = E$, and has larger constants.

Abbr: $N_X(x) = \{\xi : \langle \xi, w - x\rangle \le 0 \ \forall w \in X\}$; $[x, y]$ is the line segment; $\ell = 1 + \log(1 + (L_0 + L_1 G_0)R/\varepsilon)$. L is a global Lipschitz constant. η_0 is the initial step and $\eta_* = \inf_t \eta_t > 0$ the accepted-step infimum for **(2)**. Total calls sum operator evaluations and projections; projection is the identity when $X = E$.

Table 8: Similar strongly quasar-convex finite sums: ContinuizedSimilarQuasar.

On a real Hilbert space, $F = n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^n f_i$, $n \geq 1$, has L-Lipschitz gradient; each f_i has a gradient. A global minimizer $x_\star$ satisfies $F(x_0) - F(x_\star) \leq \Delta$ and $F(x_\star) \geq F(x) + \gamma^{-1}\langle \nabla F(x), x_\star - x\rangle + \frac{\mu}{2}\|x - x_\star\|^2$. For all x, y, $n^{-1}\sum_i \|[\nabla f_i - \nabla F](x) - [\nabla f_i - \nabla F](y)\|^2 \leq \delta^2\|x - y\|^2$. Here $0 < \mu \leq L$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, $\delta, \Delta \geq 0$, and $\varepsilon > 0$. The oracle returns exact component gradients; interpolation is not required. Target: $\int [F(X(\omega)) - F(x_\star)]\, dP(\omega) \leq \varepsilon$, with integrable gap and P the execution law. Our constructions have fixed budgets and expected final-iterate accuracy; the setup imposes no algorithm restriction.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) MovementSimilarQuasar **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(n + \left[n + \frac{\sqrt{\kappa}}{\gamma} + \frac{(n\delta/\mu)^{2/3}}{\gamma^{4/3}}\right]\ell_\gamma\right)$ component gradients.		
(2) ContinuizedSimilarQuasar **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(\left[n + \frac{\sqrt{\kappa}}{\gamma} + \frac{\delta^2}{\gamma^3\mu^2}\right](1 + \ell_\gamma)\right)$ component gradients.		
(3) PAGE [137], Thm. 5, Cor. 6. Derived bound on $\mathbb{R}^d$ via PL constant $\gamma^2\mu$.	$\mathcal{O}\left(n + \left[n + \frac{\sqrt{n}\sqrt{L^2+\delta^2}}{\gamma^2\mu}\right]\ell_1\right)$ expected component gradients.	**(1)**: no worse polynomial dependence; extra accuracy logarithm. For $\gamma = 1$, $n = \kappa$, $\varepsilon \leq \Delta$: $\delta = L$ gives $\mathcal{O}(\kappa^{4/3}\ell_1)$ instead of $\mathcal{O}(\kappa^{3/2}\ell_1)$; $\delta = 0$ gives $\mathcal{O}(\kappa\ell_1)$ for **(1)**, **(2)**. Fixed budgets instead of expected counts.	**(1)**, **(2)** require quasar structure; **(3)** only PL. Extra $\log(1/\gamma)$. At $\gamma = 1$, $n = \kappa$, $\delta = L$, $\varepsilon \leq \Delta$, **(2)** costs $\mathcal{O}(\kappa^2\ell_1)$.
(4) Full-batch acceleration [95], Thm. 1; $\mathbb{R}^d$.	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{n\sqrt{\kappa}}{\gamma}[1 + \log(\kappa/\gamma)](1 + \ell_\gamma)\right)$ component values and gradients; deterministic accuracy.	**(1)**, **(2)**: gradients only. At $\delta = 0$, save a factor $\asymp \min(n, \sqrt{\kappa}/\gamma)[1 + \log(\kappa/\gamma)]$ for $\varepsilon \leq \Delta$. **(2)** retains this gain if $\delta^2 \lesssim \gamma^3\mu^2(n + \sqrt{\kappa}/\gamma)$.	**(1)**, **(2)** give expected accuracy. **(1)** loses for $\delta \gg \sqrt{n\gamma}\,\mu^{1/4}L^{3/4}[1 + \log(\kappa/\gamma)]^{3/2}$; **(2)** can also lose at large δ.
(5) QASVRG [68], Cor. 3.6, Option I. Special case: $\mathbb{R}^d$, interpolation, $L_{\max}$-smooth components.	$\mathcal{O}\Big(\Big[n + \min\Big\{\frac{\kappa_{\max}}{\gamma^2}, \frac{n\sqrt{\kappa_{\max}}}{\gamma}\Big\} \cdot [1 + \log(1/\gamma)] \cdot [1 + \log(\kappa_{\max}/\gamma)]\Big](1 + \ell_\gamma)\Big)$ component values and gradients.	**(1)**, **(2)**: scope extends to non-interpolating sums; gradients only on the overlap. For **(2)**, a rate gain requires controlling $\delta^2/(\gamma^3\mu^2)$ relative to $n + \min\{\kappa_{\max}/\gamma^2, n\sqrt{\kappa_{\max}}/\gamma\}$.	Small similarity is needed for a rate gain. On the overlap, **(2)** has quadratic $\kappa_{\max}$ dependence at $\delta = L_{\max}$; **(5)** has at most linear dependence, apart from logarithms.
(6) AccSVRS [139], §3.3, App. E. Special case: $\mathbb{R}^d$, $\gamma = 1$, strongly convex F, L-smooth f_1, $\mu \leq \delta \leq L$.	$\mathcal{O}\Big(\Big[n + n^{3/4}\left(\sqrt{\delta/\mu} + \sqrt{L/\delta}\right) + \sqrt{n\kappa}\Big]\Big[1 + \log\frac{\sqrt{n}\delta + L}{\mu}\Big](1 + \ell_1)\Big)$ expected component gradients, including inner solves.	**(1)**: at $\delta = \mu$, $n \leq \sqrt{\kappa}$, $\varepsilon \leq \Delta$, $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\kappa}\ell_1)$ instead of $\mathcal{O}(n^{3/4}\sqrt{\kappa}[1 + \log\kappa]\ell_1)$. Scope: **(1)**, **(2)** allow nonconvex F, $\delta \notin [\mu, L]$, and no L-smoothness requirement on f_1.	**(1)** has worse polynomial cost at $\delta = L$, $\kappa > \sqrt{n}$: factor $(\kappa^2/n)^{1/12}$, excluding logarithms. Similarity dependence is not optimal on this convex overlap.

Abbr: $\kappa = L/\mu$; $\kappa_{\max} = L_{\max}/\mu$; $L_{\max}$ is a common component smoothness bound. $\ell_a = \log(1 + \Delta/(a\varepsilon))$ for $a \in \{1, \gamma\}$. PL means $\|\nabla F(x)\|^2 \geq 2\gamma^2\mu[F(x) - F(x_\star)]$. Costs count every component query, including full-gradient refreshes, batches, searches, and inner solves. $\lesssim$ suppresses universal constants.

Table 9: Finite-variance generalized-smooth convex optimization: FiniteVarianceGeneralizedSmoothAndConvex.

Convex f on a separable real Hilbert space has differentiable gradient and $\|\nabla^2 f(x)\| \le L_0 + L_1\|\nabla f(x)\|$. A minimizer $x^\star$ satisfies $\|x_0 - x^\star\| \le R$ and $f(x_0) - f(x^\star) \le \Delta$. IID samples with marginal p and joint law P feed a jointly measurable oracle g, with integrable $g(x,\cdot)$ and squared error, $\int g(x,\omega)\,dp = \nabla f(x)$ and $\int \|g(x,\omega) - \nabla f(x)\|^2\,dp \le \sigma^2$. Known parameters satisfy $L_0, R, \Delta, \varepsilon > 0$, $L_1, \sigma \ge 0$, $0 < \delta < 1$. Target: measurable final output $\hat{x}$ with $P\{f(\hat{x}) - f(x^\star) > \varepsilon\} \le \delta$. Costs count all stochastic-gradient samples, including pilots, corrections, and padding.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) AdaptiveRobustGeneralizedAcceleratedConvex **(Ours)** ($\star\star\star$) (review)	$\mathcal{O}\Big((W+v\ell)\Big[1+\frac{\log(eM/\delta)}{\log(e+W\sqrt{M}/(v^2\ell^2))}\Big] + v^2\ell + v\ell\log(eM/\delta)\Big)$. For fixed $c>0$, $\delta \ge (e+W)^{-c}$ implies $\mathcal{O}_c(W+v^2\ell)$.		
(2) FiniteVarianceGeneralizedAcceleratedConvex **(Ours)** ($\star\star$) (review)	$\mathcal{O}\Big((W+v^2\ell^2)\log\frac{e(1+T+q+\lambda)}{\delta}\Big)$		
(3) RCSAG [153], Thm. 9, App. C; derived bound on $\mathbb{R}^d$, $p=2$, constant variance, $\Delta > \varepsilon$.	$\mathcal{O}\Big((\lambda+\sqrt{T}+qJ+v^2)\log\frac{e(1+\lambda)}{\delta}\Big)$	**(1)**: removes the ℓ factor on $\sqrt{T}$ when $\delta \ge (e+W)^{-c}$ and optimization dominates. **(1)**, **(2)**: for $T=1$, $v\le 1$, $\Delta = 4\varepsilon$, $\delta = 1/2$, $q\to\infty$, cost $\mathcal{O}(q)$ becomes $\mathcal{O}(q^{2/3})$, $\mathcal{O}(q^{2/3}\log q)$, respectively. Extend to separable Hilbert spaces.	**(1)**, **(2)**: require a Hessian bound and finite variance; **(3)** permits first-order local smoothness, $p<2$, gradient/gap-dependent noise. **(1)**: worse for $T=v=1$, $q=0$, $\Delta = 2\varepsilon$, $\delta\to 0$: $\mathcal{O}(\ell^2)$ versus $\mathcal{O}(\ell)$. **(2)**: extra noise and optimization logarithms.
(4) Clipped accelerated mirror descent [182], Thm. 4.1; special case $L_1 = 0$, $p=2$, Euclidean geometry.	$\mathcal{O}\Big(1+(\sqrt{T}+v^2)\ell\Big)$	**(1)**: $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{T})$ versus $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{T}\log T)$ for $T\to\infty$, $\delta = T^{-1}$, $v^2\ell \le \sqrt{T}$, using $\Delta \le L_0R^2/2$. No gain at constant δ. **(1)**, **(2)** extend to $L_1 > 0$.	**(1)**, **(2)** need Δ and differentiable gradients; **(4)** allows $p<2$ and mirror geometries. **(1)** has the tiny-δ loss shown against **(3)**.
(5) [215], Thm. 4.3; special case $\sigma = 0$, exact gradients, $\Delta > \varepsilon$.	$\mathcal{O}\Big(1+\sqrt{T}+q\big[1+\log_+\min\{\frac{L_1^2\Delta}{L_0}, \frac{\Delta}{\varepsilon}\}\big] + \log_+\frac{\Delta}{L_0R^2}\Big)$	**(2)**: at $T=1$, $\Delta = 4\varepsilon$, $\delta = 1/2$, $q\to\infty$, $\mathcal{O}(q^{2/3}\log q)$ versus $\mathcal{O}(q)$. **(1)**, **(2)** extend to finite-variance stochastic gradients.	**(2)** pays $\log(e(1+T+q+\lambda)/\delta)$ on $\sqrt{T}$ when it dominates, even with exact gradients.
(6) FiniteVarianceGeneralizedRadiusAcceleratedConvex **(Ours)** ($\star\star\star$) (review)	$\mathcal{O}\Big((1+q+\sqrt{T}+v^2)H^3\Big)$, $H = \log\frac{e(2+T+q+v)}{\delta}$.		
(7) Clip-SSTM [61], Thm. 2, Remark 1; derived bound on $\mathbb{R}^d$, $L_1 > 0$, $\alpha = 2$, supplied gap.	$\mathcal{O}\Big(\big((1+q)[D+\log((1+q)H_D)] + \sqrt{T}+v^2\big)H_D\Big)$, $D = \log(2+\Delta/(L_0R^2))$, $H_D = \log\frac{e(2+T+q+v+D)}{\delta}$.	**(6)**: curvature $qD \to q$ up to logs; gain when large D dominates logarithmic overhead and unchanged terms. Updates and budget need no Δ.	**(6)**: extra logarithmic overhead and larger leading constant; covers only $\alpha = 2$, whereas **(7)** allows $1 < \alpha < 2$.
(8) Clip-SSTM [61], Thm. 2, Remark 2; derived radius-only bound on $\mathbb{R}^d$, $L_1 > 0$, $\alpha = 2$.	$\mathcal{O}\Big(\big((1+q)[q+\log((1+q)H)] + \sqrt{T}+v^2\big)H\Big)$.	**(6)**: curvature $q^2 \to q$ up to logs. For $T=v=1$, $\delta = 1/2$, $q\to\infty$: $\mathcal{O}(q\log^3 q)$ versus $\mathcal{O}(q^2\log q)$. No total gain implied when unchanged terms dominate.	**(6)**: extra logarithmic overhead and larger leading constant; excludes the $\alpha < 2$ noise allowed by **(8)**.
(9) Earlier repository bound [159]; comparison with **(2)** on the identical setup.	$\mathcal{O}\Big((W+v^2\ell^2)\log\frac{e(1+T+q+\lambda)}{\delta}\Big)$	**(6)**: no Δ needed. For $\lambda \asymp q\to\infty$, $T=v=1$, $\delta = 1/2$, admissible cosh instances give $\mathcal{O}(q\log^3 q)$ versus $\mathcal{O}(q^{4/3}\log q)$.	**(6)**: worse for small known gaps; e.g. fixed λ, T, v, δ gives $\mathcal{O}(q\log^3 q)$ versus $\mathcal{O}(q^{2/3}\log q)$. Extra optimization/noise logarithms and larger leading constant.
(10) Clipped SGD [69], Thm. 1; derived bound on $\mathbb{R}^d$, sub-Gaussian noise: $\mathbb{E}\exp(\|g-\nabla f\|^2/\sigma^2) \le e$.	$\mathcal{O}\big((1+T+q^2)H + v^2H^3\big)$ stochastic-gradient calls, including double sampling.	**(6)**: $T\to\sqrt{T}$ and $q^2\to q$ up to logs on the sub-Gaussian overlap; extends to finite variance. Unchanged noise can mask a total gain.	**(6)**: extra logarithmic factors on optimization terms.
(11) [199], Thm. 3.7; special case $\sigma = 0$, $L_1 > 0$, $\mathbb{R}^d$.	$\mathcal{O}\Big(\sqrt{T}+(1+q)\log(2+q)\Big)$ exact-gradient calls, including line-search queries.	**(6)**: extends the radius-only guarantee to finite-variance stochastic gradients; retains linear curvature dependence up to logarithms.	**(6)**: at $\sigma = 0$, pays H^3 on $\sqrt{T}$ and $(1+q)$, versus no logarithm and $\log(2+q)$, respectively.

Abbr: $T = L_0R^2/\varepsilon$, $q = L_1R$, $v = \sigma R/\varepsilon$, $\ell = \log(e/\delta)$, $\lambda = 1+\log(1+\Delta/\varepsilon)$, $W = 1+\sqrt{T}+\lambda+(q\lambda)^{2/3}$, $M = 1+T+q+\lambda+v^2\ell^2$; $J = 1+\min\{\log(\Delta/\varepsilon), \log_+(L_1^2\Delta/L_0)\}$ in **(3)**. $\log_+ u = \max\{0, \log u\}$, with $\log_+ 0 = 0$. The logarithmic fraction in **(1)** is zero at $v = 0$. $c > 0$ is fixed; constants in $\mathcal{O}_c$ may depend on c. p in prior-work rows denotes the noise moment order.

Table 10: High-probability optimization under GapSmoothStrongGrowth.

Convex differentiable f on a separable real Hilbert space with its Borel σ-algebra; a minimizer $x^\star$ satisfies $\|x_0 - x^\star\| \le R$ and $f(x_0) - f(x^\star) \le \Delta$. For all x, y with $(2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6})\sqrt{H_1}\|y-x\| \le 1$, $f(y) \le f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y-x\rangle + (H_0 + H_1[f(x)-f(x^\star)])\|y-x\|^2$. The jointly measurable oracle is integrable, unbiased, and has integrable squared error satisfying $\int \|g(x,\omega) - \nabla f(x)\|^2 \, dp(\omega) \le (\rho-1)\|\nabla f(x)\|^2$; samples are IID with marginal probability measure p and joint law P. Parameters satisfy $H_0, H_1 \ge 0$, $R, \Delta > 0$, $\rho \ge 1$, $0 < \varepsilon \le \Delta$, $0 < \delta < 1$. Target: a measurable output $\widehat{x}$ with $P(f(\widehat{x}) - f(x^\star) > \varepsilon) \le \delta$.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) GapSmoothStrongGrowthHigh Probability **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\big(\rho\ell_\delta \left[(1+R\sqrt{H_1})\ell_\Delta + R\sqrt{H_0/\varepsilon}\right]\big)$		
(2) RCSAG [154, Thm. 9, v1]	$\mathcal{O}\Big(\ell_S \Big[S + R\sqrt{H_0/\varepsilon} + R\sqrt{H_1}J_H + (\rho-1)R^2(H_0/\varepsilon + H_1 J_H)\Big]\Big)$. $\mathbb{R}^d$; pure second-moment specialization; $Q = B(x_0, R)$.	**(1)**: at fixed $\rho = 2$, positive $H_0, H_1, R, \Delta, \delta$, $\varepsilon \to 0$: $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-1/2})$ instead of $\mathcal{O}(\ell_S \varepsilon^{-1})$. More generally, stochastic-term gain when $R\sqrt{H_0/\varepsilon} \gg \rho\ell_\delta/(\rho-1)$. H_1-term gain if $(\rho-1)R\sqrt{H_1}J_H \gg \rho\ell_\delta\ell_\Delta$.	**(1)** excludes additive/gap noise, moments below two, and martingale sampling. Near $\rho = 1$, its curvature term uses ℓ_Δ instead of J_H; no uniform dominance.
(3) [60, App. I, Thm. 7, v1]	$\mathcal{O}(\rho[1 + L_1 R + (1 + L_0 R^2/\varepsilon)^3]\,\mathrm{polylog}(Z))$. $\mathbb{R}^d$, $L_0, L_1 > 0$; convex (L_0, L_1)-smooth components, almost-sure strong growth; supplied gap certificate Δ.	**(1)**: $\varepsilon^{-3} \to \varepsilon^{-1/2}$ at fixed positive parameters on the overlap $H_0 \asymp L_0$, $H_1 \asymp L_1^2$. Scope: second moments replace almost-sure strong growth; no componentwise regularity.	
(4) Accelerated SGD [224, Thm. 2]	$\mathcal{O}(1 + \rho\sqrt{T})$. $H_1 = 0$, $\mathbb{R}^d$; expected target $\mathbb{E}[f(\widehat{x}) - f(x^\star)] \le \varepsilon$.	**(1)**: scope extends to $H_1 > 0$; matches known $\rho\sqrt{T}$ accuracy dependence at $H_1 = 0$, with logarithmic confidence overhead.	**(1)** uses batches $\lceil\rho\rceil$; its high-probability budget includes ℓ_δ and ℓ_Δ, absent from this expected guarantee.
(5) Derived bound: Markov conversion of [224, Thm. 2]	$\mathcal{O}(1 + \rho\sqrt{T/\delta})$. $H_1 = 0$, $\mathbb{R}^d$; high-probability target.	**(1)**: if $\Delta \le LR^2$ and $T \ge 1$, costs $\mathcal{O}(\rho\sqrt{T}\,\ell_\delta)$ instead; confidence gain $1/(\sqrt{\delta}\,\ell_\delta)$.	**(1)** uses batches $\lceil\rho\rceil$.
(6) Derived bound: AGNES + proxBoost [89, Thm. 15, v3][48, Thm. 7]	$\mathcal{O}\Big(\rho(1+\sqrt{T})\ell_T[1 + \log(\ell_T/\delta)]\Big)$. $H_1 = 0$, $\mathbb{R}^d$; high-probability target.	**(1)**: for $\Delta \le LR^2$, $T \ge 1$, removes the ℓ_T overhead, up to nested confidence logarithms. Scope extends to $H_1 > 0$; smooth-case acceleration is already known.	**(1)** uses batches $\lceil\rho\rceil$.

Abbr: Costs count individual stochastic-gradient samples, including batches and trials. $\ell_\delta = 1 + \log(1/\delta)$, $\ell_\Delta = \log(1 + \Delta/\varepsilon)$, $S = \lceil \log_2(\Delta/\varepsilon)\rceil$, $\ell_S = 1 + \log((1+S)/\delta)$. For $H_0, H_1 > 0$, $J_H = \min\{S, \lceil\max\{0, \log_2(H_1\Delta/H_0)\}\rceil\}$; $J_H = 0$ if $H_1 = 0$ and $J_H = S$ if $H_0 = 0 < H_1$. $L = 2H_0$, $T = LR^2/\varepsilon$, $\ell_T = 1 + \log(1+T)$. L_0, L_1 are component generalized-smoothness constants: $\|\nabla f_\omega(y) - \nabla f_\omega(x)\| \le (L_0 + L_1\|\nabla f_\omega(x)\|)\|y - x\|$ for $\|y - x\| \le 1/L_1$; almost-sure strong growth means $\|\nabla f_\omega(x)\|^2 \le \rho\|\nabla f(x)\|^2$. $Z = 2 + L_1 R + L_1^2\Delta/L_0 + L_0 R^2/\varepsilon + 1/\delta$; $\mathrm{polylog}(Z)$ denotes the cited bound's unspecified fixed polynomial in $1 + \log Z$.

Table 11: Exact monotone root finding under GeneralizedLipschitzMonotone.

A continuous monotone map $F : E \to E$ on a real inner-product space satisfies $\|F(x) - F(y)\| \le (L_0 + L_1 \max_{0\le t\le 1} \|F(x + t(y - x))\|)\|x - y\|$. Here $L_0 > 0$, $L_1, G, R \ge 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $\|F(x_0)\| \le G$, and some root $x_\star$ satisfies $\|x_0 - x_\star\| \le R$. The oracle returns exact $F(x)$; the target is $\|F(x)\| \le \varepsilon$ deterministically. The setup imposes no algorithm restriction.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) AnchoredGeneralizedMonotone **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(\left(1 + \frac{L_0 R}{\varepsilon} + (1 + L_1 R)\right) \cdot \log\left(1 + \frac{(L_0 + L_1 G)R}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)$. Also $\mathcal{O}(1 + L_0 R/\varepsilon + L_1^2 R^2)$ using the intrinsic initial-residual bound.		
(2) EG [41, Thm. 3.6]	$\mathcal{O}(1 + L_0^2 R^2/\varepsilon^2 + L_1^2 R^2)$. $E = \mathbb{R}^d$.	**(1)**: $L_0 R/\varepsilon$ instead of $(L_0 R/\varepsilon)^2$; speedup $\Theta(L_0 R/\varepsilon)$ if $\varepsilon \le \min\{L_0 R, L_0/(L_1^2 R)\}$. Scope: arbitrary real inner-product spaces. For $L_0 = L_1 = 1$, $R = 10$, $\varepsilon = 10^{-3}$: $\mathcal{O}(10^4)$ versus $\mathcal{O}(10^8)$.	
(3) PolyakEG [240, Thm. 3.4, Eq. (19)]	$\mathcal{O}(1 + L_0^2 R^2/\varepsilon^2 + L_1^2 R^2)$. Known L_0, L_1; $E = \mathbb{R}^d$.	**(1)**: same leading-term gain as against **(2)**. If $L_1 > 0$ and $L_0/(L_1^2 R) \le \varepsilon \le L_0/L_1$, speedup $\Omega((L_0/(L_1\varepsilon))^2)$. For $\varepsilon \ge L_0/L_1$, moderate G gives $L_1 R \log(2 + L_1 R + L_0 R/\varepsilon)$ instead of $L_1^2 R^2$; otherwise no strict gain is assured.	
(4) PolyakEG-LS [240, Thm. 3.4, Prop. 3.5(a)]	Derived bound: $\mathcal{O}\left(1 + B + \frac{(\bar\lambda^0)^2 R^2}{\varepsilon^2} + (\bar\lambda^1)^2 R^2\right)$, including all trials. $E = \mathbb{R}^d$; fixed $A \in (0, 1)$, $\beta > 2$, positive initial estimates λ^0, λ^1.	**(1)**: ε^{-1} instead of ε^{-2} for fixed remaining parameters; no backtracking calls. Last iterate instead of minimum residual among observed iterates.	**(1)** needs L_0, L_1 in its updates; **(4)** does not.
(5) FEG / EAG [125, Thm. 4.1, $\rho = 0$][243, Thms. 1–2, Sec. 2.3]	$\mathcal{O}(1 + L_0 R/\varepsilon)$. Special case: $L_1 = 0$, $E = \mathbb{R}^d$.	**(1)** matches the known rate on this overlap; scope extends to generalized Lipschitz continuity with $L_1 > 0$.	**(1)** has a roughly fivefold larger leading cost constant than FEG when $L_1 = 0$.

Abbr: Costs count individual F-evaluations. R: initial root-distance bound; G: initial residual bound; ε: residual tolerance. The intrinsic bound is $\|F(x_0)\| \le L_0 R e^{L_1 R}$, so G may be replaced by $\min\{G, L_0 R e^{L_1 R}\}$. Moderate G means $\log(1 + L_1 G/L_0) = \mathcal{O}(\log(2 + L_1 R))$. For **(4)**, A is the fixed acceptance tolerance, β the backtracking factor, λ^i the initial estimates, $B = 2\max\{0, \lceil \log(L_0/\lambda^0)/\log(\beta - 1)\rceil, \lceil \log(L_1/\lambda^1)/\log(\beta - 1)\rceil\}$, and $\bar\lambda^i = \beta^{B/2}\lambda^i$; omit the L_1 logarithm when $L_1 = 0$. In **(2)**, interpret $L_0/(L_1^2 R) = +\infty$ if $L_1 R = 0$.

Table 12: Oracle-complexity upper bounds for GeneralizedSmoothAndConvex.

Convex $f : E \to \mathbb{R}$ on a real Hilbert space has a differentiable gradient satisfying $\|\nabla^2 f(x)\| \le L_0 + L_1\|\nabla f(x)\|$ everywhere. A minimizer $x_\star$ satisfies $\|x_0 - x_\star\| \le R$ and $f(x_0) - f(x_\star) \le \Delta$, with $L_0, R, \Delta, \varepsilon > 0$ and $L_1 \ge 0$. Exact gradients are available; L_0, L_1, R, Δ are public inputs. The target is $f(x_{\mathrm{out}}) - f(x_\star) \le \varepsilon$ almost surely; our constructions guarantee it for every seed. The setup imposes no algorithm restriction.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) GeneralizedSelfNormalizedConvex **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\Big(1 + \sqrt{L_0R^2/\varepsilon}$ gradients $+ \min\{\lceil q^{2/3}\rceil \ell, \ell + (q\ell)^{2/3}\}\Big)$		
(2) GeneralizedAcceleratedConvex **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\Big(1 + \sqrt{L_0R^2/\varepsilon} + \lceil q^{2/3}\rceil \ell\Big)$ gradients		
(3) AGD [214, Theorem 4.3, v2] $E = \mathbb{R}^d$; derived bound	$\mathcal{O}\Big(1 + \sqrt{L_0R^2/\varepsilon}$ gradients. $+ q\log\Big(1 + \min\Big\{\frac{L_1^2\Delta}{L_0}, \frac{\Delta}{\varepsilon}\Big\}\Big) + \log\Big(1 + \frac{\Delta}{L_0R^2}\Big)\Big)$ $\bar{R} = R, \Gamma_0 = 2\Delta/R^2$, using a flat-coordinate extension.	**(1)**: for $q \to \infty$, $\Delta = L_0R^2$, $\varepsilon = L_0R^2q^{-4/3}$, total $\mathcal{O}(q\log q) \to \mathcal{O}((q\log q)^{2/3})$. **(2)**: $\mathcal{O}(q^{2/3}\log q)$ in that regime. Matches the leading $\varepsilon^{-1/2}$ rate.	**(2)**: startup can be worse when $\varepsilon < L_0/L_1^2$ and $q^{2/3}\ell > \sqrt{L_0R^2/\varepsilon}$; its logarithm does not saturate. **(1)**, **(2)**: two gradients per iteration instead of one; restricted to full domains.
(4) AGMsDR [218, Theorem 6.2, v3] $E = \mathbb{R}^d$, $f \in C^2$	$\mathcal{O}\Big((1+\nu)\big[1 + \sqrt{L_0R^2/\varepsilon}$ gradient/value calls, $+ \lceil q^{2/3}\rceil \ell\big]\Big)$ including exact segment searches; ν has no parameter bound.	**(1)**: startup $q^{2/3}\ell \to (q\ell)^{2/3}$ for $q \ge \sqrt{\ell}$. **(2)**: matches iteration rate. **(1)**, **(2)**: bounded gradient-only cost; no values or exact search. Total-call rates are not comparable with unbounded ν.	**(1)**, **(2)**: need R, Δ in addition.
(5) Accelerated GRAAL [20, Corollary 3, v2] $E = \mathbb{R}^d$, $f \in C^2$, $L_1 > 0$	$\mathcal{O}\Big(1 + \sqrt{L_0R^2/\varepsilon} + q^3$ gradient/value calls, for $+ (1+q^2)\log\frac{1}{\eta_0 L_0}\Big)$ $0 < \eta_0 \le e^{-q}/L_0$.	**(1)**, **(2)**: no values. For $q \to \infty$, $\eta_0 = e^{-q}/L_0, \Delta = L_0R^2$, $\varepsilon = L_0R^2q^{-4}$, total $\mathcal{O}(q^3) \to \mathcal{O}(q^2)$. **(2)**: startup $q^3 \to q^{2/3}\ell$ when $\ell \ll q^{7/3}$.	**(1)**, **(2)**: need L_0, L_1, R, Δ; **(5)** updates need only an admissible η_0. Our startup depends on accuracy.

Abbr: $q = L_1R$; $\ell = 1 + \log(1 + \Delta/\varepsilon)$; $\nabla^2 f = D\nabla f$; η_0 is the initial stepsize; ν is the maximum gradient/value call cost of one exact segment minimization. Costs count all gradient evaluations, including trials, and values where used.

Table 13: Comparison for GeneralizedSmoothParameterFree.

Convex $f : E \to \mathbb{R}$ on a real Hilbert space, with differentiable gradient and $\|\nabla^2 f(x)\| \le L_0 + L_1\|\nabla f(x)\|$ everywhere. A minimizer $x_\star$ satisfies $\|x_0 - x_\star\| \le R$ and $f(x_0) - f(x_\star) \le \Delta$, where $L_0, R, \Delta, \varepsilon, h_0 > 0$ and $L_1 \ge 0$. Exact gradients are available. Target: $f(\hat{x}) - f(x_\star) \le \varepsilon$. In **(1)**, updates receive only x_0, h_0; the sufficient stopping budget depends on the problem parameters and ε.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) BacktrackingGeneralized Accelerated **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\Big(\sqrt{L_0R^2/\varepsilon} + (1+s)\log\Big(2 + s$ gradient calls, $+ \frac{1}{L_0h_0} + L_0h_0$ $+ \frac{L_1^2\Delta}{L_0} + L_1^2\Delta h_0\Big)\Big)$ including two per trial and all rejected trials.		
(2) Accelerated GRAAL [21, Cor. 3, v2]. Restriction: $E = \mathbb{R}^d$, $f \in C^2$, $L_1 > 0$.	$\mathcal{O}\Big(1 + \sqrt{L_0R^2/\varepsilon} + s^3$ gradient and value calls; $+ (1+s^2)\log\frac{1}{L_0\eta_0}\Big)$ requires $L_0\eta_0 e^s \le 1$.	**(1)**: for $s \gg 1$, $h_0 = \eta_0$, $\Delta = f(x_0) - f(x_\star)$, proved start-up improves by a factor of order s; total gain when $\varepsilon \gtrsim L_0R^2/s^6$. Allows any $h_0 > 0$; removes values. Matches order for $s \lesssim 1$ or accuracy-dominated costs. Example: $f(x) = e^x - x$, $L_0 = L_1 = 1$, $x_0 = R \gg 1$, $h_0 = \eta_0 = e^{-R}$: **(1)** $\mathcal{O}(R/\sqrt{\varepsilon} + R^2)$ versus **(2)** $\mathcal{O}(R/\sqrt{\varepsilon} + R^3)$.	**(1)**: backtracking incurs constant-factor overhead.
(3) AGD [214, Thm. 4.3, v2]. Restriction: $E = \mathbb{R}^d$; $R = \|x_0 - x_\star\|$ for the displayed tuning.	$\mathcal{O}\Big(1 + \sqrt{L_0R^2/\varepsilon}$ gradient calls; $+ s\log\Big(2 + \min\Big\{\frac{L_1^2\Delta}{L_0}, \frac{\Delta}{\varepsilon}\Big\}\Big)$ $+ \log\Big(2 + \frac{\Delta}{L_0R^2}\Big)\Big)$ supplied $L_0, L_1, \bar{R} = R, \Gamma_0 = 2\Delta/R^2$.	**(1)**: removes L_0, L_1, R, Δ from updates; matches the leading $\varepsilon^{-1/2}$ term. No established rate improvement.	**(1)**: possible $(1+s)\log(L_0h_0 + 1/(L_0h_0))$ and $(1+s)\log(2+s)$ overhead; loses the shortcut when $\Delta/\varepsilon < L_1^2\Delta/L_0$. Backtracking constant factor.
(4) AGMsDR [219, Thm. 6.2, v3]. Restriction: $E = \mathbb{R}^d$, $f \in C^2$.	$\mathcal{O}\Big((\nu+1)\Big[1 + \sqrt{L_0R^2/\varepsilon}$ $+ \lceil s^{2/3}\rceil(1 + \log(\Delta/\varepsilon))\Big]\Big)$ gradient/value calls; $0 < \varepsilon \le \Delta = f(x_0) - f(x_\star)$. Requires L_0, L_1 and exact one-dimensional minimization.	**(1)**: no L_0, L_1, values, or exact line search; start-up logarithm is independent of ε. Total rates are not comparable: ν has no parameter bound.	**(1)** loses the $s^{2/3}$ start-up power, ignoring the unbounded search factor ν.

Abbr: $s = L_1R$; h_0 and η_0 are initial step sizes; $\bar{R}$ is a supplied distance bound; Γ_0 is AGD's initial potential parameter; ν bounds the gradient/value calls per exact one-dimensional search. log denotes the natural logarithm.

Table 14: Heavy-tailed Adam under the HeavyTailedAdam setup.

$f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable, ∇f is L-Lipschitz, and $f(x_0) - \inf f \le \Delta$, with $L > 0$, $\Delta \ge 0$. A jointly measurable, integrable oracle uses IID samples of law μ, with $\int g(x,\omega)\, d\mu = \nabla f(x)$ and $\int \sum_i |g_i(x,\omega) - \partial_i f(x)|^\alpha \, d\mu \le \sigma^\alpha$, $1 < \alpha \le 2$, $\sigma \ge 0$. The setup restricts algorithms to coordinate Adam. **(1)** uses no bias correction, $\beta_1 = 0.9$, and damping outside the square root; its uniform pre-update output satisfies $\int \|\nabla f(x_I)\|_2 \, dP \le \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$, under execution law P.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) AdamHeavyTailedFixed Momentum **(Ours)** ($\star\star\star$) (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{L\Delta}{\varepsilon^2}\left[1 + c_\alpha \left(\frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon}\right)^r\right]\right)$		
(2) NSGD-M [97, Cor. 19, arXiv v3]	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{L\Delta}{\varepsilon^2}\left[1 + \left(\frac{\sigma_2}{\varepsilon}\right)^r\right]\right)$	**(1)**: Matches known accuracy exponent with fixed β_1 and no normalization; method-specific advance, no problem-class rate improvement. No tuning-knowledge gain.	**(1)**: Larger constants; narrower noise model. Euclidean-scale conversion multiplies its noise term by $d^{(2-\alpha)/(2(\alpha-1))}$.
(3) SGD [142, Thm. 4.1, constant steps]	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{L\Delta}{\varepsilon^2} + \left(\frac{\sigma_2\sqrt{L\Delta}}{\varepsilon^2}\right)^r\right)$ $\varepsilon \le \sqrt{2L\Delta}$.	**(1)**: For fixed $\alpha < 2$, noise-term gain scales as $(\sqrt{L\Delta}/\varepsilon)^{(2-\alpha)/(\alpha-1)}$ at equal scales; after Euclidean conversion, $(\sqrt{L\Delta}/(\sqrt{d}\,\varepsilon))^{(2-\alpha)/(\alpha-1)}$ when $\sqrt{L\Delta} > \sqrt{d}\,\varepsilon$. No exponent gain at $\alpha = 2$.	**(1)**: Coordinate-noise penalty and large constants; loses the stronger guarantee $\mathbb{E}[(K^{-1}\sum_{k=1}^K \|\nabla f(x_k)\|_2^2)^{\alpha/2}] \le \varepsilon^\alpha$. Gain concerns the shared expected-norm target.
(4) Vector Adam [190, Thm. 6.4, v2] G-Lipschitz differentiable objectives; $\alpha > 4/3$.	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \left(\frac{B}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{8\alpha-4}{3\alpha-4}}\left[1 + \log\frac{G+\sigma_2}{\varepsilon}\right] + \frac{\Delta\sqrt{\lambda}\, B^{\frac{5\alpha}{3\alpha-4}}}{\varepsilon^{\frac{5\alpha}{3\alpha-4}+3/2}}\right)$ Expected relaxed (λ,ε)-stationarity; $0 < \varepsilon < G + \sigma_2$, fixed α and memory coupling.	**(1)**: Ordinary expected gradient norm; no bounded-gradient assumption; includes $1 < \alpha \le 4/3$. Different criteria and classes: rates not comparable.	**(1)**: Requires smoothness. Accuracy-dependent damping replaces a fixed stabilizer; $1 - \beta_2 \le 10^{-12}$.

Abbr: Costs count individual stochastic-gradient calls. $r = \alpha/(\alpha-1)$; $c_\alpha = 10^{5\alpha/(\alpha-1)}$; K is the iteration count. σ_2 bounds $(\mathbb{E}\|g - \nabla f\|_2^\alpha)^{1/\alpha}$: the setup implies $\sigma_2 \le \sigma$, while Euclidean noise permits $\sigma = d^{1/\alpha - 1/2}\sigma_2$. Rate comparisons hold at fixed α; prior-work constants may depend on α. In **(4)**, G bounds $\|\nabla f\|_2$, $\lambda > 0$ is the relaxation parameter, $B = CG + \sigma_2$, and C is the regret constant in Thm. 6.4 (bounded for fixed memory coupling and sufficiently small accuracy). Relaxed stationarity uses $\inf_{\mathbb{E}Y = x}\{\|\mathbb{E}\nabla f(Y)\|_2 + \lambda\mathbb{E}\|Y - x\|_2^2\}$. In **(1)**, $\beta_2 = 1 - \rho$, $\tau = \varepsilon/(10^5\sqrt{\rho})$, and $\rho = (10^{12} + (10^5\sigma/\varepsilon)^r)^{-1}$; tuning uses $L, \Delta, \sigma, \alpha, \varepsilon$.

Table 15: Inexact-gradient strongly convex optimization: InexactGradientStronglyConvex.

On a real Hilbert space, f is differentiable with global quadratic upper and lower models of curvature L and μ, where $0 < \mu \le L$. A minimizer $x^\star$ satisfies $\|x_0 - x^\star\| \le R$. Every oracle reply obeys $\|g - \nabla f(x)\| \le \alpha\|\nabla f(x)\| + \delta$, with $0 \le \alpha < 1$ and $\delta, R \ge 0$; errors may depend on the call and seed. The target is $f(x_N) - f(x^\star) \le \varepsilon$ almost surely, with $\varepsilon > 0$ and $136\delta^2 \le \mu(1-\alpha)^2\varepsilon$. The constructed method uses L, μ, α, x_0; the setup imposes no algorithm restriction.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) AcceleratedInexactStrongly Convex **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\Big(1 + \Big[\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{1-\alpha}} + \frac{\alpha^2\kappa}{1-\alpha}\Big]\,\ell\Big)$		
(2) RE-AGM [220, Thm. 6.1]	$\mathcal{O}\big(1 + (\sqrt{\kappa} + \alpha\kappa)\ell\big)$; $\alpha \le 1/3$, $\varepsilon \ge C\min\{\sqrt{\kappa}, \alpha^{-1}\}\delta^2/\mu$. Euclidean space.	**(1)**: for $\kappa^{-1/2} \le \alpha \le 1/3$, gain $\min\{\alpha\sqrt{\kappa}, \alpha^{-1}\}$. At $\alpha = \kappa^{-1/4}$, $\mathcal{O}(1 + \kappa^{1/2}\ell)$ instead of $\mathcal{O}(1 + \kappa^{3/4}\ell)$. Certified floor drops to $\mathcal{O}(\delta^2/\mu)$; extends to $\alpha < 1$.	
(3) RE-AGM [222, Thm. 4]	$\mathcal{O}(1 + \sqrt{\kappa}\,\ell)$; $\delta = 0$, $\alpha < c_0\kappa^{-1/2}$. Euclidean space.	**(1)**: matches this rate; retains it up to $\alpha = \mathcal{O}(\kappa^{-1/4})$ bounded away from 1, instead of $\mathcal{O}(\kappa^{-1/2})$. Scope: permits absolute error subject to its floor.	
(4) RE-AGM [222, Thm. 5]	$\mathcal{O}(1 + \alpha\kappa\ell)$; $\delta = 0$, $\kappa^{-1/2}/3 \le \alpha \le 1/3$. Euclidean space.	**(1)**: gain $\min\{\alpha\sqrt{\kappa}, \alpha^{-1}\}$ for $\kappa^{-1/2} \le \alpha \le 1/3$; reaches $\kappa^{1/4}$ at $\alpha = \kappa^{-1/4}$. Scope: adds absolute error subject to its floor.	
(5) GD [220, Thm. 5.2]	$\mathcal{O}\Big(1 + \frac{\kappa(1+\alpha)}{(1-\alpha)^3}\ell\Big)$; $\varepsilon \ge C\frac{1+\alpha}{(1-\alpha)^3}\frac{\delta^2}{\mu}$. Euclidean smooth PL functions; displayed initialization on the common strongly convex setup.	**(1)**: for large κ, $\alpha \le \kappa^{-1/4}$ and common admissible accuracy, $\mathcal{O}(1 + \sqrt{\kappa}\,\ell)$ instead of $\mathcal{O}(1 + \kappa\ell)$.	**(1)** requires strong convexity instead of the PL inequality.
(6) Fast gradient method [73, Thm. 3.3]	$\mathcal{O}(1 + \sqrt{\kappa}\,\ell)$; $\alpha = 0$, $\varepsilon \ge C\sqrt{\kappa}\,\delta^2/\mu$. Euclidean space; additive-error specialization.	**(1)**: at $\alpha = 0$, certified floor δ^2/μ instead of $\sqrt{\kappa}\,\delta^2/\mu$ in order; certifies acceleration below **(6)**'s threshold when $\delta^2/\mu \lesssim \varepsilon \ll \sqrt{\kappa}\,\delta^2/\mu$. Scope: adds relative error.	

Abbr: $\kappa = L/\mu$, $\ell = \log(1 + LR^2/\varepsilon)$; costs count inexact-gradient calls. C denotes a sufficiently large universal constant in sufficient accuracy conditions; $\alpha^{-1} = +\infty$ at $\alpha = 0$. $c_0 = (\sqrt{2} - 1)/(18\sqrt{2})$. PL: $\|\nabla f(x)\|^2 \ge 2\mu(f(x) - f(x^\star))$.

Table 16: Known-value convex optimization with exact local linear minimization: KnownValueSmoothConvexLocalLinear.

A real Hilbert space, a closed convex set C, and $x_0 \in C$. The differentiable objective satisfies $0 \le f(y) - f(x) - \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle \le (L/2)\|y - x\|^2$ for $x, y \in C$. Known inputs are $L > 0$, $R \ge 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $f_\star = f(x_\star)$ for a minimizer $x_\star \in C$ with $\|x_0 - x_\star\| \le R$. Exact oracles return $(f(x), \nabla f(x))$ and a minimizer of $\langle g, v \rangle$ over $v \in C \cap B(x, r)$. Target: feasible y with $f(y) - f(x_\star) \le \varepsilon$; **(1)** guarantees its last primal iterate for every seed and oracle tie-breaking choice.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) SplitBroxKnownValue **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}(1 + \sqrt{LR^2/\varepsilon})$ total value-gradient and local-LMO calls, including all trials.		
(2) BroxGD [196, Thm. 3.2(ii), Cor. D.2]	$\mathcal{O}(1 + LR^2/\varepsilon)$ total value-gradient and local-LMO calls. Finite-dimensional; $R > 0$; L-Lipschitz gradient on an open convex neighborhood of C.	**(1)**: factor $\sqrt{LR^2/\varepsilon}$ fewer calls when $LR^2/\varepsilon \ge 1$; last instead of best iterate. Scope: quadratic models only on C suffice.	**(1)** needs exact $f_\star$ and L additionally; **(2)** uses only R, K to set radii.
(3) Polyak-radius BroxGD [196, Thm. 3.5, Eq. (24)]	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{(\|\nabla f(x_\star)\| + LR)^2 R^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$ total value-gradient and local-LMO calls. Finite-dimensional; smooth on an open neighborhood of C; known $f_\star$.	**(1)**: $\varepsilon^{-1/2}$ instead of ε^{-2} in this general guarantee, without $\|\nabla f(x_\star)\|$ dependence; last instead of averaged iterate.	**(1)** needs L, R additionally.
(4) APMM [52, Alg. 1, Thm. 2.1]; $\rho = 1$, $X_k = C$, no functional constraints	$\mathcal{O}(1 + \sqrt{LR^2/\varepsilon})$ total value-gradient evaluations and exact projections onto $C \cap \{\ell_f \le f_\star\}$. Finite-dimensional smooth case.	**(1)** matches the accelerated order using point-only local LMOs, with no logarithmic search overhead. Different oracles prevent a direct cost comparison.	**(1)** needs L, R additionally; **(4)** is parameter-free apart from $f_\star, \varepsilon$.
(5) CGS [123, Cor. 3, 2014 preprint]; special case: bounded $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ of diameter D	$\mathcal{O}(1 + LD^2/\varepsilon)$ total gradient and global-LMO calls; gradient calls alone: $\mathcal{O}(1 + \sqrt{LD^2/\varepsilon})$.	**(1)**: for $R \le D$ and $LD^2/\varepsilon \ge 1$, at least a factor $\sqrt{LD^2/\varepsilon}$ fewer linear-oracle calls. Scope: unbounded C allowed.	**(1)** needs $f_\star$ and the stronger local LMO; **(5)** needs only global linear minimization over C.

Abbr: LMO: linear minimization oracle; local LMO: one point minimizing a linear function on $C \cap B(x, r)$; $B(x, r)$: closed ball; $D = \sup_{x,y \in C} \|x - y\|$; K: iteration horizon; $\ell_f(v; z) = f(z) + \langle \nabla f(z), v - z \rangle$. Costs count each oracle invocation once.

Table 17: BFGS for linear-predictor objectives: LinearPredictorBFGS.

$f(x) = h(Ax)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$, with linear $A : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^m$, convex L_h-smooth h, $L \geq L_h\|A\|^2$, $L > 0$, and $0 < \mu \leq L_h$. A minimizer $x_\star$ satisfies $\|x_0 - x_\star\| \leq R$ and $\frac{\mu}{2}\|A(x - x_\star)\|^2 \leq f(x) - f(x_\star)$ whenever $f(x) \leq f(x_0)$. The setup restricts algorithms to BFGS with positive scalar initialization; **(1)** uses $B_0 = LI$. The oracle returns an Armijo–weak-Wolfe step and its endpoint gradient, with $0 < c_1 < c_2 < 1$. Target: $f(x_K) - f(x_\star) \leq \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$, for every admissible oracle history. Bundled line-search calls include initialization; internal search trials have no stated gradient/value-call budget.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) LinearPredictorBFGS **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \kappa^{3/2}\gamma^{-3/2}\sqrt{LR^2/\varepsilon}\right)$ line-search calls.		
(2) BFGS [54, Thm. 3.1, Eq. (11)]	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{LW_0^2}{\gamma\varepsilon}\right)$ line-search calls; $B_0 = LI$, $W_0 < \infty$.	**(1)**: Distance-only BFGS bound, independent of design conditioning; $\varepsilon^{-1/2}$ instead of ε^{-1}. For $W_0 \asymp R$, total gain when $LR^2/\varepsilon \gg \kappa^3/\gamma$. No uniform W_0 bound in setup parameters: $h(z) = \|z\|^2/2$, $A = \mathrm{diag}(1, \delta)$, $x_0 = (R, 0)$ give $W_0 \geq R/(2\delta)$.	**(1)** needs composite growth; **(2)** covers general convex smooth objectives with finite W_0. For $W_0 \asymp R$, **(1)** loses at coarse accuracy and has worse γ dependence.
(3) AGD/FISTA [16, Thm. 4.4], fixed step, $\psi = 0$	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \sqrt{LR^2/\varepsilon}\right)$ gradient calls, available through $v = 0$ queries; applies throughout the target objective class.	**(1)** matches the $\varepsilon^{-1/2}$ order for BFGS, with extra parameter factors; no problem-class complexity improvement.	**(1)** pays $\kappa^{3/2}\gamma^{-3/2}$ and a larger leading constant; needs composite growth and line-search access instead of gradients alone.

Abbr: $\kappa = L_h/\mu$; $\gamma = c_1(1 - c_2)$; $f_\star = f(x_\star)$; $X_\star = \operatorname{argmin} f$; $W_0 = \sup_{x \notin X_\star,\, f(x) \leq f(x_0)} (f(x) - f_\star)/\|\nabla f(x)\|$; ψ is the FISTA nonsmooth term; $\delta > 0$ is a design singular value; $\asymp$ means equality up to universal factors; $\gg$ means asymptotically larger.

Table 18: Relative gradient error: RelativeErrorSmoothConvex.

Convex differentiable f on a real Hilbert space satisfies $f(y) \leq f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y - x\rangle + \frac{L}{2}\|y - x\|^2$, with $L > 0$, a minimizer $x^\star$, and $\|x_0 - x^\star\| \leq R$, $R \geq 0$. Every oracle reply satisfies $\|g - \nabla f(x)\| \leq \alpha\|\nabla f(x)\|$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, for every call and seed. Target: $f(x_N) - f(x^\star) \leq \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$, almost surely under the execution measure (indeed, for every seed). Parameters $L, \alpha, R, \varepsilon$ are supplied.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) AcceleratedRelativeErrorConvex **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{T}{1-\alpha}} + \frac{\alpha^2 T}{1-\alpha}\right)$		
(2) Regularized RE-AGM [220, Thm. 7.2]	$\mathcal{O}\left((\sqrt{T} + \alpha T)(1 + \log T)\right)$. $T > 1$, $\alpha \leq 1/3$; Euclidean space.	**(1)**: $\alpha^2 T$ instead of αT; removes logarithm on $T > 1$, $\alpha \leq 1/3$. At $\alpha = T^{-1/4}$, $T \geq 81$: $\mathcal{O}(T^{3/4}(1 + \log T)) \to \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{T})$. Scope: every $\alpha < 1$.	
(3) Regularized GD [220, Thm. 7.1]	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{(1+\alpha)^2}{(1-\alpha)^6} T(1 + \log T)\right)$. $T > 1$, $\alpha < 1/2$; Euclidean space.	**(1)**: $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{T} + \alpha^2 T)$ on $T > 1$, $\alpha < 1/2$; logarithmic saving throughout. For $\alpha \leq T^{-1/4}$: $\mathcal{O}(T(1 + \log T)) \to \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{T})$. Scope: every $\alpha < 1$.	
(4) ISTM, $p = 2$ [111, Thm. 3.1]	$\mathcal{O}(1 + \sqrt{T})$ when $\alpha \leq c \min\{1, T^{-1/2}\}$; Euclidean space. Certified accuracy floor of order $\alpha^2 LR^2$.	**(1)**: matches $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{T})$ for $T > 1$, $\alpha \lesssim T^{-1/2}$; extends acceleration to $\alpha \lesssim T^{-1/4}$ with $\alpha \leq 1/3$. Arbitrary accuracy for every $\alpha < 1$.	

Abbr: $T = LR^2/\varepsilon$; complexity counts relative-error gradient calls; $c > 0$ is a sufficiently small universal constant; $\lesssim$ suppresses a universal constant. GD: gradient descent; RE-AGM: relative-error accelerated gradient method; ISTM: intermediate similar triangle method.

Table 19: Gradient sliding for SlidingGeneralizedSmooth.

Minimize $F = f+h$ over convex Q in a real Hilbert space. The convex differentiable f has continuous gradient and satisfies $\|\nabla f(x)-\nabla f(y)\| \le [L_0+L_1 \sup_{z\in[x,y]} \|\nabla f(z)\|]\|x-y\|$ on the whole space. Oracles supply exact gradients, exact projections onto Q, and possibly adversarial vectors $s(u)$ with $\|s(u)\| \le M$ and $h(v) \ge h(u) + \langle s(u), v-u\rangle$ for $u, v \in Q$. There is $x^\star \in \arg\min_Q F$ with $x_0 \in Q$, $\|x_0 - x^\star\| \le R$, $F(x_0) - F(x^\star) \le \Delta$, and $\|\nabla f(x^\star)\| \le G$. Here $L_0, R, \Delta, \varepsilon > 0$ and $L_1, M, G \ge 0$. Target: a feasible output x with $F(x) - F(x^\star) \le \varepsilon$ almost surely.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) GeneralizedGradientSliding **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	Total: $\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{matrix}\sqrt{AR^2/\varepsilon} + (1+L_1R)\ell \\ + M^2R^2/\varepsilon^2\end{matrix}\right)$. Gradients: $\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\frac{AR^2}{\varepsilon}} + (1+L_1R)\ell\right)$. G is used only in the gradient-count cap.		
(2) Gradient sliding [120], Cor. 1(a), arXiv v2. Derived bound: finite-dimensional localization to $X = Q \cap \overline{B}(x_0, 2R)$.	Total: $\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{Ae^{3L_1R}R^2}{\varepsilon}} + \frac{M^2R^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$. Gradients: $\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{Ae^{3L_1R}R^2}{\varepsilon}}\right)$. Uses $L = Ae^{3L_1R}$ and exact Π_X; at $L_1 = 0$, use $X = Q$, $L = L_0$.	**(1)**: gradient saving $e^{3L_1R/2}$ when $L_1R \gg 1$ and $\sqrt{AR^2/\varepsilon} \gg (1+L_1R)\ell$; total saving additionally requires $M^2R^2/\varepsilon^2 \ll \sqrt{AR^2/\varepsilon}$. Only Π_Q needed. Matches leading rate for $L_1R \lesssim 1$; scope extends global smoothness.	**(1)**: extra ℓ term at $L_1 = 0$; no Bregman geometry or additional simple prox term.
(3) Normalized subgradient [86], Thm. 2, arXiv v3. Derived bound, $E = \mathbb{R}^d$; best iterate selected using F values.	Total and gradients: $\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{matrix}1 + (G+M)^2R^2/\varepsilon^2 \\ + AR^2/\varepsilon + L_1^2R^2\end{matrix}\right)$. Includes ∇f, h' and Π_Q calls, plus F values for selection; Π_Q is unnecessary when $Q = E$.	**(1)**: gradients $\varepsilon^{-1/2}$ instead of ε^{-2} for fixed parameters, $G + M > 0$; no F values. Total gains can occur for constrained $G \gg M$. For $Q = E$, take $G = M$: matched total order unless $\varepsilon A \gg M^2$ or $\varepsilon L_1 \gg M$; initialization can mask gains.	**(1)**: updates additionally need $L_0, L_1, M, \Delta, \varepsilon$; **(3)** needs only R, T. Initialization term $(1+L_1R)\ell$ exceeds $L_1^2R^2$ when $\ell \gg L_1R \ge 1$.

Abbr: $A = L_0 + L_1G$; $\ell = 1 + \log\left(1 + (1+L_1R)\sqrt{\Delta/(L_0R^2)}\right)$; Π_C is exact Euclidean projection onto C; h' denotes a returned subgradient; T is the iteration horizon in **(3)**. Total cost counts all primitive oracle calls.

Table 20: Stochastic generalized monotone root finding: StochasticGeneralizedMonotone.

On a finite-dimensional real Hilbert space, F is continuous and monotone, $F(x^\star) = 0$, $\|x_0 - x^\star\| \le R$, and $\|F(x) - F(y)\| \le (L_0 + L_1 \sup_{z\in[x,y]} \|F(z)\|)\|x - y\|$. The jointly measurable oracle satisfies $\int g(x,\omega)\, dp = F(x)$ and $\int \|g(x,\omega) - F(x)\|^2\, dp \le \sigma^2$, with integrable values and squared errors, and IID samples of law p under P. Here $L_0, L_1, R, \sigma \ge 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $0 < \delta < 1$. Target: measurable output X with $P\{\|F(X)\| > \varepsilon\} \le \delta$.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) StochasticGeneralizedMonotone **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\Big(\Big[1 + \frac{L_0 R}{\varepsilon} + (L_1 R)^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{\varepsilon^2}\Big] \cdot \log^3 Q \, \log(Q/\delta)\Big)$ stochastic calls, including batches and repetitions.		
(2) [100], Thm. 2.2; globally Lipschitz special case $L_1 = 0$, $L_0 > 0$	$\mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{L_0 R}{\varepsilon} h + \frac{\sigma^2}{\varepsilon^2}\ell^3 h^2\Big)$ stochastic calls; $\varepsilon \le L_0 R$; $\big(\mathbb{E}\|F(X)\|^2\big)^{1/2} \le \varepsilon$ on the unconstrained restriction.	**(1)**: Scope extends to $L_1 > 0$ with polynomial dependence and additive variance cost. At $L_1 = 0$, matches polynomial accuracy dependence; gives high-probability accuracy under finite variance.	**(1)**: Extra logarithmic overhead at $L_1 = 0$, including confidence dependence; no constrained-VI guarantee.
(3) [217], Thm. 4.4, $p = 2$; quasi-sharp, $0 < \alpha \le \frac{1}{2}$	$\mathcal{O}\Big(1 + \frac{dR}{a\sqrt{\tau}} + \frac{\sigma^2 + K_1\sigma^{2\alpha}}{a^2\tau}\Big)$ stochastic calls for $\mathbb{E}\,\mathrm{dist}^2(X, X^\star) \le \tau$; two calls per iteration.	**(1)**: No quasi-sharpness assumption; permits $\alpha = 1$, including monotone operators with $\langle F(x), x - x^\star\rangle = 0$ near roots. Residual and distance rates are not comparable.	**(1)**: Does not cover constrained or structured non-monotone problems covered by **(3)**.
(4) Anchored extragradient [158]; exact-oracle special case $\sigma = 0$, $L_0 > 0$	$\mathcal{O}\Big(1 + \frac{L_0 R}{\varepsilon} + (1 + L_1 R) \cdot \log\Big(1 + \frac{(L_0 + L_1 G)R}{\varepsilon}\Big)\Big)$ exact calls; deterministic residual accuracy.	**(1)**: Scope extends to noisy finite-variance oracles; no initial-residual bound G needed for its budget.	**(1)**: At $\sigma = 0$, quadratic rather than linear $L_1 R$ term, with additional logarithms; loses anytime last-iterate guarantee.

Abbr: $Q = 2 + L_0 R/\varepsilon + L_1 R$, $\ell = 1 + \log(L_0 R/\varepsilon)$ and $h = 1 + \log \ell$ in **(2)**; $G \ge \|F(x_0)\|$ in **(4)**. In **(3)**, $X^\star$ is the solution set, $\tau > 0$ is squared-distance accuracy, $R^2 \ge \mathbb{E}\,\mathrm{dist}^2(x_0, X^\star)$, and quasi-sharpness means $\langle F(x), x - x^\star\rangle \ge \mu\,\mathrm{dist}^2(x, X^\star)$, $\mu > 0$. The exponent α replaces 1 on the segment operator norm in generalized Lipschitz continuity; its constants are denoted $\bar{L}_0, \bar{L}_1$. Set $c_\alpha = 2^{\alpha^2/(1-\alpha)}$, $K_0 = \bar{L}_0(c_\alpha + 1)$, $K_1 = \bar{L}_1 c_\alpha 3^\alpha$, $K_2 = \bar{L}_1^{1/(1-\alpha)} c_\alpha 3^\alpha (1-\alpha)^{\alpha/(1-\alpha)}$, $a = \mu \min\{1, [2(C_F + \sigma)]^{-1}\}$, $d = \max\{4\mu, 2\sqrt{3}(K_0 + K_1 + K_2)\}$, where $C_F \ge \sup_k \mathbb{E}\|F(x_k)\|$.

Table 21: Stochastic minimax optimization with Lipschitz Hessians: StochasticHessianNcsc.

On finite-dimensional real inner-product spaces, $f(x,y)$ is jointly L-smooth, μ-strongly concave in y, and has ρ-Lipschitz Hessian $\nabla^2 f$. Let $\Phi(x) = \max_y f(x,y)$, $\Phi(x_0) - \inf_x \Phi(x) \le \Delta$, and $\|\nabla_y f(x_0,y_0)\| \le G$. Here $0 < \mu \le L$, $\rho, \sigma, \varepsilon > 0$, and $\Delta, G \ge 0$. Each call returns a jointly measurable, unbiased joint stochastic gradient with total variance at most σ^2, using a fresh IID sample. The target is $\int \|\nabla\Phi(\widehat{x}(\omega))\|\, d\mathbb{P}(\omega) \le \varepsilon$, where $\mathbb{P}$ includes the sampling and algorithm randomness.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) HessianNcscImplicitTransport **(Ours)** ($\star\star\star$) (review)	$\mathcal{O}\Big(K^2(1+\sigma^2/\varepsilon^2) \cdot (1+\rho\varepsilon K/L^2) \cdot \big[K\Delta\sqrt{Q}/\varepsilon + 1 + \sigma/\varepsilon + \log(1+G^2Q/\mu^2)\big]\Big)$ joint-gradient calls, including initialization.		
(2) [233, Thm. 3.4, v1]	$\mathcal{O}\Big(\Lambda^{10}\big[\sqrt{\kappa}L\Delta/\varepsilon^2 + \kappa L\Delta\sigma^2/\varepsilon^4 + \kappa^2\sigma^2/\varepsilon^2 + \sqrt{\kappa}\big(1+\log(1+\kappa^2(G^2+L\Delta)/\varepsilon^2)\big)\big]\Big)$ joint-gradient calls; success probability $2/3$. Applies for $\kappa \ge \kappa_0$, $L\Delta \ge C_0\varepsilon^2$ (universal thresholds).	**(1)**: $\varepsilon^{-7/2}$ instead of ε^{-4} for fixed positive parameters as $\varepsilon \to 0$. More generally, gain up to logarithms when $\sigma \ge \varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon \ll \min\{L^2/(\rho\kappa^5), \sigma/\kappa^2, L\Delta/(\kappa\sigma)\}$. Expected stationarity implies the shared probability target by tuning to $\varepsilon/3$.	**(1)** needs a known Hessian-Lipschitz bound; leading noise dependence $\kappa^{7/2}$ instead of κ. Low-noise gap term worse by $\kappa^{5/2}$ when $\rho\varepsilon K \le L^2$; larger proved constants.
(3) HCMM-1 [25, Thm. 1, v3]	$\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-3})$ stochastic-gradient and stochastic Hessian–vector-product calls in total, for fixed remaining parameters and initialization; their dependence is unspecified. Requires bounded gradients and unbiased, bounded-variance stochastic Hessians.	**(1)**: no stochastic Hessian oracle or bounded-gradient assumption. Rates are not comparable under the supplied gradient-only oracle.	**(1)** has exponent $7/2$ instead of 3 with other parameters fixed, under the respective oracle models.
(4) NIGT [44, Thm. 3]	Derived bound: $\mathcal{O}\Big(1 + L\Delta/\varepsilon^2 + \Delta\sigma^2\sqrt{\rho}/\varepsilon^{7/2} + \dfrac{\sigma^{13/3}}{\Delta^{4/3}\rho^{2/3}\varepsilon^{7/3}}\Big)$ gradient calls, $\Delta > 0$. Special case $f(x,y) = F(x) - \frac{\mu}{2}\|y\|^2$; shift $\inf F$ to zero.	**(1)** extends single-level optimization to coupled minimax problems. Matches the leading $\varepsilon^{-7/2}$ rate on the overlap ($\mu = L$, fixed positive parameters).	**(1)** retains extra $L\Delta\sigma/\varepsilon^3$-type terms even at $\mu = L$.

Abbr: $\kappa = L/\mu$, $K = 1+\kappa$, $Q = \rho K/\varepsilon + L^2/(\varepsilon^2+\sigma^2)$; $\Lambda = 1 + \log(1+\kappa) + \log(1 + L\Delta/\varepsilon^2) + \log(1+\kappa^2\sigma^2/\varepsilon^2)$. IID: independent, identically distributed. One joint-gradient call returns the x and y components together.

Table 22: High-probability convex optimization under distance-growing second moments: TerminalClippingConvex.

Measurable f is convex on closed convex $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$; $x_0, x^\star \in X$, $x^\star \in \arg\min_X f$, and $\|x_0 - x^\star\| \le R$. IID samples have law ν and joint law P. The jointly measurable oracle satisfies $\int g(x,\omega)\, d\nu(\omega) \in \partial_X f(x)$ and $\int \|g(x,\omega)\|^2\, d\nu(\omega) \le B^2\|x - x_0\|^2 + G^2$ on X; exact measurable projection onto X is available. Here $B, G, \varepsilon > 0$, $R \ge 0$, $0 < \delta < 1$. The setup restricts algorithms to projected clipped subgradient updates with the current iterate as output. Target: $P\{f(x_T) - f(x^\star) > \varepsilon\} \le \delta$.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) ClippedBgLastIterate **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{(BR^2 + G^2/B)^2\ell^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$		
(2) Projected Halpern [5, Thm. 3.6]; derived bound via Markov	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{A^2}{\varepsilon^2\delta^2}\left[1 + \log\left(1 + \frac{A}{\varepsilon\delta}\right)\right]^2\right)$	**(1)**: On the same moment class, logarithmic instead of polynomial confidence cost; removes the accuracy logarithm at fixed confidence. Comparison is specifically with Markov conversion.	**(1)** needs a fixed horizon instead of an anytime schedule; substantially larger proved numerical constants.
(3) Clipped SGD [145, Thm. 3], $p = 2$	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{(\beta + R^2/\beta)^2(M^2 + \sigma^2)\ell^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$ Special case: M-bounded subgradients, uniform variance σ^2; $\beta > 0$, known σ, fixed horizon.	**(1)**: Matches this rate for $G^2 = M^2 + \sigma^2$, $B = G/\beta$, with last iterate instead of averaging. Scope: allows non-Lipschitz objectives and growing moments.	**(1)**: The $G^4/(B^2\varepsilon^2)$ term diverges as $B \downarrow 0$; bounded-moment tuning $B = G/R$ needs $R > 0$ known.
(4) Distance-adaptive clipped SGD [145, Thm. 6], $p = 2$	$\mathcal{O}\left(h + \frac{aM\ell}{\varepsilon} + z^2[1 + \log(1+z)]^2\right)$ Special case: M-bounded subgradients, known uniform variance bound σ^2; $r > 0$, $w_t = 1 + \log^2 t$.	**(1)**: Last iterate instead of a selected weighted average; extends to non-Lipschitz objectives with growing moments.	**(1)** is not distance-adaptive: $(\beta + R^2/\beta)^2$ mismatch when $B = G/\beta$. Loses anytime tuning.

Abbr: $\partial_X f(x) = \{v : f(y) \ge f(x) + \langle v, y - x\rangle\ \forall y \in X\}$; $\ell = 1 + \log(1/\delta)$; $A = BR^2 + G^2/B$. Costs count subgradient calls plus projection calls (one of each per update); on $X = \mathbb{R}^d$ projection is the identity. M bounds deterministic subgradients; σ is the central-noise scale; L is gradient Lipschitzness; p, α are central moment orders. In **(4)**, $h = 1 + \log(1 + R/r)$, $a = (r + R)h$, $z = a\sqrt{M^2 + \sigma^2\ell}/\varepsilon$. In **(6)**, $D = \max\{R\sigma, L^{1/5}R^{6/5}\sigma^{4/5}, L^{1/3}R^{4/3}\sigma^{2/3}\}$ and $\ell_s = 1 + \log((1 + LR^2/\varepsilon + D^3/\varepsilon^3)/\delta)$.

Table 23: TerminalClippingConvex (continued).

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(5) SGD [148, Thm. 3.5]	$\mathcal{O}\left(1+\frac{(M^2+\sigma^2\ell)R^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$ Special case: M-Lipschitz objective, sub-Gaussian noise of scale σ; R-tuned.	**(1)**: Extends the high-probability last-iterate guarantee to finite second moments and non-Lipschitz objectives with growing moments.	On the overlap, $G^2 = M^2+\sigma^2$, $B = G/R$, $R > 0$: **(1)** costs $\mathcal{O}\left(1+\frac{(M^2+\sigma^2)R^2\ell^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$, losing up to ℓ^2.
(6) Clipped SGD [40, Thm. 1, Cor. 1], $\alpha = 2$	$\mathcal{O}\left(1+\frac{LR^2\ell_s^2}{\varepsilon}+\frac{D^3\ell_s^6}{\varepsilon^3}\right)$ Special case: $X = \mathbb{R}^d$, L-smooth, uniform variance σ^2; $L, R, \sigma > 0$.	**(1)**: With $B = \sqrt{2}L$, $G^2 = 2L^2R^2+\sigma^2$, costs $\mathcal{O}\left(1+\frac{(LR^2+\sigma^2/L)^2\ell^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$; improves the accuracy dependence when $\varepsilon \le \min\{\sigma^3/(L^2R), L^2R^3/\sigma\}$. Scope: no smoothness needed. Updates can use supplied $G^2 \ge 2\|\nabla f(x_0)\|^2+\sigma^2$ instead of R.	**(1)** loses anytime tuning and coverage of $\alpha < 2$; worse deterministic term: L^2R^4/ε^2 instead of LR^2/ε, apart from logarithms.

Abbr: $\partial_X f(x) = \{v : f(y) \ge f(x) + \langle v, y-x\rangle\ \forall y \in X\}$; $\ell = 1+\log(1/\delta)$; $A = BR^2+G^2/B$. Costs count subgradient calls plus projection calls (one of each per update); on $X = \mathbb{R}^d$ projection is the identity. M bounds deterministic subgradients; σ is the central-noise scale; L is gradient Lipschitzness; p, α are central moment orders. In **(4)**, $h = 1+\log(1+R/r)$, $a = (r+R)h$, $z = a\sqrt{M^2+\sigma^2\ell}/\varepsilon$. In **(6)**, $D = \max\{R\sigma, L^{1/5}R^{6/5}\sigma^{4/5}, L^{1/3}R^{4/3}\sigma^{2/3}\}$ and $\ell_s = 1+\log((1+LR^2/\varepsilon+D^3/\varepsilon^3)/\delta)$.

Table 24: Third-derivative-smooth nonconvex optimization: ThirdDerivativeSmoothNonconvex.

On a finite-dimensional real inner-product space, $f \in C^3$ has L_3-Lipschitz third derivative, $f(x_0) - \inf f \le \Delta$, and $\|\nabla^2 f(x_0)\| \le H_0$, where $L_3, \Delta, \varepsilon > 0$ and $H_0 \ge 0$. Exact value/gradient and full-Hessian oracles are available. The target is $\|\nabla f(x_{\mathrm{out}})\| \le \varepsilon$ almost surely; **(1)** guarantees it for every seed. No global gradient- or Hessian-Lipschitz bound or algorithm restriction is imposed.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) LazyQuarticHessianTradeoff **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\big((1+S^{5/4})\ell + m(1+S^{3/4})\big)$ total; $\mathcal{O}(1+S^{3/4})$ Hessian calls.		
(2) [57], Thm. 3.5, Cor. 3.6 ($p=3$)	$\mathcal{O}\big(m\,[d^{1/3}\Delta\bar{L}^{1/3}\varepsilon^{-4/3} + d\{1+\log_+(L_3/L_{\mathrm{init}})\}]\big)$ total, counting trials and finite differences; $L_{\mathrm{init}} > 0$.	**(1)**: Dimension-free; for $S \ge 1$, $L_{\mathrm{init}} \asymp L_3$, Hessians $S^{3/4}$ instead of $d^{1/3}S + d$; total gain when $md^{1/3} \gg S^{1/4}\ell$. Example: $m = d$, $H_0 \le \beta$, $L_{\mathrm{init}} \asymp L_3$: $\mathcal{O}(d^{4/3}S + d^2)$ becomes $\mathcal{O}(S^{5/4}[1+\log S] + dS^{3/4})$.	**(1)** needs L_3, Δ, H_0; **(2)** adapts to L_3. For $m = d = 1$, $L_{\mathrm{init}} \asymp L_3$, $S \ge 1$: $\mathcal{O}(S)$ becomes $\mathcal{O}(S^{5/4}\ell)$.
(3) [94], first-order variant after (8); globally L_1-Lipschitz gradient	$\mathcal{O}\left(d(1+S)\left[1+\log_+\left(\frac{L_1^3}{L_3\varepsilon^2}\right)\right]\right)$ expected value/gradient calls; no Hessians.	**(1)**: Scope extends beyond global L_1; dimension-free. On the overlap, for $S \ge 1$, gains when $d \gg S^{1/4}$ and $m \ll dS^{1/4}$, up to logarithms.	**(1)** needs Hessians; larger cost when $d \lesssim S^{1/4}$, up to logarithms.
(4) [27], Thm. 2; globally L_1-Lipschitz gradient	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\Delta L_1^{1/2} L_3^{1/6}}{\varepsilon^{5/3}}\left[1+\log_+\left(\frac{L_1\Delta}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\right]\right)$ value/gradient calls; no Hessians. Valid for $\varepsilon^{2/3} \le \delta^{1/2}L_3^{1/6}$ and $8L_3^{1/3}\varepsilon^{2/3} \le L_1$.	**(1)**: Scope extends beyond global L_1, including quartic least squares. On the overlap, replaces $\sqrt{L_1}$ by $(L_3\Delta)^{1/4}$; total gain for $L_1 \gg \beta$ and $m \ll \Delta^{1/4}L_1^{1/2}L_3^{-1/12}\varepsilon^{-2/3}$, up to logarithms.	**(1)** needs Hessians and H_0; larger gradient prefactor when $L_1 < \beta$ within **(4)**'s admissible accuracy range.

Abbr: $d = \dim E$; $m \ge 1$ is the cost of one full Hessian in value/gradient-call units; total cost is $G + mH$, with G, H the respective call counts. $S = \Delta L_3^{1/3}\varepsilon^{-4/3}$; $\beta = \sqrt{L_3\Delta}$; $\ell = 1 + \log(1 + H_0\Delta/\varepsilon^2 + L_3\Delta^3/\varepsilon^4)$. L_1 is a global gradient-Lipschitz constant; L_{init} is **(2)**'s initial smoothness estimate and $\bar{L} = \max\{L_{\mathrm{init}}, L_3\}$. $\delta = f(x_0) - \inf f \le \Delta$; $\log_+ t = \max\{0, \log t\}$; $\asymp$ denotes equality up to universal factors.

Table 25: Exact-value optimization under ZerothOrderMatrixGeneralizedSmooth.

Convex $f:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}$ has a differentiable gradient and a minimizer $x^\star$, with $\|x_0-x^\star\|\le R$ and $f(x_0)-f(x^\star)\le\Delta$. An unknown symmetric matrix $0\preceq H\preceq I$ satisfies $\operatorname{tr} H\le r$ and $\nabla^2 f(x)\preceq(L_0+L_1\|\nabla f(x)\|_{H^{-1}})H$. Here $d\ge 1$, $L_0, r, R, \Delta, \varepsilon>0$, $L_1\ge 0$, and $0<\delta<1$. Access is to exact function values; the target is $\Pr\{f(\hat{x})-f(x^\star)>\varepsilon\}\le\delta$.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) ZerothOrderMatrixGeneralized Accelerated **(Ours)** ($\star\star\star$) (review)	$\mathcal{O}\Big(D\Big[\sqrt{\frac{d(r+1)L_0R^2}{\varepsilon}} + (r+1+L_1R\sqrt{d(r+1)})\ell\Big]\Big)$ function values, including independent runs and output selection.		
(2) RS-NAG [183], Thm. 3.3, Prop. 5.2. Derived bound: independent runs, best-value selection.	$\mathcal{O}\Big(D\Big[1+\sqrt{\frac{d(r+1)L_0R^2}{\varepsilon}}\Big]\Big)$ directional-derivative and selection-value calls. Special case $L_1=0$, $d\ge 2$; same probability target.	**(1)**: Scope extends to $L_1>0$. Values replace directional derivatives; at $L_1=0$, matches the leading rate.	**(1)**: Adds $\mathcal{O}(D(r+1)\ell)$ at $L_1=0$.
(3) AGD [214], Thm. 4.3; Euclidean reduction.	$\mathcal{O}\Big(1+\sqrt{\frac{L_0R^2}{\varepsilon}} + L_ER\log_+\min\Big\{\frac{L_E^2\Delta}{L_0},\frac{\Delta}{\varepsilon}\Big\} + \log_+\frac{\Delta}{L_0R^2}\Big)$ exact-gradient calls. Here $R=\|x_0-x^\star\|$, $\varepsilon<\Delta$; deterministic accuracy.	**(1)**: Values suffice; no $\mu_H^{-1/2}$ dependence from L_E. No established function-value cost comparison with **(3)**.	**(1)**: Probabilistic instead of deterministic accuracy; needs r additionally.
(4) Uniform coordinate acceleration [151], Thm. 6.	$\mathcal{O}\Big(d\widetilde{R}\sqrt{\frac{H_0}{\varepsilon}}+(1+d\widetilde{R}\sqrt{H_1})\ell_F\Big)$ partial-derivative, value, and exact segment-solve calls. Expected gap $\le\varepsilon$; gap local model (Assump. 2), known $f^\star$, $\widetilde{R}<\infty$, $\varepsilon\le F_0$.	**(1)**: Values replace derivatives and exact segment solves; R,Δ replace $f^\star,\widetilde{R}$. Function-value rates are not comparable: segment-solve cost is unbounded here.	
(5) Importance-sampled coordinate acceleration [151], Thm. 8.	$\mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{\widetilde{R}\sum_i\sqrt{H_{0,i}}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} + (1+\widetilde{R}\sum_i\sqrt{H_{1,i}})\ell_F\Big)$ partial-derivative, value, and exact segment-solve calls. Expected gap $\le\varepsilon$; coordinate local model (Assump. 7), known $f^\star$, $\widetilde{R}<\infty$, $\varepsilon\le F_0$.	**(1)**: No coordinate-curvature inputs; H remains unknown. Values replace derivatives and exact segment solves. Function-value rates are not comparable without segment-solve costs.	

Abbr: $D=1+\log(1/\delta)$; $\ell=\log(e+\Delta/\varepsilon)$; $\log_+ t=\max\{0,\log t\}$, with $\log_+ 0=0$; $\mu_H=\lambda_{\min}(H)$; $L_E=L_1/\sqrt{\mu_H}$ (or $L_E=L_1$ when $H=I$); $\|g\|_{H^{-1}}=\sqrt{g^\top H^{-1}g}$; $f^\star=f(x^\star)$; $F_0=f(x_0)-f^\star$; $\ell_F=1+\log(F_0/\varepsilon)$; $\widetilde{R}=\sup_{f(x)\le f(x_0)}\|x-x^\star\|$. H_0, H_1 and $H_{0,i}, H_{1,i}$ are the scalar and coordinate gap-local-model coefficients in **(4)** and **(5)**; they are not the matrix H.

Table 26: Fast Douglas–Rachford splitting under the DouglasRachfordSmoothConvex setup.

On a real Hilbert space, f is differentiable and convex with the global quadratic upper bound of parameter $L > 0$; C is convex and g is convex on C. Exact proximal minimizers of f and of g over C are available at fixed γ. A minimizer $x^\star \in C$ exists with $\|w_1 - x^\star - \gamma\nabla f(x^\star)\| \le R$. Assume $R, \varepsilon, \gamma > 0$, $0 < \lambda \le 1$, and $4\gamma L \le \lambda$. The constructed fast Douglas–Rachford method guarantees $y_N \in C$ and $F(y_N) - F(x^\star) \le \varepsilon$ deterministically for its last iterate.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) AcceleratedDouglasRachford Convex **(Ours)** ($\star\star$) (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{R}{\sqrt{\lambda\gamma\varepsilon}}\right)$ proximal calls. At $\lambda = 1$, $\gamma = 1/(4L)$: $\mathcal{O}(1 + \sqrt{LR^2/\varepsilon})$.		
(2) Fast Douglas–Rachford [191, Theorem 5]	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{R}{\sqrt{\lambda\gamma\varepsilon}}\right)$ proximal calls. Special case: finite-dimensional quadratic f, closed proper $g + \iota_C$; $0 < \gamma < 1/L$, $\lambda = (1 - \gamma L)/(1 + \gamma L)$.	**(1)**: Scope: extends the same method's accelerated last-iterate guarantee to nonquadratic smooth convex f. Matches the rate on the quadratic overlap; no problem-class speedup established.	**(1)** needs $4\gamma L \le \lambda$; excludes **(2)**'s optimal $\gamma = (\sqrt{2} - 1)/L$. Tuned finite-iteration constants are worse for $N \le 4$.

Abbr: $F = f + g$ on C; ι_C is the convex indicator of C; L is the smoothness parameter; R bounds the initial distance to $x^\star + \gamma\nabla f(x^\star)$; γ is the proximal parameter; λ is the relaxation; N is the iteration count; ε is the objective-gap tolerance. Costs count one evaluation of each proximal map per iteration.

Table 27: Monotone root finding under mixed Lipschitz–Hölder continuity: HolderMonotone.

On a finite-dimensional real inner-product space, $F : E \to E$ is monotone and satisfies $\|F(x) - F(y)\| \le L\|x - y\| + H\|x - y\|^\alpha$, with $L, H \ge 0$ and $0 < \alpha \le 1$. A zero $x_\star$ satisfies $\|x_0 - x_\star\| \le R$, and $0 < r_0 \le R$, $\varepsilon > 0$. The exact oracle returns $F(x)$; the target is $\|F(\hat{x})\| \le \varepsilon$. Result **(1)** uses only x_0, r_0, ε and returns a stopped iterate deterministically; the setup imposes no algorithm restriction.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) UniversalHolderMonotone **(Ours)** ($\star\star$) (review)	$\mathcal{O}((S + \log\rho)[1 + \log(S\rho)])$		
(2) PolyakEG-LS [241], Thm. 3.4(12), Prop. 3.5.	$\mathcal{O}\big(1 + R^2(2M/\varepsilon)^{2/\nu} + (\lambda R/\varepsilon)^2 + \log_+ \frac{(2M)^{1/\nu}}{\lambda\varepsilon^{(1-\nu)/\nu}}\big)$. Pure Hölder: $L = 0$, $(M, \nu) = (H, \alpha)$; pure Lipschitz: $H = 0$, $(M, \nu) = (L, 1)$.	**(1)**: For $L = 0$, $\alpha = 1/2$, $H = R = r_0 = 1$, fixed λ, $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$: $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-2}\log(1/\varepsilon))$ instead of $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-4})$. Scope: mixed continuity. Matches parameter-free updates. At $H = 0$, fixed L, R, r_0, λ, $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$: $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-1}\log(1/\varepsilon))$ instead of $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-2})$.	
(3) Fast composite extragradient [252], Thm. 2.	$\mathcal{O}_{H,R,\alpha}\big(1 + [\varepsilon^{-2}\ell_\alpha]^{1/(3\alpha-1)}\big)$. Comparison: $L = 0$, $G = 0$, one Hölder operator, $\alpha > 1/3$. Parameter dependence not explicit.	**(1)**: Fixed H, R, r_0, $1/3 < \alpha < 1$, $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$: exponent $1/\alpha$ instead of $2/(3\alpha - 1)$; no knowledge of H, α. Also covers $\alpha \le 1/3$.	**(1)**: Stopped rather than last iterate; extra logarithm at $\alpha = 1$. Equations in finite dimension rather than Hilbert-space inclusions with sums of operators.
(4) Tseng splitting [252], Thm. 1.	$\mathcal{O}_{H,R,\alpha}\big(1 + [\varepsilon^{-2}\ell_\alpha]^{1/\alpha}\big)$. Comparison: $L = 0$, $G = 0$, one Hölder operator. Parameter dependence not explicit.	**(1)**: Fixed H, R, r_0, α, $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$: exponent $1/\alpha$ instead of $2/\alpha$, up to logarithms; no knowledge of H, α.	**(1)**: Equations in finite dimension rather than Hilbert-space inclusions with sums of operators.
(5) Anchored extragradient [244], Cor. 2, Sec. 2.3.	$\mathcal{O}(1 + LR/\varepsilon)$. Special case $H = 0$; known L.	**(1)**: No knowledge of L; scope extends to mixed Lipschitz–Hölder continuity.	**(1)**: Logarithmic overhead at $H = 0$ (also radius-guess overhead); stopped rather than last iterate.

Abbr: $S = 1 + LR/\varepsilon + (8HR^\alpha/\varepsilon)^{1/\alpha}$; $\rho = R/r_0$; $\ell_\alpha = 1 + (1 - \alpha)\log_+(1/\varepsilon)$; $\log_+ t = \max\{0, \log t\}$, with $\log_+ 0 = 0$. Costs count all evaluations of F, including rejected trials. In **(2)**, $\lambda > 0$ is the initial inverse-step estimate; line-search constants are fixed at $A = 1/2$, $\beta = 3$. In **(3)**, **(4)**, G is the set-valued inclusion term; $\mathcal{O}_{H,R,\alpha}$ holds these parameters fixed.

Table 28: Noisy value-oracle convex optimization under ZerothOrderSmoothAndConvex.

A real Hilbert space is linearly isometric to $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 1$. The differentiable convex function f has L-Lipschitz gradient and a minimizer $x_\star$ with $\|x_0 - x_\star\| \leq R$. Each value query uses a fresh IID seed $\xi \sim p$: jointly measurable g satisfies $\int g(x,\xi)\, dp(\xi) = f(x)$ and $\int (g(x,\xi) - f(x))^2\, dp(\xi) \leq \sigma^2$, with these integrands integrable. Known $d, L, R, \sigma, \varepsilon$ satisfy $L, \varepsilon > 0$, $R, \sigma \geq 0$. Target: measurable output $\widehat{x}$ with integrable gap and $\int [f(\widehat{x}(\omega)) - f(x_\star)]\, dP(\omega) \leq \varepsilon$, where P is the execution law.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) AcceleratedTwoPointZeroth OrderConvex **(Ours)** ($\star\star$) (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{array}{l} 1 + d\sqrt{LR^2/\varepsilon} \\ + d^2 LR^2\sigma/\varepsilon^2 \\ + d^2 LR^2\sigma^2/\varepsilon^3 \end{array}\right)$		
(2) AcceleratedZerothOrderConvex **(Ours)** (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{array}{l} d + d\sqrt{LR^2/\varepsilon} \\ + d^2 LR^2\sigma/\varepsilon^2 \\ + d^3 LR^2\sigma^2/\varepsilon^3 \end{array}\right)$		
(3) Derived bound: retuned two-point SGD [15], Prop. 7, $\beta = 2$	$\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{array}{l} 1 + dLR^2/\varepsilon \\ + d^2 LR^2\sigma^2/\varepsilon^3 \\ + d^2 LR^2 \min\left\{\frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{d\sigma^2}{\varepsilon^3}\right\} \end{array}\right)$	**(1)**: $\mathcal{O}(d\sqrt{T})$ instead of $\mathcal{O}(dT)$ when $T \geq 1$, $\sigma \leq \varepsilon/(d\sqrt{T})$. Matches order for $T \geq 1$, $\sigma \geq \varepsilon/d$; unchanged quadratic-noise term.	
(4) Derived bound: box smoothing and AC-SA [118], Cor. 3	$\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{array}{l} d + d\sqrt{LR^2/\varepsilon} \\ + d^2 LR^2\sigma/\varepsilon^2 \\ + d^3 LR^2\sigma^2/\varepsilon^3 \end{array}\right)$ Independent coordinate differences; all $2d$ values per gradient included.	**(1)**: d^2 instead of d^3 in the quadratic-noise term. **(2)**: matches known rate; Lean formalization.	
(5) Two-point SGD [15], Prop. 7, published tuning	$\mathcal{O}\left(d^2 + \frac{d^2(LR^2 + \sigma^2/(LR^2))^3}{\varepsilon^3}\right)$ Rescaled unconstrained $\beta = 2$ guarantee; $T \geq 1$.	**(2)**: better bound for $\sigma \leq LR^2/\sqrt{d}$; at $\sigma = 0$, $\mathcal{O}(d\sqrt{T})$ instead of $\mathcal{O}(d^2T^3)$.	**(2)**: needs σ for tuning; up to d times worse near $\sigma = LR^2$ in the noise-dominated regime $LR^2/\sqrt{d} < \sigma < d^{1/4}LR^2$.

Abbr: Costs count individual function-value calls, including all batches. $T = LR^2/\varepsilon$; σ bounds noise standard deviation. In **(7)**, M is the Lipschitz constant of systematic error and δ bounds adversarial error. In **(8)**, G is a global Lipschitz constant, $S = \sigma + GR/d$, $\ell = 1 + \log\max\{1, d, GR, S/\varepsilon\}$, and ψ_2 denotes the sub-Gaussian Orlicz norm.

Table 29: ZerothOrderSmoothAndConvex (continued).

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(6) Accelerated random search [178], Thm. 9	$\mathcal{O}(1 + d\sqrt{LR^2/\varepsilon})$ Exact-value restriction: $\sigma = 0$.	**(1)**: matches noiseless rate and extends to independent finite-variance noise. **(2)**: matches for $T \geq 1$ and extends to noisy values.	
(7) [156], Thm. 4(b), $V = L$ (subsequent work)	$\mathcal{O}\big(d + dLR^2/\varepsilon + d^2LR^2\sigma^2/\varepsilon^3\big)$ Additional Hölder smoothness $\beta > 2$; $R > 0$. Allows $M \lesssim \varepsilon/R$, $\delta \lesssim \varepsilon^{3/2}/(R\sqrt{dL})$, with sufficiently small constants.	**(1)**: $\mathcal{O}(d\sqrt{T})$ instead of $\mathcal{O}(dT)$ when $T \geq 1$, $\sigma \leq \varepsilon/(d\sqrt{T})$, $M = \delta = 0$. **(1)**, **(2)**: no $\beta > 2$ requirement.	**(1)**, **(2)**: require unbiased values without systematic or adversarial errors.
(8) [124], Thm. 1; high-probability conversion	$N = \mathcal{O}\begin{pmatrix} 1 + d^3S^2\ell^8/\varepsilon^2 \\ + d^3S\ell^4/\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}$ Globally G-Lipschitz f, $GR \geq 1$; sub-Gaussian noise of ψ_2 norm $\leq \sigma$. Averaged-point gap $\leq \varepsilon$ with probability $\geq 1 - 7/N$.	**(1)**, **(2)**: permit finite-variance noise and non-Lipschitz objectives, including quadratics. Rates are not directly comparable across expected and high-probability criteria.	**(1)**, **(2)**: need smoothness; give expected accuracy only, rather than a high-probability guarantee.

Abbr: Costs count individual function-value calls, including all batches. $T = LR^2/\varepsilon$; σ bounds noise standard deviation. In **(7)**, M is the Lipschitz constant of systematic error and δ bounds adversarial error. In **(8)**, G is a global Lipschitz constant, $S = \sigma + GR/d$, $\ell = 1 + \log\max\{1, d, GR, S/\varepsilon\}$, and ψ_2 denotes the sub-Gaussian Orlicz norm.

Table 30: High-probability convex optimization under StochasticGeneralizedSmoothAndConvex.

Convex f on a separable real Hilbert space has differentiable gradient and $\|\nabla^2 f(x)\| \le L_0 + L_1\|\nabla f(x)\|$. A minimizer $x^\star$ satisfies $\|x_0 - x^\star\| \le R$ and $f(x_0) - f(x^\star) \le \Delta$. The jointly measurable oracle is unbiased, with integrable gradient and squared error, $\mathbb{E}\|g(x,\xi) - \nabla f(x)\|^2 \le \sigma^2$, and $\mathbb{E}\exp(\|g(x,\xi) - \nabla f(x)\|^2/\sigma^2) \le e$ for $\sigma > 0$; $\sigma = 0$ means exact gradients almost surely. Samples are IID with marginal p under probability law P. Parameters $L_0, R, \Delta, \varepsilon > 0$, $L_1, \sigma \ge 0$, and $\delta \in (0,1)$ are supplied. Target: measurable $\hat{x}$ with $P\{f(\hat{x}) - f(x^\star) > \varepsilon\} \le \delta$. Costs count individual stochastic-gradient samples, including batches and trials.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) StochasticGeneralizedAcceleratedConvex **(Ours)** $(\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{L_0 R^2}{\varepsilon}} + \min\{\lceil t^{2/3}\rceil \ell,\ \ell + (t\ell)^{2/3}\} + \frac{\sigma^2 R^2}{\varepsilon^2}\log\frac{e}{\delta}\right)$		
(2) ARC-SG [60, Thm. 3] Finite-dimensional case.	$\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(1 + t + \sqrt{\frac{(1+t)L_0 R^2}{\varepsilon}} + (1+t)^3\frac{\sigma^2 R^2}{\varepsilon^2} + (1+t)^3\frac{\sigma^2 L_1^2}{L_0^2}\right)$	**(1)** removes $(1+t)^{1/2}$ from optimization and $(1+t)^3$ from noise, plus burn-in: polynomial termwise gains for $t \gg 1$. Scope: separable Hilbert spaces.	**(1)**'s curvature term $\ell + (t\ell)^{2/3}$ can exceed t through logarithms when $\ell^2 \gtrsim t$; no uniform total-cost dominance.
(3) RCSAG [154, Thm. 9] Derived bound: finite-dimensional case, $p = 2$, additive noise, $Q = B(x_0, R)$.	$\mathcal{O}\left(\left[\ell + \sqrt{\frac{L_0 R^2}{\varepsilon}} + tJ + \frac{\sigma^2 R^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right]\log\frac{e\ell}{\delta}\right)$	**(1)**: tJ becomes $\ell + (t\ell)^{2/3}$. For $\sigma = 0$, $\Delta = L_0 R^2$, $\varepsilon = L_0 R^2/t^{4/3}$, $t \to \infty$, fixed δ: $\mathcal{O}(t^{2/3}\log^{2/3} t)$ versus **(3)**'s $\mathcal{O}(t \log t \log\log t)$. Otherwise unchanged terms can dominate.	**(1)** needs light tails and batching; **(3)** allows finite pth moments, $1 < p \le 2$, and gradient/gap-dependent noise. Logarithmic losses possible when $L_1^2\Delta \lesssim L_0$ and $\ell^2 \gg t$.
(4) Clipped SGD [69, Thm. 1] Finite-dimensional case.	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \left(\frac{L_0 R^2}{\varepsilon} + t^2\right)\log\frac{eT}{\delta} + \frac{\sigma^2 R^2}{\varepsilon^2}\log^3\frac{eT}{\delta}\right)$	**(1)**: optimization $L_0 R^2/\varepsilon \to \sqrt{L_0 R^2/\varepsilon}$; startup $t^2 \to \ell + (t\ell)^{2/3}$. For $\sigma^2 \log(e/\delta) \le L_0\varepsilon$, the optimization-term gain persists; total savings depend on noise/startup. Noise-dominated gain: $\log^3(eT/\delta) \to \log(e/\delta)$. Scope: Hilbert spaces; stopped iterate instead of averaging.	**(1)** additionally needs Δ and batching. **(4)** uses two samples per step.

Abbr: $t = L_1 R$, $\ell = 1 + \log(1 + \Delta/\varepsilon)$, $J = \min\{\ell, 1 + \log(1 + L_1^2\Delta/L_0)\}$. In **(3)**, $H_0 = \mathcal{O}(L_0)$, $H_1 = \mathcal{O}(L_1^2)$, $\sigma_0 = \sigma$, $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 0$; p there is the moment order. T is the sufficient step count in **(4)** (two calls per step), defined implicitly by its bound. $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$ in **(2)** suppresses polylogarithms in $1 + t$, $1 + L_1^2\Delta/L_0$, $1 + L_0 R^2/\varepsilon$, and $1/\delta$. $\lceil\cdot\rceil$ denotes upward rounding.

C Additional Comparisons

The following tables complement the results discussed in Section 4 and Appendix B. Here, we present our additional results and compare them with previous approaches. See also other new results on our website. The following tables are AI-generated using the script `run_results_table_prompt.py`.

Table 31: Affine-variance stochastic optimization with mean-squared smoothness (AffineVarianceMeanSquaredSmooth).

On a separable real Hilbert space, f is differentiable with L-Lipschitz gradient and $f(x_0) - \inf f \le \Delta$. The jointly measurable, unbiased oracle uses IID samples from p and satisfies $\mathbb{E}_p\|g(x,\xi) - \nabla f(x)\|^2 \le \Gamma^2\|\nabla f(x)\|^2 + \Lambda^2$ and $\mathbb{E}_p\|g(x,\xi) - g(y,\xi)\|^2 \le \bar{L}^2\|x-y\|^2$, with the corresponding integrability assumptions. Here $L, \varepsilon > 0$ and $\bar{L}, \Gamma, \Lambda, \Delta \ge 0$. Target: $\int \|\nabla f(x_{\mathrm{out}}(\omega))\|\, dP(\omega) \le \varepsilon$. The setup permits any algorithm using same-sample pair queries.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) RecursiveMomentumAffine Variance **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{array}{c} 1+\Gamma^4+\Lambda^2/\varepsilon^2 \\ +(L+\bar{L})(1+\Gamma)\Delta/\varepsilon^2 \\ +(L+\bar{L})\Lambda\Delta/\varepsilon^3 \end{array}\right)$ pair calls, including initialization.		
(2) SGD [108], Cor. 1; $A=0$, $B=1+\Gamma^2$, $C=\Lambda^2$.	$\mathcal{O}\left(1+\frac{(1+\Gamma^2)L\Delta}{\varepsilon^2}+\frac{L\Lambda^2\Delta}{\varepsilon^4}\right)$ gradient calls. Euclidean specialization; no MSS required.	**(1)**: for $L \le \bar{L}$, $\Lambda = 0$, $\Gamma L \gg \bar{L}$, $\Gamma^3\varepsilon^2 \lesssim \bar{L}\Delta$, speedup $\asymp \Gamma L/\bar{L}$. For fixed $\Lambda > 0$, $\varepsilon^{-4} \to \varepsilon^{-3}$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$; crossover $\varepsilon \lesssim L\Lambda/\bar{L}$.	**(1)** needs MSS and paired evaluations; adds Γ^4. Its ε^{-2} coefficient is worse by $\asymp (1+\Gamma)\bar{L}/((1+\Gamma^2)L)$ when $L \le \bar{L}$ and $\bar{L} \gtrsim \Gamma L$.
(3) SPIDER [38], Thm. 4, $L_1 \to 0$; derived bound.	$\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{array}{c} 1+\Gamma^2+\Lambda^2/\varepsilon^2 \\ +(1+\Gamma^2)\bar{L}\Delta/\varepsilon^2 \\ +(1+\Gamma)\bar{L}\Lambda\Delta/\varepsilon^3 \end{array}\right)$ pair calls. Euclidean stochastic-gradient specialization; sufficiently small ε with remaining parameters fixed.	**(1)**: for $L \le \bar{L}$, replaces $1+\Gamma^2$ by $1+\Gamma$ and removes $1+\Gamma$ from the ε^{-3} coefficient. At $\Gamma = 0$, matches known rate.	**(1)** adds Γ^4, absorbed when $\Gamma^3\varepsilon^2 \lesssim \bar{L}\Delta$; coefficient gains need not dominate total cost.
(4) Minibatched SPIDER [38], Thm. 4; derived bound, $\Gamma \ge 1$, $b \asymp \Gamma^2$.	$\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{array}{c} 1+\Gamma^2+\Lambda^2/\varepsilon^2 \\ +(\Gamma^2 L+\Gamma\bar{L})\Delta/\varepsilon^2 \\ +(\Gamma L+\bar{L})\Lambda\Delta/\varepsilon^3 \end{array}\right)$ primitive pair calls, counting every batch; same specialization and small-ε regime as **(3)**.	**(1)**: for $L \le \bar{L}$, ε^{-3} coefficient gains $(\Gamma L+\bar{L})/\bar{L}$. At $\Lambda = 0$, speedup $\asymp \Gamma L/\bar{L}$ if $\Gamma L \gg \bar{L}$ and $\Gamma^3\varepsilon^2 \lesssim \bar{L}\Delta$; no ε^{-2} gain when $\Gamma L \lesssim \bar{L}$.	**(1)** pays Γ^4 instead of Γ^2 initialization.

Abbr: MSS: mean-squared smoothness; $\bar{L}$: its constant; Γ: relative-noise parameter; Λ: additive-noise parameter; Δ: initial objective-gap bound. A pair call evaluates g at two points using one sample (at most two primitive gradient evaluations). P is the execution law, including output randomization. $\lesssim$ and $\asymp$ suppress universal factors. In **(2)**, A, B, C are the second-moment coefficients; in **(3)**, L_1 is the generalized-smoothness coefficient. In **(4)**, b is the batch size, with effective parameters $\Gamma_b \le 1$, $\Lambda_b = \Lambda/\Gamma$, $\bar{L}_b \le L + \bar{L}/\Gamma$ up to universal factors.

Table 32: Exact-comparison smooth convex optimization: ComparisonSmoothConvex.

Convex differentiable $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, $n \geq 1$, with L-Lipschitz gradient and a minimizer $x_\star$ satisfying $\|x_0 - x_\star\| \leq R$; $L, R, \varepsilon > 0$. The oracle returns $\mathbf{1}[f(x) \leq f(y)]$. Target: $f(x_{\text{out}}) - f(x_\star) \leq \varepsilon$ almost surely; **(1)** is deterministic and uses the public $n, L, R, \varepsilon, x_0$.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) ComparisonOrthConvex **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}((1+\sqrt{T})(n\ell + \ell^3))$ comparisons		
(2) Comparison-AdaNGD [246, Thm. 2]	$\mathcal{O}(n(1+T)\ell)$ comparisons	**(1)**: $\sqrt{T}$ instead of T for $T \geq 1$, $n \geq \ell^2$; last iterate instead of comparison-selected best iterate.	**(1)** adds $\mathcal{O}((1+\sqrt{T})\ell^3)$ search overhead.
(3) Comparison cutting plane [246, Thm. 3]	$\mathcal{O}(n^2\ell)$ comparisons	**(1)**: gain $n/\sqrt{T}$ when $1 \leq T < n^2$, $n \geq \ell^2$. At $T = n$: $\mathcal{O}(n^{3/2}\log n)$ versus $\mathcal{O}(n^2 \log n)$.	**(1)** loses $\sqrt{T}/n$ for $T > n^2$; polynomial rather than logarithmic accuracy dependence.
(4) OrderACDM [155, Thm. 4.1]; μ-strongly convex restriction	$\mathcal{O}\left(n\sqrt{L/\mu}\,[1 + \log_+(LR^2/\varepsilon)]\, C_{\text{ls}}\right)$ comparisons, uniform coordinate bounds; expected accuracy	**(1)**: scope extends to $\mu = 0$; deterministic instead of expected accuracy. Rates are not comparable at $\mu = 0$.	**(1)** lacks linear convergence for $\mu > 0$ and coordinate-smoothness gains on anisotropic instances.
(5) [232, Thm. 3.3]; unique-minimizer restriction	$\mathcal{O}(n\sqrt{T})$ exact values, $T \geq 1$; queries in $B(x_0, 2R)$, output in $B(x_0, R)$	**(1)** uses comparisons instead of values on the common unique-minimizer class.	**(1)** adds logarithmic factors and $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{T}\ell^3)$ overhead; no bounded-query guarantee.

Abbr: $T = LR^2/\varepsilon$; $\ell = 1 + \log(n(1+T))$; $\log_+ u = \max\{0, \log u\}$; $\mu > 0$ is the strong-convexity modulus. C_{ls} is the comparison cost of the line searches per OrderACDM iteration (logarithmic precision dependence, suppressed in its published oracle bound).

Table 33: Composite gap-curvature acceleration: CompositeGapSmooth.

In a real Hilbert space E, minimize $F = f + \psi$ over convex Q, with globally convex differentiable f and real-valued ψ convex on Q. Exact gradients and exact minimizers of $\psi(u) + \langle v, u - z\rangle + \|u - z\|^2/(2a)$ over Q are available for every $a > 0, z, v$. For $x_0, x_\star \in Q$, assume $x_\star \in \arg\min_Q F$, $\|x_0 - x_\star\| \le R$, and $F(x_0) - F(x_\star) \le \Delta$, with $H_0, H_1 \ge 0$ and $R, \Delta, \varepsilon > 0$. For every $x \in Q$ and ambient $y \in E$ with $\sqrt{H_1}\|y - x\| \le 1$, assume $f(y) \le f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y - x\rangle + [H_0 + H_1(F(x) - F(x_\star))]\|y - x\|^2$. Target: a deterministic $\widehat{x} \in Q$ with $F(\widehat{x}) - F(x_\star) \le \varepsilon$.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) CompositeGapAccelerated **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\big([1 + R\sqrt{H_0/\varepsilon + H_1}]\,\ell \cdot [1 + \log(1 + R^2(H_0/\varepsilon + H_1))]\big)$ gradient plus prox calls.		
(2) RCSAG [154], Thm. 9, noise-free specialization	$\mathcal{O}\big([\ell + R\sqrt{H_0/\varepsilon} + R\sqrt{H_1}\,\ell](1 + \log \ell)\big)$ gradient calls; closed-form ball projections. Special case: $Q = E = \mathbb{R}^d$, $\psi = 0$, $\varepsilon \le \Delta$.	**(1)**: Scope extends to nonsmooth composite objectives and constraints, including $\nabla f(x_\star) \ne 0$, using ordinary prox calls.	**(1)**: Extra logarithmic factors on the smooth overlap, including the restart logarithm on $R\sqrt{H_0/\varepsilon}$.
(3) [163], repository result	$\mathcal{O}\big(R\sqrt{(L_0 + L_1 G)/\varepsilon} + (1 + L_1 R)\big[1 + \log\big(1 + (1 + L_1 R)\sqrt{\Delta/(L_0 R^2)}\big)\big]\big)$ gradient plus prox calls. Requires composite (L_0, L_1)-smoothness, $L_0 > 0$, and $\|\nabla f(x_\star)\| \le G$.	**(1)**: Total-gap curvature replaces gradient-norm curvature; no G needed to stop. For the δ-family, $\varepsilon = \delta \downarrow 0$: $\mathcal{O}(\delta^{-3/2}\log^2(1/\delta))$ versus a startup term at least δ^{-2}.	
(4) Derived bound: Moreau smoothing + [154], Thm. 9	$\mathcal{O}\big([\ell + R'\sqrt{H_0/\varepsilon} + R' G_\psi/\varepsilon + R'\sqrt{H_1}\,\ell](1 + \log \ell)\big)$ gradient plus prox calls. Requires $Q = E = \mathbb{R}^d$, globally G_ψ-Lipschitz ψ, $G_\psi > 0$, $\varepsilon \le \Delta$, and a smoothed minimizer within known radius R'.	**(1)**: Removes $R' G_\psi/\varepsilon$ and the Lipschitz restriction. For $R' = \mathcal{O}(R)$, polynomial gain when $G_\psi/\sqrt{H_0\varepsilon}$ dominates logarithmic overhead and remaining terms; also covers constraints.	**(1)**: Extra logarithms. General total rates are not comparable without control of R'/R.
(5) [152], Thm. 5	$\mathcal{O}\big(\ell + \widetilde{R}\sqrt{H_0/\varepsilon} + \widetilde{R}\sqrt{H_1}\,\ell\big)$ gradient, value, and exact segment-minimization calls, counting each segment solve once. Requires $Q = E = \mathbb{R}^d$, $\psi = 0$, finite $\widetilde{R}$, and $\varepsilon \le F(x_0) - F(x_\star)$.	**(1)**: Extends to composite constraints; needs only initial-distance radius R, no exact line search or function values. On this smooth restriction, prox updates are closed form.	**(1)**: Extra logarithmic factors when $\widetilde{R} = \mathcal{O}(R)$.

Abbr: $\ell = 1 + \log(1 + \Delta/\varepsilon)$. L_0, L_1 are gradient-norm smoothness constants; G bounds $\|\nabla f(x_\star)\|$. G_ψ is the Lipschitz constant of ψ; R' bounds initial distance to a minimizer of $f + \psi_\lambda$, where ψ_λ is the Moreau envelope and $\lambda = \varepsilon/G_\psi^2$. $\widetilde{R} = \sup_{f(x) \le f(x_0)} \|x - x_\star\|$. The δ-family is $f(x, y) = 2e^{\delta y}\cosh x$, $\psi(x, y) = |x| + 2\delta|y|$, $Q = \mathbb{R}^2$, $x_0 = (0, 1/\delta)$, $0 < \delta \le 1$, with $R = 1/\delta$, $\Delta = 2e$, $H_0 = 2e$, $H_1 = e$ and $L_1 \ge 1/\delta$.

Table 34: Composite optimization with a Lipschitz Hessian: CompositeLipschitzHessian.

In a finite-dimensional real inner-product space E, $f \in C^2(E)$ is convex and $\|\nabla^2 f(x) - \nabla^2 f(y)\| \le H\|x - y\|$ globally. The function ψ is convex on C with closed epigraph over C; $x_0, x_\star \in C$, $x_\star$ minimizes $F = f + \psi$ on C, and $\|x_0 - x_\star\| \le R$. Parameters $H, R, \varepsilon > 0$ and integer $m \ge 1$ are supplied. Oracles provide exact gradients, Hessians, and exact convex cubic/positive-definite quadratic composite model solves. Target: a feasible x with $F(x) - F(x_\star) \le \varepsilon$ almost surely.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) CompositeLazyCubic Acceleration **(Ours)** ($\star\star\star$) (review)	$\mathcal{O}\big(\log^4(2+m)\log(2+T/m^3) \cdot (m + m^{1/7}T^{2/7})\big)$		
(2) A-NPE [170], Thms. 6.4, 7.15 (2012 revision)	$\mathcal{O}\big(m(1+T^{2/7})\ell\big)$	**(1)**: at $m = T^{1/3} \to \infty$, $\mathcal{O}(T^{1/3}\log^4(2+T))$ instead of $\mathcal{O}(T^{13/21}\ell)$. Requires gradients/model solves costing $1/m$ of Hessians; no gain at $m = 1$.	**(1)** needs global smoothness, exact cubic solves rather than inexact quadratic solves; up to $m^{1/7} + m/T^{2/7}$ more solves, ignoring logs ($T \ge 1$).
(3) [249], Thm. 4.1, v1 (preprint)	$\mathcal{O}\big((m + m^{1/7}T^{2/7})\log^{11/3}(2+m)\big)$	**(1)** extends **(3)** from $\psi = 0$, $C = E$ to closed convex composite objectives using exact composite model solves; matches polynomial dependence on the overlap.	**(1)** loses a factor $\log^{1/3}(2+m)\log(2+T/m^3)$ on the overlap, with much larger explicit constants.
(4) CALEN [32], Thm. 5.1, v3	$\mathcal{O}\big((m + m^{13/21}T^{2/7})\log(2+m)\big)$, $m \ge 2$	**(1)** extends **(4)** from $\psi = 0$, $C = E$ to composite objectives. On the overlap: $m^{1/7}$ instead of $m^{13/21}$ in the accuracy term, before logarithms; **(3)** already attains this power.	**(1)** adds logarithmic overhead and much larger explicit constants; the improved power does not imply uniform total-cost dominance.

Abbr: $T = HR^3/\varepsilon$; $\ell = 1 + |\log\varepsilon| + |\log H| + |\log R|$. Costs are $G + M + m\mathcal{H}$, where $G, M, \mathcal{H}$ count gradient, composite model, and Hessian calls, including inner iterations and search trials. For $\psi = 0$, $C = E$, model solutions use only query data and are internal computation, so oracle cost is $G + m\mathcal{H}$. Logarithms are natural.

Table 35: Compositional entropic risk under the CompositionalEntropicRisk setup.

Minimize $F(w) = n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log m_i(w)$ over a nonempty closed convex set W in a separable real Hilbert space, where $m_i(w) = \int e^{s_i(w;\xi)}\, dp_i(\xi)$. Jointly measurable scores and subgradients satisfy $s_i(w;\xi) \in [c_0, c_1]$, $s_i(y;\xi) \geq s_i(w;\xi) + \langle g_i(w;\xi), y - w\rangle$, and, uniformly on W, $\int \|g_i\|^2 dp_i \leq G^2$, $\int e^{2s_i} dp_i \leq \kappa m_i^2$, $\int e^{2s_i}\|g_i\|^2 dp_i \leq \sigma^2 m_i^2$. Here $n, B \geq 1$, $G > 0$, $\kappa \geq 1$, $\sigma, R \geq 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $w_0, w_\star \in W$, with $w_\star$ minimizing F and $\|w_0 - w_\star\| \leq R$. The oracle provides exact projection and IID uniform-anchor draws, each with two conditionally independent score–subgradient samples from p_i. Target: $\int [F(\bar{w}(\omega)) - F(w_\star)]\, dP(\omega) \leq \varepsilon$ under the IID execution law P; **(1)** constructs the SCENT average.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) ScentCompositionalEntropicRisk **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{array}{l} B + \dfrac{(B+1)\kappa G^2 R^2 (1 + e^{\Delta}\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^2} \\ + \dfrac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2}\Big(e^{\Delta}\min\{\sigma^2, e^{\Delta}G^2\}R^2 \\ \quad + ne^{\Delta}[1 + (1+\Delta)(\kappa - 1)]\Big) \end{array}\right)$. Sequential sampling with replacement; total calls minimized at $B = 1$.		
(2) SCENT [231], Thm. 3.6 and Assumption 4.1. Derived bound, $B = 1$; finite-dimensional, differentiable-score specialization.	$\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{array}{l} \dfrac{e^{2\Delta}\min\{\sigma^2, G^2\}R^2}{\varepsilon^2} \\ 1 + \quad + \dfrac{n(1+\Delta)e^{2\Delta}\min\{\kappa - 1, 1\}}{\varepsilon^2} \\ \quad + \dfrac{ne^{\Delta}}{\varepsilon} \end{array}\right)$.	**(1)**: Method-specific rate gain for joint optimization, including $n \geq 2$. For $B = 1$, $\varepsilon \leq e^{-\Delta}$, primal savings up to e^{Δ} when $\kappa G^2 \lesssim e^{\Delta}\sigma^2 \leq e^{2\Delta}G^2$; anchor savings factor $\frac{e^{\Delta}(1+\Delta)\min\{\kappa-1,1\}}{1+(1+\Delta)(\kappa-1)}$ when > 1. Rademacher linear-score example: $\Delta = 4r \geq 1$, $\kappa = G^2 = 2$, $\sigma^2 = 4$, $\varepsilon \leq e^{-\Delta}$: $\mathcal{O}(e^{\Delta}[R^2 + n(1+\Delta)]/\varepsilon^2)$ instead of $\mathcal{O}(e^{2\Delta}[R^2 + n(1+\Delta)]/\varepsilon^2)$.	**(1)**: At $\kappa = 1$, anchor cost $ne^{\Delta}/\varepsilon^2$ instead of ne^{Δ}/ε; worse when $n \gg e^{\Delta}G^2R^2$. Extra primal term $e^{\Delta}\kappa G^2R^2/\varepsilon$.
(3) Joint projected SGD [175], §2.2, (2.15). Derived bound on $W \times [c_0, c_1]^n$; finite-dimensional specialization.	$\mathcal{O}\Big(1 + \frac{e^{2\Delta}(GR + \sqrt{n}\,\Delta)^2}{\varepsilon^2}\Big)$.	**(1)**: In the Rademacher regime stated for **(2)**, total cost $\mathcal{O}(e^{\Delta}[R^2 + n(1+\Delta)]/\varepsilon^2)$ instead of $\mathcal{O}(e^{2\Delta}[R + \sqrt{n}\,\Delta]^2/\varepsilon^2)$.	

Abbr: $\Delta = c_1 - c_0$; n is the number of anchors; B is the number of sample calls per SCENT iteration. Costs count all sample and projection calls: an iteration in **(1)** uses B paired-sample calls and one projection, equivalently $2B$ primitive score–subgradient evaluations and one projection up to universal factors. P is the probability law of the execution, and $\bar{w}$ its averaged output. In the example, $s_i(w;\xi) = \langle a_i, w\rangle + u(\xi)\langle b_i, w\rangle$, $u = \pm 1$ equiprobably, $\|a_i\|, \|b_i\| \leq 1$, and W is a radius-r ball; the displayed moment constants are valid upper bounds.

Table 36: Comparison for CovarianceBoundedConvex.

Convex, globally L-Lipschitz $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ has a minimizer $x_\star$ with $\|x_\star\| \le R$; $d \ge 1$, $L, R, \varepsilon > 0$, $\sigma \ge 0$. IID samples have law p under probability measure P. The jointly measurable oracle is integrable, with $\int g(x,\omega)\,dp = v(x) \in \partial f(x)$, integrable squared noise, and $\int \langle g(x,\omega) - v(x), u\rangle^2\,dp \le \sigma^2\|u\|^2/d$. Target: measurable $\hat{x}$ with $P\{f(\hat{x}) - f(x_\star) > \varepsilon\} \le 1/3$.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) CovarianceSoftCutConvex **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}((d + \sigma^2 R^2/\varepsilon^2)\ell^5)$		
(2) [167, Cor. 3, v1]; special case: directional exponential tails	$\mathcal{O}((d + \sigma^2 R^2/\varepsilon^2)\,\mathrm{polylog}(d, 1 + LR/\varepsilon))$	**(1)**: directional second moments replace exponential tails; includes finite-variance noise without third moments. Matches polynomial rate on the exponential-tail subclass.	**(1)**: explicit ℓ^5 overhead may be larger.
(3) [167, Cor. 4, v1]; trace-bounded noise	$\mathcal{O}((d + d\sigma^2 R^2/\varepsilon^2)\,\mathrm{polylog}(d, 1 + LR/\varepsilon))$	**(1)**: noise term $\sigma^2 R^2/\varepsilon^2$ instead of $d\sigma^2 R^2/\varepsilon^2$, up to logarithms; material when $\sigma^2 R^2/\varepsilon^2 \gtrsim 1$ and $d \gg \ell^5$.	**(1)** needs directional covariance bound; trace bound alone is insufficient. Anisotropic covariance C requires $\sigma^2 \ge d\|C\|_{\mathrm{op}}$.
(4) SGD [167, §1, v1]; expected-gap bound plus Markov	$\mathcal{O}(1 + (L^2 + \sigma^2)R^2/\varepsilon^2)$	**(1)**: deterministic term $d\ell^5$ instead of L^2R^2/ε^2; total gain when $(d + \sigma^2 R^2/\varepsilon^2)\ell^5 \ll L^2R^2/\varepsilon^2$.	**(1)**: noise logarithms, directional covariance restriction, exact centroids; worse when $d \gtrsim L^2R^2/\varepsilon^2$.

Abbr: $\ell = 1 + \log(d(1 + LR/\varepsilon))$; costs count all stochastic subgradient calls. polylog denotes the unspecified logarithmic factors in the cited corollaries, at fixed success probability. In **(2)**, for unit u, $p\{|\langle g - v, u\rangle| \ge t\} \le 2e^{-t\sqrt{d}/\sigma}$ (covariance scale at most 2σ). C denotes noise covariance. Exact centroid arithmetic in **(1)** is uncharged.

Table 37: Comparison for DiagonalSmoothStronglyConvex.

Differentiable $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$, $d \geq 1$, satisfies $\frac{\mu}{2}\|y-x\|_w^2 \leq f(y) - f(x) - \langle \nabla f(x), y-x\rangle \leq \frac{1}{2}\|y-x\|_w^2$ for all x, y, with unknown $w_i > 0$ and $0 < \mu \leq 1$. A minimizer $x_\star$ exists, its value $f_\star$ is known, and $f(x_0) - f_\star \leq \Delta$, $\Delta \geq 0$. The target is $f(\hat{x}) - f(x_\star) \leq \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$, deterministically, using exact $(f, \nabla f)$ calls. In **(1)**, updates use only $d, x_0, f_\star$; the stopping budget uses μ, Δ, ε.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) OnlineMetricAccelerated **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}((\sqrt{\kappa_\star} + \log(2d))\ell + H)$		
(2) Derived bound: secant diagonal + restarted AGD [198, Prop. 4.1]	$\mathcal{O}(d + \kappa_\star \ell)$. Secants $s_i = \partial_i f(x_0 + e_i) - \partial_i f(x_0)$; $\mu w_i \leq s_i \leq w_i$.	**(1)**: $\sqrt{\kappa_\star}$ instead of $\kappa_\star$ in the accuracy term; total gain when $\sqrt{\kappa_\star} \gg \log(2d)$ and $\ell \gg H/\kappa_\star$.	**(1)**: larger warm-up at moderate accuracy or large d; larger leading constants.
(3) Ellipsoid multidimensional backtracking [116, Thm. 5.3, Prop. 3.2]	$\mathcal{O}\Big(\sqrt{d}\,\kappa_\star \ell + d[1 + \log_+(L/\alpha_0)]\Big)$, including rejected trials. Initial ellipsoid must contain an optimal diagonal preconditioner.	**(1)**: leading coefficient $\sqrt{\kappa_\star} + \log(2d)$ instead of $\sqrt{d}\,\kappa_\star$; asymptotic gain approaches $\sqrt{d\kappa_\star}$ when $\sqrt{\kappa_\star} \gg \log(2d)$. Removes initial-guess logarithm.	**(1)** needs $f_\star$; adds H warm-up. Total saving requires sufficiently small ε.
(4) Lookahead OSGM-R [71, Eq. (5), Thms. 6.1–6.2]	$\mathcal{O}(\min\{\kappa_E \ell,$ $\kappa_F[\ell + L^2\|L^{-1}I - P_F\|_F^2]\})$. $P_1 = L^{-1}I$; two gradient evaluations per iteration, counted as paired calls.	**(1)**: $\sqrt{\kappa_\star} + \log(2d)$ instead of κ_F in the accuracy term; gain for $\kappa_F \asymp \kappa_\star \gg \log^2(2d)$ and sufficiently small ε. Warm-up independent of weight spread.	**(1)** can lose when full-matrix scaling gives $\kappa_F \ll \kappa_\star$, or when the $\kappa_E \ell$ branch is small.
(5) Euclidean AGD + known-value restarts [198, Prop. 4.1]	$\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\kappa_E}\,\ell)$. $\kappa_E \leq \kappa_\star \max_i w_i / \min_i w_i$; equality is possible.	**(1)**: gain for $\kappa_E \gg \kappa_\star + \log^2(2d)$ and $\ell \gg H/\sqrt{\kappa_E}$, including sufficiently anisotropic worst-case instances.	**(1)** pays H; can lose when Euclidean conditioning is already favorable.

Abbr: $\|v\|_w^2 = \sum_i w_i v_i^2$; $\kappa_\star = 1/\mu$; $\ell = 1 + \log_+(\Delta/\varepsilon)$; $\log_+ t = \max\{0, \log t\}$ for $t > 0$, $\log_+ 0 = 0$; $H = d^2 \log^2(2d)\sqrt{\kappa_\star}(1 + d\log\kappa_\star)$. L, m are Euclidean smoothness and strong-convexity constants, $\kappa_E = L/m$; κ_F and P_F are the optimal full-matrix condition number and corresponding scaling in **(4)**. $\|\cdot\|_F$ is the Frobenius norm; I is the identity; e_i is the ith coordinate vector; α_0 is the initial ellipsoid parameter in **(3)**; AGD denotes accelerated gradient descent.

Table 38: Comparison for GeneralizedAffineHessianVector.

On Euclidean $\mathbb{R}^d$, f has a continuously differentiable gradient, $f \geq m$, $f(x_0) - m \leq \Delta$, and $\|\nabla^2 f(x)\| \leq L_0 + L_1\|\nabla f(x)\|$. Jointly measurable, integrable, unbiased gradient and Hessian-vector estimators $g(x,\xi), h(x,v,\xi)$ use IID samples of law P_0, with joint sample law P. For every x, v, their integrable central moments satisfy $\mathbb{E}_{P_0}\|g - \nabla f(x)\|^p \leq \sigma_g^p + \alpha_g^p\|\nabla f(x)\|^p$ and $\mathbb{E}_{P_0}\|h - \nabla^2 f(x)v\|^q \leq (\sigma_h^q + \alpha_h^q\|\nabla f(x)\|^q)\|v\|^q$, where $1 < p, q \leq 2$. All scale parameters and Δ are nonnegative; $\varepsilon > 0$. Target: a measurable returned point X with $\int \|\nabla f(X)\|\, dP \leq \varepsilon$. Costs count every gradient or HVP reply, including initialization, batches, guards and validation.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) GuardedAffineHessianTransport **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\Big(C_p\ell^{b+1}\big[(1+S/\varepsilon)^b + \Big(\frac{\Delta H}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{\Delta}{\varepsilon R}\Big) \cdot (1+S/\varepsilon)^{b/q} \cdot (1+\alpha_g+\sigma_g/R)^b\big]\Big)$		
(2) RanSOM-E [30], Theorem B.24, Remark B.26	As $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, fixed remaining parameters, $\sigma_g, \Delta > 0$, $L_1 + \alpha_h > 0$: $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-(b+1)})$ for $q > p$; $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-(2+b/q)})$ for $q \leq p$. Accuracy exponents only; batch and initialization costs included.	**(1)**: for $q > p$, exponent $\max\{b, 2+b/q\}$ replaces $b+1$, up to logs. At $p = 3/2, q = 2$: $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-7/2}\ell^4)$ versus $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-4})$. For $q \leq p$, matches exponent. Improvement over a proved bound, not a lower bound.	**(1)** adds logarithmic overhead and a large p-dependent prefactor; Euclidean scope versus general norms.
(3) RanSOM-E [30], Remark B.26, $\sigma_g = 0$	Derived bound, including batches: $\mathcal{O}\Big((1+\alpha_g)^b\big[1 + \frac{\Delta(L_0+\sigma_h)}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{\Delta(L_1+\alpha_h)}{\varepsilon}\big]\Big)$.	**(1)**: No established improvement in this regime.	**(1)**: when $\alpha_g > 0$, retains $(1+\alpha_g R/\varepsilon)^{b/q}$ and logarithmic overhead; worse accuracy dependence for fixed positive parameters.
(4) RanSOM [30], Corollary 4.8 / B.27	Special case $L_1 = \alpha_g = \alpha_h = 0$: $\mathcal{O}\Big(1+(\sigma_g/\varepsilon)^b + \frac{\Delta(L_0+\sigma_h)}{\varepsilon^2} \cdot \big[1 + (\sigma_g/\varepsilon)^{b/q}\big]\Big)$.	**(1)**: Scope extends to generalized curvature and affine noise through guarded localization in expectation. Matches the accuracy exponent on the common setup.	**(1)**: extra $\ell^{b+1}(1+\sigma_g/R)^b$ overhead on the common setup, and a large p-dependent prefactor.
(5) NSGD-Hess [67], Theorem 5.1	Special case $L_1 = \alpha_g = \alpha_h = 0$, additionally L_2-Lipschitz Hessian and operator-norm Hessian moments. For fixed positive parameters as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$: $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-\max\{b, 2+b/q\}})$.	**(1)**: removes Lipschitz-Hessian regularity, weakens operator-norm moments to directional HVP moments, and permits generalized curvature and affine noise. Matches the leading accuracy exponent on the overlap.	**(1)**: extra logarithms and $(1+\sigma_g/R)^b$ factors in shared stochastic terms.

Abbr: HVP: Hessian-vector product; norms are Euclidean or their induced operator norms. $b = p/(p-1)$, $R = \sqrt{L_0\Delta} + L_1\Delta + \varepsilon$, $S = \sigma_g + \alpha_g R$, $H = L_0 + \sigma_h + (L_1 + \alpha_h)R$, $C_p = 2^{100b}(1+b)$, and $\ell = 1 + \log(2 + R/\varepsilon + \Delta H/\varepsilon^2 + \Delta/(\varepsilon R) + S/\varepsilon)$. L_2 denotes the Hessian Lipschitz constant. Asymptotic accuracy bounds hold with all other parameters fixed; other prior-work big-$\mathcal{O}$ constants may depend on p, q.

Table 39: Generalized-smooth finite sums: GeneralizedSmoothFiniteSum.

On a real Hilbert space, $F = n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i$, $n \geq 1$, with convex f_i and continuous gradients satisfying $\|\nabla f_i(x) - \nabla f_i(y)\| \leq (L_0 + L_1 \sup_{z\in[x,y]} \|\nabla f_i(z)\|)\|x - y\|$. Here $L_0, R, \Delta, \varepsilon > 0$ and $L_1, G \geq 0$; some minimizer $x^\star$ satisfies $\|x_0 - x^\star\| \leq R$, $F(x_0) - F(x^\star) \leq \Delta$, and $n^{-1}\sum_i \|\nabla f_i(x^\star)\| \leq G$. Exact component value–gradient queries are available. The target is a measurable returned point with integrable gap and $\int (F(\mathrm{out}(\omega)) - F(x^\star))\, dP(\omega) \leq \varepsilon$, where P is the algorithm's probability law.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) LocalFiniteSumAcceleration **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{matrix} n(1+L_1R)\ell^2 \\ +\sqrt{n(L_0+L_1G)R^2/\varepsilon}\,\ell \end{matrix}\right)$ component value–gradient calls, including trials and selection.		
(2) Varag [122, Thm. 1]. Derived bound on $B(x_0, R)$; finite-dimensional overlap.	$\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{matrix} n\log(1+n) \\ +e^{L_1R}\sqrt{n(L_0+L_1G)R^2/\varepsilon} \end{matrix}\right)$ component gradients; includes n initialization queries. Ball projection is closed-form.	**(1)**: e^{L_1R} becomes ℓ in the accuracy term. Total gain when $e^{L_1R} \gg \ell$ and $e^{L_1R}\sqrt{T/n} \gg (1+L_1R)\ell^2$. For $T = n(L_1R)^2$, $L_1R \geq 1$: $\mathcal{O}(n\log(1+n) + nL_1Re^{L_1R})$ becomes $\mathcal{O}(nL_1R\ell^2)$.	**(1)**: for $L_1R = \mathcal{O}(1)$, pays ℓ on the accuracy term and ℓ^2 versus $\log(1+n)$ on the n term; additionally needs values, G, Δ.
(3) (L_0, L_1)-SGD [82, Thm. 7.2]. Special case: $G = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$.	$\mathcal{O}(1 + L_0R^2/\varepsilon)$ component gradients for $\min_{k\leq N} \mathbb{E}[\min\{\tau, F(x_k) - F(x^\star)\}] \leq \varepsilon$; informative only for $\varepsilon < \tau$.	**(1)**: untruncated expected returned gap; permits $G > 0$. At $G = 0$, cheaper even for this weaker criterion when $L_0R^2/\varepsilon \gg n(1+L_1R)^2\ell^4$, with gain at least of order $\sqrt{L_0R^2/(n\varepsilon)}/\ell^2$.	**(1)**: needs component values and Δ in addition on $G = 0$.

Abbr: $\ell = 1 + \log(1 + \Delta/\varepsilon)$; $T = (L_0 + L_1G)R^2/\varepsilon$; $[x, y]$ is the line segment; $\tau = \nu L_0/(4nL_1^2)$, where $\nu = e^{-\nu} > 0$, with $\tau = +\infty$ when $L_1 = 0$; N is the number of SGD iterations. $\mathbb{E}$ denotes expectation over the sampled component indices; $\gg$ denotes asymptotic domination.

Table 40: Comparison for GradientDependentHessian.

On a finite-dimensional real inner-product space, $f \in C^3$ satisfies $\|\nabla^3 f(x)\| \leq L_0 + L_1\|\nabla f(x)\|$ and $f(x_0) - f(x) \leq \Delta$ for every x, with $\|\nabla f(x_0)\| \leq G_0$. Here $L_0, \Delta, \varepsilon > 0$, $L_1, G_0 \geq 0$, and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 1$. Exact gradient and Hessian oracles are available. The target is $\|\nabla f(x_{\mathrm{out}})\| \leq \varepsilon$; **(1)** attains it deterministically.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) EnergyHessianReuse **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\big(\sqrt{m}\,A + m\ell\big)$		
(2) Parameter-free CRN [63], Cor. 1	$\mathcal{O}\left(m\left[A + J + \Delta\left(\frac{L_0^2}{\delta^3} + \frac{L_1}{\delta}\right)\right]\right)$ Includes backtracking trials; $\delta = \infty$ gives the shared target.	**(1)**: $\sqrt{m}$ instead of m in the accuracy cost; factor-$\sqrt{m}$ saving when $A \geq \sqrt{m}\,\ell$. For $m = 10^4$, $L_0 = \Delta = 1$, $L_1G_0 \leq 1$, $\varepsilon \leq 10^{-2}$: $\mathcal{O}(100A + 10^4)$ versus $\mathcal{O}(10^4(A + J))$ at $\delta = \infty$.	**(1)** needs $L_0, L_1, \Delta, G_0, \varepsilon$ for tuning; loses parameter-free updates and, for finite δ, the extra guarantee $\nabla^2 f(x_{\mathrm{out}}) \succeq -\delta I$.
(3) LazyCRN [55], Thm. 3.1, Cor. 3.7	$\mathcal{O}\big(m + \sqrt{mL_0}\,\Delta\varepsilon^{-3/2}\big)$ Special case: $L_1 = 0$, globally L_0-Lipschitz Hessian.	**(1)**: Scope extends Hessian reuse to gradient-dependent third derivatives, including unbounded Hessian variation. Matches known rate at $L_1 = 0$.	**(1)** needs Δ, ε for tuning in addition to L_0, m; lacks the separate curvature guarantee $\min_i[-\lambda_{\min}(\nabla^2 f(x_i))]_+ = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{mL_0\varepsilon})$.
(4) NALEN [32], Thm. 4.1	$\mathcal{O}\big(m + m^{1/3}\sqrt{H}\,\Delta\varepsilon^{-3/2}\big)$ Special case: globally H-Lipschitz Hessian; $H = L_0$ when $L_1 = 0$.	**(1)**: Scope beyond globally Lipschitz Hessians. On the intersection, smaller accuracy term if $H \gg m^{1/3}(L_0 + L_1\varepsilon)$; initialization can mask this gain.	**(1)** has $m^{1/2}$ instead of $m^{1/3}$: an $m^{1/6}$ loss in the accuracy term when $L_1 = 0$ and $H = L_0$.

Abbr: Costs are $N_g + mN_H$, with m gradient units per Hessian call; function-value calls in **(2)** cost one unit each and are included. $A = \Delta(\sqrt{L_0}\varepsilon^{-3/2} + \sqrt{L_1}\varepsilon^{-1})$, $\ell = 1 + \log(1 + L_1G_0/(mL_0))$. $J = 1 + \log_+\big(\max\{\sigma_{\mathrm{init}}, L_1G_0\}/(L_0 + L_1^{3/2}\Delta)\big) + \log_+\big((L_0 + L_1^{3/2}\Delta)/\sigma_{\mathrm{init}}\big)$, where $\sigma_{\mathrm{init}} > 0$ is the initial cubic regularization in **(2)**. $\log_+ t = \max\{0, \log t\}$, $[t]_+ = \max\{0, t\}$; δ is the second-order tolerance and $1/\infty = 0$. H denotes a global Lipschitz constant of $\nabla^2 f$; N_g, N_H count gradient and Hessian evaluations.

Table 41: Gradient-only quasar optimization: GradientOnlyGeneralizedQuasar.

A finite-dimensional real Hilbert space; continuously differentiable f with $\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\| \le (L_0 + L_1 \sup_{z\in[x,y]} \|\nabla f(z)\|)\|x - y\|$. An attained minimizer $x_\star$ satisfies $\|x_0 - x_\star\| \le R$, $f(x_0) - f(x_\star) \le \Delta$, and $\gamma(f(x) - f(x_\star)) \le \langle \nabla f(x), x - x_\star\rangle$ for all x. Here $L_0, R, \Delta, \varepsilon > 0$, $L_1 \ge 0$, $0 < \gamma \le 1$, $0 < \delta < 1$. Exact gradients are available; all parameters are public. Target: $P\{f(y_K) - f(x_\star) > \varepsilon\} \le \delta$, with P the algorithm's randomization law.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) GradientOnlyQuasarHigh Probability **(Ours)** ($\star\star\star$) (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{h}{\gamma}[1 + \sqrt{T} + L_1 R\ell]\right)$ gradients		
(2) [228], Cor. 1	$\mathcal{O}(\gamma^{-1}\sqrt{T/\delta} + \delta^{-1})$ gradients; $L_1 = 0$.	**(1)**: at $T \ge 1$, confidence saving at least order $\delta^{-1/2}/h$ against this proved bound. Scope: allows $L_1 > 0$.	**(1)**: substantially larger numerical constant.
(3) [96], Thm. 2	$\mathcal{O}(1 + \gamma^{-1}\sqrt{T}\log_+(\sqrt{T}/\gamma))$ value and gradient calls; deterministic, $L_1 = 0$.	**(1)**: no values or search; fewer calls when $T \ge 1$ and $h \ll \log_+(\sqrt{T}/\gamma)$. Scope: allows $L_1 > 0$.	**(1)**: probabilistic instead of deterministic; more calls when $h \gg \log_+(\sqrt{T}/\gamma)$, $T \ge 1$.
(4) GD, [104], derived from (12)	$\mathcal{O}(1 + T/\gamma)$ gradients; deterministic, $L_1 = 0$, step $1/(2L_0)$.	**(1)**: saving $\sqrt{T}/h$ when $T \ge 1$, $h \ll \sqrt{T}$. Scope: allows $L_1 > 0$.	**(1)**: randomized; loses deterministic accuracy; more calls when $h \gg \sqrt{T}$, $T \ge 1$.
(5) [113], Thm. 11; derived bound	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{(L_1R)^4}{\gamma^6}a + \left[\frac{(L_1R)^3}{\gamma^2} + \frac{L_0^2R^2}{\gamma^2 L_1^2\varepsilon^2\delta^2}\right]b\right)$ gradients after Markov; $L_1 R \gtrsim 1$.	**(1)**: logarithmic confidence instead of δ^{-2}; $\varepsilon^{-1/2}$ instead of ε^{-2} for fixed other parameters.	**(1)**: requires smoothness and exact gradients; **(5)** also covers nonsmooth stochastic objectives.
(6) [160], GeneralizedAccelerated Convex	$\mathcal{O}(1 + \sqrt{T} + [1 + (L_1R)^{2/3}]\ell)$ gradients; convex, differentiable gradient, $\gamma = 1$; almost-sure accuracy.	**(1)**: scope extends to nonconvex quasar objectives and merely continuous gradients.	**(1)**: confidence factor h; L_1R versus $(L_1R)^{2/3}$ for $L_1R \ge 1$; loses almost-sure accuracy.

Abbr: $T = L_0R^2/\varepsilon$, $h = 1 + \log(1/\delta)$, $\ell = 1 + \log(1 + \Delta/\varepsilon)$, $\log_+ u = \max\{1, \log u\}$. In **(5)**, $a = 1 + \log(1 + \gamma\Delta L_1^2/L_0)$ and $b = 1 + \log(1 + L_0/(\gamma L_1^2\varepsilon\delta))$; the gradient-growth parameters are $G_0 \asymp L_0/L_1$, $G_1 \asymp L_1$.

Table 42: Heavy-tailed second-order stationarity under the HeavyTailedHessianVector setup.

$f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$, $d \geq 1$, has an L-Lipschitz gradient and a ρ-Lipschitz Hessian, with $f(x_0) - m \leq \Delta$ for a global lower bound m. Here $L, \rho, \varepsilon > 0$, $\Delta, \sigma_g, \sigma_h \geq 0$, and $1 < p \leq 2$. Jointly measurable, unbiased gradient and linear Hessian-vector estimators use fresh IID samples and satisfy $\mathbb{E}\|g(x) - \nabla f(x)\|^p \leq \sigma_g^p$ and $\mathbb{E}\|(H(x) - \nabla^2 f(x))v\|^p \leq \sigma_h^p \|v\|^p$ for every x, v. The target is $\Pr\{\|\nabla f(\widehat{x})\| \leq \varepsilon,\ \nabla^2 f(\widehat{x}) \succeq -\sqrt{\rho\varepsilon} I\} \geq 2/3$, over the IID oracle samples and algorithm randomness. Each gradient or Hessian-vector query counts as one call.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) DirectionalHeavyTailedSecondOrder **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{array}{l} 2^{64r^2}\Big[(1+\sigma_g/\varepsilon)^r \\ \quad + (1+\Delta M/\varepsilon^2)\{1 \\ \quad\quad + (1+\sigma_g/\varepsilon)^{r-1}a + a^r \\ \quad\quad + \ell\ell_d M/\gamma \\ \quad\quad + \ell\ell_d^r(\sigma_h/\gamma)^r \\ \quad\quad + \ell^2[1+(\sigma_h/\gamma)^r]\}\Big] \end{array}\right)$		
(2) [12, arXiv v1, Theorem 4]; bounded-noise special case	$\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{array}{l} \dfrac{\Delta\sigma_g\bar{\sigma}_h}{\varepsilon^3} + \dfrac{\Delta L}{\varepsilon^2} \\ + \dfrac{\Delta(\bar{\sigma}_h + L)^2}{\sqrt{\rho}\,\varepsilon^{5/2}}\left[1 + \log\dfrac{dL}{\gamma}\right]^2 \end{array}\right)$ $p = 2$, $\|H - \nabla^2 f\|_{\mathrm{op}} \leq \bar{\sigma}_h$ a.s.; $\varepsilon \leq \min\{\sigma_g, \sqrt{\Delta L}\}$, $\gamma \leq \min\{\bar{\sigma}_h, L\}$. SOSP probability $\geq 5/8$.	**(1)**: Scope extends to infinite variance ($p < 2$); at $p = 2$, directional variance replaces almost-sure operator-norm boundedness. Dimension dependence remains polylogarithmic.	**(1)**: At $p = 2$, $\sigma_h = \bar{\sigma}_h > 0$, the ε^{-3} coefficient worsens by order $1 + L\sigma_h/(\rho\sigma_g)$, up to logarithms; extra logarithmic factors and a 2^{256} prefactor.
(3) [202, Theorem 2]; first-order target	$\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{array}{l} 1 + \dfrac{\Delta(L+\sigma_h)}{\varepsilon^2} \\ \quad \cdot [1 + (\sigma_g/\varepsilon)^{r-1}] \\ + (\sigma_g/\varepsilon)^r \end{array}\right)$ $T^{-1}\sum_{t<T}\mathbb{E}\|\nabla f(x_t)\| \leq \varepsilon$; operator-norm p-moment bound $\mathbb{E}\|H - \nabla^2 f\|_{\mathrm{op}}^p \leq \sigma_h^p$.	**(1)**: Adds second-order stationarity with constant probability at the same polynomial $\varepsilon^{-(r+1)}$ dependence for fixed positive remaining parameters, up to logarithms. Directional moments replace operator-norm moments.	**(1)**: Needs a ρ-Lipschitz Hessian; adds curvature costs and dimension logarithms, with the large 2^{64r^2} prefactor.

Abbr: HVP: Hessian-vector product; SOSP: second-order stationary point. $r = p/(p-1)$, $\gamma = \sqrt{\rho\varepsilon}$, $M = L + \sqrt{\rho\varepsilon}$, $a = \sigma_h/(L + \sqrt{\rho\varepsilon}) + \rho\varepsilon/(L + \sqrt{\rho\varepsilon})^2$, $\ell = \log(2 + (L + \sqrt{\rho\varepsilon})^2/(\rho\varepsilon))$, $\ell_d = \log(2 + d)$. $\bar{\sigma}_h$ bounds Hessian noise almost surely in operator norm; T is the iteration count.

Table 43: Heavy-tailed PL optimization with a Lipschitz Hessian (HeavyTailedPLHessian).

Finite-dimensional real inner-product space; f has an L-Lipschitz, differentiable gradient and ρ-Lipschitz Hessian, an attained minimum $f_\star$, and $2\mu(f - f_\star) \le \|\nabla f\|^2$, where $0 < \mu \le L$. Initially $f(x_0) - f_\star \le \Delta$. IID samples feed a jointly measurable unbiased gradient oracle with integrable centered pth moment at most σ^p, $1 < p \le 2$; $\rho, \sigma, \Delta \ge 0$. Target: $\int (f(x_{\mathrm{out}}) - f_\star)\, dP_{\mathrm{out}} \le \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$, where P_{out} includes the IID samples and independent uniform final-window selection.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) KappaFreeHeavyTailedPL Hessian **(Ours)** ($\star\star\star$) (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(\left[\kappa^{5/4} + C_p\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{\mu\varepsilon} + \frac{\kappa^{5/2}\sigma^2\rho^2}{\mu^4}\right)^q\right]\ell\right)$; includes all pilot/clipping calls.		
(2) [188], Thm. 4.3	$\mathcal{O}_p(\kappa(S+Q)\log(1+\kappa S/\delta))$; last-iterate gap $\le \varepsilon$ with probability $1-\delta$; $0 < \varepsilon < \Delta$.	**(1)**: statistical $\kappa Q \to Q\ell$ for fixed p, $Q \gg \kappa^{1/4}\ell$, $\varepsilon \ll \mu^3\kappa^{1/q-5/2}/\rho^2$. At $p = 2$, $\mu = \sigma = \rho = \Delta = 1$, $\varepsilon = \kappa^{-3}$: $\mathcal{O}(\kappa^4 \log\kappa) \to \mathcal{O}(\kappa^3 \log\kappa)$ at fixed confidence.	**(1)** needs Hessian regularity and ρ; adds a ρ-term and $\kappa^{5/4}$ initialization. Expected random output replaces high-probability last iterate; excludes constrained mirror-PL and conditional non-IID oracles.
(3) [188], Thm. D.1(i); $d = 1$	$\mathcal{O}_p((1+Q)\ell\log(1+\ell/\delta))$; probability $1-\delta$, $0 < \varepsilon < \Delta$.	**(1)**: dimension-independent scope; matches the κ-free statistical power.	**(1)** adds Hessian regularity, a ρ-term and polynomial initialization; loses high-probability accuracy.
(4) [188], Thm. D.1(ii); fixed $d \ge 2$	$\mathcal{O}_{d,p}\big(\Lambda[SD_{d-1}(\kappa J) + H_{d-1,2q}Q]\big)$; probability $1-\delta$, $0 < \varepsilon < \Delta$.	**(1)**: dimension-independent constants; removes statistical $\kappa^{(d-1-2q)/2}$ when $d - 1 > 2q$, up to logarithms. For $d - 1 \le 2q$, statistical power matches.	**(1)** needs Hessian regularity; adds a ρ-term, loses high-probability accuracy, and has worse initialization for $d \le 3$.
(5) R-clipped-SSTM [201], Thm. 3.3; strongly convex restriction	$\mathcal{O}_p\big(\sqrt{\kappa}S\log(1+\sqrt{\kappa}S/\delta) + Q\log(1+QS/\delta)\big)$; probability $1-\delta$. Use $R^2 = 2\Delta/\mu$.	**(1)**: scope extends from strong convexity to PL with non-isolated minimizers.	**(1)** loses accelerated initialization and high-probability accuracy; adds Hessian regularity, ρ-cost and a logarithm on Q. **(5)** requires regularity only on $B_{3R}(x_\star)$.
(6) [162]; $p = 2$, bounded kurtosis	$\mathcal{O}\big([\kappa^{5/4} + K\kappa^{3/2}\sigma^2\rho^2/\mu^4 + \sigma^2/(\mu\varepsilon)]\ell\big)$; expected window-iterate gap.	**(1)** removes fourth-moment requirement, permits $p < 2$ and infinite variance; at $p = 2$, improves the Hessian term when $K \gg \kappa$.	**(1)** worsens the Hessian term when $K \lesssim \kappa$; large p-dependent constants grow as $p \downarrow 1$.

Abbr: Costs count stochastic-gradient calls. $\kappa = L/\mu$, $q = p/[2(p-1)]$, $Q = (\sigma^2/(\mu\varepsilon))^q$, $C_p = 2^{(128/p+27)q}$, $\ell = 1 + \log(1 + \sqrt{\kappa}\Delta/\varepsilon)$, $S = 1 + \log_+(\Delta/\varepsilon)$, $\log_+ t = \max\{0, \log t\}$, $0 < \delta < 1/2$, $d = \dim E$. Subscripts on $\mathcal{O}$ allow dependence on those parameters. In **(4)**, $J = 1 + \log(1+\kappa)$, $\Lambda = 1 + \log((S+1)(J+1)(1+\kappa J)^{(d-1)/2}/\delta)$; $D_1(z) = z^{2/3}$, $D_k(z) = z^{k/2}$ for $k \ge 2$; $H_{k,r} = J^{r+1}$ if $k < r$, $J^{r+1}[1 + \log(1+\kappa J)]^{r+1}$ if $k = r$, and $J^r(\kappa J)^{(k-r)/2}$ if $k > r$. In **(6)**, K bounds $\int \|g - \nabla f\|^4 \le K\sigma^4$.

Table 44: Heavy-tailed relative-moment convex optimization: HeavyTailedStrongGrowthConvex.

Convex differentiable f on a separable real Hilbert space, with L-Lipschitz gradient, a minimizer $x^\star$, and $\|x_0 - x^\star\| \le R$. Here $L, \varepsilon > 0$, $R, \rho \ge 0$, and $1 < p \le 2$. The jointly measurable oracle has integrable samples and integrable central pth moments, with $\int g(x,\omega)\, d\mu = \nabla f(x)$ and $\int \|g(x,\omega) - \nabla f(x)\|^p \, d\mu \le \rho \|\nabla f(x)\|^p$. Samples are IID with marginal μ under probability law P. Target: measurable output with integrable gap and $\int [f(\mathrm{output}) - f(x^\star)]\, dP \le \varepsilon$. Result **(1)** constructs SplitRobustAcceleration, tuned using $L, R, \rho, p, \varepsilon$; the setup imposes no algorithm restriction.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) SplitRobustHeavyTailed Acceleration **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{array}{c}\sqrt{H} + \mathbf{1}_{\{\rho>0\}}\left[1 + (2^{34}\rho)^{1/(p-1)}\right] \\ \cdot\, (1+\log H) H^{p/(3p-2)}\end{array}\right)$		
(2) Clip-SSTM [173, Theorem 5.2]; finite-dimensional restriction, moment model with $\sigma_0 = 0$, $\sigma_1^p = \rho$	$\mathcal{O}_p(A[1+\log(A/\beta)])$ calls; $\Pr\{f(y) - f(x^\star) \le \varepsilon\} \ge 1 - \beta$.	**(1)**: For fixed $1 < p < 2$, $\rho > 0$, and β, smaller accuracy exponent at large T, with expectation rather than high probability. At $p = 3/2$: $\mathcal{O}(T^{3/5}\log T)$ versus $\mathcal{O}(T^{3/2}\log T)$.	**(1)**: Expectation only; excludes additive noise and weak-L^p tails. Large p-dependent factor; batching floor loses for $0 < \rho \lesssim T^{-p^2/[2(3p-2)]}$, up to logarithms, at fixed p and confidence.
(3) AGNES [88, Theorem 3]; $p = 2$, finite-dimensional restriction	$\mathcal{O}\left((1+\rho)\sqrt{H}\right)$ calls; expected objective gap at most ε.	**(1)**: Scope extends to $1 < p < 2$, allowing infinite variance. Matches the deterministic accelerated rate at $\rho = 0$.	**(1)**: At $p = 2$ and fixed $\rho > 0$, loses a factor $1 + \log H$; uses batched median-of-means pilots.

Abbr: $T = LR^2/\varepsilon$, $H = \max\{1, T\}$, $A = \sqrt{H} + \rho^{1/(p-1)} H^{p/[2(p-1)]}$; $\beta \in (0,1)$ is the failure probability. $\mathcal{O}_p$ allows constants depending on p; $\mathbf{1}_{\{\rho>0\}}$ is an indicator. Costs count individual stochastic-gradient samples, including all batches.

Table 45: Comparison for HessianLipschitzSecondOrder.

On a finite-dimensional real inner-product space, $f \in C^2$, $\|\nabla^2 f(x) - \nabla^2 f(y)\| \le H\|x - y\|$, and $f(x_0) - f(x) \le \Delta$ for every x. Here $H, \epsilon > 0$, $\Delta \ge 0$, $m \ge 1$, and $0 < \rho < 1$. Oracles return exact $(f, \nabla f)$ and full Hessians. The target is $\mathbb{P}\{\|\nabla f(y)\| \le \epsilon,\ \nabla^2 f(y) \succeq -\sqrt{H\epsilon} I\} \ge 1 - \rho$.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) MonotoneHessianSecondOrder **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(m + m^{1/3}(1+S)\ell^2\right)$		
(2) Cubic regularization [177], Thm. 1	$\mathcal{O}(m(1+S))$	**(1)**: cheaper for $S \ge 1$, $\ell^2 \ll m^{2/3}$. For $d = S = m$, $\rho = 1/m$, costs $\mathcal{O}(m^{4/3}\log^2 m)$ versus $\mathcal{O}(m^2)$.	**(1)**: probabilistic instead of deterministic; ℓ^2 overhead when $m = \mathcal{O}(1)$.
(3) Lazy cubic Newton [56], Cor. 3.7	$\mathcal{O}(m + \sqrt{m}\, S)$; separate minima: gradient $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$, negative curvature $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{mH\epsilon})$.	**(1)**: one point satisfies the gradient and curvature targets; removes the $\sqrt{m}$ curvature inflation in **(3)**.	**(1)**: probabilistic instead of deterministic; additional dimension- and confidence-dependent logarithms.
(4) Derived bound [56], Thm. 3.1, (15)	$\mathcal{O}\left(m^2(1+S)\right)$; reuse period $\lceil m \rceil$, separate minima at tolerances ϵ, $\sqrt{H\epsilon}$.	**(1)**: same-point certificate; for $S \ge 1$, $\ell^2 \ll m^{2/3}$, lowers cost to $\mathcal{O}(m + m^{1/3} S \ell^2)$ while strengthening **(4)**'s output.	**(1)**: probabilistic instead of deterministic.
(5) [2], Cor. 2	$\mathcal{O}\left(m + m^{1/3} S \log^{18}(2+S)\right)$; $S \ge 1$, first-order target only.	**(1)**: adds the second-order certificate with matching polynomial powers; logarithmic overhead becomes ℓ^2 instead of $\log^{18}(2+S)$, smaller when $\ell^2 \ll \log^{18}(2+S)$.	**(1)**: probabilistic instead of deterministic.
(6) NALEN [33], Thm. 4.1	$\mathcal{O}(m + m^{1/3} S)$; first-order target only.	**(1)**: extends the $m^{1/3}S$ polynomial dependence to a same-point second-order certificate.	**(1)**: extra ℓ^2 overhead; probabilistic instead of deterministic.

Abbr: $d = \dim E$, $S = \Delta\sqrt{H}/\epsilon^{3/2}$, $\ell = \log(2 + (d+1)m(1+S)/\rho)$. Costs are $G + mJ$, where G counts ordinary oracle calls and J counts full-Hessian calls; m is the assigned Hessian cost. First-order target: $\|\nabla f(y)\| \le \epsilon$. Negative curvature: $\max\{0, -\lambda_{\min}(\nabla^2 f)\}$. Separate minima may occur at different iterates.

Table 46: Interpolated generalized-smooth finite sums: InterpolatedGeneralizedFiniteSum.

Let $F = n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^n f_i$ on a finite-dimensional real inner-product space, with $n \geq 1$, $L_0, R, \varepsilon > 0$ and $L_1 \geq 0$. Each f_i is convex with continuous gradient and satisfies $\|\nabla f_i(x) - \nabla f_i(y)\| \leq (L_0 + L_1 \max_{z\in[x,y]} \|\nabla f_i(z)\|)\|x - y\|$. The components share a minimizer $x^\star$ with $\|x_0 - x^\star\| \leq R$. The oracle returns exact component gradients. The target is $P\{F(\hat{x}) - F(x^\star) \leq \varepsilon\} \geq 2/3$; costs count all component-gradient calls.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) AcceleratedInterpolated GeneralizedFiniteSum **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\big([n(1 + L_1^2R^2) + \sqrt{n\kappa}]\ell\big)$		
(2) (L_0, L_1)-SGD [82, Thm. 7.2, App. H.1]; Derived bound	$\mathcal{O}(1 + \kappa + nL_1^2R^2)$. Uniform random iterate; telescoping and Markov conversion to probability $2/3$.	**(1)**: $\kappa \to \sqrt{n\kappa}\ell$; total gain when $\kappa \geq C\max\{n\ell^2, nL_1^2R^2\ell\}$. Fixed n, L_1R: $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-1}) \to \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-1/2}\log(1/\varepsilon))$. Final snapshot replaces random iterate.	**(1)**: extra ℓ when $nL_1^2R^2$ dominates; worse at $\kappa \lesssim n$. Updates additionally need R, ε and ball projection; **(2)** uses them only for stopping.
(3) [215, v2, Eq. (8)]; full gradients	$\mathcal{O}\big(n[1 + \sqrt{\kappa} + L_1^2R^2]\big)$. Special-case overlap: $d = 1$, twice-differentiable F; deterministic accuracy.	**(1)**: up to $\sqrt{n}/\ell$ cost saving for the shared target when $n \geq C\ell^2$, $\sqrt{\kappa} \geq C\ell(1 + L_1^2R^2)$. Scope: no mean-smoothness assumption in higher dimensions.	**(1)**: probability $2/3$ replaces deterministic accuracy; extra ℓ on $nL_1^2R^2$. Rates not comparable in general dimensions without mean smoothness.
(4) [215, v2, Thm. 4.3]; full gradients	$\mathcal{O}\big(n[1 + \sqrt{\kappa} + L_1R\log_+\min\{L_1^2\Delta/L_0, \Delta/\varepsilon\} + \log_+(\Delta/(L_0R^2))]\big)$ Special-case overlap: $d = 1$, twice-differentiable F; $R = \|x_0 - x^\star\| > 0$, supplied gap bound $\Delta > 0$.	**(1)**: scope extends to higher dimensions without mean smoothness; those rates are not comparable without that assumption.	**(1)**: loses deterministic accuracy; **(4)** sharpens the curvature term using an initial-gap bound.
(5) VARAG [122, Thm. 1]	$\begin{cases}\mathcal{O}(n[1 + \log_+\kappa]), & \kappa \leq n,\\ \mathcal{O}(n[1 + \log n] + \sqrt{n\kappa}), & \kappa > n.\end{cases}$ Special case $L_1 = 0$; expected gap converted to probability $2/3$ by Markov.	**(1)**: scope extends to $L_1 > 0$ with component-gradient access.	**(1)**: at $L_1 = 0$, extra ℓ on $\sqrt{n\kappa}$; no rate improvement.

Abbr: $\kappa = L_0R^2/\varepsilon$; $\ell = \log(2 + n + \kappa + L_1^2R^2)$; d is the dimension; $\Delta \geq F(x_0) - F(x^\star)$; $\log_+ t = \max\{0, \log t\}$, with $\log_+ 0 = 0$; C denotes a sufficiently large universal constant. A full gradient costs n component queries.

Table 47: Comparison for KnownValueNondualGeneralizedConvex.

Convex $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $d \geq 1$, with $L_0, L_1, R, \varepsilon > 0$ and $\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\|_2 \leq (L_0 + L_1 \sup_{z\in[x,y]} \|\nabla f(z)\|_2)\|x - y\|_2$. A global minimizer satisfies $\|x_0 - x_\star\|_1 \leq R$; $f_\star = f(x_\star)$ is known. Exact value–gradient oracle. Target: $f(\hat{x}) - f_\star \leq \varepsilon$ deterministically.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) KnownValueNondual GeneralizedRate **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}((1 + A^{1/3} + B^{2/3})\ell^2)$ paired value–gradient calls.		
(2) [200], Thm. 3.7	$\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{A} + (1 + B)\log(2 + B))$ gradient calls; applies with $\|x_0 - x_\star\|_2 \leq R$.	**(1)**: $A^{1/2} \to A^{1/3}$, $B \to B^{2/3}$ up to logarithms. For $A = B^2$, $d \leq B \to \infty$: $\mathcal{O}(B\log B) \to \mathcal{O}(B^{2/3}\log^2 B)$.	**(1)** needs $f_\star$, values, and an ℓ_1 prior; converting an ℓ_2 radius costs $A \mapsto dA$, $B \mapsto \sqrt{d}B$. Worse dimension dependence, including $A, B = \mathcal{O}(1)$.
(3) [168], Thm. 1, (26), $p = 1, q = 2, \kappa = 2$	$\mathcal{O}((1 + C^{1/3})h^8)$ value–gradient calls; uniform L-Lipschitz gradient near $\|x - x_0\|_1 \leq R$. Probability $1 - \delta$; stated high-dimensional regime $T \leq d$.	**(1)**: polynomial $B^{2/3}$ dependence where uniform L can grow exponentially in B. Smooth overlap $L = L_0$, $B \leq 1$: matches $A^{1/3}$, with logarithmic power 2 instead of 8.	**(1)** needs $f_\star$; exact averaged-projection selector has no proved efficient implementation. **(3)** has polynomial runtime and also handles constrained minimizers.

Abbr: $A = L_0R^2/\varepsilon$, $B = L_1R$, $\ell = 1 + \log(2d) + \log(2 + A + B)$; $C = LR^2/\varepsilon$, $h = 1 + \log(2d) + \log(2 + C) + \log(1/\delta)$; $\delta \in (0, 1)$ is failure probability, T is the query budget. L is a uniform Euclidean gradient Lipschitz constant.

Table 48: Comparison for LipschitzJacobianMonotone.

In a finite-dimensional real inner-product space, X is compact and convex, $x_0 \in X$, and $\operatorname{diam}(X) \le D$. The operator F is differentiable at every point of X, monotone on X, and its Jacobian J is L-Lipschitz on X. Given $L, D, \varepsilon > 0$ and integer $m \ge 1$, exact values and Jacobians are available separately. The target is $u \in X$ and $n \in N_X(u)$ with $\|F(u) + n\| \le \varepsilon$; **(1)** guarantees this deterministically. Costs count oracle calls, with known affine-VI solves uncharged.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) CachedJacobian **(Ours)** ($\star\star\star$) (review)	$W = \mathcal{O}((m + \sqrt{mQ})\ell)$; $G = \mathcal{O}((1 + \sqrt{mQ})\ell)$, $H = \mathcal{O}((1 + \sqrt{Q/m})\ell)$.		
(2) Fresh jets [250], Thm. 3.1 ($p = 2$)	$W = \mathcal{O}(m(1 + Q^{2/5}))$ for tangent residual $\le \varepsilon$.	**(1)**: lower cost for $Q^{1/5}\ell^2 \ll m \le Q$. At $m = \sqrt{Q}$, $\mathcal{O}(Q^{3/4}\log Q)$ instead of $\mathcal{O}(Q^{9/10})$ as $Q \to \infty$.	**(1)**: worse accuracy dependence when Jacobians are cheap; at $m = 1$, $\mathcal{O}(Q^{1/2}\log Q)$ versus $\mathcal{O}(Q^{2/5})$ as $Q \to \infty$.
(3) Halpern-NPE [37], Thm. 4.1	$W = \mathcal{O}\big(m(1 + \sqrt{Q})$ $\cdot [1 + \log\log(e + Q)]\big)$ for $\|x - P_\eta x\|/\eta \le \varepsilon$.	**(1)**: tangent residual at the output instead of normalized proximal residual. Without a conversion cost, rates are not directly comparable.	
(4) VIJI, derived bound [3], Thm. 3.2; reuse $J(x_0)$	$W = \mathcal{O}(m + Q)$ for gap $\le \varepsilon D$; $G = \mathcal{O}(1 + Q^{2/3} + Q)$, $H = 1$, Jacobian error $\delta = LD$.	**(1)**: lower cost for the shared gap target when $1 \le m \ll Q/\ell^2$, by order $\sqrt{Q/m}/\ell$; additionally certifies last-inner-iterate tangent residual instead of averaged gap.	**(1)**: logarithmic cost overhead when $m \ge Q$.
(5) LEN [34], Thm. 4.1; unconstrained convex–concave saddles only	$W = \mathcal{O}\left(m + \left(\frac{mLR^3}{\varepsilon D}\right)^{2/3}\right)$ for restricted saddle gap $\le \varepsilon D$; lazy period m, globally L-Lipschitz $\nabla^2 f$.	**(1)**: scope extends to general monotone VIs on compact convex sets; tangent residual replaces ergodic restricted gap. Setups are not nested; rates are not comparable.	**(1)** needs a compact feasible set; **(5)** permits unconstrained domains.

Abbr: $Q = LD^2/\varepsilon$, $\ell = \log(2 + mQ)$; G, H count value and Jacobian calls, $W = G + mH$ charges a Jacobian at m value calls, and a full jet at $m + 1$. $N_X(u) = \{n : \langle n, z - u\rangle \le 0\ \forall z \in X\}$. Gap means $\sup_{z \in X}\langle F(z), u - z\rangle$. $P_\eta = (I + \eta(F + N_X))^{-1}$, with $\eta = \Theta(1/\sqrt{L\varepsilon})$ in **(3)**. In **(5)**, $R = \|z_0 - z^*\|$ for a saddle point z^*; the restricted gap uses radius $3R$. The symbol $\ll$ denotes an asymptotically vanishing ratio.

Table 49: Local hidden-convex stochastic optimization: LocalHiddenConvexStochastic.

In a finite-dimensional real Hilbert space, F is globally L-smooth and has a global minimizer $x_\star \in \overline{B}(x_0, R)$. On $B(x_0, 3R)$, $F = H \circ c$, with H convex and differentiable on an open convex set U. On $\overline{B}(x_0, 2R)$, $c(x) \in U$, $Dc(x) = J(x)$, $\|J(x)v\| \geq \mu\|v\|$, and J is L_J-Lipschitz; $32L_JR \leq \mu$. Here $L, R, \mu, \varepsilon > 0$ and $L_J, \sigma \geq 0$. IID samples have law p; the jointly measurable oracle g satisfies $\int g(x,\omega)\, dp = \nabla F(x)$ and $\int \|g(x,\omega) - \nabla F(x)\|^2\, dp \leq \sigma^2$, with the required integrability. Target: $\int [F(x_K) - F(x_\star)]\, dP \leq \varepsilon$, $P = p^{\otimes\mathbb{N}}$. Result **(1)** constructs safeguarded similar triangles, using L, R, σ, x_0; stopping also needs ε.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) HiddenConvexAccelerated Stochastic **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \left(\sqrt{\frac{LR^2}{\varepsilon}} + \frac{\sigma^2R^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\ell^2\right)$ stochastic-gradient calls.		
(2) Momentum P-SGD [64, Thm. 8]	$\mathcal{O}\left(\left(1 + \frac{Ld^2}{\varepsilon} + \frac{Ld^4\sigma^2}{\varepsilon^3}\right)\ell_0\right)$ calls, including initialization. Restriction: compact convex $X \ni x_0, x_\star$, convex $c(X)$, and c^{-1} is $1/\mu_c$-Lipschitz; $d = D_U/\mu_c$.	**(1)**: On the overlap $d \asymp R$, $\varepsilon^{-1} \to \varepsilon^{-1/2}$ and $LR^4\sigma^2/\varepsilon^3 \to \sigma^2R^2/\varepsilon^2$, up to logarithms; total gain for fixed parameters and sufficiently small ε. Scope: no compact convex image required. Adaptivity: updates need neither ε, D_U, μ_c; stopping needs ε.	**(1)** adds local $C^{1,1}$ regularity and $32L_JR \leq \mu$; requires an unconstrained global minimizer. Classes are incomparable.
(3) [18, Thm. 5.4]	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + D_2\rho + \frac{D_2^4G^3\rho}{(\alpha\beta)^4\varepsilon^3}\right)$ calls. Restriction: global invertible c, $\|J\| \leq 1/\alpha$, $\|J^{-1}\| \leq 1/\beta$, ρ-Lipschitz J; G-Lipschitz H, $\|g\| \leq G$ a.s.; known radii D_1, D_2 with the radial level-set ordering of (A3).	**(1)**: On the smooth overlap, fixed positive parameters and $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, $\varepsilon^{-3} \to \varepsilon^{-2}\ell^2$. Scope: bounded variance replaces bounded gradients; local replaces global Jacobian regularity. Full parameter bounds are not comparable.	**(1)** requires global L-smoothness and $32L_JR \leq \mu$; loses nonsmooth objectives and the unrestricted global regime.
(4) AC-SA [119, Cor. 1]	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{LR^2}{\varepsilon}} + \frac{\sigma^2R^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$ calls. Special case: $L_J = 0$, with optimization restricted to $\overline{B}(x_0, R)$, where F is convex.	**(1)**: Scope extends convex optimization to locally near-affine hidden convexity, including nonconvex, non-quasar-convex objectives.	**(1)** loses a factor ℓ^2 on the convex overlap.

Abbr: L: gradient Lipschitz constant; R: initial-distance bound; σ^2: variance bound; ε: expected objective-gap target; μ: least singular-value bound for J; L_J: Jacobian Lipschitz constant; $\ell = \log(2 + LR^2/\varepsilon + \sigma^2R^2/\varepsilon^2)$. In **(2)**, $D_U = \operatorname{diam}(c(X))$, $d = D_U/\mu_c$, and $\ell_0 = \log(2 + (LR^2 + \sigma^2/L)/\varepsilon)$ for one-sample gradient initialization. In **(3)**, G denotes the paper's bound H, ρ its Jacobian Lipschitz constant, α, β its distortion parameters, and D_1, D_2 its radial bounds about x_0; radial ordering means $F(y) \geq F(x)$ whenever $\|x - x_0\| = D_1$, $\|y - x_0\| = D_2$.

Table 50: Local acceleration under strong aiming: LocalStrongAiming.

A differentiable function f on a finite-dimensional real Hilbert space attains $f^* = f(x^\star)$; exact gradients are available. On $U_r = \{x : d(x, M) < r\}$, ∇f is L-Lipschitz and $f(y) \geq f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y - x\rangle - \sqrt{\mu L}\|y - x\|^2$ for all $x, y \in U_r$. Strong aiming means $\langle \nabla f(x), x - z\rangle \geq f(x) - f^* + \frac{\mu}{2}\|x - z\|^2$ for every $x \in U_r$ and every nearest $z \in M$. Assume $0 < \mu \leq L$, $r > 0$, $x_0 \in U_r$, and $0 \leq f(x_0) - f^* \leq \Delta \leq \mu r^2/256$. Target: $f(x_K) - f(x^\star) \leq \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Result **(1)** constructs fixed-parameter Nesterov with deterministic last-iterate accuracy; updates use L, μ, and the horizon uses Δ, ε.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) LocalStrongAimingNesterov **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \sqrt{L/\mu}\log(1 + \Delta/\varepsilon)\right)$ gradient calls.		
(2) Nesterov [90, Thm. 11]	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \sqrt{L/\mu}\log(1 + \Delta/\varepsilon)\right)$ gradient calls. Affine M; global smoothness and strong aiming; weak-curvature coefficient at most $\sqrt{\mu L}/2$.	**(1)**: Scope extends to curved, nonconvex M under tube assumptions. Matches the rate on the common affine, globally regular subclass.	**(1)** needs local initialization; worse contraction constant ($1/2$ versus 1). Classes are not nested.
(3) Continuized Nesterov [92, §5.2], constant-probability guarantee	Derived bound: $\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{L/\mu}}{a}\log(1 + \Delta/\varepsilon)\right)$ gradient calls for success probability $3/4$. Global smoothness and strong aiming; convex M with C^2 boundary; $\mu/L < \phi^{-4}$.	**(1)**: Nonconvex curved M allowed; deterministic instead of constant-probability accuracy. Different guarantees preclude a like-for-like speedup claim.	**(1)** needs weak convexity and local initialization; **(3)** is global without a weak-curvature bound. Classes are not nested.
(4) Nesterov [65, Thm. 2.2]	No explicit call budget in $(L, \mu, \Delta, \varepsilon)$. Local distance contraction approaches $1 - 2\sqrt{\mu}/\sqrt{3L + \mu}$; basin and prefactor are implicit. Requires C^2 and local PL.	**(1)**: Explicit nonasymptotic budget and basin $\Delta \leq \mu r^2/256$; no C^2 requirement. No comparable explicit cost bound in **(4)**.	**(1)** needs strong aiming and weak convexity beyond local PL; worse asymptotic contraction constant, with different error criteria.

Abbr: $M = \operatorname{argmin} f$; $d(x, M) = \inf_{z \in M}\|x - z\|$; Δ is the initial objective-gap bound; L is the gradient Lipschitz constant; μ is the strong-aiming constant (the PL constant in **(4)**); ε is target objective accuracy; $\phi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$; $a = 1 - \phi(\mu/L)^{1/4} > 0$; PL means $\|\nabla f(x)\|^2 \geq 2\mu(f(x) - f^*)$. Weak-curvature coefficient c denotes the coefficient of $-\|y - x\|^2$ in the two-point lower bound.

Table 51: Comparison for MixedRelativeSmoothNonconvex.

On a second-countable real Hilbert space with its Borel structure, f has L-Lipschitz gradient and $f(x_0) - \inf f \le \Delta$. Parameters $L, \varepsilon > 0$ and $r, M, \sigma, \Delta \ge 0$ are supplied. The jointly measurable oracle g is unbiased under a probability measure p; $e(x,\xi) = g(x,\xi) - \nabla f(x)$ has integrable squared norm, with $\mathbb{E}_p\|e(x,\xi)\|^2 \le r\|\nabla f(x)\|^2 + \sigma^2$ and $\mathbb{E}_p\|e(x,\xi) - e(y,\xi)\|^2 \le r(\|\nabla f(x)\|^2 + \|\nabla f(y)\|^2) + M^2\|x-y\|^2$. IID samples are shared within consecutive call pairs. Target: measurable X with integrable gradient norm and $\int \|\nabla f(X)\|\, d\mathbb{P} \le \varepsilon$, where $\mathbb{P}$ includes sampling and algorithm randomness.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) MixedRelativeSmooth Nonconvex **(Ours)** ($\star\star\star$) (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{array}{c} 1 + \dfrac{(1+r)L\Delta + \sigma^2}{\varepsilon^2} \\ + \min\left\{\dfrac{M\sigma\Delta}{\varepsilon^3}, \dfrac{L\Delta\sigma^2}{\varepsilon^4}\right\} \end{array}\right)$		
(2) SGD [109], Cor. 1 ($A=0$, $B = 1+r$, $C = \sigma^2$).	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \dfrac{(1+r)L\Delta}{\varepsilon^2} + \dfrac{L\Delta\sigma^2}{\varepsilon^4}\right)$	**(1)**: No worse in order. For $\varepsilon^2 < 2L\Delta$, $\sigma^2 \ge (1+r)\varepsilon^2$, $M\varepsilon \le L\sigma$, saving factor $\min\{L\Delta/\varepsilon^2, L\sigma/(M\varepsilon)\}$. Random-iterate target follows from **(2)**'s averaged bound.	**(1)** needs the increment condition, shared-sample pairs and known M additionally; $\mathcal{O}(Td)$ storage and substantially larger leading constant.
(3) Normalized STORM [144], Thm. 3.3 / Cor. 3.4, $L_1 = 0$.	$\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{array}{c} 1 + r + \dfrac{(1+r)\bar{L}\Delta}{\varepsilon^2} \\ + \dfrac{(\sigma + \sqrt{r}G_0)\sqrt{1+r}}{\varepsilon} \\ + \dfrac{\bar{L}\sigma\Delta}{\varepsilon^3} + \dfrac{\sigma^2(\sigma + \sqrt{r}G_0)}{\sqrt{1+r}\,\varepsilon^3} \end{array}\right)$ Raw MSS; sample-gradient oracles on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Batch size $\Theta(1+r)$ included. Target: $\min_t \mathbb{E}\|\nabla f(x_t)\| \le \varepsilon$.	**(1)**: Rate replaces $\bar{L}$ by centered M, removing σ^3/ε^3 startup dependence. For $r = 1$, $M = 0$, fixed positive $L, \bar{L}, \Delta, \sigma$: $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-2})$ versus $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-3})$. Scope includes rough relative noise without finite $\bar{L}$; identical-output comparison unestablished.	**(1)** uses growing batches and $\mathcal{O}(Td)$ storage; **(3)** has accuracy-independent batches. Generalized $L_1 > 0$ smoothness is outside **(1)**'s scope.
(4) PAGE [138], Cor. 4 (online).	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \dfrac{\sigma^2 + \bar{L}\Delta}{\varepsilon^2} + \dfrac{\bar{L}\sigma\Delta}{\varepsilon^3}\right)$ Expected gradient-call count; $r = 0$, raw MSS, sample-gradient oracles on $\mathbb{R}^d$.	**(1)**: Matches known ε^{-3} rate at $r = 0$; centered $M \le \bar{L}$.	

Abbr: Δ is the initial objective-gap bound; r, σ are relative and absolute noise parameters; M controls centered increments. Raw MSS means $\mathbb{E}_p\|g(x,\xi) - g(y,\xi)\|^2 \le \bar{L}^2\|x-y\|^2$, with $L \le \bar{L}$ and an admissible $M \le \bar{L}$. $G_0 = \|\nabla f(x_0)\| \le \sqrt{2L\Delta}$. T counts iterations of **(1)**; d denotes dimension when finite. Costs count individual oracle evaluations, including batches and paired evaluations. In the saving factor, $L\sigma/(M\varepsilon) = +\infty$ when $M = 0 < \sigma$.

Table 52: Near-zero-sum games: NearZeroSumGames.

Compact convex $X \subset E, Y \subset F$ in finite-dimensional real inner-product spaces; feasible initial point; $\operatorname{diam}(X \times Y) \le D$. Player costs are $h+g$ and $g-h$: h is μ-strongly convex in x and ν-strongly concave in y; g is jointly convex. Gradients within the domain exist, $\nabla(h \pm g)$ are L-Lipschitz, and ∇g is δ-Lipschitz. Here $0 < \mu, \nu \le L$, $0 \le \delta \le L$, and $D, \varepsilon > 0$. An equilibrium exists. Access: own gradients and exact Euclidean projection. Target: feasible z_{out} with $\|z_{\mathrm{out}} - z^\star\|^2 \le \varepsilon$ for an equilibrium $z^\star$.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) NearZeroSumOwnGradients **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{L}{m}\sqrt{\frac{m+\delta}{M+\delta}}\,\ell_0\ell_1\right)$ own-gradient and projection calls, counted together.		
(2) ICL [166, Thm. 1]	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{L}{m}\sqrt{\frac{m+\delta}{M+\delta}}\,\ell_0\ell_1\right)$ full-utility-gradient queries, $\varepsilon \le D^2$. Projections not quantified.	**(1)**: Matches rate for $\varepsilon \le D^2$ using own gradients instead of full utility gradients; no separate ∇g. Includes projection costs.	
(3) Extragradient [166, Prop. 1]	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{L}{m}\ell_0\right)$ own-gradient and projection calls.	**(1)**: Faster when $\frac{m+\delta}{M+\delta}\ell_1^2 \ll 1$. For $\delta = m$, $L = M = \kappa m$: $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\kappa}\,\ell_0\ell_1)$ instead of $\mathcal{O}(\kappa\ell_0)$.	**(1)**: Extra factor ℓ_1 when $M \asymp m$ or $\delta \gtrsim M$; needs separate curvature and coupling parameters and the decomposition structure.
(4) Zero-sum guarantee [166, Prop. 2]	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{L}{\sqrt{\mu\nu}}\ell_0\right)$ gradient queries; $\delta = 0$ (affine g absorbed into the saddle objective).	**(1)**: Scope extends to $\delta > 0$.	**(1)**: Extra factor ℓ_1 on the $\delta = 0$ overlap.

Abbr: $m = \min\{\mu, \nu\}$, $M = \max\{\mu, \nu\}$; $\log_+ t = \max\{0, \log t\}$; $\ell_0 = 1 + \log_+(D^2/\varepsilon)$, $\ell_1 = 1 + \log_+(L^2 D^2/(m^2\varepsilon))$. Own-gradient query: $(\nabla_x(h+g), \nabla_y(g-h))$. Full-utility-gradient query: $\nabla(h+g)$ and $\nabla(g-h)$.

Table 53: Exact-operator residual complexity for NonEuclideanWeakMinty.

In a finite-dimensional real normed space E, $F : E \to E^*$ is L-Lipschitz from the primal to the dual norm, $L > 0$. A root $x_\star$ satisfies $\langle F(x), x - x_\star \rangle \geq -\rho \|F(x)\|_*^2$ for all x, with $0 \leq \rho L \leq 1/20$. The differentiable mirror h satisfies $D_h(u, x) \geq (\mu/2)\|u - x\|^2$, $\mu > 0$, and $D_h(x_\star, x_0) \leq D$, $D \geq 0$. Exact F evaluations are counted; the target is $\|F(x_{\text{out}})\|_* \leq \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$, almost surely.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) NonEuclideanWeakMinty Extragradient **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{L^2 D}{\mu \varepsilon^2}\right)$		
(2) Mirror extragradient [247], Thm. 2, Lemma 3	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{L_0 L^2 D}{\mu^3 \varepsilon^2}\right)$ h additionally L_0-smooth; $\rho L \leq \mu^2/(16 L_0)$.	**(1)**: Accuracy term smaller by L_0/μ on the overlap; no mirror-gradient smoothness. Range $\rho L \leq 1/20$ independent of geometry. Spectral/Frobenius example: $\mathcal{O}(1 + L^2 R_F^2/\varepsilon^2)$ instead of $\mathcal{O}(1 + r L^2 R_F^2/\varepsilon^2)$.	**(1)**: Larger leading constant. For the Euclidean quadratic mirror, narrower range: $1/20$ versus $\rho L < 1/8$.
(3) EG+ [53], Thm. 3.2; Euclidean special case	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{L^2 R_2^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$ On $\rho L \leq 1/20$; Euclidean quadratic mirror.	**(1)**: Matches known rate on this restriction; extends scope to arbitrary norms.	**(1)**: Narrower admissible range: $\rho L \leq 1/20$ versus $\rho L < 1/8$.
(4) EG_p^+ [53], Thm. 4.2(i); ℓ_p special case	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{L^2 R_p^2}{(p-1)^2 \varepsilon^2}\right)$ $1 < p \leq 2$, $\rho = 0$; $h = \frac{1}{2}\|\cdot - x_0\|_p^2$.	**(1)**: $\mathcal{O}(1 + L^2 R_p^2/((p-1)\varepsilon^2))$ at $\rho = 0$: accuracy term smaller by $1/(p-1)$. Extends this geometry to $0 < \rho L \leq 1/20$.	
(5) [193], Thm. 6.1, Cor. 6.3; Euclidean unconstrained restriction	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{L^2 R_2^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$ On $\rho L \leq 1/20$, including inner evaluations; the extra resolvent is the identity.	**(1)**: Extends scope to arbitrary norms; no established rate improvement on the Euclidean restriction.	**(1)**: Narrower admissible range: $\rho L \leq 1/20$ versus $\rho L < 1$.

Abbr: $D_h(u, x) = h(u) - h(x) - Dh(x)[u - x]$; $\|\cdot\|_*$ is the dual norm; L_0 is the Lipschitz constant of Dh. $R_p = \|x_\star - x_0\|_p$; in row **(4)**, $\mu = p - 1$, $D = R_p^2/2$. Euclidean comparisons use $h = \frac{1}{2}\|\cdot - x_0\|_2^2$, $\mu = 1$, $D = R_2^2/2$. In the spectral-norm example, $r = \min(m, n)$, $R_F = \|X_\star - X_0\|_F$, and the Frobenius mirror has $\mu = 1$, $L_0 = r$, $D = R_F^2/2$. All ranges use the displayed setup's convention for ρ.

Table 54: Second-order nonconvex–PL minimax optimization: NonconvexPLSecondOrder.

On finite-dimensional real inner-product spaces, f has an L-Lipschitz gradient and differentiable gradient with ρ-Lipschitz Hessian. Inner maxima are attained and $2\mu(\Phi(x)-f(x,y)) \le \|\nabla_y f(x,y)\|^2$ uniformly. Assume $0 < \mu \le L$, $\rho, \varepsilon > 0$, $\Phi(x_0) - \inf \Phi \le \Delta$ and $\Phi(x_0) - f(x_0, y_0) \le D$, with $\Delta, D \ge 0$. Exact queries return $(f, \nabla f, \nabla^2 f)$. The target is $\|\nabla\Phi(x)\| \le \varepsilon$ and $\nabla^2\Phi(x) \succeq -\sqrt{100\rho\sqrt{\kappa}(4+\kappa)\varepsilon}\, I$; the constructed output is deterministic.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) EnvelopeCubicNonconvexPL **(Ours)** ($\star\star\star$) (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(\left[1+\kappa+\frac{\Delta\sqrt{\rho}\kappa^{3/4}}{\varepsilon^{3/2}}+\frac{\Delta\rho^2}{\mu^3}\right]\ell^2\right)$ joint calls.		
(2) ECRN [254], Thm. 11. Strongly concave inner subclass.	$\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\kappa}\,\ell+\left[1+\frac{\Delta\sqrt{\rho}\kappa^{3/4}}{\varepsilon^{3/2}}\right](1+\log\ell)\right)$ gradient and Hessian calls; $\varepsilon \le L^2/[\rho(4+\kappa)^{3/2}]$.	**(1)**: Scope: PL permits nonconcave inner objectives and nonunique maximizers. Matches the leading polynomial rate on the strongly concave overlap.	**(1)**: κ initialization instead of $\sqrt{\kappa}$; larger logarithmic overhead, function values required, curvature constant up to $10\times$ looser. Retuning **(2)** removes its accuracy restriction.
(3) MCN [165], Cor. 1. Strongly concave inner subclass.	$\mathcal{O}\left(\left[\sqrt{\kappa}+\frac{\Delta\sqrt{\rho}\kappa^2}{\varepsilon^{3/2}}\right]\ell\right)$ total gradient/Hessian calls; Hessians: $\mathcal{O}(1+\Delta\sqrt{\rho}\kappa^{3/2}\varepsilon^{-3/2})$.	**(1)**: Scope: strong concavity to PL. On the overlap, for $\varepsilon \le L^2/(\rho\kappa^{3/2})$, leading cost replaces κ^2 by $\kappa^{3/4}$, up to logarithms; curvature scaling improves by $\kappa^{3/4}$.	**(1)** requires values and has larger initialization/logarithmic overhead. Leading-term improvement need not dominate total cost.
(4) Smoothed-AGDA [237], Thm. 4.1, Cor. 4.1. Full inner-PL class.	$\mathcal{O}\left(1+\frac{\kappa L(\Delta+b_0)}{\varepsilon^2}+\kappa(1+\log\kappa)\right)$ gradient calls, including translation to $\|\nabla\Phi\| \le \varepsilon$.	**(1)** adds second-order stationarity. Costs are not directly comparable: different oracle access and accuracy guarantees.	**(1)** requires a Lipschitz Hessian and full-Hessian queries; **(4)** requires only gradient smoothness.

Abbr: $\Phi(x) = \max_y f(x,y)$; $\kappa = L/\mu$; $\ell = \log(2+\kappa+\rho^2(\Delta+D)/\mu^3 + \rho\varepsilon/\mu^2 + \mu^2/(\rho\varepsilon))$. PL: Polyak–Łojasiewicz inequality. $b_0 = 2[\max_y \widehat{f}(x_0, y) - \min_x \widehat{f}(x, y_0)]$, where $\widehat{f}(x,y) = f(x,y) + L\|x - x_0\|^2$, is the initial auxiliary primal–dual gap. Curvature tolerances in **(2)** and **(3)** are respectively $\sqrt{\rho(4+\kappa)^{3/2}\varepsilon}$ and $\kappa^{3/2}\sqrt{\rho\varepsilon}$.

Table 55: Nonsmooth hidden convexity: NonsmoothHiddenConvex.

On a finite-dimensional real inner-product space, $F = H \circ c$ attains its minimum, H is finite convex, and c is a differentiable homeomorphism with $\mu\|x-y\| \le \|c(x)-c(y)\| \le L_c\|x-y\|$ and L_J-Lipschitz Jacobian. Known $R > 0$ satisfies $F(0) \le F(y)$ on $\|y\| = R$. Jointly measurable IID oracle samples satisfy $\int g(x,\omega)\,dp(\omega) = Dc(x)^* s_x$, $s_x \in \partial H(c(x))$, and $\int \|g(x,\omega)\|^2\,dp(\omega) \le G^2$. The target is $\int [F(\hat{x}) - F(x_\star)]\,dP \le \varepsilon$, with P the IID sampling law. Parameters satisfy $0 < \mu \le L_c$, $L_J \ge 0$, $G, \varepsilon > 0$.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) NonsmoothHiddenConvex Stochastic **(Ours)** ($\star\star\star$) (review)	$\mathcal{O}(W \log^3(2+W))$		
(2) [18], Thm. 5.4	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{G^3R^4L_c^4\rho'}{\mu^4\varepsilon^3}\right)$; $\rho' = \max\{L_J/\mu, \mu/(L_cR^2)\}$. Almost-sure bound $\|g\| \le G$; two-sphere condition specialized to $D_1 = 0, D_2 = R$.	**(1)**: ε^{-2} instead of ε^{-3} up to logarithms; gain when $GR/\varepsilon \gg \log^3(2+W)$. For $L_JR \ge \mu$, factor $GL_cR/(\mu\varepsilon\log^3(2+W))$. Only second moments required.	
(3) SGD [22], Thm. 6.1; affine special case $L_J = 0$	$\mathcal{O}(1 + G^2R^2/\varepsilon^2)$ stochastic subgradients.	**(1)**: scope extends to nonlinear hidden maps; no rate improvement on $L_J = 0$.	**(1)** costs an extra $(L_c/\mu)^3 \log^3(2+W)$ for $L_J = 0, \varepsilon < GR$.
(4) [64], Thm. 2, Cor. 1	Not comparable: requires closed convex X and bounded convex $c(X)$; the target has $c(E) = E$.	**(1)** handles the global unconstrained problem. No bounded-domain reduction is supplied; rates are not comparable.	**(1)** requires a global smooth homeomorphism and barrier radius; **(4)** permits constrained problems and nonsmooth maps.

Abbr: $W = (1 + L_JR/\mu)(L_c/\mu + G^2L_c^3R^2/(\mu^3\varepsilon^2))$; $x_\star$ is a global minimizer; $\hat{x}$ is the final epoch center in **(1)**; costs count individual stochastic oracle calls.

Table 56: Stochastic optimization under PLHessianLipschitzKurtosis.

On a finite-dimensional real inner-product space, f has an attained minimum $f_\star = f(x_\star)$, an L-Lipschitz gradient, and a differentiable gradient with ρ-Lipschitz Hessian. Globally, $2\mu(f - f_\star) \le \|\nabla f\|^2$, with $0 < \mu \le L$ and $f(x_0) - f_\star \le \Delta$. The jointly measurable oracle uses IID samples of law p_0: $\int g(x,\omega)\, dp_0 = \nabla f(x)$ and, for $e = g - \nabla f$, $\int \|e\|^2 dp_0 \le \sigma^2$, $\int \|e\|^4 dp_0 \le K\sigma^4$. Here $\rho, \sigma, \Delta \ge 0$, $K \ge 1$. The setup requires constant-step SGD with a uniformly sampled final-window iterate $\hat{x}$; the target is $\int (f(\hat{x}) - f_\star)\, dP \le \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$, under its execution probability law P.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) KappaFreeSgdPLHessian **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(\left[\kappa^{5/4} + \frac{K\kappa^{3/2}\sigma^2\rho^2}{\mu^4} + \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu\varepsilon}\right]\ell\right)$		
(2) SGD [108, Thm. 3, Cor. 2]	$\mathcal{O}\left(\kappa[1 + \log(1 + \Delta/\varepsilon)] + \frac{\kappa\sigma^2}{\mu\varepsilon}\right)$	**(1)**: statistical factor $\kappa \to \ell$; total gain κ/ℓ when $\ell \ll \kappa$ and $\varepsilon \ll \ell^{-1} \min\left\{\frac{\sigma^2}{\mu^{3/4}L^{1/4}}, \frac{\mu^{7/2}}{KL^{1/2}\rho^2}\right\}$. For $\mu = \sigma = \rho = \Delta = 1$, $K = 3$, $\varepsilon = \kappa^{-2}$: $\mathcal{O}(\kappa^3) \to \mathcal{O}(\kappa^2 \log \kappa)$.	**(1)** adds Hessian regularity and kurtosis; $\kappa^{5/4}\ell$ initialization and a ρ-term. Random window replaces last iterate. Noise term worsens when $\ell \gg \kappa$.
(3) Weighted SGD [210, Thm. 5]; strongly convex restriction	$\mathcal{O}\left(\kappa[1 + \log(1 + \kappa\Delta/\varepsilon)] + \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu\varepsilon}\right)$	**(1)**: scope extends from strong convexity to PL, allowing non-isolated minimizers.	**(1)** on the strongly convex overlap: extra $\kappa^{1/4}$ initialization factor, ρ-term and statistical logarithm; needs ρ, K.
(4) Ruppert–Polyak averaging [70, Thm. 2]; isolated-minimizer restriction	Derived bound: $\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{\operatorname{tr}\Sigma_\star}{\delta} + \left(\frac{C}{\delta}\right)^{4/5}\right)$ for $\mathbb{E}\|\bar{x} - x_\star\|^2 \le \delta$, steps $\gamma n^{-3/4}$. Requires coercivity, unique critical point, $\nabla^2 f(x_\star) \succ 0$, Lipschitz noise covariance and L^4 consistency. C is non-explicit.	**(1)** allows non-isolated minimizers and gives explicit parameter dependence. Rates are not comparable: squared-distance accuracy and covariance/consistency assumptions differ.	
(5) Lower bound [169, Thm. 4.3, Rem. 4.6]; broader smooth PL class	$\Omega\left(\frac{\kappa\sigma^2}{\mu\varepsilon}\right)$ for $0 < \varepsilon \le \Delta/10$, $\sigma > 0$; all randomized first-order methods with bounded-variance gradient access.	**(1)** improves the statistical dependence on a narrower subclass. The hard instances lack Lipschitz Hessians; this is not an improvement on the full smooth PL class.	

Abbr: $\kappa = L/\mu$; $\ell = 1 + \log(1 + \sqrt{\kappa}\,\Delta/\varepsilon)$. Costs count individual stochastic-gradient calls, including the initial window burn-in. δ is squared-distance tolerance; $\bar{x}$ is an averaged iterate; $\Sigma_\star = [\nabla^2 f(x_\star)]^{-1} S(x_\star) [\nabla^2 f(x_\star)]^{-1}$, with S the noise covariance; C is the remainder constant. L^4 consistency means $\mathbb{E}\|x_n - x_\star\|^4 = \mathcal{O}(\gamma_n^2)$; $\gamma > 0$ is the stepsize scale.

Table 57: Power-geometry acceleration with relative gradient error. PowerGeometryRelativeErrorConvex.

In a finite-dimensional real normed space, f is differentiable and convex, $\|Df(x) - Df(y)\|_* \le L\|x - y\|$, and a global minimizer satisfies $\|x^* - x_0\| \le R$. Here $L, c > 0$, $p > 2$, $R \ge 0$, and $0 \le \alpha \le 1/4$. The differentiable function $\psi(x) = \|x - x_0\|^2/2$ satisfies $D_\psi(y, x) \ge c\|y - x\|^p/(\|y - x_0\| + \|x - x_0\|)^{p-2}$ for all x, y (zero at $x = y = x_0$). Every oracle reply obeys $\|g - Df(x)\|_* \le \alpha\|Df(x)\|_*$, allowing biased, call-dependent errors. Target: $f(x_K) - f(x^*) \le \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$, almost surely under every execution law; the constructed squared-norm dual-averaging output satisfies it for every seed.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) PowerGeometryRelativeError Acceleration **(Ours)** ($\star\star\star$) (review)	$\mathcal{O}\big(1 + (CT)^{p/(p+2)} + \alpha^2 T\big)$ gradient calls.		
(2) [46], Cor. 4.10, §5.1.2	$\mathcal{O}_p\big(1 + T^{p/(p+2)}\big)$ exact-gradient calls. Special case: ℓ_p^d, $\alpha = 0$, optimization on $B_p(x_0, R)$ containing a global minimizer.	**(1)**: extends to biased relative error; matches the exact-gradient rate for fixed p. Updates need neither R, ε, nor horizon; the stopping budget still does.	**(1)** has larger p-dependent constants; on ℓ_p^d, $C = p(p-1)2^{7(p-2)/2}$ (1536 at $p = 4$).
(3) Derived bound: [221], Thm. 7.2	$\mathcal{O}\big(1 + (d^s\sqrt{T} + \alpha d^{3s}T)$ $\cdot [1 + \log(d^{2s}T)]\big)$ gradient calls. On ℓ_p^d via Euclidean conversion, with $d^{2s}T > 1$ and $d^s\alpha \le 1/3$.	**(1)**: dimension-free; for fixed p and $d \gtrsim T^{p/(p+2)}$, removes dimension factors and logarithm, with $\alpha^2 T$ instead of $\alpha d^{3s}T$. At $p = 4$, $d = T^2$, $\alpha = T^{-1/6} \le 1/4$: $\mathcal{O}(1 + T^{2/3})$; **(3)** is inapplicable.	**(1)** loses at $\alpha = 0$, fixed p, d, $T \to \infty$: $T^{p/(p+2)}$ versus $\sqrt{T}(1 + \log T)$. At $d = 1$, excludes $1/4 < \alpha \le 1/3$.
(4) SODA [192], Cor. 3.2	$\mathcal{O}_{p,\tau_q}\big(1 + T^{p/(p+2)} + (\sigma_q R/\varepsilon)^p\big)$ stochastic-gradient calls, one per step. On ℓ_p^d: unbiased gradients with q-moment gradient variation (Assumptions 3–4); expected objective gap $\le \varepsilon$.	**(1)**: matches the rate on the exact-gradient overlap; removes R from updates. Covers arbitrary relative bias with pathwise accuracy; no stochastic-rate comparison outside that overlap.	**(1)** does not cover additive heavy-tailed noise allowed by **(4)**; the noisy oracle models are incomparable.

Abbr: $T = LR^2/\varepsilon$; $C = \max\{1, 32^{(p-2)/2}/c\}$; $D_\psi(y, x) = \psi(y) - \psi(x) - D\psi(x)[y - x]$; $\|\cdot\|_*$ is the dual norm. For ℓ_p^d, d is dimension, $s = 1/2 - 1/p$, and $c = 2^{2-p}/[p(p-1)]$ is admissible. $\mathcal{O}_p$ permits constants depending on fixed p. In **(4)**, $q = p/(p-1)$; σ_q and $\tau_q \ge 1$ are the additive scale and multiplicative factor in the L^q gradient-variation bound; $\mathcal{O}_{p,\tau_q}$ permits dependence on these subscripts.

Table 58: Residual complexity for RadiusGeneralizedLipschitzMonotone.

Let $F : E \to E$ be continuous and monotone on a real inner-product space, with $L_0 > 0$, $L_1 \geq 0$, $R \geq 0$. For every x, y, M, if $\|F(x + \theta(y - x))\| \leq M$ for all $\theta \in [0, 1]$, then $\|F(x) - F(y)\| \leq (L_0 + L_1 M)\|x - y\|$. A root $x_\star$ satisfies $\|x_0 - x_\star\| \leq R$. Access is to exact evaluations of F; the target is $\|F(x)\| \leq \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$. No initial-residual bound is supplied.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) RadiusGeneralizedMonotone **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{L_0 R}{\varepsilon} + (L_1 R)^{4/3}\right)$		
(2) EG [43, Theorem 3.6]; past-EG [84, Corollary 3.3]. Unconstrained $\mathbb{R}^d$.	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \left(\frac{L_0 R}{\varepsilon}\right)^2 + (L_1 R)^2\right)$	**(1)**: $A^2 \to A$, $C^2 \to C^{4/3}$; speedup $\Theta((1 + A^2 + C^2)/(1 + A + C^{4/3}))$. Last iterate instead of minimum residual. Scope: arbitrary real inner-product spaces.	**(1)** needs R in updates in addition to L_0, L_1.

Abbr: $A = L_0 R/\varepsilon$, $C = L_1 R$; costs count all exact F evaluations, including two per EG step and one new evaluation per past-EG step. $\mathcal{O}$ suppresses universal constants. The guarantee in **(1)** is deterministic.

Table 59: Comparison for RelativeEnergySymmetricNonconvex.

On a separable real Hilbert space with its Borel structure, f is differentiable, ∇f is L-Lipschitz, and $f(x_0) - \inf f \le \Delta$. The jointly measurable oracle $g(x,\xi)$ has marginally symmetric noise $\zeta_x = g(x,\xi) - \nabla f(x)$ with $\Pr(\|\zeta_x\| > r) \le 1/64$ and $\mathbb{E}[(\|\zeta_x - \zeta_y\|/(r + \|\zeta_x\| + \|\zeta_y\|))^2] \le \kappa^2\|x-y\|^2$. Samples are IID across query pairs, with a shared sample within each pair; no noise moments are assumed. Here $L, \varepsilon > 0$ and $r, \kappa, \Delta \ge 0$. The target is a measurable output $\widehat{x}$ with integrable gradient norm and $\int \|\nabla f(\widehat{x}(\omega))\|\, dP(\omega) \le \varepsilon$, for the execution law P.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) FairRelativeEnergyNonconvex **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left((1+\log A)\left[A + \frac{\min\{\kappa\varepsilon, L\}(r+\varepsilon)^2\Delta}{\varepsilon^4}\right]\right)$		
(2) NSGD-MVR [67, Thm. 4.2, v2], $1 < p < 2$, $q = 2$	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \left(\frac{\sigma_p}{\varepsilon}\right)^{p/(p-1)} + \frac{(L+\delta)\Delta}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{\delta\Delta}{\varepsilon^2}\left(\frac{\sigma_p}{\varepsilon}\right)^{p/[2(p-1)]}\right)$. Special case: $\mathbb{R}^d$, unbiased p-moment noise and mean-square similarity δ.	**(1)**: On symmetric overlap, $\sigma_p \gg \varepsilon$, initialization and tracking powers become 2 and 1, up to logs. At $p = 3/2$, fixed positive $L, \Delta, \delta, \sigma_p$: $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-7/2})$ becomes $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-3}\log(1/\varepsilon))$. Scope: momentless noise via robust-location coupling.	**(1)** needs exact symmetry; choose $r = \max\{64^{1/p}\sigma_p, \varepsilon\}$, $\kappa = \delta/r$ on the overlap. Fair-root computation is noncomputable and uncounted.
(3) NSGD-MVR [67, Thm. 4.2, v2], $p = q = 2$	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{\sigma_2^2 + (L+\delta)\Delta}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{\delta\sigma_2\Delta}{\varepsilon^3}\right)$. Special case: $\mathbb{R}^d$, unbiased finite-variance noise and mean-square similarity δ.	**(1)**: Matches polynomial rate on symmetric overlap for $\sigma_2 \ge \varepsilon$; extends to noise with undefined means using a central quantile and relative energy.	**(1)** needs symmetry and pays an extra $1 + \log A$; overlap: $r = \max\{8\sigma_2, \varepsilon\}$, $\kappa = \delta/r$. Large universal budget constant.
(4) N-SGD [13, Thm. 1, v2]; derived bound	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{D_\rho^2}{\varepsilon^4}\left[1 + \log\left(\frac{D_\rho}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\right]^2\right)$ fresh calls, $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$; $\Pr(\min_{k\le N}\|\nabla f(x_k)\| \le \varepsilon) \ge 1 - \rho$. Special case: $\mathbb{R}^d$, symmetric conditional densities uniformly positive near zero; bounded joint clipping or normalization, with admissible $a/\sqrt{t+1}$ steps.	**(1)**: No density condition. Rates are not comparable in (r, κ); the density constants in **(4)** have no established conversion.	**(1)** needs shared-sample pairs and relative-energy regularity; guarantees expectation rather than high probability.

Abbr: The relative-energy ratio is zero when its denominator is zero. $A = ((r+\varepsilon)^2 + L\Delta)/\varepsilon^2$; σ_p^p bounds $\mathbb{E}\|\zeta_x\|^p$; mean-square similarity means $\mathbb{E}\|\zeta_x - \zeta_y\|^2 \le \delta^2\|x-y\|^2$. Costs count individual oracle replies, including batches, paired replies and unused refresh replies. For **(4)**, $\rho \in (0,1)$ is failure probability, C bounds the nonlinearity Ψ, and the density-dependent $\beta_1, \beta_2 > 0$ satisfy $\langle \mathbb{E}\Psi(y+\zeta_x), y\rangle \ge \min\{\beta_1\|y\|, \beta_2\|y\|^2\}$; $\beta = \min\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$, $a = \min\{1, \beta_2/(8C^2), \beta_1/[16C^2(LC + \sqrt{2L\Delta}/2)]\}$, and $D_\rho = 1 + (\Delta + \log(1/\rho))/(a\beta) + aLC^2/\beta$.

Table 60: Relative-error acceleration under generalized smoothness: RelativeGeneralizedSmoothConvex.

Convex differentiable f on a real Hilbert space has continuous gradient and satisfies $\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\| \le (L_0 + L_1 M)\|x - y\|$ whenever $\|\nabla f\| \le M$ on $[x, y]$. A minimizer $x_\star$ satisfies $\|x_0 - x_\star\| \le R$ and $f(x_0) - f(x_\star) \le \Delta$; $L_0, R, \Delta, \varepsilon > 0$, $L_1 \ge 0$. Every possibly history-dependent reply obeys $\|v - \nabla f(x)\| \le \alpha\|\nabla f(x)\|$, $0 \le \alpha \le 1/100$. Target: $f(x_N) - f(x_\star) \le \varepsilon$ on every oracle path.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) RelativeErrorGeneralized Acceleration **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{array}{c}\sqrt{L_0R^2/\varepsilon} + \alpha^2 L_0 R^2/\varepsilon \\ +(1+L_1R)\ell + \alpha^2 (L_1R)^2\ell^2\end{array}\right)$ relative-gradient replies.		
(2) [214], Thm. 4.3	$\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{array}{c}1+\sqrt{L_0R^2/\varepsilon} \\ +L_1R\log\left(1+\min\left\{\frac{L_1^2\Delta}{L_0}, \frac{\Delta}{\varepsilon}\right\}\right) \\ +\log\left(1+\frac{\Delta}{L_0R^2}\right)\end{array}\right)$ exact-gradient calls. Special case: $\alpha = 0$, finite-dimensional Hessian-form class; $R = \|x_0 - x_\star\|$.	**(1)**: Scope extends exact gradients to adversarial relative errors. Matches the leading $\sqrt{L_0R^2/\varepsilon}$ term at $\alpha = 0$.	**(1)**: Larger logarithmic transient; at fixed L_0, L_1, R, Δ, it grows with $\log(1/\varepsilon)$. Needs ball projection.
(3) [161], GeneralizedAccelerated Convex	$\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{array}{c}1+\sqrt{L_0R^2/\varepsilon} \\ +\left(1+(L_1R)^{2/3}\right)\log(1+\Delta/\varepsilon)\end{array}\right)$ exact-gradient calls, $\varepsilon < \Delta$. Special case: $\alpha = 0$, Hessian-form class.	**(1)**: Scope extends exact gradients to relative errors, allowing biased, history-dependent replies.	**(1)**: At $\alpha = 0$, worse large-L_1R transient: L_1R instead of $(L_1R)^{2/3}$, with different logarithms.
(4) [223], Remark 3.1, convex guarantee	$\mathcal{O}\left(1+\sqrt{\frac{L_0R^2}{(1-\alpha)\varepsilon}} + \frac{\alpha^2 L_0R^2}{(1-\alpha)\varepsilon}\right)$ relative-gradient calls. Special case: $L_1 = 0$, $\alpha < 1$.	**(1)**: Scope permits unbounded curvature with polynomial L_1R dependence. At $L_1 = 0$, matches the polynomial accuracy terms for $\alpha \le 1/100$.	**(1)**: Requires $\alpha \le 1/100$; adds ℓ at $L_1 = 0$ and needs Δ in addition.
(5) Normalized GD [115], Thm. 3.1	$\mathcal{O}(1 + L_1^2 + L_0/\varepsilon)$ direction replies; best-iterate guarantee. Finite-dimensional setting, $R = \|x_0 - x_\star\|$, $\hat\beta = R$, with sufficient tolerance $\delta \le c\min\left\{\frac{1}{RL_1}, \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon/L_0}}{R}, \frac{1}{1+L_1+\sqrt{L_0/\varepsilon}}\right\}$.	**(1)**: Fixed relative-error tolerance and last-iterate accuracy. Rates are not comparable: **(5)** requires direction tolerance shrinking with ε.	**(1)**: Needs gradient magnitudes and Δ; **(5)** uses directions alone.

Abbr: $\ell = 1 + \log(1 + L_0R^2/\varepsilon + L_1R + \Delta/(L_0R^2))$. Hessian-form class: twice differentiable f with $\|\nabla^2 f(x)\| \le L_0 + L_1\|\nabla f(x)\|$. In **(5)**, $\|\tilde g\| = 1$, $\|\tilde g - \nabla f/\|\nabla f\|\| \le \delta$; $c > 0$ is a sufficiently small universal constant, and $1/L_1 = +\infty$ when $L_1 = 0$.

Table 61: Tracking under SmoothDriftStronglyConvex.

On a separable real Hilbert space, each deterministic f_k is differentiable, μ-strongly convex and L-smooth, with $0 < \mu \le L$. Minimizers satisfy $\|x_0 - x_0^\star\| \le R$, $\|x_1^\star - x_0^\star\| \le V$ and $\|x_{k+2}^\star - 2x_{k+1}^\star + x_k^\star\| \le \Gamma$. Jointly measurable stochastic gradients use independent samples with common law p, are unbiased and have variance at most σ^2 uniformly in x; the relevant first and second moments are integrable. For $\varepsilon > 0$ and $R, V, \Gamma, \sigma \ge 0$, admissibility requires $9792\Gamma^2(2L/\mu + 99\sigma^2/(\mu^2\varepsilon))^4 \le \varepsilon$. The target is $\int \|x_N(\omega) - x_{N-1}^\star\|^2 \, dP(\omega) \le \varepsilon$, where $P = p^{\otimes\mathbb{N}}$. The setup requires the output time to count oracle calls, not a particular update rule.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) VelocityTrackingSmoothDrift **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\Big(1 + H + A\log\Big(1 + \frac{R^2 + V^2A^2}{\varepsilon}\Big)\Big)$ stochastic gradients; every later N succeeds. Updates know $\mu, L, \sigma, \varepsilon$, not Γ, R, V.		
(2) SGD with step decay [45], Thms. 4, 28	$\mathcal{O}\Big(1 + \kappa\log\Big(1 + \frac{R^2}{\varepsilon}\Big) + \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu^2\varepsilon}\Big)$ gradients to the certified MSE scale $\varepsilon \asymp \kappa^2\Delta^2 + (\Delta\sigma^2/\mu^2)^{2/3}$. Speed-bounded restriction: $\mathbb{E}\|x_{k+1}^\star - x_k^\star\|^2 \le \Delta^2$; deterministic overlap has $V \le \Delta$, $\Gamma \le 2\Delta$.	**(1)**: lower certified error when $F_\Gamma \ll \varepsilon \ll (\Delta\sigma^2/\mu^2)^{2/3}$; every $\varepsilon > 0$ if $\Gamma = 0$. Scope: allows growing speed. No faster cost on the common admissible regime.	**(1)**: extra noise logarithm and velocity initialization term; deterministic drift and uniform variance. For $\Gamma \asymp \Delta$, requires $\kappa^4\Delta^2$ rather than $\kappa^2\Delta^2$ accuracy scale.
(3) Romberg NLMS [171], Thm. 8, Cor. 9	No explicit parameter-dependent call budget. MSE $\mathcal{O}(n^{-4/5})$ at smoothness $\beta = 2$, after a fixed-fraction burn-in; two NLMS updates per sample. Special case: stable time-varying autoregression, smooth coefficients/covariance, bounded innovation and initial moments of order $q > 8$.	**(1)**: explicit finite-time extension to nonlinear objectives with arbitrarily changing shape. Matches floor exponent $n^{-4/5}$ for $\Gamma \asymp n^{-2}$; oracle complexities are not comparable.	**(1)** excludes the multiplicative random-design noise allowed by **(3)**.
(4) Prediction–correction [105], Thm. 5.5 ($q = 2$)	No comparable total-call bound. $\limsup_k \mathbb{E}\|\hat{x}_k - x_k^\star\| = \mathcal{O}\big(b + \gamma s + (s+1)h^{2/5}\big)$. Requires bounded second trajectory derivative, per-sample strong convexity/smoothness, and correction contracting to sample minimizers with $\gamma\|\alpha\|_1 < 1$; history length $\Theta(h^{-4/5})$.	**(1)**: finite-time mean square, one fresh gradient per step; removes per-sample convexity and repeated sample solves. The $h^{2/5}$ rate in **(4)** requires $b = 0$, $\gamma = \mathcal{O}(h^{2/5})$.	**(1)** needs variance uniform in x, not just at $x_k^\star$, and excludes convex regularizers. Different oracles and error criteria prevent a cost comparison.

Abbr: $\kappa = L/\mu$, $A = \kappa + \sigma^2/(\mu^2\varepsilon)$, $F_\Gamma = \kappa^4\Gamma^2 + (\Gamma^2\sigma^8/\mu^8)^{1/5}$; $H \in \mathbb{N}$ is the supplied horizon slack. MSE: mean-square distance; NLMS: normalized least mean squares; n: sample count in **(3)**. In **(4)**, h is the sampling period, b bounds sample-minimizer bias, s^2 bounds its mean-square deviation, γ is the correction contraction factor, and α is the polynomial prediction coefficient vector. $\asymp$ and $\ll$ denote comparison up to universal factors and asymptotic separation, respectively.

Table 62: Stochastic cohypomonotone inclusions: StochasticCohypomonotoneInclusion.

In a finite-dimensional real inner-product space, F is L-Lipschitz and G is monotone with an everywhere-defined exact resolvent $J_{\gamma G}$ for every $\gamma > 0$. For $v \in (F+G)(x)$ and $w \in (F+G)(y)$, $\langle v - w, x - y\rangle \geq -\rho\|v - w\|^2$, with $L > 0$ and $0 \leq 2\rho L < 1$. A zero $x^\star$ satisfies $\|x_0 - x^\star\| \leq R$. The jointly measurable oracle g has integrable samples and squared errors, $\int g(x,\omega)\, dp = F(x)$ and $\int \|g(x,\omega) - F(x)\|^2\, dp \leq \sigma^2$; samples are IID with law p under P. Target: a measurable pair $(\bar{x}, u)$ with $u \in G(\bar{x})$ on every path and $\int \|F(\bar{x}) + u\|\, dP \leq \varepsilon$. Parameters $L, \rho, R, \sigma, \varepsilon$ are supplied; $R, \sigma \geq 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Costs count every F sample and every resolvent evaluation.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) RegularizedProxExtragradient Cohypomonotone **(Ours)** ($\star\star\star$) (review)	$\mathcal{O}\Big(\tau^{-8}\left(1 + \frac{LR}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\sigma^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right) \cdot [\ell + \log(1/\tau)]^4\Big)$		
(2) [4, Cor. 4.1, Rem. C.7]; stochastic, $\rho < 1/L$	$\mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{L^2R^2\sigma^2}{d^4\varepsilon^4} \cdot \mathrm{polylog}\Big(\frac{LR}{d\varepsilon}, \frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon}, \frac{1}{d}\Big)\Big)$, for $LR, \sigma \geq \varepsilon$. Resolvent residual; conversion to the target adds $\mathcal{O}(1 + \sigma^2/\varepsilon^2)$ calls, absorbed here.	**(1)**: $\varepsilon^{-4} \to \varepsilon^{-2}$ up to logs for fixed $L, R, \sigma > 0$, $\rho L < 1/2$, and small ε. Strong residual with an explicit certificate.	**(1)** requires $\rho < 1/(2L)$ instead of $1/L$; τ^{-8} diverges at $1/(2L)$ while d^{-4} remains bounded.
(3) RAIN^{++} [36, Thm. 5.4, Prop. 5.3]; $G = 0$, saddle-gradient F, $\rho \leq 1/(2L)$	$\mathcal{O}\Big(\Big(1 + \frac{LR}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\sigma^2}{\varepsilon^2}\Big) \cdot \mathrm{polylog}(L, R, \sigma, \varepsilon^{-1})\Big)$ expected F samples, including conversion to the original residual.	**(1)**: Scope extends to composite inclusions. On the unconstrained overlap, matches polynomial parameter dependence, with a fixed budget instead of expected random cost.	**(1)** incurs τ^{-8} and excludes $\rho = 1/(2L)$.
(4) AR [101, Thm. 2.2]; monotone F, $G = N_X$, closed convex X, $0 < \varepsilon \leq LR$	$\mathcal{O}\Big(1 + \frac{LR}{\varepsilon}h + \frac{\sigma^2}{\varepsilon^2}\ell^3h^2\Big)$ samples and projections; $\sqrt{\mathbb{E}[\mathrm{res}_F(\bar{x})^2]} \leq \varepsilon$.	**(1)**: Scope extends from monotone constrained VIs to nonmonotone cohypomonotone inclusions with general resolvents; matches polynomial accuracy dependence on the overlap.	**(1)** has larger logarithmic overhead at $\rho = 0$ and proves expected norm, rather than root-mean-square residual accuracy.
(5) [4, Thm. 2.1, Cor. 2.2]; deterministic, $\sigma = 0$, $\rho < 1/L$	$\mathcal{O}\Big(\Big(1 + \frac{LR}{d^2\varepsilon}\Big) \cdot \Big[1 + \log\Big(1 + \frac{LR}{d\varepsilon}\Big)\Big]\Big)$ exact F and resolvent calls.	**(1)**: Scope extends to noisy oracles; no established improvement when $\sigma = 0$.	**(1)** has larger logarithmic and ρ dependence, and requires $\rho < 1/(2L)$ instead of $1/L$.

Abbr: $\tau = 1 - 2\rho L$; $d = 1 - \rho L$; $\ell = 1 + \log(1 + LR/\varepsilon)$; $h = 1 + \log \ell$; $J_{\gamma G} = (I + \gamma G)^{-1}$; N_X is the normal cone; $\mathrm{res}_F(x) = \mathrm{dist}(0, F(x) + N_X(x))$; $\mathbb{E}$ is expectation under the sampling law; polylog denotes the cited guarantee's unspecified logarithmic factors in the displayed parameters, with logarithms bounded below by one.

Table 63: Stochastic constrained generalized-monotone variational inequalities: StochasticConstrainedGeneralizedMonotone.

A finite-dimensional real inner-product space; convex X with exact projection and $x_0 \in X$; continuous, globally monotone F satisfying $\|F(x) - F(y)\| \le (L_0 + L_1 \sup_{z\in[x,y]} \|F(z)\|)\|x - y\|$. A VI solution $x^\star \in X$ satisfies $\|x_0 - x^\star\| \le R$ and $\|F(x^\star)\| \le G$. Jointly measurable, integrable stochastic evaluations satisfy $\int g(x,\omega)\, dp = F(x)$ and $\int \|g(x,\omega) - F(x)\|^2\, dp \le \sigma^2$, with IID samples of law p under P. Public parameters satisfy $L_0, R, \varepsilon > 0$, $L_1, G, \sigma \ge 0$, $0 < \delta < 1$. Target: a measurable output Y with $P\{Y \in X,\ \exists v \in N_X(Y) : \|F(Y) + v\| \le \varepsilon\} \ge 1 - \delta$. Costs count all operator samples plus projections.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) StochasticConstrainedGeneralizedMonotone **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\Big(\Big[\frac{(L_0+L_1G)(1+L_1R)^2R}{\varepsilon} + (1+L_1R)^4 + \frac{\sigma^2}{\varepsilon^2}\Big]\ell^3(\ell + \log(1/\delta))\Big)$		
(2) AR+SOE [101, Thm. 2.2]	$\mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{L_0R}{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon) + \frac{\sigma^2}{\varepsilon^2}j(\varepsilon)^3h(\varepsilon)^2\Big)$ $\sqrt{\mathbb{E}[r(Y)^2]} \le \varepsilon$; $L_1 = 0$, $\varepsilon \le L_0R$.	**(1)**: Scope extends to $L_1 > 0$ with polynomial L_1R dependence. Matches polynomial accuracy dependence at $L_1 = 0$, up to logarithms.	**(1)**: Extra logarithmic overhead; high-probability accuracy does not supply **(2)**'s mean-square residual guarantee.
(3) Derived bound: [101, Thm. 2.2], Markov conversion	$\mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{L_0R}{\varepsilon\sqrt{\delta}}h(\varepsilon\sqrt{\delta}) + \frac{\sigma^2}{\varepsilon^2\delta}j(\varepsilon\sqrt{\delta})^3h(\varepsilon\sqrt{\delta})^2\Big)$ $P\{r(Y) \le \varepsilon\} \ge 1 - \delta$; $L_1 = 0$, $\varepsilon\sqrt{\delta} \le L_0R$.	**(1)**: At $L_1 = 0$, logarithmic confidence dependence replaces $\delta^{-1/2}$ and δ^{-1} factors in **(3)** only; no accuracy-exponent gain.	**(1)**: At fixed confidence, larger accuracy-logarithm powers: noise term $\log^4$ versus $\log^3$, apart from iterated logarithms.
(4) Clipped Korpelevich [217, Thm. 4.4]	Expected squared distance to the solution set; p-quasi-sharpness with $\mu > 0$, $p \ge 2$, and $0 < \alpha \le \frac{1}{2}$. No comparable residual cost.	**(1)**: Scope covers monotone operators without quasi-sharpness at $\alpha = 1$; high-probability residual replaces expected distance. Rates are not comparable.	**(1)**: Requires global monotonicity; **(4)** also covers nonmonotone quasi-sharp operators.
(5) EG [85, Thm. 3.1]	$\mathcal{O}\Big(1 + \frac{(L_0+L_1G)(1+L_1Re^{L_1R})R^2}{\varepsilon}\Big)$ Exact F ($\sigma = 0$); averaged restricted gap $\le \varepsilon$.	**(1)**: Scope allows finite-variance noise; polynomial rather than exponential L_1R dependence, for a returned-point residual rather than averaged gap. Rates are not comparable.	

Abbr: $N_X(y) = \{v : \langle v, w - y\rangle \le 0\ \forall w \in X\}$; $r(y) = \inf_{v\in N_X(y)} \|F(y) + v\|$. $\ell = 1 + \log(1 + (L_0 + L_1G + L_1\varepsilon)(1 + L_1R)R/\varepsilon)$; $j(t) = 1 + \log(L_0R/t)$ and $h(t) = 1 + \log j(t)$ for $0 < t \le L_0R$. α is the exponent of $\|F\|$ in generalized Lipschitz continuity; p-quasi-sharpness means $\langle F(x), x - z\rangle \ge \mu \operatorname{dist}(x, X^\star)^p$ for all solutions z. Restricted gap: $\sup_{z\in B}\langle F(z), y - z\rangle$, where $B = X \cap \{z : \|z - x^\star\| \le cR\}$ for the fixed universal radius factor in **(5)**.

Table 64: Strong growth with mean-squared smoothness: StrongGrowthMeanSquaredSmoothConvex.

Convex differentiable f on a second-countable real Hilbert space with its Borel σ-algebra; a minimizer $x_\star$ satisfies $\|x_0 - x_\star\| \le R$. For $\bar{L}, \varepsilon > 0$ and $\Gamma, R \ge 0$, a jointly measurable oracle with the stated moments integrable satisfies $\mathbb{E}_p g(x,\xi) = \nabla f(x)$, $\mathbb{E}_p\|g(x,\xi) - \nabla f(x)\|^2 \le \Gamma^2\|\nabla f(x)\|^2$, and $\mathbb{E}_p\|g(x,\xi) - g(y,\xi)\|^2 \le \bar{L}^2\|x - y\|^2$. Each query returns a same-sample pair, with fresh IID samples of law p under P. Target: measurable output y with integrable gap and $\int[f(y(\omega)) - f(x_\star)]\, dP(\omega) \le \varepsilon$.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) ProximalStormStrongGrowth **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{matrix}(1+\Gamma)^{3/2}\ell\sqrt{\bar{L}R^2/\varepsilon} \\ +\,(1+\Gamma)^2\ell\end{matrix}\right)$ pair calls, including batches and warm-up; two gradient evaluations per pair.		
(2) Accelerated SGD [224, Thm. 2]; AGNES [88, Thm. 3]	$\mathcal{O}\Big(1 + (1+\Gamma^2)\sqrt{LR^2/\varepsilon}\Big)$ single-point stochastic gradients. On $\mathbb{R}^d$; L may be replaced by the public bound $\bar{L}$.	**(1)**: Rate: $\Gamma^{3/2}\ell\sqrt{\bar{L}}$ instead of $\Gamma^2\sqrt{L}$ for large Γ. Lower total cost if $\bar{L} \ll \Gamma L/\ell^2$ and $\varepsilon \ll LR^2/\ell^2$. With $L = \bar{L}$ tuning: factor $\sqrt{\Gamma}/\ell$ for $\varepsilon \lesssim \bar{L}R^2/\Gamma$.	**(1)** needs MSS and same-sample pairs; adds $(1+\Gamma)^2\ell$ overhead. At $\bar{L} \asymp \Gamma L$, loses a logarithmic factor and the additive term. Larger proved constants.
(3) Derived bound [99, Thm. 1]	$\mathcal{O}\Big(\sqrt{\kappa\widetilde{\kappa}}\Big[1 + \log\Big(1 + \frac{\kappa\widetilde{\kappa}dLR^2}{\varepsilon}\Big)\Big]\Big)$ single-point stochastic gradients. Realizable least squares on $\mathbb{R}^d$, $H \succ 0$, finite fourth moments.	**(1)**: Scope extends from strongly convex quadratics to convex nonquadratic objectives, without a PL condition.	**(1)** loses linear convergence on this overlap: $\varepsilon^{-1/2}$ instead of logarithmic accuracy dependence.

Abbr: MSS: mean-squared smoothness; PL: Polyak–Łojasiewicz; $\ell = 1 + \log(1 + \Gamma)$; $L \le \bar{L}$: gradient Lipschitz constant of f; R: initial-distance bound; Γ: relative-noise level. In **(3)**, $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}(a^\top x - b)^2$, $b = a^\top x_\star$ almost surely, $d = \dim x$, $H = \mathbb{E}aa^\top = \nabla^2 f$, $L = \lambda_{\max}(H)$, $\kappa = C_4/\lambda_{\min}(H)$; C_4 and $\widetilde{\kappa}$ are the least constants satisfying $\mathbb{E}[\|a\|^2aa^\top] \preceq C_4H$ and $\mathbb{E}[(a^\top H^{-1}a)aa^\top] \preceq \widetilde{\kappa}H$. The initial gap obeys $f(x_0) - f(x_\star) \le LR^2/2$.

Table 65: Comparison for UnconstrainedLipschitzJacobianMonotone.

On a finite-dimensional real inner-product space, F is differentiable and monotone, with globally L-Lipschitz Jacobian and a zero $x_\star$ satisfying $\|x_0 - x_\star\| \le D$. Exact value and Jacobian oracles are available; $L \ge 0$, $D, \varepsilon > 0$, and integer $m \ge 1$. The target is $\|F(z)\| \le \varepsilon$ (deterministically for our construction).

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) LazyAnchoredExtraProximal **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\big((m + m^{3/5}T^{2/5})\ell^2\big)$		
(2) AEP Newton [103], Thms. 4.4, 4.6; $H = 0$, $L > 0$	$\mathcal{O}\big(m(1 + T^{2/5})[1 + \log(1 + T)]\big)$	**(1)**: polynomial cost factor $\min(m, T)^{2/5}$ before logarithms; for $T \ge m$, gain $m^{2/5}/\ell$.	**(1)**: more value calls; extra logarithm at $m = \mathcal{O}(1)$; needs D, m additionally; lacks composite-inclusion scope.
(3) Derived bound [251], Thm. 3.1 ($p = 2$); ball reduction	$\mathcal{O}\big(m(1 + T^{2/5})\big)$; tangent tolerance $\varepsilon/4$ on $B(x_0, 2D)$ gives residual tolerance ε.	**(1)**: cost gain $m^{2/5}/\ell^2$ when $T \ge m$, if this factor exceeds one.	**(1)**: logarithmic overhead; does not cover constrained VIs.
(4) LEN [35], Thm. 4.1; convex–concave minimax only	$\mathcal{O}\big(m + m^{2/3}(LD^3/\varepsilon_g)^{2/3}\big)$ for averaged restricted gap ε_g.	**(1)**: general monotone scope; last-iterate residual. If $\|z_N - x_\star\| = \mathcal{O}(D)$, setting $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_g/\mathcal{O}(D)$ gives gap cost $\mathcal{O}\big((m + m^{3/5}(LD^3/\varepsilon_g)^{2/5})\ell^2\big)$.	**(1)**: gap conversion requires an output-distance bound absent from its statement; conversion is one-way.

Abbr: $T = LD^2/\varepsilon$, $\ell = 1 + \log(m + m^{3/5}T^{2/5})$; costs are $\#F + m\,\#DF$, including trials. DF denotes the Jacobian; m is its price and our tuning input. ε_g denotes restricted saddle-gap accuracy; in **(4)**, evaluate ℓ at the stated residual tolerance. H denotes the composite set-valued operator; $B(x, r)$ is the closed ball.

Table 66: Unknown optimal value with an exact local linear oracle: UnknownValueSmoothConvexLocalLinear.

In a real Hilbert space, C is closed and convex, $x_0 \in C$, and f is differentiable on C with the convex lower model and quadratic upper model of curvature $L \geq 0$. A minimizer $x_\star \in C$ satisfies $\|x_0 - x_\star\| \leq R$ and $f(x_0) - f(x_\star) \leq \Delta$, with $R, \Delta \geq 0$. Access comprises exact value–gradient queries and exact point-only minimization of $\langle g, v\rangle$ over $C \cap \overline{B}(x, r)$, with arbitrary ties. Inputs are x_0, R and $\varepsilon > 0$; L, Δ appear only in the budget. The target is feasible y with $f(y) - f(x_\star) \leq \varepsilon$; **(1)** returns a best-observed point for every seed. The gap bound Δ need not be bounded by LR^2.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) CertifiedLevelBroxUnknown Value **(Ours)** $(\star\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\Big(1 + \sqrt{LR^2/\varepsilon} + \log\Big(2 + \frac{\Delta}{\varepsilon} + LR^2/\varepsilon\Big)\Big)$		
(2) Frank–Wolfe [98, Thm. 1] Derived bound on $C \cap \overline{B}(x_0, R)$	$\mathcal{O}\Big(1 + \frac{LR^2}{\varepsilon}\Big)$; steps $2/(k+2)$, one value–gradient and one local-LMO call per iteration.	**(1)**: $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{LR^2/\varepsilon})$ versus $\mathcal{O}(LR^2/\varepsilon)$ when $LR^2/\varepsilon \geq \max\{1, \log^2(2 + \Delta/\varepsilon)\}$; same oracle and no L, $f_\star$ input.	**(1)** pays $\log(2 + \Delta/\varepsilon)$: at $L = 0$, **(2)** is exact in one iteration. Needs ε; best-observed rather than last iterate.
(3) BroxGD [196, Thm. 3.2(ii)]	$\mathcal{O}\Big(1 + \frac{LR^2}{\varepsilon}\Big)$; $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, $R > 0$, ∇f L-Lipschitz on an open convex neighborhood of C.	**(1)**: $LR^2/\varepsilon \to \sqrt{LR^2/\varepsilon}$ when $LR^2/\varepsilon \geq \max\{1, \log^2(2 + \Delta/\varepsilon)\}$. Adaptivity: no L for certification. Scope: Hilbert spaces; upper model only on C.	**(1)** adds $\log(2 + \Delta/\varepsilon)$, which can dominate when LR^2/ε is small.
(4) Polyak-radius Local LMO [197, Thm. 4, $\vartheta = 1$]	$\mathcal{O}\Big(1 + \frac{LR^2 + G_\star R}{\varepsilon}\Big)$; $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, globally convex differentiable f with L-Lipschitz gradient; exact $f_\star$ supplied.	**(1)**: $\varepsilon^{-1/2}$ rather than ε^{-1} for fixed $L, R > 0, \Delta, G_\star$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. Adaptivity: no $f_\star$ required.	**(1)** needs R as input; **(4)** does not.

Abbr: $f_\star = f(x_\star)$; $G_\star = \|\nabla f(x_\star)\|$; $\overline{B}(x, r)$ is the closed radius-r ball. LMO: linear minimization oracle. Costs count all value–gradient and local-LMO calls together.

Table 67: Second-order weak Minty root finding: WeakMintySecondOrder.

On a finite-dimensional real inner-product space, F is differentiable, L_1-Lipschitz, and has an L_2-Lipschitz Jacobian, with $L_1, L_2 > 0$. A root $x^\star$ satisfies $\|x_0 - x^\star\| \le R$ and $\langle F(x), x - x^\star\rangle \ge -\frac{\rho}{2}\|F(x)\|^2$ for every x, where $R, \rho \ge 0$ and $\rho L_1 < 2$. Exact value and full-Jacobian queries are available. The target is $\|F(x_{\text{out}})\| \le \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$, almost surely.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) FullRangeSecondOrderWeakMinty **(Ours)** ($\star\star\star$) (review)	$\mathcal{O}\big((1 + L_2R^2/\varepsilon)\delta^{-5}\ell\big)$ total value and full-Jacobian calls. Updates need no R.		
(2) [194], Thm. 6.1 / Cor. 6.3; $A = 0$	Derived bound: $\mathcal{O}\big((1 + L_1^2R^2/\varepsilon^2)\delta^{-3}\ell\big)$ value calls, including inner iterations. Full range $\rho L_1 < 2$; no Jacobian needed.	**(1)**: ε^{-1} instead of ε^{-2} when $\varepsilon \ll \delta^2 L_1^2/L_2$ and $\varepsilon \lesssim L_2R^2$. Fixed δ, $L_1 = L_2 = R = 1$: $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-1})$ versus $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-2})$.	**(1)** needs Lipschitz full Jacobians and exact cubic-model roots; arithmetic uncounted. Worse δ dependence; loses at large ε. No constrained extension.
(3) [225], Thm. B.1, $p = q = 2$; restricted standard weak Minty	Value and full-Jacobian calls. At $\rho = 0$: $\mathcal{O}(1 + L_2R^2/\varepsilon)$.	**(1)**: Scope extends trajectory-dependent smallness of ρ to every $\rho L_1 < 2$, independently of initial distance. Matches known rate at $\rho = 0$.	
(4) [225], Thm. 3.5, $p = 2$; $3/2$-power defect	At $\rho' = 0$: $\mathcal{O}(1 + L_2R^2/\varepsilon)$ value and full-Jacobian calls. General condition: $\langle F(x), x - x^\star\rangle \ge -\frac{\rho'}{2}\|F(x)\|^{3/2}$, with sufficiently small ρ'.	**(1)** matches known rate at $\rho = \rho' = 0$. Away from Minty, defect classes are incomparable; rates are not comparable without additional restrictions.	**(1)** additionally requires global L_1-Lipschitz continuity of F.
(5) [226], Thm. B.3; bounded-operator special case	Value and full-Jacobian calls; requires $\sup_x \|F(x)\| \le D$ and a D-dependent smallness condition on ρ.	**(1)**: Scope extends to unbounded operators throughout $\rho L_1 < 2$. No established rate improvement on the overlap.	

Abbr: $\delta = 1 - \rho L_1/2 \in (0, 1]$; $\ell = 1 + \log(1/\delta)$; R bounds initial root distance. Each full-Jacobian query counts as one call. $A = 0$ denotes the unconstrained specialization (its resolvent is the identity). ρ' is the $3/2$-power defect coefficient; D bounds operator norms. Minty means zero defect.

Table 68: Comparison for AffineHeavyTailedAdam.

Differentiable $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $f(x_0) - f(x) \le \Delta$ for every x and $\|\nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x)\|_1 \le (L_0 + L_1\|\nabla f(x)\|_1)\|y - x\|_\infty$ whenever $L_1\|y - x\|_\infty \le 1$. Here $L_0, L_1, \Delta, \sigma_{0,i}, \sigma_1 \ge 0$, $L_0 + L_1 > 0$, $1 < p \le 2$, and $\varepsilon > 0$. IID samples have law μ; the jointly measurable oracle is integrable, with $\int g(x,\omega)\, d\mu = \nabla f(x)$ and integrable coordinate errors satisfying $\int |g_i(x,\omega) - \partial_i f(x)|^p\, d\mu \le \sigma_{0,i}^p + \sigma_1^p |\partial_i f(x)|^p$. The setup restricts algorithms to bias-corrected coordinatewise Adam with arithmetic minibatches and a uniformly selected pre-update iterate x_I. The target is $\int \|\nabla f(x_I)\|_1\, dP \le \varepsilon$, with measurable output and integrable gradient norm; P includes the IID samples and independent uniform selection.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) FixedMemoryAdamAffineHeavyTails **(Ours)** ($\star\star$) (review)	$\mathcal{O}\Big(\Big[1 + \frac{\Delta(L_0 + L_1\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^2}\Big] \cdot \big[1 + 2^{17q}(\sigma_1 + s_0/\varepsilon)^q\big]\Big)$ stochastic-gradient calls.		
(2) Minibatch signSGD, Derived bound from [112]	$\mathcal{O}\Big(\Big[1 + \frac{\Delta(L_0 + L_1\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^2}\Big] \cdot [1 + (\sigma_1 + s_0/\varepsilon)^q]\Big)$ calls; same problem and expected accuracy, without the Adam restriction.	**(1)**: Matches known rate for fixed p using canonical bias-corrected Adam with fixed memories $(0.9, 0.999)$; no problem-class complexity improvement.	**(1)** has much larger constants, including 2^{17q}, unbounded as $p \downarrow 1$.
(3) Minibatch signSGD [112], Thm. 1	$\mathcal{O}\Big(\Big[1 + \frac{\Delta d L_0^E}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{\Delta d^{3/2} L_1^E}{\varepsilon}\Big] \cdot [1 + (s_0/\varepsilon)^q](1 + \log(1/\alpha))\Big)$ calls for average gradient norm $\le \varepsilon$ with probability $1 - \alpha$; Euclidean generalized smoothness, $\sigma_1 = 0$.	**(1)**: Scope extends to affine noise and anchored $\ell_\infty \to \ell_1$ smoothness; **(2)** already covers this problem class. No established same-guarantee rate improvement.	**(1)** gives expected accuracy, not the high-probability average-gradient guarantee of **(3)**.
(4) Adam [130], Cor. 1	$\mathcal{O}\Big(1 + \frac{dL\Delta}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{d^2 L \Delta \sigma^2}{\varepsilon^4}\Big)$ single-sample calls; $p = 2$, $L_1 = \sigma_1 = 0$, Euclidean L-smoothness and variance σ^2.	**(1)**: Extends Adam to $p < 2$, affine noise and generalized smoothness; fixed memories. On the overlap, no worse order if $L_0 \le dL$, $s_0 \le \sqrt{d}\sigma$, $dL\Delta \ge \varepsilon^2$. For $L_0 = L$, $s_0 = \sigma$, $L\Delta \ge \varepsilon^2$, $\sigma \ge \varepsilon$: $\mathcal{O}(L\Delta\sigma^2/\varepsilon^4)$ versus $\mathcal{O}(d^2 L\Delta\sigma^2/\varepsilon^4)$.	**(1)** needs batches of order $1 + s_0^2/\varepsilon^2$, accuracy-dependent damping and a much smaller step. If $dL\Delta < \varepsilon^2$, its additional s_0^2/ε^2 cost can be worse.
(5) Vector Adam [190], Thm. 6.4	Polynomial cost for relaxed (ρ, ε)-stationarity; bounded gradients, additive p-moment noise, $p > 4/3$. Different criterion and function class; rates not comparable.	**(1)**: Ordinary gradient stationarity, unbounded gradients and all $1 < p \le 2$ under generalized smoothness; canonical updates instead of random step multipliers.	**(1)** requires generalized smoothness and accuracy-sized batches; **(5)** does not require gradient Lipschitz regularity.

Abbr: $s_0 = \sum_i \sigma_{0,i}$; $q = p/(p-1)$; $\alpha \in (0,1)$ is failure probability. L_0^E, L_1^E are Euclidean generalized-smoothness constants; L is the Euclidean gradient Lipschitz constant; σ^2 bounds $\mathbb{E}\|g - \nabla f\|_2^2$ in **(4)**. $\rho > 0$ is the relaxation parameter in **(5)**. The $\mathcal{O}$ constants in **(2)**, **(3)** may depend on fixed p; all costs count individual stochastic-gradient calls, including batches.

Table 69: Curvature-aligned stochastic nonconvex optimization: CurvatureAlignedNoiseNonconvex.

On a finite-dimensional real inner-product space, f is differentiable, $f \geq f_{\mathrm{low}}$, and $f(x_0) - f_{\mathrm{low}} \leq \Delta$. For every x, u, a linear map $Q(x)$ satisfies $f(x+u) \leq f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), u\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle Q(x)u, u\rangle$ and $\langle Q(x)\nabla f(x), \nabla f(x)\rangle \leq L_g \|\nabla f(x)\|^2$. The jointly measurable oracle g uses IID samples with law P_0, is integrable and unbiased, and has integrable noise moments satisfying $\mathbb{E}_{P_0}\|\zeta\|^2 \leq \sigma^2$ and $\mathbb{E}_{P_0}\langle Q(x)\zeta, \zeta\rangle \leq L_\xi \mathbb{E}_{P_0}\|\zeta\|^2$. Here $L_g > 0$, $L_\xi, \sigma, \Delta \geq 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Target: measurable output with integrable gradient norm and $\int \|\nabla f(\widehat{x})\|\, dP \leq \varepsilon$. **(1)** constructs constant-step SGD with a uniformly random iterate; the setup imposes no algorithm restriction. Prior costs are converted from expected squared-gradient accuracy to this target.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) CurvatureAlignedSgdNonconvex **(Ours)** ($\star\star$) (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{L_g\Delta}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{L_\xi\sigma^2\Delta}{\varepsilon^4}\right)$		
(2) Randomized SGD [77, Cor. 2.2]. Special case: L-Lipschitz gradient.	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{L\Delta}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{L\sigma^2\Delta}{\varepsilon^4}\right)$	**(1)**: L_g, L_ξ replace L. For $L_g, L_\xi \leq L$, noise-dominated costs improve by $\Omega(L/L_\xi)$ when $L_\xi\sigma^2 \geq L_g\varepsilon^2$ and $L_\xi\sigma^2\Delta/\varepsilon^4 \geq 1$. Scope: no Lipschitz-gradient requirement. Accuracy exponents unchanged; formally verified refinement.	**(1)**'s sharper constants require certified global Q and noise alignment; a local Hessian alone is insufficient.
(3) SGD [140, Thm. D.3]. Special case: $\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\|_{D^{-1}} \leq \|x-y\|_D$, $D = \mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{L}) > 0$, $\mathbb{E}\zeta_i^2 \leq \sigma_i^2$.	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{\|\mathbf{L}\|_\infty\Delta}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{\|\mathbf{L}\|_\infty\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}\|_2^2\Delta}{\varepsilon^4}\right)$, batch size 1.	**(1)**: for admissible $Q = \mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{L})$, $L_g \leq \|\mathbf{L}\|_\infty$, $\sigma^2 = \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}\|_2^2$, $L_\xi\sigma^2 = S$, noise coefficient becomes S. Gain $\|\mathbf{L}\|_\infty\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}\|_2^2/S$ when $S\Delta/\varepsilon^4 \geq \max\{1, \|\mathbf{L}\|_\infty\Delta/\varepsilon^2\}$, potentially d-fold. Scope: state-dependent, nondiagonal Q.	

Abbr: Costs count individual stochastic-gradient calls. $\zeta = g(x,\omega) - \nabla f(x)$; P is the execution probability law, including output randomization; Δ bounds the initial objective gap. $\mathbf{L} = (L_i)_{i=1}^d$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_i)_{i=1}^d$, $S = \sum_i L_i\sigma_i^2$, $\|v\|_D^2 = v^\top Dv$.

Table 70: Expected-smoothness nonconvex optimization: ExpectedSmoothnessNonconvex.

On a second-countable real Hilbert space with its Borel measurable structure, f is differentiable, ∇f is L-Lipschitz, $f \geq f_{\mathrm{low}}$, and $f(x_0) - f_{\mathrm{low}} \leq \Delta$. The jointly measurable unbiased oracle g uses IID samples from p, with integrable gradients and squared noise/differences, and satisfies $\mathbb{E}_p\|g(x) - g(y)\|^2 \leq L^2\|x - y\|^2$ and $\mathbb{E}_p\|g(x) - \nabla f(x)\|^2 \leq 2A(f(x) - f_{\mathrm{low}}) + C$. Here $L, \varepsilon > 0$ and $A, C, \Delta \geq 0$. Same-sample pairs are available. The target is a measurable output with $\int \|\nabla f(x_{\mathrm{out}}(\omega))\|\, dP(\omega) \leq \varepsilon$.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) NormalizedStormExpected Smoothness **(Ours)** ($\star\star$) (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{A}{L} + \frac{L\Delta + A\Delta + C}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{L\Delta\sqrt{A\Delta + C}}{\varepsilon^3}\right)$		
(2) NSTORM [216], Thm. 2(i), derived bound	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{(L\Delta)^2}{\varepsilon^4} + \frac{(A\Delta + C)L\Delta}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{(A\Delta + C)^{3/2}}{\varepsilon}\right)$ $L\Delta \geq 1$, $\gamma_0 = \sqrt{\Delta/L}$; initialization included.	**(1)**: ε^{-3} instead of ε^{-4} at fixed positive parameters. No worse order when $L\Delta \geq 1$, $\varepsilon^2 \leq L\Delta$.	**(1)** needs ABC structure; **(2)** also handles distance-growing BG noise beyond ABC.
(3) SGD [109], Cor. 1	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{L\Delta}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{L\Delta(A\Delta + C)}{\varepsilon^4}\right)$	**(1)** reduces the leading stochastic term by $\asymp \sqrt{A\Delta + C}/\varepsilon$ when $\varepsilon \leq \min\{\sqrt{A\Delta + C}, \sqrt{L\Delta}\}$.	**(1)** needs MSS and same-sample pairs (two gradients); **(3)** needs single gradients and only objective smoothness $L_F \leq L$.
(4) SPIDER [39], Thm. 4, derived bound; affine-variance special case	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{L\Delta(1 + \Gamma^2) + \Lambda^2}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{L\Delta(1 + \Gamma)\Lambda}{\varepsilon^3}\right)$ $\mathrm{Var}_p\, g(x) \leq \Gamma^2\|\nabla f(x)\|^2 + \Lambda^2$; MSS restriction, $A = L\Gamma^2$, $C = \Lambda^2$. Retuning valid for $\varepsilon \leq \min\{1, \sqrt{L\Delta}\}$, $\Lambda\varepsilon \leq 1 + \Gamma$.	**(1)**: scope extends to ABC without affine variance. For $\Gamma \geq 1$, $\Lambda \geq \sqrt{L\Delta}$, the ε^{-3} coefficient improves by $\asymp \min\{\Gamma, \Lambda/\sqrt{L\Delta}\}$ within the stated range.	**(1)** has a worse ε^{-3} coefficient when $\Lambda \ll \Gamma\sqrt{L\Delta}/(1 + \Gamma)$; at $\Lambda = 0$, $\Gamma\Delta > 0$, it loses ε^{-1} against **(4)**.
(5) SPIDER-SFO [62], Thm. 1; bounded-variance special case $A = 0$, $C = \sigma^2$	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{L\Delta + \sigma^2}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{L\Delta\sigma}{\varepsilon^3}\right)$ $0 < \varepsilon \leq \sigma$.	**(1)** matches the known rate at $A = 0$; scope extends to function-value-dependent, potentially unbounded variance.	

Abbr: MSS: mean-square smoothness; ABC: the displayed function-gap variance bound; BG: Blum–Gladyshev noise. $\mathrm{Var}_p\, g(x) = \mathbb{E}_p\|g(x) - \nabla f(x)\|^2$; P: output probability law; L_F: objective smoothness constant. Costs count stochastic gradient evaluations, including batches; one pair call costs two evaluations, so the same big-$\mathcal{O}$ bound applies. $\Gamma, \Lambda, \sigma \geq 0$ are the variance parameters in their rows. $\asymp$ denotes equality up to universal factors.

Table 71: Heavy-tailed Markov smooth convex optimization under HeavyTailedMarkovSmoothAndConvex.

On a second-countable real Hilbert space with its Borel measurable structure, f is convex and differentiable with L-Lipschitz gradient and a minimizer $x_\star$ satisfying $\|x_0 - x_\star\| \le R$. The jointly measurable oracle satisfies $\int g(x,z)\,d\pi(z) = \nabla f(x)$ and $\int \|g(x,z) - \nabla f(x)\|^\alpha\,d\pi(z) \le \sigma^\alpha$, with the corresponding integrability assumptions. Samples follow a stationary Markov chain with kernel κ, invariant probability π, and integrable $d_t(z) = \mathrm{TV}(\kappa^t(z,\cdot),\pi)$ satisfying $\int d_t\,d\pi \le (1+t/\tau)^{-\beta}$ for every lag. Here $L,\tau,\beta,\varepsilon > 0$, $R,\sigma \ge 0$, and $1 < \alpha \le 2$. Target: measurable output with integrable gap and $\int[f(\mathrm{output}(\omega)) - f(x_\star)]\,dP(\omega) \le \varepsilon$, where P is the stationary path law.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) AcceleratedHeavyTailedMarkovConvex **(Ours)** ($\star\star$) (review)	$\mathcal{O}\Big((1+\sqrt{A}+B)\cdot[1+\tau(256A+64B)^{1/\beta}]\Big)$. All calls, including skipped samples; deterministic budget.		
(2) Accelerated mirror descent with MLMC [207], Thm. 2, Cor. 2	$\mathcal{O}\Big(\Big[1+\sqrt{A}+\tau_{\mathrm{mix}}\frac{\sigma^2R^2}{\varepsilon^2}\Big]\cdot\mathrm{polylog}\Big(e+A+\tau_{\mathrm{mix}}\frac{\sigma^2R^2}{\varepsilon^2}\Big)\Big)$ expected calls, including batches. Special case: finite-dimensional ball, $\|g-\nabla f\| \le \sigma$, $\sup_z d_t(z) \le 2^{-t/\tau_{\mathrm{mix}}}$, $\tau_{\mathrm{mix}} \ge 1$.	**(1)**: Scope: stationary α-moments, including infinite variance, replace bounded noise; π-averaged polynomial mixing replaces uniform geometric mixing.	**(1)**: Hilbert geometry only. On the geometric overlap, pays τ_{mix} on the deterministic term, up to logarithms; noise order matches up to logarithms.
(3) Ergodic mirror descent [59], Thm. 1, (12); derived bound	$\mathcal{O}\big(1+Q^2[1+\tau Q^{1/\beta}]\big)$ calls, fixed β. Special case: finite-dimensional ball; convex sample losses with $\|g\| \le G$; uniform $d_t \le (1+t/\tau)^{-\beta}$.	**(1)**: Scope: no bounded-gradient requirement; averaged rather than uniform mixing. Output: last accelerated iterate instead of averaging.	**(1)**: Needs smoothness and stationary start. For $\alpha = 2$, $\sigma \asymp G$, $A \le Q^2$, $Q \to \infty$, fixed τ,β: $\mathcal{O}(\tau Q^{2+2/\beta})$ versus $\mathcal{O}(\tau Q^{2+1/\beta})$.
(4) Accelerated stochastic proximal subgradient [91], Thm. 2(i), (7a)	$\mathcal{O}\Big(1+\sqrt{A}+(\sigma R/\varepsilon)^{\alpha/(\alpha-1)}\Big)$ calls, fixed α. Special case: i.i.d., finite-dimensional ball, $h = \iota_{B(x_0,R)}$, $0 < \varepsilon < 1$.	**(1)**: Scope: stationary Markov dependence. Matches known i.i.d. rate when τ permits skip length $m = 1$, for fixed α.	**(1)**: Requires clipping; **(4)** does not.

Abbr: $A = LR^2/\varepsilon$; $B = (256\sigma R/\varepsilon)^{\alpha/(\alpha-1)}$; $Q = GR/\varepsilon$; G bounds sample gradients. $\mathrm{TV}(\mu,\nu) = \sup_{S\text{ measurable}}|\mu(S)-\nu(S)|$. Geometric overlap: $\alpha = 2$, $\|g-\nabla f\| \le \sigma$, $\sup_z d_t(z) \le 2^{-t/\tau_{\mathrm{mix}}}$, $\tau_{\mathrm{mix}} \ge 1$; admissible polynomial parameters are $\beta = 1+\log(e+A+\sigma^2R^2/\varepsilon^2)$ and $\tau = \beta\tau_{\mathrm{mix}}/\log 2$. polylog retains the unspecified logarithmic factors in Cor. 2. Fixed-exponent bounds need not have uniform constants as $\alpha \downarrow 1$ or $\beta \downarrow 0$. ι denotes a convex-set indicator.

Table 72: Relative-gradient acceleration under RelativeGradientStrongQuasar.

On a finite-dimensional real Hilbert space with its Borel measurable structure, f has an L-Lipschitz gradient and a minimizer $x_\star$ satisfying $f(x_0) - f(x_\star) \le \Delta$ and $f(x_\star) \ge f(x) + \gamma^{-1}\langle \nabla f(x), x_\star - x\rangle + \frac{\mu}{2}\|x - x_\star\|^2$ for all x. Here $L, \mu, \varepsilon > 0$, $0 < \gamma \le 1$, $\Delta \ge 0$, and $0 \le \delta < 1$. Each oracle call returns the same measurable $g(x)$, with $\|g(x) - \nabla f(x)\| \le \delta\|\nabla f(x)\|$. Target: $\int (f(x_K(\omega)) - f(x_\star))\, dP(\omega) \le \varepsilon$, with integrable gap and P the algorithm's randomization law. The setup does not restrict the algorithm; **(1)** constructs a randomized continuized method.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) AcceleratedRelativeQuasar **(Ours)** ($\star\star$) (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(\left[1 + \frac{\sqrt{\kappa/(1-\delta)}}{\gamma} + \frac{\delta^2\kappa}{\gamma^2(1-\delta)}\right]\ell\right)$ calls; deterministic budget.		
(2) Continuized acceleration [229], Thm. 2; $\delta = 0$.	$\mathcal{O}\big((1 + \sqrt{\kappa}/\gamma)\ell\big)$ expected gradient calls at fixed continuous time; expected gap $\le \varepsilon$.	**(1)**: Scope extends to fixed relative bias. Retains $\mathcal{O}((1 + \sqrt{\kappa}/\gamma)\ell)$ when $\delta \le \frac{1}{2}$, $\delta^2 \lesssim \gamma/\sqrt{\kappa}$; matches $\delta = 0$ rate with fixed-call expected accuracy.	**(1)**: Roughly fourfold larger leading constants in the exact-gradient comparison.
(3) Continuized acceleration [229], Cor. 2; $\delta = 0$.	$\mathcal{O}\big((1 + \sqrt{\kappa}/\gamma)\ell\big)$ gradient calls for gap $\le \varepsilon$ with fixed success probability $3/4$.	**(1)**: Extends to $\delta < 1$; matches the exact-gradient complexity order for the expected-accuracy target.	**(1)**: Expected accuracy instead of the stated probability guarantee.
(4) Restarted CG [117], Thm. 3.3; additionally $f(x) - f_\star \ge \frac{\mu}{2}\|x - x_\star\|^2$.	$\mathcal{O}\big((1 + \sqrt{\kappa}/\gamma)[1 + \log(1 + \Delta/\varepsilon)]\big)$ calls *and* exact two-dimensional solves (gradient cost unspecified). Requires $\|g - \nabla f\| \le \delta_1$, $\delta_1^2 \lesssim \gamma^2\mu\varepsilon$, and $\|g(\hat{x}_k)\| \ge 2\delta_1$ at every inner query.	**(1)**: On the common exact-gradient, quadratic-growth restriction, removes subspace solves. For nonzero errors, absolute versus relative models make rates noncomparable.	**(1)**: Expected instead of deterministic accuracy.
(5) Inexact acceleration [157]; μ-strong convexity, $\gamma = 1$, purely relative error.	$\mathcal{O}\Big(\Big[1 + \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{1-\delta}} + \frac{\delta^2\kappa}{1-\delta}\Big] \cdot [1 + \log(1 + \kappa\Delta/\varepsilon)]\Big)$ calls, using $R^2 = 2\Delta/\mu$.	**(1)**: Scope extends to nonconvex strongly quasar-convex objectives; matches polynomial dependence on the strongly convex overlap.	**(1)**: Requires fixed errors rather than call-dependent errors; expected rather than deterministic accuracy.

Abbr: $\kappa = L/\mu$; $\ell = 1 + \log(1 + \Delta/(\gamma\varepsilon))$; $f_\star = f(x_\star)$; R is an initial-distance bound; δ_1 is an absolute gradient-error bound; $\hat{x}_k$ are the inner query points of **(4)**; CG denotes conjugate gradient. The symbol $\lesssim$ hides a universal constant, chosen sufficiently small in admissibility conditions.

Table 73: Comparison for SimilarFiniteSumQuasar.

On a real Hilbert space, $F = n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i$, $n \geq 1$, with differentiable components and exact component-gradient access. The gradient ∇F is L-Lipschitz and each $\nabla f_i - \nabla F$ is δ-Lipschitz. Parameters satisfy $0 < \mu \leq L$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, $\delta \geq 0$, $384\delta^2 \leq \gamma^3\mu^2$, $\Delta \geq 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$. A minimizer $x_\star$ satisfies $F(x_0) - F(x_\star) \leq \Delta$ and, for every x, $F(x_\star) \geq F(x) + \gamma^{-1}\langle \nabla F(x), x_\star - x\rangle + \frac{\mu}{2}\|x - x_\star\|^2$ and $F(x) - F(x_\star) \geq \frac{\gamma\mu}{2(2-\gamma)}\|x - x_\star\|^2$. For every x, y, $F(y) \geq F(x) + \langle \nabla F(x), y - x\rangle - \frac{\gamma\sqrt{\mu L}}{2}\|y - x\|^2$. Target: $F(\widehat{x}) - F(x_\star) \leq \varepsilon$ almost surely; **(1)** constructs a deterministic output and satisfies this pathwise.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) CachedSimilarQuasar **(Ours)** $(\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(n + \frac{n\ell}{\log(\gamma^3\mu^2/\delta^2)} + \frac{\sqrt{\kappa}}{\gamma}\ell\right)$ component gradients		
(2) Nesterov acceleration [93, Theorem 2, v3]	$\mathcal{O}\big(n\gamma^{-1}\sqrt{\kappa}\,\ell\big)$ component gradients; full gradients on $\mathbb{R}^d$	**(1)**: replaces multiplicative $n\gamma^{-1}\sqrt{\kappa}$ by additive costs under $384\delta^2 \leq \gamma^3\mu^2$; savings grow with n and $\gamma^{-1}\sqrt{\kappa}$.	**(1)** needs finite-sum similarity and knowledge of δ; **(2)** requires neither.
(3) Derived bound: gradient sliding [114, Theorem 3]	$\mathcal{O}\big((n + \sqrt{\kappa})[1 + \log(1 + \kappa\Delta/\varepsilon)]\big)$ component gradients. Special case: $\mathbb{R}^d$, $\gamma = 1$, F μ-strongly convex; $q = f_0$, $p = F - f_0$, $L_p = \mu$.	**(1)**: on this overlap, divides the $n\ell$ term by $\log(\mu^2/\delta^2)$, retaining n; removes κ inside the accuracy logarithm. Scope: nonconvex quasar objectives, including $\gamma < 1$.	**(1)** needs $\delta \leq \mu/\sqrt{384}$ at $\gamma = 1$; **(3)** also permits $\mu \leq \delta \leq L$ when q is convex. Classes are incomparable.
(4) Quasar acceleration [95, Theorem 1]	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{n\sqrt{\kappa}}{\gamma}[1 + \log(\kappa/\gamma)]\ell\right)$ component value/gradient calls, using full evaluations on $\mathbb{R}^d$	**(1)**: on the stated curvature/similarity subclass, replaces the multiplicative n cost by additive terms and removes $1 + \log(\kappa/\gamma)$; needs no function values.	**(1)** needs the lower-curvature bound and similarity; **(4)** applies without these restrictions.

Abbr: $\kappa = L/\mu$, $\ell = 1 + \log(1 + \Delta/(\gamma\varepsilon))$; the fraction with denominator $\log(\gamma^3\mu^2/\delta^2)$ is interpreted as 0 at $\delta = 0$. Each full gradient costs n component-gradient calls; each full value costs n component-value calls. In **(3)**, squared-distance tolerance is $2\varepsilon/L$.

Table 74: Smooth convex optimization with quadratic growth: SmoothConvexQuadraticGrowth.

Convex f on a real Hilbert space has an exact L-Lipschitz gradient, $L, \mu, \varepsilon > 0$, and a minimizer $x_\star$ with $\|x_0 - x_\star\| \le R$, $R \ge 0$. For every x, some minimizer z satisfies $f(x) - f(z) \ge \frac{\mu}{2}\|x - z\|^2$. The setup restricts algorithms to GD with predetermined positive stepsizes and current-iterate output. Target: $f(x_K) - f(x_\star) \le \varepsilon$ almost surely. In **(1)**, updates use only L; μ, R, ε enter the stopping budget.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) UniversalSilverQuadraticGrowth **(Ours)** ($\star\star$) (review)	$\mathcal{O}((1+\kappa)^p \ell \cdot [1 + \log(1+\kappa) + \log \ell])$		
(2) Restarted AGD [66, Thm. 2, Sec. 3.4]	$\mathcal{O}\big(\sqrt{1+\kappa}\,[\ell + \log(1+\kappa)]\big)$ Includes restart tests; smooth unconstrained specialization in $\mathbb{R}^d$.	**(1)**: Predetermined GD with anytime last-iterate accuracy; **(2)** adaptively restarts AGD and returns a stopped output. No complexity improvement; updates already need no μ.	**(1)**: Exponent p instead of $1/2$, with extra logarithms; requires global QG instead of initial-sublevel QG.
(3) Anytime GD [253, Thm. 11, arXiv v2]	$\mathcal{O}(\kappa^q \ell)$ Special case: μ-strong convexity in $\mathbb{R}^d$.	**(1)**: Scope: QG instead of strong convexity. Adaptivity: no μ. Rate on overlap: $p \approx 0.786$ instead of $q \approx 0.893$; total gain when $\kappa^{q-p} \gg 1 + \log\kappa + \log\ell$.	**(1)**: Extra $1 + \log\kappa + \log\ell$ factor. Crossover depends on unspecified constants; the unit-constant illustration exceeds $\kappa \approx 10^{15}$.
(4) Silver GD [9, Thm. 1.1]	$\mathcal{O}(\kappa^p \ell)$ Special case: μ-strong convexity; prescribed horizons. Distance contraction converted to objective gap.	**(1)**: Scope: QG instead of strong convexity. Adaptivity: neither κ nor horizon needed; anytime last-iterate accuracy instead of prescribed-horizon accuracy.	**(1)**: Extra $1 + \log\kappa + \log\ell$ factor on the strongly convex overlap.
(5) Restarted long-step GD [87, Remark 3]	$\mathcal{O}((1+\kappa)^p \ell)$ Derived bound: convex PL restart in $\mathbb{R}^d$; QG implies PL up to universal factors. Block-end objective accuracy.	**(1)**: No μ needed to choose block lengths; anytime last-iterate accuracy instead of block-end accuracy. Matches the condition-number exponent; no QG scope gain.	**(1)**: Extra $1 + \log(1+\kappa) + \log\ell$ factor.
(6) Constant-step GD, $1/L$ [66, Sec. 2.1]	$\mathcal{O}((1+\kappa)\ell)$ QG/PL specialization of the classical proximal-gradient bound.	**(1)**: Rate: $\kappa^{0.786}$ instead of κ, up to logarithms; total gain when $\kappa^{1-p} \gg 1 + \log\kappa + \log\ell$. No adaptivity gain: **(6)** is already anytime and μ-free.	**(1)**: Larger leading constants and logarithmic overhead; savings require sufficiently large κ.

Abbr: Costs count exact gradient calls. $\kappa = L/\mu$, $\ell = 1 + \log(1 + LR^2/\varepsilon)$, $p = \log 2/\log(1+\sqrt{2})$, $q = (1+p)/2$. GD: gradient descent; AGD: accelerated gradient descent; QG: quadratic growth; PL: Polyak–Łojasiewicz inequality. Anytime: accuracy at every sufficiently large iteration; **(1)** exports the stated stopping budget.

Table 75: Stochastic monotone root finding: StochasticLipschitzMonotone.

In a finite-dimensional real Hilbert space with its Borel structure, F is monotone and globally L-Lipschitz, and $F(x_\star) = 0$ with $\|x_0 - x_\star\| \le R$. Here $L, \varepsilon > 0$ and $R, \sigma \ge 0$. The jointly measurable oracle g has integrable values and squared errors, $\int g(x,\omega)\,dp = F(x)$ and $\int \|g(x,\omega) - F(x)\|^2\,dp \le \sigma^2$. Samples are IID with marginal probability law p and joint law P. The target is $\int \|F(z(\xi))\|^2\,dP(\xi) \le \varepsilon^2$. Result **(1)** constructs batched inertial regularized extragradient, tuned using $L, R, \sigma, \varepsilon$; the setup imposes no algorithm restriction.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) InertialMonotoneResidual **(Ours)** ($\star\star$) (review)	$\mathcal{O}\Big(1 + \frac{LR}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\sigma^2}{\varepsilon^2}\ell^2\Big)$		
(2) RRSEG [126], Cor. 6.2	$\mathcal{O}\Big(1 + \frac{LR}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\sigma^2}{\varepsilon^2}\log^3\big(2 + \frac{LR}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon}\big)\Big)$; $A = 0$ specialization.	**(1)** saves at least a factor ℓ when $\sigma^2\ell^2/\varepsilon^2 \ge LR/\varepsilon$; otherwise matches the deterministic order.	**(1)** uses growing batches instead of two calls per update; does not cover composite inclusions.
(3) Accumulative regularization [102], Thm. 2.2	$\mathcal{O}\Big(1 + \frac{LR}{\varepsilon}h + \frac{\sigma^2}{\varepsilon^2}\ell^3h^2\Big)$; $0 < \varepsilon \le LR$, unconstrained specialization.	**(1)** replaces the statistical factor ℓ^3h^2 by ℓ^2. For fixed $L, R, \sigma > 0$, the total saving grows as ℓh^2 as $\varepsilon \to 0$.	**(1)** does not cover constrained variational inequalities.
(4) S-Dual-OHM [242], Cor. 3.6	$\mathcal{O}\Big((1 + \frac{LR}{\varepsilon})\ell + \frac{\sigma^2}{\varepsilon^2}\ell^2\Big)$; samplewise monotone, uniformly L-Lipschitz maps; same-sample queries.	**(1)** needs only mean regularity and fresh samples. On the overlap, removes the deterministic ℓ factor; total gain when $\sigma^2 \lesssim \varepsilon LR/\ell$. Statistical order matches.	

Abbr: $\ell = 1 + \log(1 + LR/\varepsilon)$; $h = 1 + \log\ell$. Costs count individual stochastic operator evaluations, including batches and inner solves; A denotes the additional maximally monotone operator in an inclusion $0 \in F(x) + A(x)$.

Table 76: Comparison for SymmetricFirstMomentNonconvex.

$f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable with L-Lipschitz gradient and $f(x_0) - \inf f \le \Delta$; $L, \varepsilon > 0$ and $\Lambda, \sigma, \Delta \ge 0$. The jointly measurable, integrable oracle g is unbiased. IID samples supply paired evaluations $(g(x,\xi), g(y,\xi))$ with the same sample. For $\zeta(x,\xi) = g(x,\xi) - \nabla f(x)$, $\mathbb{E}\|\zeta(x,\xi)\| \le \sigma$, $\mathbb{E}\|\zeta(x,\xi) - \zeta(y,\xi)\| \le \Lambda\|x-y\|$, and every coordinate of $\zeta(x,\xi)$ has a symmetric marginal law. Target: $\mathbb{E}\|\nabla f(x_{\mathrm{out}})\| \le \varepsilon$. Costs count primitive stochastic-gradient evaluations, including all median samples and batches; each paired query costs two evaluations.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) MedianFirstMomentNonconvex **(Ours)** $(\star\star)$ (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(\ell^2 \left[1 + \frac{L\Delta+\sigma^2}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{\Lambda\sigma\Delta}{\varepsilon^3}\right]\right)$		
(2) Median-of-three SGD; derived bound from [78, Cor. 2.2]	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{L\Delta}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{L\Delta\sigma^2}{\varepsilon^4}\right)$	**(1)**: Stochastic term $L\Delta\sigma^2/\varepsilon^4$ becomes $\ell^2\Lambda\sigma\Delta/\varepsilon^3$; smaller when $\varepsilon\ell^2 \ll L\sigma/\Lambda$, $\sigma^2 \gtrsim \varepsilon^2$. Median replacement plus centered dyadic analysis enables this gain.	**(1)**: Extra ℓ^2 overhead and σ^2/ε^2 initialization; worse stochastic term when $\Lambda \gg L\sigma/\varepsilon$.
(3) NSGD-MVR [67, Thm. 4.2, $q = 1$]	$\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{matrix}1 + \left(\frac{\sigma_p}{\varepsilon}\right)^{p/(p-1)} + \frac{(L+\Lambda)\Delta}{\varepsilon^2} \\ + \frac{\Lambda\Delta}{\varepsilon^2}\left(\frac{\sigma_p}{\varepsilon}\right)^{p/(p-1)}\end{matrix}\right)$	**(1)**: Scope extends to first moments only. On symmetric overlap, $\sigma \le \sigma_p$: accuracy exponent $2 + p/(p-1) \ge 4$ becomes 3, up to ℓ^2, for fixed positive parameters and $\varepsilon \to 0$.	**(1)** requires coordinatewise symmetry. **(3)** requires $\mathbb{E}\|\zeta(x,\xi)\|^p \le \sigma_p^p$, $1 < p \le 2$, but permits asymmetric noise.
(4) Median-of-three SPIDER; derived bound from [62, Thm. 1]	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{\bar{L}\sigma\Delta}{\varepsilon^3} + \frac{\sigma^2}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon}\right)$, $0 < \varepsilon \le \sigma$. Special case: $\mathbb{E}\|g(x,\xi) - g(y,\xi)\|^2 \le \bar{L}^2\|x-y\|^2$.	**(1)**: Scope includes infinite second-moment increments. On overlap, $L \le \bar{L}$, $\Lambda \le 2\bar{L}$: matches the ε^{-3} power; coupling term improves when $\Lambda\ell^2 \ll \bar{L}$.	**(1)** pays ℓ^2 overhead on the common setup; no uniform total-cost improvement.

Abbr: $\ell = \log(2 + L\Delta/\varepsilon^2)$; expectations use the sampling law and any output randomization. σ_p is the pth central-moment scale; $\bar{L}$ is the raw mean-squared smoothness constant. Median-of-three means the coordinatewise median of three independent samples.

Table 77: Weakly convex optimization with heavy-tailed noise (WeaklyConvexHeavyTailed).

A finite-dimensional real inner-product space, closed convex X, $x_0 \in X$, and a selection v satisfying $f(y) \geq f(x) + \langle v(x), y - x\rangle - \rho\|y - x\|^2/2$ and $\|v(x)\| \leq G$ on X. Here $\rho, G > 0$, $f \geq f_{\text{low}}$ on X, and $f(x_0) - f_{\text{low}} \leq \Delta$. The jointly measurable oracle uses IID samples with $\mathbb{E}g(x,\xi) = v(x)$ and $\mathbb{E}\|g(x,\xi) - v(x)\|^\alpha \leq \sigma^\alpha$, $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, $\sigma \geq 0$, with these integrals finite; exact projection onto X is available. The setup requires constant-step, constant-threshold projected clipped subgradient iterations. The target is $\mathbb{E}\|\nabla\phi(x_J)\|^2 \leq \varepsilon^2$ for a uniformly sampled iterate, $\varepsilon > 0$.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) WeaklyConvexClipped Subgradient **(Ours)** ($\star\star$) (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{\rho\Delta(G^2 + \sigma^\alpha G^{2-\alpha})}{\varepsilon^4} + \frac{\rho\Delta\sigma^{\alpha/(\alpha-1)}}{\varepsilon^{(3\alpha-2)/(\alpha-1)}}\right)$		
(2) Clip-SGD [255, Thm. 5, Eq. (27)]; expectation, $\eta_0 = 1$, batch size 1; bounded subgradients on $X \subset \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{dom} f)$	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{(\Delta + G + \rho)^2 G^2}{\varepsilon^4} + \left(\frac{(\Delta + G + \rho)\sigma}{\varepsilon^2}\right)^{\alpha/(\alpha-1)}\right)$	**(1)**: Clip-SGD noise exponent improves for $\alpha < 2$; for $\sigma, \Delta > 0$, smaller noise term when $\varepsilon^{(2-\alpha)/(\alpha-1)} < (\Delta + G + \rho)^{\alpha/(\alpha-1)}/(\rho\Delta)$. Fixed positive parameters, $\alpha = 3/2$, $\varepsilon \to 0$: $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-5})$ instead of $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-6})$. No exponent gain at $\alpha = 2$.	**(1)**: tuning needs $\rho, \Delta, \varepsilon$ in addition to G, σ, α and the horizon.
(3) Clip-SGD [255, Thm. 3, Eq. (22)]; probability $1 - \delta$, $\eta_0 = 1$, batch size 1; bounded subgradients on $X \subset \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{dom} f)$	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{C_\delta^2 G^2}{\varepsilon^4} + \left(\frac{C_\delta \sigma}{\varepsilon^2}\right)^{\alpha/(\alpha-1)}\right)$ Guarantees $T^{-1}\sum_{t=1}^T \|\nabla\phi(x_t)\|^2 \leq \varepsilon^2$ with probability $1 - \delta$.	**(1)**: for fixed positive parameters and confidence, $\alpha = 3/2$, $\varepsilon \to 0$, expected accuracy costs $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-5})$ versus $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-6})$ for this stronger guarantee.	**(1)** gives expectation only, losing the probability guarantee of **(3)**; needs ρ, Δ for tuning.
(4) Derived bound: proximal point [49, Sec. 3] with [146, Thm. 9]; $X = \mathbb{R}^d$	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{\rho\Delta G^2}{\varepsilon^4} + \frac{\rho\Delta\sigma^{\alpha/(\alpha-1)}}{\varepsilon^{(3\alpha-2)/(\alpha-1)}}\right)$ $\varepsilon < G$, $\varepsilon^2 \leq \rho\Delta$; all inner calls counted; ball projection is closed-form.	**(1)**: matches known rate on $X = \mathbb{R}^d$ in this regime, with one loop instead of nested loops. Scope extends to constrained X using Π_X.	
(5) Derived bound: proximal point [49, Sec. 3] with [146, Thm. 9]; bounded X, diameter D	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{\rho\Delta(G + \rho D)^2}{\varepsilon^4} + \frac{\rho\Delta\sigma^{\alpha/(\alpha-1)}}{\varepsilon^{(3\alpha-2)/(\alpha-1)}}\right)$ $\varepsilon < G$, $\varepsilon^2 \leq \rho\Delta$; all inner calls counted; only Π_X needed.	**(1)**: deterministic term smaller by $(1 + \rho D/G)^2$ when $\rho D > G$; noise order matches in this regime. Scope: unbounded X with Π_X; this reduction there remains unresolved.	

Abbr: $\phi(x) = \min_{y \in X}\{f(y) + \rho\|y - x\|^2\}$; $\nabla\phi(x) = 2\rho(x - \operatorname{prox}_{f+I_X, 1/(2\rho)}(x))$; I_X: convex indicator of X. Π_X: Euclidean projection; $D = \operatorname{diam} X$; $\delta \in (0,1)$; $C_\delta = \Delta + (G + \rho)(1 + \log(1/\delta))$. Costs count stochastic subgradient and projection calls separately, including every inner iteration; a closed-form projection adds no oracle requirement.

Table 78: Bounded composite saddle problems: BoundedCompositeSaddle.

Convex sets $X \subset E$, $Y \subset F$ in real inner-product spaces satisfy $\|x\|, \|y\| \leq R$, with $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times Y$. The objective is $\Phi(x, y) = g(x) + \langle y, Kx \rangle - h(y)$, where K is continuous linear, $\|K\| \leq L$, and g, h are convex and M-Lipschitz on their respective domains; $R, L, \varepsilon > 0$, $M \geq 0$. Exact K, K^* and constrained proximal maps are available. The setup restricts algorithms to constant-step Arrow–Hurwicz. The target is a feasible pair $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ with $\Phi(\bar{x}, y) - \Phi(x, \bar{y}) \leq \varepsilon$ for every $(x, y) \in X \times Y$, deterministically.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) ArrowHurwiczUniformRate **(Ours)** (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{LR^2 + MR}{\varepsilon}\right)$		
(2) ArrowHurwiczBoundedSaddle **(Ours)** (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \left(\frac{R(LR + M)}{\varepsilon}\right)^{4/3}\right)$		
(3) PDHG [29, Thm. 1(b), Eq. (16)]; $\theta = 1$. Derived bound.	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{LR^2}{\varepsilon}\right)$	**(1)**: Matches known rate when $M \leq LR$, with an Arrow–Hurwicz guarantee. **(2)**: No established improvement.	**(1)**: extra MR/ε. **(2)**: worse accuracy exponent. **(1)**, **(2)**: require Lipschitz terms; **(3)** needs only bounded comparator sets.
(4) Arrow–Hurwicz [29, §3.2, Eq. (20)]. Derived bound.	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{L^2R^4}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$	For $M \leq LR$, $\varepsilon \leq LR^2$, method-specific costs: **(1)** $\mathcal{O}(1 + LR^2/\varepsilon)$; **(2)** $\mathcal{O}(1 + (LR^2/\varepsilon)^{4/3})$, versus **(4)**'s quadratic dependence. **(1)**: step independent of accuracy.	**(1)**, **(2)**: add bounded primal domain and Lipschitz terms. **(2)** is worse when $M \gg L^{3/2}R^2/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$.
(5) AltGDA [172, Thm. 1, v1]. Special case: simplices, $g = h = 0$, $R = 1$.	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{L}{\delta\varepsilon}\right)$; $\eta = \delta/(2\sqrt{2}L)$.	**(1)**: $\mathcal{O}(1 + L/\varepsilon)$ removes $1/\delta$ and knowledge of δ in the step. **(1)**, **(2)**: extend to bounded convex domains and Lipschitz composites. **(2)** gains when $\delta \ll (\varepsilon/L)^{1/3}$, $\varepsilon \leq L$.	**(1)**: larger leading constant for large δ. **(2)**: worse when $\delta \gg (\varepsilon/L)^{1/3}$.

Abbr: R bounds domain norms; L bounds $\|K\|$; M bounds the Lipschitz constants; ε is the full saddle-gap tolerance. Costs count each K, K^* and proximal evaluation separately (four calls per iteration, including two simplex projections in **(5)**). $\delta \in (0, 1]$ is the minimum positive support probability and off-support payoff slack divided by L at a selected strictly complementary equilibrium, omitting absent off-support terms. Derived bounds specialize the cited comparator inequalities to radius R and the stated exact proximal oracles.

Table 79: Comparison for CompositeFiniteSumStronglyConvex.

On a real Hilbert space, minimize $F(x) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(x) + \psi(x)$, with $n \geq 1$, convex differentiable L-smooth components, and an everywhere finite μ-strongly convex regularizer. Exact component gradients and exact proximal minimizers are available. Assume $L, \mu, \varepsilon > 0$, $\Delta \geq 0$, and a minimizer $x_\star$ with $F(x_0) - F(x_\star) \leq \Delta$. Target: $\int [F(\widehat{x}(\omega)) - F(x_\star)]\, dP(\omega) \leq \varepsilon$, where P is the algorithm's random-sampling law.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) KatyushaCompositeStrongly Convex **(Ours)** (review)	$\mathcal{O}\Big((n + \sqrt{nL/\mu}) \log(1 + \Delta/\varepsilon)\Big)$		
(2) Katyusha [6], Theorem 2.1, Option I; $E = \mathbb{R}^d$	$\mathcal{O}\Big((n + \sqrt{nL/\mu}) \log(1 + \Delta/\varepsilon)\Big)$	**(1)**: Matches known rate on $\mathbb{R}^d$, including $\mu > L$. Formalization with an explicit deterministic call budget; scope extends to real Hilbert spaces.	**(1)**: Requires everywhere finite ψ, excluding indicator constraints; worse numerical constants.

Abbr: n: component count; L: component-gradient Lipschitz constant; μ: regularizer strong-convexity modulus; Δ: initial-gap bound; ε: target expected gap. Costs count component-gradient and proximal calls in total, including full-gradient refreshes; log is natural logarithm.

Table 80: Constant-step random reshuffling: ConstantStepReshuffledSmoothConvex.

On a real Hilbert space with its Borel measurable structure, let $F = n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i$, $n \geq 1$, with convex f_i and L_i-Lipschitz gradients, $0 \leq L_i \leq L_{\max}$ and $n^{-1}\sum_i L_i \leq L_{\text{avg}} \leq L_{\max}$, $L_{\text{avg}} > 0$. A minimizer $x_\star$ satisfies $\|x_0 - x_\star\| \leq R$ and $n^{-1}\sum_i \|\nabla f_i(x_\star)\|^2 \leq \sigma^2$, where $R, \sigma \geq 0$. The setup requires constant positive-step reshuffling; each epoch uses a fresh independent uniform permutation and exact component gradients. The target is $\int [F(x_{nK}(\omega)) - F(x_\star)]\, dP(\omega) \leq \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$, for the measurable raw final iterate and its integrable gap. Costs count all component-gradient calls. Prior-work comparisons below are on the common Euclidean case $E = \mathbb{R}^d$; alternative methods need not satisfy the setup's algorithm restriction.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) ReshuffledConstantStepLast Iterate **(Ours)** (review)	$\mathcal{O}(n + (u+s)H)$		
(2) VRADA [208, Theorem 1]; unregularized case	$\mathcal{O}\big(n[1+\log(1+\log n)] + \sqrt{nu}\big)$	**(1)**: $\mathcal{O}(n)$ versus $\mathcal{O}(n[1+\log(1+\log n)])$ when $(u+s)H \lesssim n$; at most a double-logarithmic saving for expected objective accuracy.	**(1)** needs σ; worse when $u \gg n$ or $s \gg n + \sqrt{nu}$, potentially polynomially.
(3) IID SGD [14, Theorem 2]; uniform component sampling	$\mathcal{O}(1 + (u+s)\log(2+u+s))$	**(1)**: No established improvement over this raw-last-iterate guarantee.	**(1)** pays a full-epoch cost n, worse when $n \gg 1 + (u+s)\log(2+u+s)$; requires reshuffling instead of IID draws.
(4) RR [147, Theorem 4.4, Appendix C.1]; $\psi = 0$	$\mathcal{O}\Big(n + nq\sqrt{\log(2+q)}\Big)$	**(1)**: deterministic-term saving at least $n\sqrt{L_{\text{avg}}/L_{\max}}/H$ when this ratio is large. Noise-term saving if $\varepsilon \gg \sigma^2 H^2/(nL_{\text{avg}})$; method-specific gains.	**(1)** loses L_{avg} sensitivity; worse noise term when $sH \gg b\sqrt{\log(2+q)}$.
(5) RR [143, Theorem 1, Corollary 1]; averaged output	$\mathcal{O}(n + u + \min\{s, b\})$	**(1)**: raw last iterate instead of averaging all inner iterates; a multi-epoch constant-step RR guarantee.	**(1)** pays factor H and loses the smaller noise branch when $\varepsilon < \sigma^2/(nL_{\text{avg}})$; logarithmically smaller step cap.

Abbr: RR: random reshuffling; IID: independent identically distributed; P: law of the algorithm's permutations; K: epochs; $u = L_{\max}R^2/\varepsilon$, $s = \sigma^2 R^2/\varepsilon^2$, $H = (1+\log n)[1 + \log(n+2+u+s)]$; $b = \sqrt{nL_{\text{avg}}}\,\sigma R^2/\varepsilon^{3/2}$; $q = \sqrt{L_{\text{avg}}L_{\max}}\,R^2/\varepsilon + b/n$. All logarithms are natural; $\lesssim$ suppresses universal constants.

Table 81: Exact coordinate-oracle complexity for CoordinateSmoothStronglyConvex.

Differentiable $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$, $d \geq 1$, is μ-strongly convex in the Euclidean norm and satisfies $f(x + te_i) \leq f(x) + t\partial_i f(x) + L_i t^2/2$ for every x, i, t. A minimizer $x_\star$ satisfies $f(x_0) - f(x_\star) \leq \Delta$, with $L_i \geq \mu > 0$, $\Delta \geq 0$, and $\varepsilon > 0$. Queries return exact $\partial_i f(x)$; $L_i, \mu, \Delta, \varepsilon, x_0$ are supplied. Target: $\mathbb{E}[f(y_K) - f(x_\star)] \leq \varepsilon$.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) AcceleratedCoordinateStrongly Convex **(Ours)** (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(\sum_{i=1}^d \sqrt{L_i/\mu}\,[1 + \log(1 + \Delta/\varepsilon)]\right)$		
(2) NU_ACDM [7, arXiv v3, Theorem 5.1, $\beta = 0$]	$\mathcal{O}\left(\sum_{i=1}^d \sqrt{L_i/\mu}\,[1 + \log(1 + \Delta/\varepsilon)]\right)$	**(1)**: Matches known rate; Lean formalization. The coordinate upper model gives no scope extension; tuning knowledge and expected last-iterate guarantee are unchanged.	**(1)**: Worse constants from integer-rounded sampling weights and a smaller coupling parameter.

Abbr: Costs count individual partial-derivative queries. e_i: coordinate unit vector; L_i: coordinate smoothness constants; μ: strong-convexity modulus; Δ: initial-gap bound; y_K: returned iterate; $\mathbb{E}$: expectation over coordinate sampling; log: natural logarithm.

Table 82: Finite-sum smooth convex optimization: FiniteSumSmoothAndConvex.

$F = n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i$ on a real Hilbert space E equipped with a measurable structure; $n \geq 1$, each f_i is convex with L-Lipschitz gradient, $L > 0$. A minimizer $x^\star$ satisfies $\|x_0 - x^\star\| \leq R$, $R \geq 0$. One oracle call returns an exact component gradient. Target: measurable output $\widehat{x}$ with integrable gap and $\int [F(\widehat{x}(\omega)) - F(x^\star)]\, dP(\omega) \leq \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$, where P is the algorithm's sampling law. The setup imposes no algorithm restriction.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) AcceleratedFiniteSumConvex **(Ours)** (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(n[1 + \log\log(n+2)] + \sqrt{nLR^2/\varepsilon}\right)$		
(2) SvrgConvex **(Ours)** (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + n\log(1 + LR^2/\varepsilon) + LR^2/\varepsilon\right)$		
(3) VRADA [209, Thm. 1, (9)–(11)]. $E = \mathbb{R}^d$; $l = 0$, $\sigma = 0$, $m = n$.	$\mathcal{O}\left(n[1 + \log\log(n+2)] + \sqrt{nLR^2/\varepsilon}\right)$	**(1)**: Matches known rate; Lean formalization on Hilbert spaces. **(2)**: Smaller startup when $1 \ll T \ll \log n$.	**(2)**: T instead of $\sqrt{nT}$ for high accuracy. **(1)**, **(2)** do not cover the additional composite objective supported by **(3)**.
(4) ANITA [136, Thm. 1, Cor. 1]. $E = \mathbb{R}^d$.	$\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{array}{l} n\left[1 + \min\left\{\log_+ \dfrac{LR^2}{\varepsilon\sqrt{n}}, \log\sqrt{n}\right\}\right] \\ \quad + \sqrt{nLR^2/\varepsilon} \end{array}\right)$ Expected call count.	**(1)**: Intermediate-accuracy savings for $\sqrt{n}\log n \lesssim T \lesssim n\log^2 n$; at $T = n$, $\mathcal{O}(n\log\log n)$ versus **(4)**'s $\mathcal{O}(n\log n)$ for the shared expected-gap target.	**(1)**: At $T \leq \sqrt{n}$, $\mathcal{O}(n\log\log n)$ versus **(4)**'s $\mathcal{O}(n)$. Epoch average instead of last iterate; ε needed for stopping, while **(4)**'s updates are accuracy-independent.
(5) SVRG++ [8, Thm. 4.1]. $E = \mathbb{R}^d$, $\Psi = 0$, $m_0 = 1$.	$\mathcal{O}\left(n[1 + \log(1 + LR^2/\varepsilon)] + LR^2/\varepsilon\right)$	**(1)**: At $T = n$, $\mathcal{O}(n\log\log n)$ versus **(5)**'s $\mathcal{O}(n\log n)$; accuracy term $\sqrt{nT}$ instead of T when $T > n$. **(2)**: Matches known rate; dimension-free Lean formalization.	**(1)**, **(2)** omit the composite term supported by **(5)**.
(6) SVRG++ [8, Thm. 4.1]. $E = \mathbb{R}^d$, $\Psi = 0$; $m_0 = \lceil LR^2/\Delta \rceil$, $0 < \varepsilon < \Delta$.	$\mathcal{O}\left(n[1 + \log(\Delta/\varepsilon)] + LR^2/\varepsilon\right)$	**(2)**: Matches known rate when $\Delta \asymp LR^2$.	**(2)**: Uses $\log(1 + LR^2/\varepsilon)$ instead of $1 + \log(\Delta/\varepsilon)$; can lose when $\Delta \ll LR^2$. No composite term.
(7) Katyusha + AdaptReg [6, Cor. 3.5]. $E = \mathbb{R}^d$, $\psi = 0$; $0 < \varepsilon < \Delta$.	$\mathcal{O}\left(n[1 + \log(\Delta/\varepsilon)] + \sqrt{nLR^2/\varepsilon}\right)$	**(2)**: No established improvement.	**(2)**: T instead of $\sqrt{nT}$; up to $\sqrt{T/n}$ higher total cost for $T \gg n$. **(1)**, **(2)** omit the composite term supported by **(7)**.

Abbr: n: component count; L: component smoothness; R: initial-distance bound; ε: expected-gap tolerance; $T = LR^2/\varepsilon$; $\Delta = F(x_0) - F(x^\star) \leq LR^2/2$; $\log_+ u = \max\{0, \log u\}$ (zero at $u = 0$). Costs count component gradients, including full-gradient refreshes; **(4)** counts calls in expectation. l, Ψ, ψ: optional convex composite terms; σ: strong-convexity parameter; m, m_0: epoch-length parameters.

Table 83: Finite-sum nonconvex optimization under mean-squared smoothness (FiniteSumSmoothNonconvex).

On a real Hilbert space E equipped with a measurable structure, let $F = n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i$, with differentiable f_i and $n \geq 1$. Assume $n^{-1}\sum_i \|\nabla f_i(x) - \nabla f_i(y)\|^2 \leq L^2\|x-y\|^2$ for all x, y, and $F \geq \ell$ with $F(x_0) - \ell \leq \Delta$, where $L > 0$, $\Delta \geq 0$. The oracle returns exact component gradients. For $\varepsilon > 0$, the target is a measurable output X with integrable squared gradient and $\int \|\nabla F(X(\omega))\|^2\, dP(\omega) \leq \varepsilon^2$, where P is the algorithm's randomization law. Convexity and attainment of the infimum are not assumed.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) RecursiveGradientNonconvex **(Ours)** (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(n + \frac{\sqrt{n}\,L\Delta}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$ deterministic calls.		
(2) Minibatch SARAH [180, Thm. 2, Cor. 3]. $E = \mathbb{R}^d$.	$\mathcal{O}\left(n + \frac{\sqrt{n}\,L\Delta}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$ deterministic calls; batch and epoch lengths $\asymp \sqrt{n}$.	**(1)**: Matches known rate, formalized in Lean. Scope: Hilbert spaces. With-replacement instead of without-replacement batches; no rate or tuning-knowledge improvement.	
(3) SpiderBoost [230, Thm. 1; App. G, Thm. 9]. $E = \mathbb{R}^d$; each ∇f_i is L-Lipschitz.	$\mathcal{O}\left(n + \frac{\sqrt{n}\,L\Delta}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$ deterministic calls; squared-gradient guarantee from App. G.	**(1)**: Scope: mean-squared smoothness instead of componentwise smoothness; Hilbert spaces. Matches rate on the common setup. The smoothness relaxation is already covered by **(2)**.	
(4) PAGE [138, Thm. 1, Cor. 2; App. A.1, Eq. (23)]. $E = \mathbb{R}^d$; $F^* = \min F$ in the stated gap.	$\mathcal{O}\left(n + \frac{\sqrt{n}\,L\Delta}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$ expected calls; squared-gradient guarantee from App. A.1.	**(1)**: Deterministic rather than expected call budget, at matched order on the common setup; this budget guarantee already holds for **(2)**.	

Abbr: n: number of components; L: mean-squared smoothness bound; ℓ: objective lower bound; Δ: initial gap bound; ε: root-mean-square gradient tolerance. Calls count individual component-gradient evaluations, including full refreshes and two evaluations per sampled difference. Prior-work gaps are bounded by Δ on the common setup; $\asymp$ denotes equality up to universal factors.

Table 84: Gradient-oracle comparison for MinimizerRegularHessian.

On a real Hilbert space of finite dimension $d \geq 1$, f and ∇f are differentiable, f is μ-strongly convex and L-smooth, and a minimizer $x^\star$ satisfies $\|x_0 - x^\star\| \leq R$ and $\|\nabla^2 f(x) - \nabla^2 f(x^\star)\| \leq M\|x - x^\star\|$ for every x. Parameters $0 < \mu \leq L$, $M \geq 0$, $R > 0$, and $\varepsilon > 0$ are known. Exact gradients may be queried at arbitrary points; the setup imposes no algorithm restriction. Target: $f(x_{\mathrm{out}}) - f(x^\star) \leq \varepsilon$, deterministically for the constructed algorithms.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) SpectralGradientQuadratic Convergence **(Ours)** (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{matrix}\kappa \log\big(2 + d^2 (2\kappa)^{2d} \Theta\big) \\ + d \log\big(2 + \log(1 + \kappa T)\big)\end{matrix}\right)$		
(2) Derived bound: AGD warmup [23, Thm. 3.18] + forward-difference Newton	$\mathcal{O}\left(\begin{matrix}\sqrt{\kappa} \log\big(2 + \sqrt{d\kappa}\, MR/\mu\big) \\ + d \log\big(2 + \log(1 + \kappa T)\big)\end{matrix}\right)$ Same anchored Hessian condition; $d + 1$ gradient calls per Newton step.	**(1)**: matches the quadratic-phase order using scalar gradient steps and quadratic windows; no established problem-class improvement.	**(1)**: warmup bound at least a $\sqrt{\kappa}$ factor larger; still needs matrix fitting and eigendecomposition. **(2)** uses off-iterate gradients and linear solves; for $M = 0$, one Newton step is exact.
(3) AGD [23, Thm. 3.18]	$\mathcal{O}\big(1 + \sqrt{\kappa} \log(1 + T)\big)$	**(1)**: for fixed d, κ, Θ as $T \to \infty$, $\mathcal{O}(\log \log T)$ instead of $\mathcal{O}(\log T)$; a scalar-step guarantee, not improved problem-class complexity.	**(1)** needs anchored Hessian regularity, finite dimension, and known M, R; its warmup can dominate at moderate accuracy or large d, κ.
(4) Silver stepsizes [10, Thm. 1.1]	$\mathcal{O}\big(1 + \kappa^{\log_{1+\sqrt{2}} 2} \log(1 + T)\big)$	**(1)**: for fixed d, κ, Θ as $T \to \infty$, $\mathcal{O}(\log \log T)$ instead of $\mathcal{O}(\log T)$ within scalar-gradient methods.	**(1)** needs anchored Hessian regularity, finite dimension, and known M, R; no uniform cost saving.

Abbr: $\kappa = L/\mu$, $\Theta = MLR/\mu^2$, $T = LR^2/\varepsilon$; $d = \dim E$. AGD: accelerated gradient descent. Costs count all exact gradient calls, including probing and finite differences; matrix operations are not oracle calls. Logarithms are natural unless a base is shown.

Table 85: Constant-momentum last-iterate guarantees for MomentumLipschitzConvex.

Convex $f : E \to \mathbb{R}$ on a separable real Hilbert space with its Borel measurable structure; a minimizer $x_\star$ satisfies $\|x_0 - x_\star\| \le R$. The jointly measurable oracle $g(\xi, x)$ has $\int g(\xi, x)\, dp(\xi) \in \partial f(x)$ and integrable squared norm with $\int \|g(\xi, x)\|^2\, dp(\xi) \le G^2$ for every x. Samples are IID from the probability law p. Here $G > 0$, $R \ge 0$, $0 \le \beta < 1$, and $\varepsilon > 0$. The setup restricts algorithms to constant-β EMA momentum SGD. Result **(1)** uses zero initial momentum and horizon-dependent affine step decay, tuned with G, R, β, ε, and returns x_N with $\int [f(x_N) - f(x_\star)]\, dP \le \varepsilon$, where $P = p^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}$.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) ConstantMomentumLastIterate **(Ours)** (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{G^2R^2}{\varepsilon^2} + \kappa^2 \log \frac{e}{1-\beta}\right)$		
(2) Linear-decay SGD [149, Thm. 3.4]	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{G^2R^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$ Finite-dimensional Euclidean specialization: $L = 0$, $M = 2G$, $\sigma \le G$, no composite term or constraints.	**(1)**: Matches known accuracy dependence with log-free constant-EMA last-iterate analysis; no improved problem-class complexity.	**(1)**: Adds $\kappa^2 \log \frac{e}{1-\beta}$ cost. Covers only unconstrained objectives; **(2)** also permits composite terms, constraints, and general norms.
(3) FTRL-SGDM [135, Cor. 1]	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{G^2R^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$ On $\mathbb{R}^d$, second-moment assumption H3; $c = R$, anytime choice $\gamma_{t-1} = R/(G\sqrt{t})$.	**(1)**: Matches known accuracy dependence with constant EMA momentum instead of increasing momentum and shrinking updates; no total-cost improvement.	**(1)**: Needs the horizon; adds momentum-dependent cost and has a worse leading constant.
(4) Schedule-Free SGD [51, Thm. 1]	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{G^2R^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$ Special case on $\mathbb{R}^d$: convex G-Lipschitz sample losses; constant interpolation parameter in $[0, 1]$; horizon-tuned step.	**(1)**: Scope extends to second-moment-bounded oracles without Lipschitz sample losses. Returns the EMA last iterate; **(4)** certifies its averaged sequence, not its query sequence.	**(1)**: On the shared sample-loss setup, adds momentum-dependent cost and has a worse leading constant.

Abbr: $\kappa = \beta/(1-\beta)$; N is the number of oracle calls; all costs count individual stochastic subgradient calls for expected objective gap ε. EMA: exponential moving average; FTRL: follow the regularized leader; SGD(M): stochastic gradient descent (with momentum). In **(2)**, L, M are smoothness/Lipschitz parameters and σ bounds noise standard deviation; in **(3)**, c, γ_{t-1} are tuning parameters and t is the iteration index.

Table 86: Normalized SAM on strongly convex objectives: NormalizedSamStronglyConvex.

Differentiable f on a complete real inner-product space, with L-Lipschitz gradient, μ-strong convexity, a minimizer $x_\star$, and $f(x_0) - f(x_\star) \le \Delta$; $0 < \mu \le L$, $\rho > 0$, $\Delta \ge 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Exact gradients are available. The setup requires constant-step normalized SAM with radius ρ and last-state output. Result **(1)** uses $\eta = (2L + 2L^2\rho/\sqrt{\mu\varepsilon})^{-1}$ and guarantees $f(x_K) - f(x_\star) \le \varepsilon$ deterministically.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) NormalizedSamStronglyConvex **(Ours)** (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \left[\kappa + \kappa^{3/2}\rho\sqrt{L/\varepsilon}\right]\ell\right)$		
(2) Normalized SAM [206, App. B.2, Eq. (6)]. Derived bound; $E = \mathbb{R}^d$.	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \left[\kappa + \kappa^{5/2}\rho\sqrt{L/\varepsilon}\right]\ell\right)$ Retuned constant step; best-iterate gap.	**(1)**: radius term smaller by κ; total factor $\Theta(\kappa)$ when $\rho \ge \sqrt{\mu\varepsilon}/L$, $\Delta \ge \varepsilon$. Last instead of best iterate; gradient-based selection already costs only an extra $\mathcal{O}(\log\kappa)$ factor. Same order for bounded κ.	
(3) GD [107, Thm. 1]. $E = \mathbb{R}^d$; problem-class comparison without the SAM restriction.	$\mathcal{O}(1 + \kappa\ell)$	**(1)**: No established improvement.	**(1)** adds $\kappa^{3/2}\rho\sqrt{L/\varepsilon}\,\ell$; dominant when $\rho \gg \sqrt{\mu\varepsilon}/L$. Two gradient calls per step instead of one.
(4) AGD [176, §2.2, Thm. 2.2.1, Lem. 2.2.4]. $E = \mathbb{R}^d$; problem-class comparison without the SAM restriction.	$\mathcal{O}(1 + \sqrt{\kappa}\,\ell)$	**(1)**: No established improvement.	**(1)** has κ instead of $\sqrt{\kappa}$, plus the radius term; at least a $\sqrt{\kappa}$ cost gap when $\Delta \ge \varepsilon$.

Abbr: $\kappa = L/\mu$; $\ell = \log(1 + \Delta/\varepsilon)$; Δ is the supplied initial-gap bound; ρ is the perturbation radius; K is the iteration count. Costs count exact gradient calls, including two per SAM step. SAM: sharpness-aware minimization; GD: gradient descent; AGD: accelerated gradient descent.

Table 87: Sample-gradient complexity for SampledSmoothConvex.

On a separable real Hilbert space, $f(x) = \int F_\xi(x)\, dp(\xi)$, where p is a probability measure, every F_ξ is convex with L-Lipschitz gradient, the sample gradient is jointly measurable, and $F_\xi(x)$ is integrable for every x. A minimizer $x_\star$ satisfies $\|x_0 - x_\star\| \le R$ and $\int \|\nabla F_\xi(x_\star)\|^2\, dp(\xi) \le \sigma^2$. Here $L, \varepsilon > 0$ and $R, \sigma \ge 0$. Samples are IID with law p under P. The setup restricts algorithms to linear-decay SGD; **(1)** uses known L, R, σ, T and guarantees the last-iterate target $\int [f(x_T(\omega)) - f(x_\star)]\, dP(\omega) \le \varepsilon$. Costs count individual sample-gradient calls.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) LinearDecaySgdLastIterate **(Ours)** (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{LR^2}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\sigma^2 R^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$		
(2) SHB-IMA [203, Thm. G.1, Cor. G.2]	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{LR^2}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\sigma^2 R^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$ Finite-dimensional restriction; tuned, known horizon.	**(1)**: Matches known rate and tuning information, using plain SGD instead of momentum; extends $\mathbb{R}^d$ to Hilbert space.	
(3) Constant-step SGD [14, Thm. 2]	$\mathcal{O}\left(\left[1 + \frac{LR^2}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\sigma^2 R^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right] \ell\right)$ Finite-dimensional restriction; tuned step.	**(1)**: Removes ℓ when $LR^2/\varepsilon + \sigma^2 R^2/\varepsilon^2 \to \infty$, including interpolation; extends to Hilbert space. Method-specific gain, not improved problem-class complexity.	
(4) Constant-step SGD, derived bound [72, Thm. 3.1]	$\mathcal{O}\left(\left[1 + \frac{LR^2}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\sigma^2 R^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right] \ell\right)$ Hilbert space; tuned using L, R, σ, T.	**(1)**: Removes ℓ when $LR^2/\varepsilon + \sigma^2 R^2/\varepsilon^2 \to \infty$, for plain SGD's last iterate under the same assumptions.	
(5) Constant-step SGD [72, Cor. 3.4]	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{L^2 R^4}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{\sigma^4}{L^2 \varepsilon^2} \ell_0^2\right)$ Step $1/(2L\sqrt{T})$; Hilbert space.	**(1)**: At $\sigma = 0$ and $LR^2/\varepsilon \gg 1$, reduces $\mathcal{O}((LR^2/\varepsilon)^2)$ to $\mathcal{O}(LR^2/\varepsilon)$.	**(1)** needs R, σ in addition to L, T for its steps.
(6) Averaged SGD [14, App. B, Thm. 8]	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{LR^2}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\sigma^2 R^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$ Finite-dimensional restriction; tuned step.	**(1)**: Matches known rate with the last iterate instead of an average; extends to Hilbert space.	
(7) Linear-decay SGD [150, Thm. 3.4]	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{LR^2}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\sigma_u^2 R^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$ Unconstrained smooth specialization in $\mathbb{R}^d$; uniform variance bound σ_u^2.	**(1)**: Replaces σ_u^2 by σ^2 on the overlap; factor σ_u^2/σ^2 saving when noise dominates each cost. Covers random-design least squares with $\sigma_u = \infty$; matches at equal noise.	**(1)** requires per-sample convexity and smoothness; does not cover constraints or composite terms. Classes are incomparable.

Abbr: SGD: stochastic gradient descent; SHB-IMA: stochastic heavy ball in iterate-moving-average form. T: horizon; R: initial-distance bound; σ^2: sample-gradient second-moment bound at $x_\star$; $\sigma_u^2 \ge \sup_x \int \|\nabla F_\xi(x) - \nabla f(x)\|^2\, dp(\xi)$; $\ell = 1 + \log(1 + LR^2/\varepsilon + \sigma^2 R^2/\varepsilon^2)$; $\ell_0 = 1 + \log(1 + \sigma^2/(L\varepsilon))$.

Table 88: Smooth convex minimization: SmoothAndConvex.

A convex differentiable $f : E \to \mathbb{R}$ on a real Hilbert space has an L-Lipschitz gradient, $L > 0$, and a minimizer $x_\star$ with $\|x_0 - x_\star\| \le R$, $R \ge 0$. The oracle returns the exact gradient for every query and seed. Target: $f(x_K) - f(x_\star) \le \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$, almost surely under every oracle seed law. The setup imposes no algorithm restriction; the constructions use known L, with R, ε determining the stopping budget.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) AcceleratedConvex **(Ours)** (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \sqrt{LR^2/\varepsilon}\right)$		
(2) GdConvex **(Ours)** (review)	$\mathcal{O}(1 + LR^2/\varepsilon)$		
(3) Nesterov AGD [47, Cor. 4.9]	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \sqrt{LR^2/\varepsilon}\right)$	**(1)**: Matches known rate; Lean formalization. The dimension-free argument extends to Hilbert spaces.	**(2)**: For $LR^2/\varepsilon \gg 1$, about $\sqrt{LR^2/(8\varepsilon)}$ times more calls. Same oracle and tuning knowledge.
(4) OGM [47, Cor. 4.6]	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \sqrt{LR^2/\varepsilon}\right)$; stated on $\mathbb{R}^d$.	**(1)**: Matches known order on $\mathbb{R}^d$; no established improvement.	**(1)**: About $\sqrt{2}$ times more calls when $LR^2/\varepsilon \gg 1$, on $\mathbb{R}^d$.
(5) Classical GD [58, Eq. (3.1)]	$\mathcal{O}(1 + LR^2/\varepsilon)$; step $1/L$, stated on $\mathbb{R}^d$.	**(1)**: Known acceleration gives $\mathcal{O}(1 + \sqrt{LR^2/\varepsilon})$ instead of $\mathcal{O}(1 + LR^2/\varepsilon)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$. **(2)**: Matches known rate; Lean formalization.	
(6) Tight GD [58, Thms. 3.1–3.2]	$\mathcal{O}(1 + LR^2/\varepsilon)$; step $1/L$, stated on $\mathbb{R}^d$.	**(2)**: Matches known order on $\mathbb{R}^d$; no established improvement.	**(2)**: About twice as many calls when $LR^2/\varepsilon \gg 1$, for the same GD algorithm on $\mathbb{R}^d$.
(7) FISTA [16, Thm. 4.4]	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \sqrt{LR^2/\varepsilon}\right)$; constant step $1/L$, $g = 0$, $E = \mathbb{R}^d$.	**(1)**: Matches known rate at $g = 0$; no oracle saving, since the proximal map is the identity.	**(1)**: Up to one extra call at $g = 0$. **(1)**, **(2)**: Do not cover the composite objectives also treated by **(7)**.

Abbr: Costs count exact gradient evaluations. L: gradient Lipschitz constant; R: initial distance bound; ε: objective-gap tolerance; K: number of calls; d: dimension; GD: gradient descent; AGD: accelerated gradient descent; OGM: optimized gradient method; g: FISTA's convex nonsmooth term.

Table 89: Smooth convex–concave saddle points: SmoothConvexConcave.

Nonempty compact convex sets $X \subset E, Y \subset F$ in real Hilbert spaces have product diameter at most $D \geq 0$. The function $\varphi : E \times F \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex–concave on $X \times Y$, with partial gradients everywhere; the exact oracle $G = (\nabla_x \varphi, -\nabla_y \varphi)$ is globally L-Lipschitz, $L > 0$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, the target is a feasible output with $\int \mathrm{Gap}(z(\omega))\, dP(\omega) \leq \varepsilon$. Result **(1)** constructs deterministic projected extragradient with step $1/(2L)$ and averages its extrapolated points; its gap is at most ε for every seed.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) SmoothConvexConcave **(Ours)** (review)	$\mathcal{O}(1 + LD^2/\varepsilon)$ exact G calls; one product projection per call.		
(2) Mirror-Prox [174, Thm. 3.2, (3.10)]. Euclidean specialization; finite dimensions.	$\mathcal{O}(1 + LD^2/\varepsilon)$ exact G calls; one product projection per call.	**(1)**: Matches known rate on finite-dimensional overlap; Lean formalization. Scope: compact subsets of Hilbert spaces instead of finite-dimensional spaces.	**(1)** needs ambient differentiability and Lipschitz continuity; **(2)** needs them only on $X \times Y$. No general Bregman-geometry guarantee in **(1)**.
(3) Lower bound [189, Thm. 9]. Bilinearly coupled problems on Euclidean balls.	$\Omega(LD^2/\varepsilon)$ calls in the regime $L_f = \|A\| \asymp L$, $R_X \asymp R_Y \asymp D$, $LD^2/\varepsilon \gg 1$. Oracle: $(\nabla f(x), Ax, A^\top y)$.	**(1)** matches the worst-case order for deterministic first-order methods when $8 < m \leq n$ and the call horizon satisfies $t < m/4 - 2$.	

Abbr: $\mathrm{Gap}(x, y) = \sup_{v \in Y} \varphi(x, v) - \inf_{u \in X} \varphi(u, y)$; P is the execution probability law. L, D use the max product norm $\|(x, y)\| = \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$. A product projection projects onto X and Y. In **(3)**, $\varphi(x, y) = f(x) + \langle Ax - b, y\rangle$, L_f is the Lipschitz constant of ∇f, R_X, R_Y are ball radii, n, m their dimensions, and t the oracle-call horizon; Ω denotes a lower bound, $\asymp$ equality up to universal factors.

Table 90: Smooth nonconvex stationarity: SmoothNonconvex.

A differentiable $f : E \to \mathbb{R}$ on a real Hilbert space with a measurable structure has L-Lipschitz gradient, $L > 0$, and a lower bound ℓ satisfying $f(x_0) - \ell \le \Delta$, $\Delta \ge 0$. The oracle returns exact gradients. For $\varepsilon > 0$, the target is $\int \|\nabla f(\widehat{x}(\omega))\|^2 \, dP(\omega) \le \varepsilon^2$, where P is the execution law. Result **(1)** constructs GD with step $1/L$ and returns the deterministic queried point of smallest gradient norm; the setup does not restrict the algorithm.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) GdNonconvex **(Ours)** (review)	$\mathcal{O}(1 + L\Delta/\varepsilon^2)$		
(2) Classical GD, $\eta = 1/L$ [1, §1]	$\mathcal{O}(1 + L\Delta/\varepsilon^2)$	**(1)**: Matches known rate; machine-checked formalization. Already order-optimal in sufficiently high dimension [28, Thms. 1–2, $p = 1$].	**(1)** needs Δ for its fixed budget; gradient-norm stopping does not.
(3) GD, $\eta = 1/L$ [1, Thm. 2, (16)]	$\mathcal{O}(1 + L\Delta/\varepsilon^2)$ $E = \mathbb{R}^d$, attained minimum.	**(1)**: Matches known rate on this finite-dimensional restriction; no established improvement.	**(1)** has about 1.5 times the leading call constant when $L\Delta/\varepsilon^2 \gg 1$.
(4) GD, $\eta = \sqrt{4/3}/L$ [1, Thm. 2, (15)]	$\mathcal{O}(1 + L\Delta/\varepsilon^2)$ $E = \mathbb{R}^d$, attained minimum.	**(1)**: Matches known rate on this finite-dimensional restriction; no established improvement.	**(1)** has about 1.54 times the leading call constant when $L\Delta/\varepsilon^2 \gg 1$.

Abbr: GD: gradient descent; η: step size; L: gradient Lipschitz constant; Δ: initial objective-gap bound; ε: target gradient norm; d: dimension. Costs count exact gradient evaluations, including the terminal gradient used for selection or stopping.

Table 91: Smooth PL optimization: SmoothPL.

On a real Hilbert space, f has an L-Lipschitz gradient and a global minimizer $x_\star$, with $f(x_0) - f(x_\star) \le \Delta$ and $2\mu(f(x) - f(x_\star)) \le \|\nabla f(x)\|^2$ for all x. Here $0 < \mu \le L$, $\Delta \ge 0$, and $\varepsilon > 0$; convexity is not required. The oracle returns exact gradients. Target: $f(x_K) - f(x_\star) \le \varepsilon$ deterministically. The setup does not restrict the algorithm; **(1)** constructs GD with step $1/L$.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) GdPL **(Ours)** (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{L}{\mu}\log\left(1 + \frac{\Delta}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)$ gradient calls		
(2) GD [106, Theorem 1, arXiv v4]	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{L}{\mu}\log_+ \frac{\Delta}{\varepsilon}\right)$ gradient calls; stated on $\mathbb{R}^d$	**(1)**: Matches known rate for $\varepsilon \le \Delta/2$. Lean formalization on Hilbert spaces; the dimension-independent classical proof extends unchanged.	**(1)**: Explicit stopping budget needs a known Δ; **(2)** states convergence in the actual initial gap.
(3) Lower bound [245, Theorems 2–3, arXiv v2]	$\Omega\left(\frac{L}{\mu}\log \frac{\Delta}{\varepsilon}\right)$ gradient calls; deterministic first-order methods, $L/\mu > C$, $0 < \varepsilon \le \Delta/16$	**(1)**: Matches **(3)** up to constants in this regime. A problem-class lower bound, not a competing algorithm; randomized methods are not covered.	

Abbr: GD: gradient descent; PL: Polyak–Łojasiewicz; L: gradient Lipschitz constant; μ: PL constant; Δ: initial objective-gap bound; ε: target gap; K: gradient-call count; $\log_+ t = \max\{0, \log t\}$ for $t > 0$, with $\log_+ 0 = 0$; C: universal positive constant.

Table 92: Comparison for StochasticConvexQuadratic.

On a finite-dimensional real Hilbert space, $f(x) = c + \|A(x-u)\|^2/2$ is convex with L-Lipschitz gradient and a minimizer $x_\star$ satisfying $\|x_0 - x_\star\| \le R$. IID samples from p give jointly measurable, integrable gradients g with $\int g(x,\omega)\,dp(\omega) = \nabla f(x)$ and integrable squared error satisfying $\int \|g(x,\omega) - \nabla f(x)\|^2\,dp(\omega) \le \sigma^2$. Here $L, \varepsilon > 0$ and $R, \sigma \ge 0$ are known. The setup restricts algorithms to batched SGD with predetermined nonnegative scalar steps and positive integer batches, returning the last iterate. Target: $\int [f(\widehat{x}) - f(x_\star)]\,dP \le \varepsilon$.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) StochasticChebyshevQuadratic **(Ours)** (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{LR^2}{\varepsilon}} + \frac{\sigma^2 R^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$		
(2) AC-SA [75, Proposition 7]	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{LR^2}{\varepsilon}} + \frac{\sigma^2 R^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$	**(1)**: Matches known rate on quadratics. Method-specific analysis attains the log-free rate without momentum, using $\mathcal{O}(T)$ noise amplification for descending Chebyshev steps.	**(1)**: Quadratics only versus general smooth convex objectives; larger constants. Intermediate iterates can grow exponentially.
(3) RSAG [79, Corollary 3(b), (3.22)]	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{LR^2}{\varepsilon}} + \frac{\sigma^2 R^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$	**(1)**: Matches known rate on quadratics; deterministic last index instead of a random index. **(2)** already provides a fixed-index output.	**(1)**: Restricted to quadratics rather than general smooth convex objectives.

Abbr: L: gradient Lipschitz constant; R: initial-distance bound; σ^2: variance bound; ε: expected objective accuracy; A: linear map; u, c: quadratic center and offset; T: number of Chebyshev updates; $\widehat{x}$: returned point; P: sampling law (including output randomization when used). Costs count individual stochastic-gradient calls, including every batch member.

Table 93: Stochastic smooth convex minimization: StochasticSmoothAndConvex.

Convex differentiable $f : E \to \mathbb{R}$ on a second-countable real Hilbert space with its Borel σ-algebra; ∇f is L-Lipschitz and a global minimizer $x_\star$ satisfies $\|x_0 - x_\star\| \le R$. Here $L, \varepsilon > 0$ and $R, \sigma \ge 0$. The jointly measurable oracle g has integrable values and squared errors, with $\int g(x,\omega)\, dp(\omega) = \nabla f(x)$ and $\int \|g(x,\omega) - \nabla f(x)\|^2\, dp(\omega) \le \sigma^2$ for a probability law p. Samples are IID, $P = p^{\otimes\mathbb{N}}$. The target is measurable output $\widehat{x}$ with integrable gap and $\int [f(\widehat{x}) - f(x_\star)]\, dP \le \varepsilon$. The setup imposes no algorithm restriction.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) AcceleratedStochasticConvex **(Ours)** (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{LR^2}{\varepsilon}} + \frac{\sigma^2 R^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$		
(2) SgdConvex **(Ours)** (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{LR^2}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\sigma^2 R^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$		
(3) AC-SA [76], Prop. 7, (4.10)–(4.11). Unconstrained Euclidean specialization.	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{LR^2}{\varepsilon}} + \frac{\sigma^2 R^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$	**(1)**: Matches known rate; Lean formalization in Hilbert space of a dimension-free analysis. **(2)**: Matches when $\sigma^2 \ge L\varepsilon$.	**(2)**: At $\sigma = 0$, $LR^2/\varepsilon \ge 1$, costs $\mathcal{O}(LR^2/\varepsilon)$ versus $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{LR^2/\varepsilon})$.
(4) Smooth SGD [24], Thm. 6.3 proof. Derived bound: unconstrained Euclidean quadratic mirror map.	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \frac{LR^2}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\sigma^2 R^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$	**(2)**: Matches known rate; Lean formalization. Step omits R, but stopping still needs it; no reduction in required information.	
(5) AC-SA [76], Prop. 7, (4.5), (4.10)–(4.12). Bounded convex $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$.	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{LR^2}{\varepsilon}} + \frac{\sigma^2 (R^2 + D_X^2)\ell^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$ stochastic gradients and projections onto X; $\Pr\{f(\widehat{x}) - \min_X f \le \varepsilon\} \ge 1 - \delta$. Requires $D_X < \infty$, $\sigma > 0$, and $\int \exp(\|g - \nabla f\|^2/\sigma^2)\, dp \le e$ at every $x \in X$.	**(1)**, **(2)**: No established improvement for this probability target. Different domain and accuracy guarantees prevent direct rate comparison.	**(1)**, **(2)**: Expectation only; no corresponding high-probability guarantee.

Abbr: Costs count individual stochastic-gradient calls; in **(5)** each call also uses one projection. R bounds initial distance to the relevant minimizer; σ^2 is the variance bound; ε is objective-gap accuracy. In **(5)**, $D_X = \sup_{x \in X} \|x - x_\star\|$, $\delta \in (0,1)$, $\ell = 1 + \log(1/\delta)$. Euclidean specializations use $V(u,v) = \|u - v\|^2/2$ and zero composite term; **(4)** uses initial Bregman distance rather than domain diameter.

Table 94: Stochastic two-sided PL minimax optimization: StochasticTwoSidedPL.

Real inner-product spaces E, F, with E finite-dimensional and F complete and second-countable, carry their Borel structures. Partial gradients are L-Lipschitz in $\|x-x'\|+\|y-y'\|$; $f(\cdot,y)$ and $-f(x,\cdot)$ satisfy PL inequalities against every competitor, with $0<\mu_x,\mu_y\le L$. Every maximization in y is attained. Jointly measurable partial-gradient oracles are unbiased, integrable, and have integrable squared errors of expectation at most σ^2; calls use independent samples with common probability law p. The setup restricts algorithms to stochastic Smoothed-AGDA. Given $\sigma, \Delta \ge 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, the target is $\int[\Phi(\hat{x}) - \Phi^* + \Phi(\hat{x}) - f(\hat{x},\hat{y})]\,dP \le \varepsilon$, with measurable output and integrable gap; P includes the sample stream and output randomization.

Result	Oracle complexity	Novelty	Limitations
(1) StochasticTwoSidedPLSmoothed Agda **(Ours)** (review)	$\mathcal{O}\left(\left[\frac{L^2}{\mu_x\mu_y}+\frac{L^3\sigma^2}{\mu_x^2\mu_y^2\varepsilon}\right]\ell\right)$		
(2) Derived bound: restarted Smoothed-AGDA [238], Thm. 4.1 plus two-sided PL; Euclidean spaces	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{L^2\ell}{\mu_x\mu_y}+\frac{L^3\sigma^2}{\mu_x^2\mu_y^2\varepsilon}\right)$ Conditional on deterministic expected-gap bounds remaining valid at random restart points.	**(1)**: Matches deterministic rate; extends F to Hilbert space. Single-run potential-PL analysis and formalization; no complexity gain on the Euclidean overlap.	**(1)**: Extra factor ℓ in the noise term, conditional on the stated restart bounds.
(3) Stoc-AGDA [236], Thm. 3.3; Euclidean spaces, attained minima and a saddle point	$\mathcal{O}\left(1+\frac{L^3D_0}{\mu_x\mu_y^2\varepsilon}+\frac{L^5\sigma^2}{\mu_x^2\mu_y^4\varepsilon}\right)$	**(1)**: Noise term smaller by κ_y^2/ℓ when $\ell<\kappa_y^2$, for the shared expected-gap target; not an identical-output speedup.	**(1)**: Random second-half iterate instead of last iterate; needs $\sigma,\varepsilon,\Delta$ in addition. Its initialization bound includes $\|\nabla_x f(x_0,y_0)\|^2/L$.
(4) Warm-started Stoc-AGDA [236], Thms. 3.1, 3.3 and Remark 3; Euclidean spaces, attained minima and a saddle point	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{L^3\ell}{\mu_x\mu_y^2}+\frac{L^5\sigma^2}{\mu_x^2\mu_y^4\varepsilon}\right)$ Includes the constant-step warm start; uses the common initial bound $D_0\le\Delta$.	**(1)**: Deterministic term $\kappa_x\kappa_y\ell$ instead of $\kappa_x\kappa_y^2\ell$; noise gain κ_y^2/ℓ when $\ell<\kappa_y^2$.	**(1)**: Random iterate instead of last iterate. Noise term loses when $\ell>\kappa_y^2$; no uniform dominance.
(5) FESS-GDA [205], Thm. 3.5, $M=1$; Euclidean spaces, attained minima and a saddle point	Distance target: $\mathbb{E}[\|x_T-x^*\|^2+\|y_T-y^*\|^2]\le\delta^2$. Rates are not comparable to the gap target.	**(1)**: Scope: no saddle-point existence assumption. No established complexity comparison across these accuracy criteria.	**(1)**: Does not provide the distance guarantee of **(5)**.

Abbr: $\Phi(x)=\max_y f(x,y)$, $\Phi^*=\inf_x\Phi(x)$; $D_0=2\Phi(x_0)-\Phi^*-f(x_0,y_0)$; $\Delta\ge D_0+\|\nabla_x f(x_0,y_0)\|^2/L$; $\ell=1+\log(1+\Delta/\varepsilon)$; $\kappa_x=L/\mu_x$, $\kappa_y=L/\mu_y$. Costs count individual partial-gradient calls, including calls to each branch. δ is distance accuracy, (x^*,y^*) a saddle point, and M the client count.